Cell Reports, Volume 34

Supplemental Information

NAD⁺ boosting reduces age-associated amyloidosis

and restores mitochondrial homeostasis in muscle

Mario Romani, Vincenzo Sorrentino, Chang-Myung Oh, Hao Li, Tanes Imamura de Lima, Hongbo Zhang, Minho Shong, and Johan Auwerx



2 Extended Figure 1. Proteostasis and mitochondrial alterations during aging and proteotoxic 3 stress in muscle cells (related to Figure 2). a-b, Proteostat signal quantification normalized over 4 the number of cells for experiments shown in **Figure 1a-b** (Young *n*=11, aged *n*=7, IBM *n*=11; C2C12 5 n=6). c-d, qRT-PCR RNA analysis (n=5 biological replicates) (c) and APP immunoblotting (n=36 biological replicates) (d) of control and APP_{Swe}-expressing C2C12 myotubes. e, Confocal images of 7 control and APP_{swe}-expressing C2C12 myotubes, stained using a TOMM20 antibody to reveal the 8 mitochondrial network and relative circularity assessment (in which 1 represents a perfect circle and 9 0 a line) (scale bar, 50µm). f, Oxygen consumption rates in C2C12ev or APP_{Swe}-expressing C2C12 myoblasts treated with oligomycin (Oligo), FCCP and rotenone/antimycin A (Rot-AA). Error bars 10 represent the mean ± SEM. e, Representative images of immunostainings of laminin and A11 11

- positive deposits in Tibialis anterior (TA) muscles of young (3 months) or aged (24 months) male C57BL/6J mice. All experiments were performed independently at least twice. See **Methods** for further details. Values in the figure are mean \pm s.e.m. **P*<0.05; *****P*≤0.0001.. For all the individual p values, see the **Excel data source Extended Fig. 1**.
- 16

Extended Figure 2





Extended Figure 2. Dot blotting to detect amyloid-like deposits in mouse muscle and in *C.* elegans (related to Figure 2). a,d,g, Dot blot analyses based on A11 antibody detection of protein lysates from control and APP_{Swe}-expressing C2C12 myotubes (a, *n*=3 biological replicates per group), young (3 months) and old (24 months) male C57BL/6J mice (d, *n*=3 mice per group) and

22 11, 30 or 104 weeks old male C57BL/6J mice (g, n=3 mice per group). Relative quantification of the 23 blot intensities is reported in Extended Data Table 5. b,e,h, Ponceau staining, as a loading control, 24 of the membranes loaded with protein lysates from control and APP_{swe}-expressing C2C12 cells (b, 25 n=3 biological replicates per group), young and old mice (e, n=3 mice per group), and 11, 30 or 104 weeks old mice(h, n=3 mice per group). These blots correspond to a, d and h. c,f, Control 26 27 experiment for the dot blotting analysis of the same samples as in **a** and **d**, using only the secondary 28 antibody. i, Schematic for the C. elegans experimental observations in Figure 2e,g,h,i and 29 Extended Figure 2 j,k,m using young (day 1) and aged (day 11) N2 worms. j, Serial dilutions of 1 30 biological sample from young (day 1) and aged (day 11) N2 worms, showing the specificity of detection of the A11 antibody in worm protein lysates. k, Control experiment for the dot blotting 31 32 analysis of the samples in Figure 2k, using only the secondary antibody. I, Immunoblot of the mitochondrial proteins HSP-6, ATP5 and UCR-1 from young and old N2 worms. m, Ponceau 33 34 staining, as a loading control, of the membrane loaded with protein lysates from Figure 2i. n-o, A11 dot blotting of N2 (day 4) worms treated with paraguat (50uM) (n=4 biological replicates per group) 35 36 and relative ponceau staining. Relative quantification of the blot intensities is reported in Supplementary Table 5. p. Representative confocal images and relative quantification of APP_{swe}-37 38 expressing C2C12 myoblasts (scale bar, 10µm) treated with FK866 (50 or 200nM) and stained with 39 the Proteostat fluorescent dye. All experiments were performed independently twice. Values in the figure are mean ± s.e.m. ****P*≤0.001; *****P*≤0.0001. For all the individual p values, see the **Excel** 40 41 data source Extended Fig. 2.

