# natureresearch

Corresponding author(s): LeAnn Howe

Last updated by author(s): Oct 26, 2020

### LEATITI HOWE

# **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see<u>Authors & Referees</u> and the<u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

### **Statistics**

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.			
n/a	Confirmed				
	x	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement			
	x	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly			
	x	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.			
×		A description of all covariates tested			
X		A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons			
×		A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)			
×		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.			
X		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings			
X		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes			
×		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated			
		Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.			

## Software and code

### Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection	Data was collected using standard Illumina software for the NextSeq 500 and HiSeq 2500 platforms.
Data analysis	Analysis of ChIP-seq data Adapter sequences were removed from paired end FASTQ files using cutadapt (version 1.83 – http://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/ stable/), before aligning to the saccer3 genome using BWA (version 0.7.15-r1140)65. For analysis of epl1(1-485) data, reads of chrXII were removed from all data sets, as this chromosome appeared to be unstable in this mutant (1.5x coverage). Coverage tracks represer reads per genome coverage, calculated using the Java Genomics Toolkit version 1 (https://github.com/timpalpant/java-genomics-toolkit scripts, ngs.BaseAlignCounts and wigmath.Scale. Log2 transformed ChIP over input tracks were calculated using the Java Genomics Toolkit and regions without signal in the input were removed to avoid division by 0. Replicates were pooled for subsequent analysis, and figures were generated in R.
	Similar to other groups26,27, ChIP-seq datasets from 1,10-pt-treated or Epl1(1-485) cells were normalized to silent regions. The genome was divided into 250 bp bins, bins outside the interquartile range for coverage in the input were discarded, the 100 regions with the lowest Rpb3 signal were defined as silent regions, and these silent regions were used to normalized ChIP-seq datasets for cross-condition comparisons (Supplementary Table 3). We also added synthetic DNA spike-ins to our ChIP eluates and inputs (Supplementary Table 4), but this approach to normalization did not work well for all samples, possibly due to low coverage of the spike-ins in some samples.
	Publicly available datasets Publicly available datasets used in this paper are listed in Supplementary Table 5. FASTQ files from Weiner et al., 2015 were mapped to the saccer3 genome using BWA version 0.7.15-r114065. Reads were extended to 146 bp, and reads per genome coverage and log2 transformed ChIP over input files were calculated using deepTools version 3.0266.
	Defining genome annotations Yeast transcription start and end sites were downloaded from the supplemental files of Chereji et al., 201867. To identify active, non-

divergent, yeast promoters, genes in the lowest quintile of NET-seq signal over the first 500 bp downstream of the TSS were designated as non-transcribed. Unidirectional promoters were then defined as transcribed genes with the lowest quintile of NET-seq signal 100-600 bp upstream of the TSS (832 genes). RefSeq mm9 TSSs were downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser (https://genome.ucsc.edu/). To identify mouse genes with active, non-divergent, promoters, transcribed genes were defined as those with greater than the median PRO-seq signal over 1 kb downstream of the TSS, and transcribed genes in the lowest quintile of PRO-seq signal upstream of the TSS were designated as having unidirectional promoters (3035 genes).

For transcribed nucleosomes classified by Rpb3 change upon 1,10-pt treatment (Supplementary Figures 3B and 5B), genome-wide nucleosome positions47 with Rpb3 signal greater than the median were classified as transcribed. Nucleosomes where Rpb3 changed by less than 10% were classified as "Rpb3 stable", while those decreasing by at least 3x were classified as "Rpb3 lost". Boxplots represent the 1st to 3rd quartiles, with whiskers extending to 1.5 times the interquartile range or to the extreme of the data. Notches are equal to +/- 1/58 IQR /sqrt(n), giving an approximation of the 95% confidence interval for the difference in 2 medians.

To find promoter peaks of Epl1, the Epl1 MNase ChIP-seq was compared to its input within the NDR for each gene67. Within each NDR, a smoothing spline was fit to the IP minus input signal (RPGC) and the peak position was selected. Peak positions with an IP minus input greater than 0.5 RPGC in the Epl1 ChIP-seq but not in the untagged control were selected as Epl1 peaks. NDRs in close proximity to tRNA genes or centromeres were removed from further analysis due to binding of Epl1 to these elements.

