

Supplementary Fig. 1. The expression of G3BP1 but not G3BP2 was up-regulated

in gastric cancer

(a-d) The mRNA expression level of G3BP1 in three datasets from GEO database

(GSE13861, GSE27342, GSE13911) and TCGA database. (e) The mRNA expression level of *G3BP2* in GEO database (GSE27342). (f) Representative images of G3BP1 IHC staining in peritumour and tumour tissues and its corresponding regional magnification images. Low and high expression of G3BP1 in tumour sections was determined by ROC analysis. Scale bar: 100 μ m. The box plot showed the full range of variation.



Supplementary Fig. 2. G3BP1 enhanced gastric cancer resistance to chemo-drugs

in vitro and in vivo

(a) Immunoblotting (IB) analysis of whole cell lysates (WCLs) derived from MGC80-

3 and HGC-27 cells transfected with Scramble or siG3BP1. (b) SGC-7901 and BGC-

823 cells were transfected with scramble or siG3BP1 and treated with capecitabine or oxaliplatin at gradient concentrations for 24 hours and the IC₅₀ values were examined by CCK-8 assay. The data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. ***P < 0.001. (c) IB analysis of WCLs derived from MGC80-3 and HGC-27 cells transfected with pcDNA3.1 or pcDNA3-G3BP1. (d) The statistic results of colony formation assay refer to Fig. 2c. The data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. ***P < 0.001. (e-f) Different groups of MGC80-3 cells $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ cells})$ were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of 6-week-old male Balb/c nude mice. When tumour volume reached approximately 100 mm³, each Balb/c nude mouse was randomly allocated into the chemotherapy group (injection with 200 mg/kg capecitabine intraperitoneally, 3 times per week) or control group (injection with normal saline). At 6 weeks post injection, the Balb/c nude mice were respectively sacrificed and tumour tissues were harvested. Images of four groups of mice were shown in (e) and the collected tumors were shown in (f). Scr: scramble; EV: empty vector; NS: normal saline; Cap: capecitabine.



Supplementary Fig. 3. Ectopic G3BP1 could reverse the reduced tumorigenesis of sh*G3BP1* gastric cancer cells

(a-b) Different groups of MGC80-3 cells $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ cells})$ were injected subcutaneously into the flanks of 6-week-old male NOD-SCID mice. At 6 days after the injection, the NOD-SCID mice were injected with capecitabine intraperitoneally (100 mg/kg, 2 times per week). 3weeks later, the mice were sacrificed and tumor tissues were harvested. Images of three groups of NOD-SCID mice were shown in (a) and the tumors were shown in (b). Scr: scramble; Cap: capecitabine.



.

Supplementary Fig. 4. The apoptosis level but not the cell cycle was significantly changed in gastric cancer cells when G3BP1 was knocked down

(**a-b**) SGC-7901 and BGC-823 cells transfected with scramble or si*G3BP1* were treated with capecitabine (64 µg/mL) or oxaliplatin (8 µg/mL) for 12 hours and then stained with annexin V-FITC and propidium iodide (PI). Representative images were shown in (**a**) and quantification charts were shown in (**b**). Data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. ***P < 0.001. (**c**) MGC80-3 and HGC-27 cells transfected with scramble or si*G3BP1* were treated with capecitabine (64 µg/mL) or oxaliplatin (8 µg/mL) for 12 hours and then stained with propidium iodide (PI) for cell cycle analysis. Data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. (**d**) Representative images of TUNEL and Ki-67 IHC staining in subcutaneous tumour sections. Scale bar: 100 µm. (**e-f**) Statistical graphs of TUNEL or Ki-67 IHC staining score. Data were shown as mean \pm SD. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. Scr: scramble; NS: normal saline; Cap: capecitabine.



Supplementary Fig. 5. Pro-apoptotic markers were elevated in gastric cancer cells when *G3BP1* was knocked down

(a) IB analysis of WCLs derived from MGC80-3 and HGC-27 cells transfected with the indicated siRNAs by using anti-Cleaved PARP, anti-Cleaved Caspase-9, anti-Cleaved Caspase-3 or anti-Bax antibody. (b) The quantification results refer to (a). Data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. ***P < 0.001. Scr: scramble.



Online database-Patients received adjuvant chemotherapy



Supplementary Fig. 6. Online database analysis showed that YWHAZ was correlated with poor outcome of gastric cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy

(**a-e**) The association of *YWHAZ* (**a**), *TMX1* (**b**), *HIPK3* (**c**), *MAT2A* (**d**) and *RAB6A* (**e**) mRNA expression with overall survival or progression-free survival of gastric cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy by analyzing the GEO datasets (http://www.kmplot.com/analysis/index.php?p=service&cancer=gastric).





