## nature research

Corresponding author(s):

Double-blind peer review submissions: write DBPR and your manuscript number here instead of author names.

Last updated by author(s): YYYY-MM-DD

## **Reporting Summary**

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

_				
C-	ŀ٦	+i	cti	ارد
_	_		$\sim$ 1 $^{\circ}$	

For	all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Confirmed
	$\square$ The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	🔀 A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided  Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	A description of all covariates tested
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i> ) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
$\boxtimes$	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
$\boxtimes$	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's <i>d</i> , Pearson's <i>r</i> ), indicating how they were calculated
	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.
So	ftware and code
Poli	cy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>
Da	ata collection Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to collect the data in this study, specifying the version used OR

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Provide a description of all commercial, open source and custom code used to analyse the data in this study, specifying the version used OR

## Data

Data analysis

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

state that no software was used.

state that no software was used.

- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All variants included in the project and not previously reported have been submitted to the public database ClinVar.

Field-spe	ecific reporting			
Please select the o	one below that is the best fit for you	r research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.		
∑ Life sciences	Behavioural & social	sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences		
For a reference copy of	the document with all sections, see <u>nature.cc</u>	m/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf		
Life scier	nces study desig	n		
All studies must dis	sclose on these points even when the	ne disclosure is negative.		
Sample size	Participants in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study, phases I-II: 1370 women with invasive breast cancer and 1635 matched controls			
Data exclusions	none			
Replication	Duplicate DNA samples were included for some participants, with experimentalists blind to which samples these were. All were correctly identified.			
Randomization	not applicable			
Blinding	Genomic analysis was blind to case-control status			
We require informati	ion from authors about some types of m	eterials, systems and methods naterials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.		
Materials & ex	perimental systems	Methods		
n/a Involved in th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n/a Involved in the study		
Antibodies		ChIP-seq		
Eukaryotic cell lines		Flow cytometry		
Palaeontology and archaeology MRI-based neuroimaging				
Animals and other organisms				
Human res	search participants			
Dual use research of concern				
Luman rosa	arch participants			
	earch participants			
Policy information	about <u>studies involving human rese</u>	earch participants  Cancer Study (CBCS) phases I-II was a case-control study of biological and social risk factors for invasive		

breast cancer that enrolled cases and controls between 1993 and 1999. Case selection was population-based and stratified by ancestry and age at diagnosis. Controls were matched to cases by age, self-identified race, and neighborhood of residence.

Recruitment Participants were recruited for the CBCS in 1993-1999, not specifically for this genomics project.

Ethics oversight Human Subjects Divisions of Lineberger Cancer Center at University of North Carolina, and of the University of Washington approved the study.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.