For motif analysis, Epl1 peaks were compared to 1958 NDR regions depleted for Epl1 binding (maximum IP minus input less than 0.1 RPGC). The 500 bp regions around peak centers were then input into the MEME-ChIP Differential Enrichment algorithm (https:// www.nature.com/articles/nprot.2014.083?draft=collection) to find enriched motifs from the JASPAR non-redundant core fungi motifs (https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/46/D1/D260/4621338). For the top two hits, Rap1 and Aft2, CentriMo (https:// academic.oup.com/nar/article/40/17/e128/241117) was used to plot the distance from the best motif site to the Epl1 peak center and the motif probabilities around the best motif site for the regions containing target motifs.

#### Generating heatmaps and metaplots

Metaplot matrices centred on TSSs were generated using the sitepro script from the CEAS package 1.0.268 and matrices aligned to other features were produced using the visualization.MatrixAligner script from the Java Genomics Toolkit. Heatmap matrices were generated using deepTools69. Metaplots and heatmaps were generated using baseR and ComplexHeatmap version 1.2070 respectively. For 2D heatmaps, plot2DO (version 1) was used71

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

### Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A list of figures that have associated raw data

- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Data generated for this manuscript were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession code GSE110287 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE110287]. Published datasets analyzed for this manuscript are detailed in Supplementary Table 5. Source data for Figures 1a and 1d, and Epl1 peak midpoints are provided in the Source Data file.

# Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

× Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

# Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	Sample sizes for immunoblots were determined by the maximum number of samples that could be run on a single blot. Sample sizes for ChIP-seq experiments was limited to two replicates due to financial constraints.
Data exclusions	For analysis of epl1(1-485) data reads of chrXII were removed from all data sets as this chromosome appeared to be unstable in this mutant (1.5x coverage).
Replication	The reproducibility for all sequencing data and analyses was confirmed by two independent experiments.
Randomization	All experiments were performed with large numbers of isogenic cells and thus randomization was not required.
Blinding	Blinding was not required because the data analysis pipelines used prevent human bias.

# Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Methods

n/a

X

X

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

MRI-based neuroimaging

Involved in the study

Flow cytometry

X ChIP-seq

### Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Inv	olved in the study
	×	Antibodies
	×	Eukaryotic cell lines
x		Palaeontology
x		Animals and other organisms
×		Human research participants

X Clinical data

### Antibodies

#### Antibodies used Note: histones H3 and H4 are extremely well conserved between yeast and humans. Indeed all PTM sites analyzed in this manuscript are identical between yeast and human histones. The C-terminus of H3 is divergent between yeast and human and thus we generated a custom yeast-specific antibody for this site. anti-H3K4me3 rabbit antibody (Abcam ab1012, lot #1276040) anti-H3K9ac rabbit antibody custom antibody (Kimura et al., 2008, CMA305) anti-H3K14ac custom rabbit antibody (GeneScript, affinity-purified) anti-H3K18ac rabbit antibody (Abcam ab1191) anti-H3K23ac rabbit antibody (Active Motif 39131, lot # 1008001) anti-H3K27ac rabbit antibody (Active Motif 39133) anti-H3K36ac rabbit antibody (Abcam ab177179, lot # GR205508) anti-H3K56ac rabbit antibody (Abcam ab76307, lot # EPR996Y) anti-H3K122ac rabbit antibody (Abcam ab33309, lot # GR3306851) anti-H4K5ac rabbit antibody (Millipore 07-327, lot # 2524676) anti-H4K8ac rabbit antibody (Abcam ab45166, clone # EP1002Y) anti-H4K12ac rabbit antibody (Active Motif 39165, lot # 1008001) anti-H4K16ac rabbit antibody (Millipore 07-329, lot # 2506422) anti-H3 custom rabbit antibody (GeneScript, raised against CKDILARRLRGERS) anti-H4 mouse antibody (Abcam ab31830, myeloma: Sp2/0-Ag14) anti-HA rat antibody (Roche 12CA5, lot # 11849700) anti-Rpb1 ser5p mouse antibody (3e8, Millipore 04-1572, lot # 2585825) Validation anti-H3K4me3: immunoblot signal specifically disrupted by H3K4me3 peptides and not H3K4me2, me1, or me0 peptides. anti-H3K9ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 80% in gcn5 deletioin anti-H3K14ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 90% in ada2sas3 deletion anti-H3K18ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 90% in gcn5 deletion anti-H3K23ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 95% in H3K23R mutant anti-H3K56ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 90% in rtt109 mutant anti-H3K122ac: immunoblot signal at expected size observed in WCEs. anti-H4K5ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 90% in esa1-ts strain grown at 37 degrees C anti-H4K8ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 90% in esa1-ts strain grown at 37 degrees C anti-H4K12ac: immunoblot signal reduced greater than 90% in esa1-ts strain grown at 37 degrees C anti-H4K16ac: immunoblot signal at expected size observed in WCEs. anti-H3: immunoblot signal at expected size observed in WCEs and using recombinant expressed histone H3. anti-H4: immunoblot signal at expected size observed in WCEs and using recombinant expressed histone H4. anti-HA: immunoblot, ChIP-qPCR, and ChIP-seq confirmed specific reactivity to strains with HA-tagged proteins and little to no reactivity to untagged strains. anti-Rpb1 ser5p: immunoblot signal at expected size

## Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about <u>cell lines</u>

Cell line source(s)

All yeast strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The mESC line used is described in Chen et al., 2018 (PMID: 29229671) and was derived from TT2 cells. TT2 cells were established from an F1 embryo between a C57BL/6 female and a CBA male as F1/1 cells by Suda et al., 1987 (PMID: 2832150).

Authentication

All yeast strains were verified by PCR of genomic DNA. For the strains expressing tagged proteins, the strains were verified first by PCR of genomic DNA and immunoblot analysis.

Mycoplasma contamination

Commonly misidentified lines

All cell lines tested are free for mycoplasma contamination.

(See <u>ICLAC</u> register)

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in the study.

### ChIP-seq

### Data deposition

**x** Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as GEO.

Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

Data access links May remain private before publication.	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE110287
Files in database submission	GSM2985387_pt15_rep1_input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985388_tp0_rep1_H4K12ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985389_pt15_rep1_H4K12ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985390_tsa15_rep1_H4K12ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985391_pt15_rep1_H3K23ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985392_pt15_rep2_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985393_tp0_rep2_H4K12ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985394_pt15_rep2_H4K12ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985395_tsa15_rep2_H4K12ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985396_pt15_rep2_H3K23ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985412_EPL1HA6_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985413 EPL1HA6_rep2_tp0_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985414 EPL1HA6 rep1 tp_pt15_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985415_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp_pt15_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985416_EPL1HA6_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985417_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp0_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985418 EPL1HA6 rep1 tp_pt15_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985419_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp_pt15_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985433_EPL1HA6_rep1_tp0_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985434_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp0_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985435 EPL1HA6 rep1 tp pt15 Sonicated HA IP coverage per mil frag.wig
	GSM2985436_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp_pt15_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985437_EPL1HA6_rep1_tp0_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985438_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp0_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985439_EPL1HA6_rep1_tp_pt15_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM2985440_EPL1HA6_rep2_tp_pt15_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100666_EPL1_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100667_epl1.485_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100668_epl1.485_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100669_epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp0_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100670_epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp0_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100671_epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp_pt15_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100672_epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp_pt15_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100673_epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp0_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100674_epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp0_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100675_epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp_pt15_Sonicated_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4100676_epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp_pt15_Sonicated_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849495_epl1.485HA6_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849496_epl1.485HA6_rep2_tp0_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849497 epl1.485HA6 rep1_pt15_100uMNase_H4K8ac coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849498_epl1.485HA6_rep2_pt15_100uMNase_H4K8ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849499_epl1.485HA6_rep2_tp0_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849500_epl1.485HA6_rep1_pt15_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849501_epl1.485HA6_rep2_pt15_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849502_Untagged_100uMNase_HA_IP_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4849503_Untagged_100uMNase_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4850569_tp0_rep1_H3K23ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4850570 tp0 rep1 Input coverage per mil frag.wig
	GSM4850571_tp0_rep2_H3K23ac_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig
	GSM4850572_tp0_rep2_Input_coverage_per_mil_frag.wig

