

S1 Appendix. Bayesian learner models. In this supplementary text we provide the derivations for the presented equations of the compared Bayesian learner models.

Dirichlet-Categorical model

Given a sequence of observations y_1, \dots, y_t the Dirichlet-Categorical model combines the likelihood with the prior to refine the posterior estimates over the latent variable space (equation 4):

$$\begin{aligned} p(s_1, \dots, s_M | y_1, \dots, y_t) &\propto p(s_1, \dots, s_M | \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_M) \prod_{i=1}^t p(y_i | s_1, \dots, s_M) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^M s_j^{\alpha_j - 1} \prod_{i=1}^t \prod_{j=1}^M s_j^{\mathbf{1}\{y_i=j\}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^M s_j^{\alpha_j - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{1}\{y_i=j\}} \end{aligned}$$

The posterior predictive distribution in equation 6 can be obtained by integrating over the space of latent states:

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_t = x | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) &= \int p(y_t = x | s_1, \dots, s_M) p(s_1, \dots, s_M | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) d\mathcal{S}_M \\ &= \int s_x \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^t)}{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(\alpha_j^t)} \prod_{j=1}^M s_j^{\alpha_j^t - 1} d\mathcal{S}_M \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^t)}{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(\alpha_j^t)} \int \prod_{j=1}^M s_j^{\mathbf{1}\{x=j\} + \alpha_j^t - 1} d\mathcal{S}_M \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^t)}{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(\alpha_j^t)} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^M \Gamma(\mathbf{1}\{x=j\} + \alpha_j^t)}{\Gamma(1 + \sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^t)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_x^t}{\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^t} \end{aligned}$$

The surprise readout functions for the Categorical-Dirichlet model introduced in equations 9 to 11 are:

Predictive Surprise

$$\begin{aligned} PS(y_t) &= -\ln p(y_t | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) \\ &= -\ln \left(\prod_{j=1}^M \left(\frac{\alpha_x^t}{\sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_j^t} \right)^{\mathbf{1}\{y_t=j\}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Bayesian Surprise

$$BS(o_t) := KL(p(s_{t-1} | y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) || p(s_t | y_1, \dots, y_t))$$

The general KL divergence for two Dirichlet distributions P and Q parametrized by $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^M$ and $\{\alpha'_m\}_{m=1}^M$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
KL(P||Q) &= \mathbb{E}_{p(x)}[\log P(x) - \log Q(x)] \\
&= \mathbb{E}_{p(x)}[\log \Gamma(\sum_m \alpha_m) - \sum_m \log \Gamma(\alpha_m) + \sum_m (\alpha_m - 1) \log x_m \\
&\quad - \log \Gamma(\sum_m \alpha'_m) + \sum_m \log \Gamma(\alpha'_m) - \sum_m (\alpha'_m - 1) \log x_m] \\
&= \log \Gamma(\sum_m \alpha_m) - \sum_m \log \Gamma(\alpha_m) - \log \Gamma(\sum_m \alpha'_m) + \sum_m \log \Gamma(\alpha'_m) \\
&\quad - \sum_m (\alpha_m - \alpha'_m) \left(\psi(\alpha_m) - \psi(\sum_m \alpha_m) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(\cdot)$ denotes the digamma function.

Confidence-Corrected Surprise

$$CS(o_t) := KL(p(s_{t-1}|y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) || \hat{p}(s_t|y_t))$$

The flat prior can be written as $Dir(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ where $\alpha_m = 1$ for all $m = 1, \dots, M$. The naive observer posterior simply updates the flat prior based on only the most recent observation y_t . Hence, we have that $\hat{p}(s_t|y_t) = Dir(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_m)$ with $\hat{\alpha}_m = 1 + \mathbf{1}_{y_t=m}$. Hence at a given point in time t , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
KL(p(s_{t-1}|y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}) || \hat{p}(s_t|y_t)) &= \log \Gamma(\sum_m \alpha_m^{t-1}) - \sum_m \log \Gamma(\alpha_m^{t-1}) - \log \Gamma(\sum_m \alpha_m^t) \\
&\quad + \sum_m \log \Gamma(\alpha_m^t) \\
&\quad - \sum_m (\alpha_m^{t-1} - \alpha_m^t) \left(\psi(\alpha_m^{t-1}) - \psi(\sum_m \alpha_m^{t-1}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Hidden Markov Model