42



45 Extended Figure 3. AZD treatment improves mitochondrial and fitness parameters in aged C. elegans (related to Figure 3). a, Scheme of the experimental design including compound 46 47 interventions during aging in N2 worms. **b**, A11 dot blotting of young (day 1) and aged (day 11) N2 48 worms treated as indicated in a, with NR (1mM) and a PARP inhibitor (AZD; 300nM) (n=3 biological 49 replicates per group). Day 1 and day 11 samples are the same as Fig 2e. Relative quantification of 50 the blot intensities is reported in **Supplementary Table 5**. c, Ponceau staining, as a loading control, 51 of the membrane loaded with protein lysates from Figure 3b. d, Confocal images of TMRM staining of aged (day 11) N2 worms treated as indicated in Extended Fig 3a, with AZD (300uM) (Vehicle, 52 n=6; AZD, n=13 worms) and relative quantification. Scale bar, 100µm. e, Confocal images of 53 54 mitochondrial networks and corresponding morphology analyses including mitochondria outline and 55 circularity assessment (in which 1 represents a perfect circle and 0 a line) in young (day 1) and aged (day 11) SJ4103 (myo-3p::mt-GFP) worms treated with AZD (300 nM) following the experimental 56 57 pipeline shown in Fig. 3a (D1, n=3; D11, n=4; D11 AZD, n=3). Scale bar, 10µm. f, mtDNA/nDNA 58 ratio in N2 worms treated with NR (1mM) and AZD (300 nM) (n=13 animals per group). g, 59 Spontaneous mobility and average mobility of N2 worms treated with vehicle or AZD (300 nM) as in a (vehicle, n=70; AZD, n=80 worms). Overall differences between conditions were assessed by two-60 way ANOVA (Average mobility); differences between conditions at individual time points were 61 62 assessed using post hoc Sidak's multiple comparison test. h, Confocal images of GFP-labeled 63 muscle fibers in young (day 1) and aged (day 11) RAW1596 (myo-3p::GFP) worms treated with AZD (300 nM) as in **a** (*n*=20 per group). Scale bar, 10µm. **i**, Percentage of paralyzed and dead D18 N2 64 65 worms after vehicle or AZD treatment (*n*=5 biological replicates). See **Methods** for further details. Values in the figure are mean \pm s.e.m. **P*<0.05; ***P*≤0.01; ****P*≤0.001. Differences for two groups 66 67 were assessed using two-tailed t tests (95% confidence interval) in panel a, b, d, and e. All 68 experiments were performed independently at least twice. AU, arbitrary units. For all the individual 69 p values, see the Excel data source Extended Fig. 3.

- 70
- 71
- 72



74 Extended Figure 4. AZD beneficially impacts on A^β -associated mitochondrial dysfunction in 75 C.elegans (related to Figure 5). a, Scheme of the experimental design including activation of Aβ-76 aggregation and compound interventions in GMC101 worms. b, Spontaneous mobility of GMC101 77 worms cultured at 20° or 25°C as in a (20°C, n=46; 25°C, n=43 worms). Overall differences between conditions were assessed by two-way ANOVA; differences between conditions at individual time 78 79 points were assessed using post hoc Sidak's multiple comparison test. c, Confocal images of TMRM 80 staining of day 2 GMC101 worms cultured at 20° or 25°C (20°C, n=8; 25°C, n=6 worms) and relative quantification. Scale bar, 100µm. d, Confocal images of mitochondrial networks and corresponding 81 82 morphology analyses including mitochondria outline and circularity assessment (in which 1 represents a perfect circle and 0 a line) in Day 2 AUW15 (GMC101 + myo-3p::mt-GFP) worms 83 84 cultured at 20° or 25°C (n=3 per group). Scale bar, 10µm. e, Confocal images of TMRM staining of day 2 GMC101 worms cultured at 25°C and treated with AZD (300nM) as in a (Vehicle, n=5; AZD, 85 n=6 worms) and relative quantification. Scale bar, 100µm. f, Confocal images of mitochondrial 86 networks and corresponding morphology analyses including mitochondria outline and circularity 87 88 assessment (in which 1 represents a perfect circle and 0 a line) in Day 2 AUW14 (CL2122 + myo-3p::mt-GFP) and AUW15 (GMC101 + myo-3p::mt-GFP) worms cultured at 25°C and treated with 89 90 AZD (300nM) as in a (AUW14, n=3; AUW15 Vehicle, n=4; AUW15 AZD, n=4 worms). Scale bar, 91 10µm. g-h, Percentage of paralyzed (f) and dead (g) D8 GMC101 worms after compound treatment 92 (n=5 biological replicates). For all the individual p values, see the **Excel data source Extended Fig.** 3. Values in the figure are mean ± s.e.m. **P*<0.05; ***P*≤0.01; ****P*≤0.001; *****P*≤0.0001. 93



