a Alignment of Acinus and AtACINUS, around SAP domain

Score	Expect	Method	Identities	Positives	Gaps
34.3 bits(77)	3e-05	Compositional matrix adjust.	18/41(44%)	25/41(60%)	0/41(0%)

Acinus: 66 TLDCKPLQALRVTDLKAALEQRCLAKSGOKSALVKRLKGAL 106 LD +P+ +VT+LK L++R L G K LV+RL AL ACINUS:8 VLDNRPIDKWKVTELKEELKRRLTTRGLKEELVRRLDEAL 48

AtACINUS:8

Alignment of Acinus and AtACINUS, around RRM domain

Score	Expect	Method		Identities	Positives	Gaps
90.1 bits(22	22) 2e-22	Compositio	n <mark>al matrix adjust.</mark>	35/82(43%)	58/82(70%)	2/82(2%)
Acinus:1011	SNIVHISNLVI	RPFTLGQLKELLG	RTGTLVEEAFWIDKIKSH	FVTYSTVEEAVATH	TALH 1070	
AtACINUS: 456	TNSLRIDRFL	RPFTLKAVQELLO	KTGNVT-SFWMDHIKTH	CYVSYPSVEEAAATH	EAVY 513	
Acinus: 1071	GVKWPQSNPK	LCADYAEQDEL	1092			
Atacinus:514	NLQWPPNGGRI	L A ++ +L+ LIAEFVRAEEV	535			
Alianmen	t of Acinu	s and AtAC	NUS. around F	RSB domain		

Score	Expect	Method		Identities	Positives	Gaps
44.3 bits(10)3) 3e-08	Compositional matrix adjust.		18/29(62%)	23/29(79%)	0/29(0%)
Acinus: 1211	LDDLFRKTKA	APCI YWLPLTD SQI VQKEA	1239			
AtACINUS:600	LDDLF +KTKA LDDLFKKTKA	PIY+LPL++Q+KA IPRIYYLPLSEEQVAAKLA	628			

b Alignment of Pinin and AtPININ, around RSB domain

Gaps			
10/122(8%)			
Homo_sapiens_PNN			
1			
IN			
I			
Arabidopsis_thaliana_PNN Selaginella_moellendorffii_PNN			
			INUS
JS			
NUS			
US			

Supplementary Fig. 1 | Protein sequence analysis of AtACINUS and AtPININ. (a,b) Pairwise sequence alignment between human Acinus and AtACINUS and between human Pinin and AtPININ using Blastp from NCBI blastp suite. Hits with E value<0.01 are shown. (c) Dendrogram of AtACINUS and AtPININ homologs from various species. PNN=PININ.

Oryza_sativa_ACINUS Arabidopsis_thaliana_ACINUS Glycine_max_ACINUS Caenorhabditis_elegans_ACINUS Drosophila_melanogaster ACINUS Mus_musculus_ACINUS Homo_sapiens_ACINUS



Supplementary Fig. 2 | Pleiotropic developmental defects in the acinus-2 pinin-1 mutant. (a) Germination of acinus-2 pinin-1 seeds was slightly delayed compared to WT. (b) The acinus-2 pinin-1 mutants showed short root and tri-cotyledon phenotypes. (c,d) The acinus-2 pinin-1 double mutant (d) showed increased number of petals compared to WT (c). (e) The acinus-2 pinin-1 double mutant (right) showed phyllotaxis defects compared to WT (left).

a AtACINUS-GFP/acinus-2 pinin





Supplementary Fig. 3 | Confocal image of AtACINUS-GFP localization in the root of *AtACINUS-GFP/acinus-2 pinin-1* seedlings (a) and YFP-PININ localization in the root of *YFP-PININ/acinus-2 pinin-1* seedlings (b).



Supplementary Fig. 4 | Post-germination seedling growth is inhibited by ABA in acinus-2 pinin-1. (a) Seeds of WT, acinus-2, pinin-1 and acinus-2 pinin-1 were germinated on filtered paper, transferred to mock medium or medium containing 1 μ mol/L ABA and grown for 5 days. (b) Germination rate of the indicated genotypes on ½ MS medium containing 0 or 0.5 μ mol/L ABA for six days. Values represent Mean±SD calculated from 3 biological replicates (n=3). Statistically significant differences to acinus-2 pinn-1 were determined by two-tailed *t* test. The *P* values for a, b and c in are 3.55E-4, 1.28E-4 and 2.18E-4.



Supplementary Fig. 5 | Full length AtACINUS and AtPININ were not transcribed in acinus-2 pinin-1. (a) A partial AtACINUS transcript from the 5' transcription start site until T-DNA insertion site was detected in acinus-2 pinin-1. (b) A partial AtPININ transcript from the 5' transcription start site until T-DNA insertion site was detected at a reduced level in acinus-2 pinin-1. Transcription was initiated from the T-DNA insertion to transcribe the 3' end of AtPININ after the T-DNA insertion site at an increased level. However, there was no full length AtPININ produced because transcripts were discontinuous and showed a gap in the 9th exon at the position marked by the red triangle. No reads spanning (gray bar or blue line) this region was detected in acinus-2 pinin-1 while a large number of reads spanning this region were detected in WT.



Supplementary Fig. 6 (a) The percentage of intron reads in WT and the *acinus-2 pinin-1* double mutant. Values represent Mean±SD calculated from 3 biological replicates (n=3). Statistically significant difference to WT was determined by two-tailed *t* test. The *P* values for a is 4.56E-2. (b) A summary of other types of splicing defects in *acinus-2 pinin-1* compared to WT.