(a) Representative images of YWHAZ IHC staining in peritumour and tumour tissues and its corresponding regional magnification images. Low and high expression of YWHAZ in tumour sections was determined by ROC analysis. Scale bar: 100 μ m. (b) YWHAZ IHC scores in normal and tumour tissues from Zhongshan cohort (n=455). The box plot showed the full range of variation (error bars: min and max) with the line representing median. (c) Kaplan-Meier analysis for overall survival of gastric cancer patients according to YWHAZ expression (n=455).



Supplementary Fig. 8. Bax co-localized with YWHAZ and G3BP1

(a) The ratio quantification of co-localization in Fig. 5a. Both Pearson's correlation and Overlap coefficient were calculated by ImageJ software. (b) Representative images of co-localization of YWHAZ and Bax in MGC80-3 cells (upper panel) and the quantification was shown in lower panel. Scale bar: 25 µm. (c) Representative images of co-localization of G3BP1 and Bax in MGC80-3 cells (upper panel) and the quantification was shown in lower panel. Scale bar: 25 μ m. In a-c, data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. (d) Representative images of immunofluorescence. MGC80-3-GFP-G3BP1 cells were transfected with scramble, si*YWHAZ* or si*Bax*, and then were treated with capecitabine (64 μ g/mL, 24 hours). The cells were fixed and stained by using anti-G3BP1 (1:50) and anti-eIF4D (1:100) antibodies. Scale bar: 25 μ m.



Supplementary Fig. 9. G3BP1 and YWHAZ were upregulated and displayed larger impact on IC₅₀ values in drug-resistant cells

(a) Schematic diagram showed the construction process of drug-resistant MGC80-3 cell lines. MGC80-3 cells were exposed to capecitabine or oxaliplatin with gradient increased concentration for 3 months. (b) Representative gel images of G3BP1 or YWHAZ protein expression in Normal MGC80-3 cells or cells exposed to chemodrugs for 3 days or 3 months. (c) Normal-MGC80-3 or resistant-MGC80-3 cells were treated with capecitabine or oxaliplatin for 48 hours and the IC₅₀ values were examined by CCK-8 assay. Data were shown as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. ***P<0.001. (d) The representative images of colony formation assay in Fig. 5g. Scale Bar: 5 mm. Scr: scramble.