For the use of parameter inference via the expectation-maximisation algorithm and in order to derive the factorisation of the joint likelihood $p(o_{1:t}, s_{1:t})$, we will make sure of the following derivations:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(s_t|o_1, \dots, o_T) &= \frac{p(o_1, \dots, o_t|s_t)p(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T|s_t)p(s_t)}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)} \\
&= \frac{p(o_1, \dots, o_t, s_t)p(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T|s_t)}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)} \\
&= \frac{\alpha(s_t)\beta(s_t)}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)}
\end{aligned}$$

where for the final line we have redefined the backward and forward probabilities as

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha(s_t) &:= p(o_1, \dots, o_t, s_t) \\
\beta(s_t) &:= p(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T|s_t) .
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we derive the forward and backward equations which may be used in conjunction with a Dynamic Programming paradigm such as the Baum-Welch algorithm in order to perform the Expectation-Maximisation inference procedure.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(s_t) &= p(o_1, \dots, o_t, s_t) = p(o_t | s_t) p(o_1, \dots, o_{t-1}, s_t) \\ &= p(o_t | s_t) \sum_{s_{t-1}} \alpha(s_{t-1}) p(s_t | s_{t-1}) \\ \alpha(s_1) &= p(s_1) p(o_1 | s_1) = \prod_{k=1}^K \{\pi_k p(o_1 | s_{1k})\}^{s_{1k}}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(s_t) &= p(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T | s_t) = \sum_{s_{t+1}} p(o_{t+1}, \dots, o_T, s_{t+1} | s_t) \\ &= \sum_{s_{t+1}} p(o_{t+2}, \dots, o_T | s_{t+1}) p(o_{t+1} | s_{t+1}) p(s_{t+1} | s_t) \\ &= \sum_{s_{t+1}} \beta(s_{t+1}) p(o_{t+1} | s_{t+1}) p(s_{t+1} | s_t) \\ \beta(s_T) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Both expressions for α and β involve a backward and forward recursion. Given a sequence of observations, these can easily be computed in a sequential fashion. The final quantity of interest for the EM algorithm are the smoothed transition probabilities:

$$p(s_{t-1}, s_t | o_1, \dots, o_T) = \frac{\alpha(s_{t-1}) p(o_t | s_t) p(s_t | s_{t-1}) \beta(s_t)}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)}$$

In order to infer the parameters we now alternate between an expectation and a maximisation step:

$$p(o_{1:t}, z_{1:t}) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(o_t | s_t) p(s_1) \prod_{t=2}^T p(s_t | s_{t-1})$$

1. Expectation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\ln p(o_{1:t}, s_{1:t})] &= \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[s_{tk}] \ln B_{jk} + \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[s_{1k}] \ln \pi_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=2}^T \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E}[s_{t-1j} s_{tk}] \ln A_{jk} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\alpha(s_{tk}) \beta(s_{tk})}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)} \ln B_{jk} + \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\alpha(s_{1k}) \beta(s_{1k})}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)} \ln \pi_k \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=2}^T \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{\alpha(s_{t-1j}) \prod_{m=1}^M B_{mk}^{1_{o_t=m}} A_{jk} \beta(s_{tk})}{p(o_1, \dots, o_T)} \ln A_{jk}\end{aligned}$$

2. **Maximization:** The Lagrangian with the necessary constraints is determined (i.e. row stochasticity and proper distributions) and the derivatives with respect

to the set of parameters ($\theta = \{A_{jk}, B_{mk}, \pi_k\}$) is computed.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\theta) := & \mathbb{E}[\ln p(o_{1:t}, z_{1:t})] + \lambda \left(\sum_k \pi_k - 1 \right) + \sum_{m=1}^M \lambda_m^B \left(\sum_k B_{mk} - 1 \right) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^A \left(\sum_j A_{kj} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