Supplementary Fig. 7 | Overlap between differentially expressed genes in *acinus-2 pinin-1* and genes with increased intron retention in *acinus-2 pinin-1*.



Supplementary Fig. 8 | Genes mis-regulated in *abh1* shows a strong correlation to genes mis-regulated in *acinus-2 pinin-1*, with Spearman's correlation=0.74.



Supplementary Fig. 9 | FLC antisense I is increased relative to antisense II in acinus-2 pinin-1 while the splicing efficiency is not significantly changed. (a) Reads coverage of FLC locus in WT and acinus-2 pinin-1. Track height is set to 15 in WT and 200 in acinus-2 pinin-1. (b) Expression levels of FLC spliced class I antisense and spliced class II antisense relative to PP2a in WT and acinus-2 pinin-1. Statistically significant differences to WT were determined by two-tailed *t* test. The *P* values for a and b in are 2.70E-2 and 8.60E-3. (c) Class I antisense splicing efficiency calculated from class I spliced/class I unspliced. WT is set to 1. Statistically significant difference to WT was determined by twotailed t test. The P values for a is 0.28. (d) Class II antisense splicing efficiency calculated from class II spliced/class II unspliced. WT is set to 1. In our experimental conditions, only class II-II is detected and used for calculation for class II antisense. Statistically significant difference to WT was determined by twotailed t test. The P values for a is 0.58. RNA was extracted from 12-day-old seedlings. Values represent Mean±SD calculated from 3 biological replicates (n=3) for (b-d).



Supplementary Fig. 10 | ACINUS and PININ are predicted to be highly disordered proteins with small ordered regions that overlap with functional domains.



Supplementary Fig. 11 | (a) Volcano plot of the IP-MS analysis of the AtACINUS interactome. The logarithmic ratios of protein signal intensities between AtACINUS-GFP and TAP-GFP (negative control) are plotted against negative logarithmic p-values of the *t*-test of triplicate IP-MS. The hyperbolic curves are based on an FDR estimation 0.01 and S0=2. The curves separate bait AtACINUS and its specific interactors (red dots) from background proteins (blue dots) and possible false positive (black dots) that are enriched in the TAP-GFP control. Additional information is in Supplemental Data 1. (b) HCD spectra detected O-GlcNAcylation on a sequence spanning amino acid 407 to 423 of AtACINUS with neutral loss.



Supplementary Fig.12 Targeted quantifications using Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) show AtACINUS N-terminal has reduced expression and C-terminal is undetectable in *acinus-2 pinin-1* mutant. Two gel segments (upper part (U) and lower part(L)) were excised from each mixed samples and subjected to trypsin digestion. Proteins were quantified from both segments of each mixed sample, including F1 (¹⁴N Col/ ¹⁵N *acinus-2 pinin-1*) and R1, R2 samples (¹⁴N *acinus-2 pinin-1*/ ¹⁵N Col). Peak areas of fragments were extracted for the ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N labeled peptides of targeted proteins using 5 ppm mass window and integrated across the elute profile using Skyline platform. The sum of peak areas from two segments were calculated from Col and *acinus-2 pinin-1* peptides and ratios were calculated and normalized to Tubulin.





Control Tubulin 2



Supplementary Fig.13 Targeted quantifications using Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) show AtPININ protein level is non-detectable in *acinus-2 pinin-1* mutant. Two gel segments (upper part (U) and lower part(L)) were excised from each mixed samples and subjected to trypsin digestion. Proteins were quantified from both segments of each mixed sample, including F1 (¹⁴N Col/ ¹⁵N *acinus-2 pinin-1*) and R1, R2 samples (¹⁴N *acinus-2 pinin-1*/ ¹⁵N Col). Peak areas of fragments were extracted for the ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N labeled peptides of targeted proteins using 5 ppm mass window and integrated across the elute profile using Skyline platform. The sum of peak areas from two segments were calculated from Col and *acinus-2 pinin-1* peptides and ratios were calculated and normalized to Tubulin.



Supplementary Fig.14| Targeted quantifications using Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) show much reduced SR45 protein levels in *acinus-2 pinin-1* mutant. Two gel segments (upper part (U) and lower part(L)) were excised from each mixed samples and subjected to trypsin digestion. Proteins were quantified from both segments of each mixed sample, including F1 (¹⁴N Col/ ¹⁵N *acinus-2 pinin-1*) and R1, R2 samples (¹⁴N *acinus-2 pinin-1*/ ¹⁵N Col). Peak areas of fragments were extracted for the ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N labeled peptides of targeted proteins using 5 ppm mass window and integrated across the elute profile using Skyline platform. The sum of peak areas from two segments were calculated from Col and *acinus-2 pinin-1* peptides and ratios were calculated and normalized to Tubulin.



Supplementary Fig.15| Targeted quantifications using Parallel Reaction Monitoring (PRM) show much reduced SAP18 protein levels in *acinus-2 pinin-1* mutant. Two gel segments (upper part (U) and lower part(L)) were excised from each mixed samples and subjected to trypsin digestion. Proteins were quantified from both segments of each mixed sample, including F1 (¹⁴N Col/ ¹⁵N *acinus-2 pinin-1*) and R1, R2 samples (¹⁴N *acinus-2 pinin-1*/ ¹⁵N Col). Peak areas of fragments were extracted for the ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N labeled peptides of targeted proteins using 5 ppm mass window and integrated across the elute profile using Skyline platform. The sum of peak areas from two segments were calculated from Col and *acinus-2 pinin-1* peptides and ratios were calculated and normalized to Tubulin.