GSM4850573\_tsa15\_rep1\_H3K23ac\_coverage\_per\_mil\_frag.wig GSM4850574\_tsa15\_rep1\_Input\_coverage\_per\_mil\_frag.wig GSM4850575 tsa15\_rep2\_H3K23ac\_coverage\_per\_mil\_frag.wig GSM4850576\_tsa15\_rep2\_Input\_coverage\_per\_mil\_frag.wig EPL1\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq EPL1\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep1\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq epl1.485 6HA rep1 tp0 Sonicated HA IP R2.fastq epl1.485 6HA rep1 tp0 Sonicated Input R1.fastg epl1.485\_6HA\_rep1\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_6HA\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq epl1.485\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq epl1.485\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep1\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep1\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep1\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep1\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep2\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep2\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep2\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep2\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq epl1.485HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq epl1.485HA6 rep2 tp0 100uMNase Input R1.fastq epl1.485HA6 rep2 tp0 100uMNase Input R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep1\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp\_pt15\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_100uMNase\_H4K8ac\_R2.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq

5

Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u>)

#### Methodology

Replicates

Sequencing depth

Please refer to wig files at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE110287

EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq

EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R1.fastq EPL1HA6\_rep2\_tp0\_Sonicated\_Input\_R2.fastq

pt15\_rep1\_H3K23ac\_R1.fastq pt15\_rep1\_H3K23ac\_R2.fastq pt15\_rep1\_H4K12ac\_R1.fastq pt15\_rep1\_H4K12ac\_R2.fastq pt15\_rep1\_input\_R1.fastq pt15\_rep1\_input\_R2.fastq pt15\_rep2\_H3K23ac\_R1.fastq pt15 rep2 H3K23ac R2.fastq pt15 rep2 H4K12ac R1.fastg pt15\_rep2\_H4K12ac\_R2.fastq pt15\_rep2\_Input\_R1.fastq pt15\_rep2\_Input\_R2.fastq tpO rep1 H4K12ac R1.fastq tp0\_rep1\_H4K12ac\_R2.fastq tp0\_rep2\_H4K12ac\_R1.fastq tp0\_rep2\_H4K12ac\_R2.fastq tsa15\_rep1\_H4K12ac\_R1.fastq tsa15\_rep1\_H4K12ac\_R2.fastq tsa15\_rep2\_H4K12ac\_R1.fastq tsa15\_rep2\_H4K12ac\_R2.fastq Untagged\_100uMNase\_HA\_IP\_R1.fastq Untagged\_100uMNase\_HA\_IP\_R2.fastq Untagged\_100uMNase\_Input\_R1.fastq Untagged\_100uMNase\_Input\_R2.fastq

Duplicate biological replicates were performed for each condition, except for the MNase Epl1 and Epl1(1-485) ChIP-seq experiments. For these, only one replicate was performed, but good agreement with the sonicated ChIP-seq data was used to validate these data

