

Corresponding author(s):	Gregory Gauvain
Last updated by author(s):	23/11/20

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, seeAuthors & Referees and theEditorial Policy Checklist.

<u> </u>				
St	าล	ŤΙ	c†	ics

For	all st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	×	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	×	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	×	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
x		A description of all covariates tested
	×	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	×	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
×		For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
×		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
X		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
×		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	'	Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

All multielectrode array data was recording using MC rack software (Multichannel system), later extracted to matlab using MCS function suite. Confocal images were acquired using Fluoview software (Olympus).

Data analysis

Analysis and statistical testing were done using custom made matlab function or Graphpad Prism (version 7)

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

The data that support the main findings of this study are openly available in figShare (https://figshare.com/projects/AAV2_7m8-ChrimsonRtdTomato_for_vision_restoration/83675) as well as code repository Github (https://github.com/himstien/Optogenetic_Retinal_Data_Analysis).

Field-specific reporting

Life sciences study design

All studies must dis	sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.			
Sample size	We first performed a construct selection assay after 2 months of expression in vivo (8 animals, 4 eyes per construct tested). We then used ou best construct to define optimal virus load in a long-term expression assay (6 months) with physiological and imaging methods (6 animals, 4 eyes per viral dose). We subsequently added 4 animals at high viral dose to extend our dataset. For a total of 18 animals.			
Data exclusions	no data was excluded			
Replication	all our findings were confirmed through replications			
Randomization	Allocation of animals to experimental groups was random, serotype/dose injection in animals eye were not randomized in order to facilitate the injection procedure for the surgeon			
Blinding	Sacrifice were performed in random order, tissues labeled with animal ID for experimenters until post-hoc analysis			

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Ma	terials & experimental systems	Me	thods
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
×	Antibodies	×	ChIP-seq
×	Eukaryotic cell lines	×	Flow cytometry
×	Palaeontology	×	MRI-based neuroimaging
	🗶 Animals and other organisms		
×	Human research participants		
×	Clinical data		

Animals and other organisms

Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research

Laboratory animals	18 macaca fascicularis, both sex, dage range 3 to 13 years (see table S1)	
Wild animals	the study did not involve wild animals	
Field-collected samples	the study did not involve samples collected from the field	
Ethics oversight	All experiments were done in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. The protocol was approved by the Local Animal Ethics Committees and conducted in accordance with Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament.	

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.