96 Extended Figure 5. NAD⁺ boosting interventions and their effects on proteostasis and 97 mitochondria in cells and in vivo (related to Figure 6). a-c, Representative confocal images of 98 primary human muscle cells from an aged and an IBM donor, (scale bar, 50µm) (a,b), or APP_{Swe}-99 expressing C2C12 myotubes (scale bar, 10µm) (c) treated with AZD (1uM) and stained with the 100 Proteostat fluorescent dye and relative Proteostat signal quantification normalized on the number of 101 cells for experiments shown in Figure 5a-c (Aged+vehicle *n*=34, aged+NR *n*=17, aged+AZD *n*=33; 102 IBM+vehicle *n*=25, IBM+NR *n*=25, IBM+AZD *n*=31; C2C12+Vehicle *n*=11, C2C12+NR *n*=11, 103 C2C12+AZD *n*=14). **d**,**e**, A11 dot blot analyses of protein lysates from APP_{Swe}-expressing C2C12 104 myotubes (n=4 biological replicates per group) after compound treatment (NR, 3mM; AZD, 1uM) and 105 relative ponceau control. Relative quantification of the blot intensities is reported in Extended Data 106 **Table 5.** f, Representative confocal images of APP_{Swe}-expressing C2C12 myoblast (scale bar, 107 10µm) treated with NR (1mM), AZD (1uM), and ISRIB (0,5uM) and stained with the Proteostat 108 fluorescent dye and relative Proteostat signal quantification normalized on the number of cells 109 (Vehicle n=13, Vehicle + ISRIB n=11, NR n=12, NR + ISRIB n=12, AZD n=9, AZD + ISRIB n=11). 110 g, Oxygen consumption rates in C2C12ev or APP_{Swe}-expressing C2C12 myoblasts treated with NR 111 (3mM) or AZD (1uM) and oligomycin (Oligo), FCCP and rotenone/antimycin A (Rot-AA). Error bars represent the mean ± SEM. h, Representative confocal images of control and APP_{swe}-expressing 112 113 C2C12 myotubes and corresponding morphology analyses including mitochondria outline and 114 circularity assessment (in which 1 represents a perfect circle and 0 a line) after compound treatment 115 (NR, 3mM; AZD, 1uM), stained using a TOMM20 antibody to reveal the mitochondrial network (scale 116 bar, 50µm). i, A11 dot blot analyses and relative controls of protein lysates from young (3 months) 117 and old (24 months) male C57BL/6J mice (n=3 mice per group) in control conditions and after 118 compound treatment (NR, 3mM). Relative quantification of the blot intensities is reported in 119 Extended Data Table 5. I, MSR transcript analysis of forelimbs muscles of young male mice 120 C57BL/6J following NR treatment (n=4 animals). For all the individual p values, see the Excel data **source Extended Fig. 5.** Values in the figure are mean \pm s.e.m. **P*<0.05; ***P*≤0.01; ****P*≤0.001; 121 122 *****P*≤0.0001.

Extended Figure 6



124

Extended Figure 6. NAD⁺ boosting interventions and their effects on APP and its byproducts 125 126 in vivo (related to Figure 6). a, Representative images and corresponding quantification of 127 immunostainings of 4G8 positive protein deposits in Tibialis anterior (TA) muscles of young or aged male C57BL/6J mice, fed for 8 weeks with chow diet (CD) or chow diet supplemented with NR 128 129 (400mg/kg/day) (n = 5-7 per group). Scale bar, 50µm. **b**, Immunoblot of 4G8 reactive proteins and 130 byproducts from forelimb muscles of the animals in **a** (n=3 animals per group). **c**, Representative 131 images and corresponding quantification of immunostainings of 4G8 positive protein deposits in brain of young or aged male C57BL/6J mice, fed for 8 weeks with chow diet (CD) or chow diet 132 supplemented with NR (400mg/kg/day) (n = 5-7 per group). Scale bar, 50µm. Values in the figure 133 are mean ± s.e.m. *P<0.05; **P≤0.01; ***P≤0.001. Differences for two groups were assessed using 134 135 two-tailed t tests (95% confidence interval). For all the individual p values, see the Excel data 136 source Extended Fig. 6.