Factor No. (%) Low High P-value All patients 455 (100) 210 245 Age (years) 0.928 ≤ 65 177 (38.9) 89 88 > 65 278 (61.1) 141 137 0.665 Gender 0.665 0.665 0.665 0.665 Female 147 (32.3) 70 77 Male 0.048 Docalization 0.048 0.048 0.048 0.048 Proximal 75 (16.5) 31 44 0.048 Distal 283 (62.2) 143 140 0.684 Well + Moderately 86 (18.9) 38 48 0.684 Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 0.015 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 0.015 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 14 T 39 (8.6) 21 18 <th></th> <th colspan="6">Patients C3RD1 everysion</th>		Patients C3RD1 everysion					
Iso. (76) Low Ingit I-value All patients 455 (100) 210 245 Age (years) 0.928 \$ 0.928 \$ \$65 177 (38.9) 89 88 > 65 278 (61.1) 141 137 0.665 Female 147 (32.3) 70 77 Male 308 (67.7) 140 168 Localization 0.048 97 (21.3) 36 61 0.048 Proximal 75 (16.5) 31 44 0.6684 Well + Moderately 86 (18.9) 38 48 Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 0.001 171 67 (14.7) 54 13 13 T2 39 (8.6) 21 18 13 141 (31.0) 57 84 T3 141 (31.	Factor	$\frac{1 \text{ attents}}{N_0}$		<u>DI I EXPLESS</u> High	<u>IUII</u> P_voluo		
An platents4.5 (100)210240Age (years)0.928 ≤ 65 177 (38.9)8988 ≥ 65 278 (61.1)141137Gender0.665Female147 (32.3)7077Male308 (67.7)140168Localization0.048Proximal75 (16.5)3144Middle97 (21.3)3661Distal283 (62.2)143140Differentiation0.684Well + Moderately86 (18.9)3848Poorly369 (81.1)172197Lauren classification0.015Intestinal type278 (61.1)114164Diffuse type151 (33.2)8467Mixed type26 (5.7)1214T67 (14.7)5413T239 (8.6)2118T3141 (31.0)5784T4208 (45.7)78130N classification<<0.001	All natients	455 (100)	210	245	I -value		
Ingretytalisy0.925 ≤ 65 177 (38.9)8988 ≥ 65 278 (61.1)141137Gender0.665Female147 (32.3)7077Male308 (67.7)140168Localization0.048Proximal75 (16.5)3144Middle97 (21.3)3661Distal283 (62.2)143140Differentiation0.684Well + Moderately86 (18.9)3848Poorly369 (81.1)172197Lauren classification0.015Intestinal type278 (61.1)114164Diffuse type151 (33.2)8467Mixed type26,7)1214T classification $<$ 0.001T167 (14.7)5413T239 (8.6)2118T3141 (31.0)5784T4208 (45.7)78130N classification $<$ 0.001N0123 (27.0)7350N178 (17.1)4434	Age (vears)	455 (100)	210	245	0.928		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	≤65	177 (38 9)	89	88	0.920		
Gender0.665Female147 (32.3)7077Male308 (67.7)140168Localization0.048Proximal75 (16.5)3144Middle97 (21.3)3661Distal283 (62.2)143140DifferentiationWell + Moderately86 (18.9)3848Poorly369 (81.1)172197Lauren classificationIntestinal type278 (61.1)114164Diffuse type151 (33.2)8467Mixed type26 (5.7)1214T classification $<$ 0.001T167 (14.7)5413T239 (8.6)2118T3141 (31.0)5784T4208 (45.7)78130N classification $<$ 0.001N0123 (27.0)7350N178 (17.1)4434	>65	278 (61.1)	141	137			
Female $147 (32.3)$ 7077Male $308 (67.7)$ 140 168 Localization0.048Proximal $75 (16.5)$ 31 44 Middle $97 (21.3)$ 36 61 Distal $283 (62.2)$ 143 140 Differentiation0.684Well + Moderately $86 (18.9)$ 38 48 Poorly $369 (81.1)$ 172 197 Lauren classification0.015Intestinal type $278 (61.1)$ 114 164 Diffuse type $151 (33.2)$ 84 67 Mixed type $26 (5.7)$ 12 14 T classification $<$ 0.001T1 $67 (14.7)$ 54 13 T2 $39 (8.6)$ 21 18 T3 $141 (31.0)$ 57 84 T4 $208 (45.7)$ 78 130 N classification $<$ 0.001N0 $123 (27.0)$ 73 50 N1 $78 (17.1)$ 44 34	Gender	270 (01.1)	111	157	0.665		
Notice $111 (22.5)$ 16 11 Male $308 (67.7)$ 140 168 Localization0.048Proximal $75 (16.5)$ 31 44 Middle $97 (21.3)$ 36 61 Distal $283 (62.2)$ 143 140 Differentiation 0.684 Well + Moderately $86 (18.9)$ 38 48 Poorly $369 (81.1)$ 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type $278 (61.1)$ 114 164 Diffuse type $151 (33.2)$ 84 67 Mixed type $26 (5.7)$ 12 14 T $classification$ $<$ <0.001T1 $67 (14.7)$ 54 13 T2 $39 (8.6)$ 21 18 T3 $141 (31.0)$ 57 84 T4 $208 (45.7)$ 78 130 N classification $<$ <0.001N0 $123 (27.0)$ 73 50 N1 $78 (17.1)$ 44 34	Female	147 (32 3)	70	77	0.002		
Localization 0.048 Proximal 75 (16.5) 31 44 Middle 97 (21.3) 36 61 Distal 283 (62.2) 143 140 Differentiation 0.684 Well + Moderately 86 (18.9) 38 48 Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001	Male	308 (67 7)	140	168			
Proximal75 (16.5) 31 44 Middle97 (21.3) 36 61 Distal283 (62.2) 143 140 Differentiation 0.684 Well + Moderately $86 (18.9)$ 38 48 Poorly $369 (81.1)$ 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type $278 (61.1)$ 114 164 Diffuse type $151 (33.2)$ 84 67 Mixed type $26 (5.7)$ 12 14 T classification $<$ <0.001T1 $67 (14.7)$ 54 13 T2 $39 (8.6)$ 21 18 T3 $141 (31.0)$ 57 84 T4 $208 (45.7)$ 78 130 N classification $<$ <0.001N0 $123 (27.0)$ 73 50 N1 $78 (17.1)$ 44 34	Localization	500 (01.1)	110	100	0.048		
