1

PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* 2015 **4**:1

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line					
Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Yes	No	number(s)					
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION										
Title										
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			1-4					
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such			n.a. (first submission)					
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract			63-64					
Authors										
Contact	За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author			6-34					
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			443-451					
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments			370-372					
Support										
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			467-471					
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			467-471					
Role of sponsor/funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			467-471					
INTRODUCTION										
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			79-124					
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			126-140					
METHODS										



2

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line	
			Yes	No	number(s)	
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			150-190	
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			192-225	
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated			217-218, 224- 225, figure 1	
STUDY RECORDS						
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review			227-262	
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			227-262	
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			227-262, appendix 1	
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			361-363, appendix 1	
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale			131-133, 168- 172, table 2	
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis			264-282, 347- 360	
DATA						
Synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized			290-297	
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., I^2 , Kendall's tau)			297-325, 345- 358	
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)			326-344, 351- 358	
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			n.a. (quantitative	



3

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line
			Yes	No	number(s)
					synthesis will be performed)
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)			359-360
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			364-369