Gene Symbol	Gene ID	Forward	Reverse
act-1	179535	CTACGAACTTCCTGACGGACAAG	CCGGCGGACTCCATACC
pmp-3	179968	GTTCCCGTGTTCATCACTCAT	ACACCGTCGAGAAGCTGTAGA
hsp-6	178873	AGAGCCAAGTTCGAGCAGAT	TCTTGAACAGTGGCTTGCAC
hsp-60	175316	GGAAGCCCAAAGATCACAAA	CAGCCTCCTCATTAGCCTTG
ymel-1	176460	CAAAACCTGATCTCGCTGGG	TTCTCAATGTCGGCTCCAGT
clpp-1	174594	TGATAAGTGCACCAGTGTCCA	TGATTCTGGAGTTCGGGAGA
lonp-1	172966	CGATGATGGCCATTGTGCAG	CGCTTTGAAACATCAATTTCATCCA
sqst-1	178139	GATCCTCCGACCACTCCAAA	TGGAAGTGGTGGAACGATCA
dct-1	181053	GCAAAAGCCGTCTCAAACCC	ACCCACGATTCTGACATACCA
pdr-1	176816	AGCCACCGAGCGATTGATTGC	GTGGCATTTTGGGCATCTTCTTG
pink-1	173918	AAGCACCAGAAATTGCGACG	ACGAGATGGGAGTGCTGGTA
polg-1	174860	TGTTACGGCCGACGAGATAC	TTTCCAGGTTTTCGGCGGTA
hmg-5	177543	CGTCCAAGTGTTCCTCCAAGTG	CTTCGCTTCGTCTGTGTACTTCTTT
sdhb-1	174482	CAGATGCACCAAAGTGTGGC	GTTCGGTGGCGTAGTCATCA
cco-1	172832	GCTCGTCTTGCTGGAGATGATCGTT	GGTCGGCGTCGACTCCCTTG
cox-4	173237	GCCCCAATTCGCGCCAAGGA	AGGTTGGCGGCAGTTCTGGG
nduo-1	2565698	AGCGTCATTTATTGGGAAGAAGAC	AAGCTTGTGCTAATCCCATAAATGT
MTCE.26-1	2565700	GGTTGTGGGACTAGGTGAACA	CAGGGTGCCCCATTGTTCTT
Gapdh	14433	TGTGTCCGTCGTGGATCTGA	CCTGCTTCACCACCTTCTTGAT
B2m	12010	ATGGGAAGCCGAACATACTG	CAGTCTCAGTGGGGGTGAAT
Hspa9	15526	AATGAGAGCGCTCCTTGCTG	CTGTTCCCCAGTGCCAGAAC
Hsp60	15510	GCTGTAGCTGTTACAATGGGG	TGACTTTGCAACAGTGACCC
Yme1l1	27377	AGGGACCTTGGATTATCTGAACT	TGGGATGTATGCCAATGGGAA
Clpp	53895	TGTTGCGGGAACGCATCGTGT	TAGATGGCCAGGCCCGCAGTT
Lonp1	74142	ATGACCGTCCCGGATGTGT	CCTCCACGATCTTGATAAAGCG
Bnip3	12176	CCTGTCGCAGTTGGGTTC	GAAGTGCAGTTCTACCCAGGAG
Sqstm1	18412	GCTGAAGGAAGCTGCCCTAT	TTGGTCTGTAGGAGCCTGGT
Park2	50873	CCGAATCACCTGACGGTTCA	TCTGGCTGCTTCTGAATCCC
Cox5a	12858	GAGCCCAAAATCATTGATGC	TGAGGTCCTGCTTTGTCCTT
Cox2	17709	AACCGAGTCGTTCTGCCAAT	CTAGGGAGGGGACTGCTCAT
Nd1	17716	CAAACACTTATTACAACCCAAGAACA	TCATATTATGGCTATGGGTCAGG
Sdhc	66052	GCTGCGTTCTTGCTGAGACA	ATCTCCTCCTTAGCTGTGGTT

Table S5. List of primers used in *C. elegans* and *M. musculus* (Related to STAR methods).