From the formationFrom the formationFrom the formationMiddle97 (21.3)3661Distal283 (62.2)143140Differentiation0.684Well + Moderately86 (18.9)3848Poorly369 (81.1)172197Lauren classification0.015Intestinal type278 (61.1)114164Diffuse type151 (33.2)8467Mixed type26 (5.7)1214T classification<0.001	Proximal	75 (16 5)	31	44	0.040		
Distal 283 (62.2) 143 140 Differentiation 0.684 Well + Moderately 86 (18.9) 38 48 Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001	Middle	97 (21.3)	36	61			
Differentiation 0.684 Well + Moderately 86 (18.9) 38 48 Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification T1 67 (14.7) 54 13 T2 39 (8.6) 21 18 T3 141 (31.0) 57 84 T4 208 (45.7) 78 130 Nclassification <<0.001	Distal	283(62.2)	143	140			
Well + Moderately 86 (18.9) 38 48 Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001	Differentiation	203 (02.2)	145	140	0 684		
Poorly 369 (81.1) 172 197 Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001	Well + Moderately	86 (18 9)	38	48	0.004		
Lauren classification 0.015 Intestinal type 278 (61.1) 114 164 Diffuse type 151 (33.2) 84 67 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001	Poorly	369 (81.1)	172	197			
Laticul classification $278 (61.1)$ 114 164 Diffuse type $151 (33.2)$ 84 67 Mixed type $26 (5.7)$ 12 14 T classification $<$ <0.001T1 $67 (14.7)$ 54 13 T2 $39 (8.6)$ 21 18 T3 $141 (31.0)$ 57 84 T4 $208 (45.7)$ 78 130 N classification $<$ <0.001N0 $123 (27.0)$ 73 50 N1 $78 (17.1)$ 44 34	I ouron classification	507 (01.1)	172	177	0.015		
Intestinal type $276 (01.1)$ 114 104 Diffuse type $151 (33.2)$ 84 67 Mixed type $26 (5.7)$ 12 14 T classification < 0.001 T1 $67 (14.7)$ 54 T2 $39 (8.6)$ 21 T3 $141 (31.0)$ 57 T4 $208 (45.7)$ 78 N classification < 0.001 N0 $123 (27.0)$ 73 S0 $78 (17.1)$ 44	Intestinal type	278 (61.1)	114	164	0.015		
Diffuse type 101 (00.2) 04 07 Mixed type 26 (5.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001 T1 67 (14.7) 54 13 T2 39 (8.6) 21 18 T3 141 (31.0) 57 84 T4 208 (45.7) 78 130 N classification <0.001 N0 123 (27.0) 73 50 N1 78 (17.1) 44 34	Diffuse type	151 (33.2)	84	67			
Match type 20 (3.7) 12 14 T classification <0.001 T1 67 (14.7) 54 13 T2 39 (8.6) 21 18 T3 141 (31.0) 57 84 T4 208 (45.7) 78 130 N classification <0.001 N0 123 (27.0) 73 50 N1 78 (17.1) 44 34	Mixed type	26 (5 7)	12	14			
Ti $67 (14.7)$ 54 13 T2 $39 (8.6)$ 21 18 T3 $141 (31.0)$ 57 84 T4 $208 (45.7)$ 78 130 N classification<0.001N0 $123 (27.0)$ 73 50 N1 $78 (17.1)$ 44 34	T classification	20 (5.7)	12	14	< 0 001		
T1 $07(14.7)$ 54 15 T2 $39(8.6)$ 21 18 T3 $141(31.0)$ 57 84 T4 $208(45.7)$ 78 130 N classification<0.001N0 $123(27.0)$ 73 50 N1 $78(17.1)$ 44 34	T1	67 (147)	54	13	<0.001		
T2 10 T3 141 (31.0) T4 208 (45.7) N classification N0 123 (27.0) 73 50 N1 78 (17.1) 44 34	T2	39 (8 6)	21	13			
T3 T41 (51.0) 57 64 T4 208 (45.7) 78 130 N classification N0 123 (27.0) 73 50 N1 78 (17.1) 44 34	T2 T3	141(310)	57	84			
N classification <th< td=""><td>T4</td><td>208(45.7)</td><td>78</td><td>130</td><td></td></th<>	T4	208(45.7)	78	130			
N0 123 (27.0) 73 50 N1 78 (17.1) 44 34	N classification	200 (45.7)	70	150	< 0 001		
N1 $78(17.1)$ 44 34	NO	123(270)	73	50	<0.001		
	N1	78 (17.1)	44 44	34			
N2 $90(19.8)$ 43 47	N2	90 (19.8)	44	17			
N3 $164(361)$ 50 114	N3	164 (36.1)	4 5 50	+, 11/			
$\begin{array}{cccc} 104 (30.1) & 50 & 114 \\ \hline 0.259 & 0.259 \end{array}$	Distant matastasis	104 (30.1)	50	114	0 259		
No $442(971)$ 206 236	No	442 (97 1)	206	236	0.237		
$\frac{11}{12} \frac{12}{12} 12$	Ves	13(29)	200	9			
TNM stage < 0.001	TNM stage	15 (2.7)	+)	< 0.001		
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{72}{(15.8)} \frac{57}{57} \frac{15}{15}$	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	72 (15.8)	57	15	<0.001		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	П	112(13.6)	53	59			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		112(24.0) 258(567)	96	162			
$\frac{11}{12} = \frac{238}{30.7} = \frac{300}{70} = \frac{12}{70} = $		238(30.7) 13(2.0)	90 4	102			
$\frac{13}{2.7} + 7$ Vescular investion < 0.001	v Vascular invesion	15 (2.7)	4	フ	< 0 001		
No 322 (70.8) 171 151		322 (70.8)	171	151	~0.001		
Yes $133(292)$ 39 94	Yes	133 (29.2)	39	94			

