Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)
Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		n/a

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		n/a
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.		n/a

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		n/a
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		n/a
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		n/a

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). (see Page 13, line9)	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Statement Confirming informed consent obtained from all study. participants.	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Yirou Zang,women,age 29 years;Shiyu Chen,men,age32 years; Guoli Zang, men,age 54 years;Ming Hu,men,age,33years;Qing Xu, men,age43 years ;Zhubing Feng, men,age36 years;Ashan Pan, men,age 46 years .	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		n/a

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.		n/a

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		n/a
Sample size determination	A total of 78 healthy volunteers were enrolled from our center between January 2017 and December 2019. Among them there were 37 men and 41 women, aged 18–56 years (mean: 43.8±13.1 years). (see Page 3, line25)	
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	The eligible subjects met the following criteria: no foreign body sensation in the pharynx; no symptoms such as tinnitus, headache, or neck pain; no palpable cord-like or bony spines in or around the tonsil fossa; and presence of a normal parapharyngeal space and nasopharynx on CT and nasal endoscopy. Exclusion criteria: history of head and neck tumors or surgical history; Mental disorders or cognitive disorders;Those who dropped out during the study.	

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory		n/a
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	data describe technical	

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Yueqing Hospital Affiliated to Wenzhou Medical University (Approval Number: YQYY201600001). (see Page 13, line7)	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		n/a
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		n/a

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval		n/a

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	The statistical analysis was completed in SPSS 22.0 software package (IBM). The measurement data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Patients were divided according to sex and the left/right sides for two independent samples t-test. Pearson's correlation analysis was performed on the potential correlations between SP–tonsil distance and SP length and SP inward deflection. The number of different SP morphologies was analyzed with two-sample test . A P value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	All newly created datasets are available	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		n/a
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		n/a

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		n/a
State whether the code or software is available.		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		n/a

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7781