Sample, Mapped Reads, Uniquely Mapped Reads EPL1-6HA MNase HA IP tp0, 13662338, 12318028 epl1.485-6HA\_MNase\_HA\_IP\_tp0, 18180146, 16784518 EPL1-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_pt15\_rep1, 7766190, 7529484 EPL1-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_pt15\_rep2, 4156226, 4084592 EPL1-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_tp0\_rep1, 4652560, 4567586 EPL1-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_tp0\_rep2, 9314654, 9035532 epl1.485-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_pt15\_rep1, 3710104, 3658112 epl1.485-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_pt15\_rep2, 3249480, 3198912 epl1.485-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_tp0\_rep1, 4777056, 4691198 epl1.485-6HA\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_tp0\_rep2, 3165104, 3126848 Untagged\_Sonic\_HA\_IP\_tp0, 7342588, 6915818 H3K23ac\_pt15\_rep1, 5085478, 4928616 H3K23ac\_pt15\_rep2, 19940100, 19058578 H3K23ac\_tp0\_rep1, 18627464, 17938562 H3K23ac tp0 rep2, 16525690, 15952832 H3K23ac\_tsa15\_rep1, 18677944, 18037672 H3K23ac\_tsa15\_rep2, 15002682, 14578530 H4K12ac\_pt15\_rep1, 15903400, 15436774 H4K12ac\_pt15\_rep2, 18761686, 18219906 H4K12ac\_tp0\_rep1, 16730100, 16256180 H4K12ac\_tp0\_rep2, 14442902, 13939340 H4K12ac\_tsa15\_rep1, 18485180, 17820332 H4K12ac\_tsa15\_rep2, 15710962, 15219514 EPL1-6HA MNase H4K8ac IP pt15 rep1, 17754046, 16922200 EPL1-6HA\_MNase\_H4K8ac\_IP\_pt15\_rep2, 19216428, 18133552 EPL1-6HA\_MNase\_H4K8ac\_IP\_tp0\_rep1, 9262270, 8953380 EPL1-6HA\_MNase\_H4K8ac\_IP\_tp0\_rep2, 19195174, 17846466 EPL1-6HA\_MNase\_Input\_pt15\_rep1, 6508738, 6327076 EPL1-6HA MNase Input pt15 rep2, 10003528, 9647302 EPL1-6HA\_MNase\_Input\_tp0\_rep1, 8025918, 7709658

October 2018

	EPL1-6HA_MNase_Input_tp0_rep2, 11124518, 10679732
	epl1.485-6HA_MNase_Input_tp0_rep1, 14416746, 13611786
	MNase_Input_pt15_rep1, 16712192, 16211722
	MNase_Input_pt15_rep2, 13464310, 13050912
	MNase_Input_tp0_rep1, 15950112, 15478082
	MNase_Input_tp0_rep2, 15947712, 15368312
	MNase_Input_tsa15_rep1, 16864558, 16303470
	MNase_Input_tsa15_rep2, 12664092, 12313610
	epl1.485_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_Input, 16328652, 14180352
	epl1.485_rep2_tp0_100uMNase_Input, 12019562, 10844740
	epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp0_H4K8ac, 17873716, 15970700
	epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp0_H4K8ac, 15830726, 14192766
	epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp_pt15_Input, 9149494, 8612328
	epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp_pt15_Input, 9361728, 8792122
	epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp_pt15_H4K8ac, 11424234, 10737326
	epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp_pt15_H4K8ac, 8608200, 8199060
	101_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_Input, 10774056, 8489350
	101_rep1_tp0_100uMNase_HA_IP, 8962918, 8384804
	EPL1HA6_rep1_tp0_Sonicated_Input, 1051626, 1041370
	EPL1HA6_rep2_tp0_Sonicated_Input, 1456172, 1440200
	EPL1HA6_rep1_tp_pt15_Sonicated_Input, 777036, 769204
	EPL1HA6_rep2_tp_pt15_Sonicated_Input, 753772, 747570
	epl1.485_6HA_rep1_tp0_Sonicated_Input, 851202, 842922
	epl1.485_6HA_rep2_tp0_Sonicated_Input, 910876, 902262
	epl1.485_6HA_rep1_pt15_Sonicated_Input, 937222, 928178
	epl1.485_6HA_rep2_pt15_Sonicated_Input, 1191712, 1180420
Antibodies	Anti-H3K23ac (Active Motif, 39131, lot # 1008001), anti-H4K8ac (Abcam, ab45166, clone # EP1002Y), anti-H4K12ac (Active
	Motif, 39165, lot # 1008001), anti-HA (Roche, 12CA5, lot # 11849700),
Deek calling parameters	No pool colling algorithms word wood
Peak calling parameters	No peak calling algorithms were used.
Data quality	High correspondence between replicates was confirmed by genome-wide correlation analysis.
Software	Data was collected using standard Illumina software for the NextSeq 500 and HiSeq 2500 platforms.

nature research | reporting summary