Supplementary Table 1. Relation between intratumoral G3BP1 expression and clinical characteristics of gastric cancer in Zhongshan cohort

Abbreviation: TNM=tumour node metastasis. P-value < 0.05 marked in bold font shows statistical significant.

No.	gene symbol	Correlation	No.	gene symbol	Correlation
1	CAPRIN1	0.779	20	ADAM10	0.649
2	TOMM22	0.764	21	ZFR	0.643
3	SNRNP40	0.764	22	ATP6AP2	0.643
4	SEPT11	0.721	23	HNRNPU	0.623
5	ZNF207	0.691	24	SCARB2	0.598
6	HNRNPH1	0.672	25	GNS	0.598
7	EIF2S3	0.658	26	RAB6A	0.590
8	YWHAZ	0.649	27	G3BP2	0.590
9	TMX1	0.649	28	RNFT1	0.562
10	TMOD3	0.649	29	CDV3	0.509
11	SCAMP1	0.649	30	TBL1XR1	0.486
12	MAT2A	0.649	31	DCUN1D1	0.486
13	LIN7C	0.649	32	TMEM30A	0.465
14	HIPK3	0.649	33	SYPL1	0.465
15	EXOC5	0.649	34	ZMYND11	0.445
16	DDX3X	0.649	35	CUL4B	0.431
17	CD164	0.649	36	C5orf24	0.431
18	ATF2	0.649	37	TMED2	0.422
19	AGPS	0.649			

Supplementary Table 2. G3BP1-coexpressed genes from online database

Term		%	P-	Fold	d FDR
			value		
GO:0016192-vesicle-mediated transport	7	18.9	0.001	5.5	1.615
GO:0006887-exocytosis	4	10.8	0.002	15.7	2.509
GO:0008104-protein localization	8	21.6	0.002	4.1	2.891
GO:0015031-protein transport	7	18.9	0.005	4.1	6.453
GO:0045184-establishment of protein localization	7	18.9	0.005	4.1	6.738
GO:0032940-secretion by cell	4	10.8	0.01	8.7	12.362
GO:0046903-secretion	4	10.8	0.026	6.1	29.971
GO:0006916-anti-apoptosis	3	8.1	0.032	10.3	35.706
GO:0042493-response to drug	3	8.1	0.042	8.8	44.523
GO:0000375-RNA splicing, via transesterification reactions	3	8.1	0.042	8.8	44.523
GO:0000377-RNA splicing, via transesterification reactions with bulged adenosine as nucleophile	3	8.1	0.042	8.8	44.523
GO:0046907-intracellular transport	5	13.5	0.05	3.4	50.295
GO:0006626-protein targeting to mitochondrion	2	5.4	0.07	26.5	63.086
GO:0070585-protein localization in mitochondrion	2	5.4	0.07	26.5	63.086
GO:0048193-Golgi vesicle transport	3	8.1	0.072	6.6	63.723
GO:0000398-nuclear mRNA splicing, via spliceosome	3	8.1	0.078	6.3	66.856
GO:0009057-macromolecule catabolic process	5	13.5	0.083	2.9	69.465
GO:0007162-negative regulation of cell adhesion	2	5.4	0.088	20.9	71.657
GO:0002274-myeloid leukocyte activation	2	5.4	0.094	19.6	74.047
GO:0045321-leukocyte activation	3	8.1	0.094	5.6	74.154

Supplementary Table 3. Functional enrichment of G3BP1-coexpressed genes

Fold, fold enhancement; FDR, false discovery rate.