The filtering equation can then be written as

$$p(s_t | o_1, \dots, o_t) = \frac{p(o_1, \dots, o_t, s_t)}{p(o_1, \dots, o_t)} = \frac{\alpha(s_t)}{\sum_{s_t} \alpha(s_t)}$$

Finally, the evaluation is then easily obtained by marginalising over the hidden state:

$$p(o_1, \dots, o_t) = \sum_{s_t} \alpha(s_t)$$

For timestep t the HMM was fit for a stimulus sequence o_1, \dots, o_t which gives a set of parameter estimates, $\hat{\pi}_t, \hat{A}_t, \hat{B}_t$ and the filtering posterior $\hat{\gamma}_t(s_t) = p(s_t | o_1, \dots, o_t)$. The predictive surprise as formulated in equation 12 is derived in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} PS(o_{t+1}) &:= -\ln p(o_{t+1} | s_{t+1}) = -\ln p(o_{t+1} | o_1, \dots, o_t) \\ &= -\ln(p(o_{t+1} | s_{t+1}) p(s_{t+1} | s_t) p(s_t | o_1, \dots, o_t)) \\ &\approx -\ln(\hat{B}_t^T \hat{A}_t^T \hat{\gamma}_t(s_t)) \end{aligned}$$

The Bayesian surprise from equation 13, on the other hand, derives for the HMM as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} BS(o_{t+1}) &:= KL(p(s_t | o_t, \dots, o_1) || p(s_{t+1} | o_{t+1}, \dots, o_1)) \\ &= \sum_k^K p(s_t | o_t, \dots, o_1) \ln \frac{p(s_t | o_t, \dots, o_1)}{p(s_{t+1} | o_{t+1}, \dots, o_1)} \\ &\approx \sum_k^K \hat{\gamma}_t(s_t = k) \ln \frac{\hat{\gamma}_t(s_t = k)}{\hat{\gamma}_{t+1}(s_{t+1} = k)} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, confidence corrected surprise from equation 14 may be expressed as a linear combination of predictive surprise, Bayesian surprise, a model commitment term (negative entropy) $C(p(s_t))$, and a data-dependent constant scaling the state space $O(t)$.

$$\begin{aligned} C(p(s_{t+1} | o_1, \dots, o_{t+1})) &= -H(p(s_{t+1} | o_1, \dots, o_{t+1})) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K p(s_{t+1} = k | o_1, \dots, o_{t+1}) \ln(p(s_{t+1} = k | o_1, \dots, o_{t+1})) \\ &\approx \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\gamma}_{t+1}(s_{t+1} = k) \ln \hat{\gamma}_{t+1}(s_{t+1} = k) \\ O(t+1) &= \sum_{k=1}^K p(o_{t+1} | s_{t+1}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \hat{B}_t^{o_{t+1}, k} \end{aligned}$$

$$CS(o_t) = BS(o_t) + PS(o_t) + C(p(s_t)) + \ln O(t)$$

All inference types shared the same state space $s \in \mathcal{S} = \{0, 1\}$. Due to the transformation of the observation sequence the observation space differed between models:

Stimulus probability model: $y_t = o_t$ for $t = 1, \dots, T$ with $\mathcal{O}_{SP} = \{0, 1\}$

Alternation probability model: $y_t = d_t$ for $t = 2, \dots, T$ with $\mathcal{O}_{AP} = \{0, 1\}$ and $d_t = 1_{o_t \neq o_{t-1}}$

Transition probability model 1st Order: $y_t = e_t^i$ for $t = 2, \dots, T$ with $\mathcal{O}_{TP1} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ as e_t^i belongs to the set containing each possible transition from $o_{t-1} = i$.

Transition probability model 2nd Order: $y_t = e_t^j$ for $t = 3, \dots, T$ with $\mathcal{O}_{TP2} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ as e_t^j belongs to the set containing each possible transition from $o_{t-2} = j$.