# 1 Supplementary Information

2	
3	Additional gene mutations may refine the 2017 European LeukemiaNet
4	classification in adult patients with de novo acute myeloid leukemia
5	aged <60 years
6	
7	Ann-Kathrin Eisfeld, <sup>1</sup> Jessica Kohlschmidt, <sup>2,3</sup> Alice Mims, <sup>1</sup> Deedra Nicolet, <sup>2.3</sup>
8	Christopher J. Walker, <sup>2</sup> James S. Blachly, <sup>1,2</sup> Andrew J. Carroll, <sup>4</sup>
9	Dimitrios Papaioannou, <sup>1</sup> Jonathan E. Kolitz <sup>5</sup> , Bayard E. Powell <sup>6</sup> , Richard M. Stone, <sup>7</sup>
10	Albert de la Chapelle, <sup>2</sup> John C. Byrd, <sup>1,2</sup> Krzysztof Mrózek, <sup>2</sup> and Clara D. Bloomfield <sup>2</sup>
11	
12	1. Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, The Ohio State University
13	Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH, USA
14	2. The Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center, Columbus, OH, USA
15	3. Alliance Statistics and Data Center, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA
16	4. University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA
17	5. Monter Cancer Center, Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell, Lake Success
18	NY, USA
19	6. Wake Forest Baptist Comprehensive Cancer Center, Winston-Salem, NC, USA
20	7. Dana-Farber/Partners CancerCare, Boston, MA, USA
21	
22	Running title: Mutations refining the 2017 ELN classification of AML
23	
24	

Page 2 of 17

**PARTICIPATING INSTITUTIONS** 

## 25

26

The following Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB)/Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology (Alliance) institutions participated in this study and contributed at least five patients. For each of these institutions, the current or last principal investigator and the cytogeneticists who analyzed the cases are listed as follows:

31

The Ohio State University Medical Center, Columbus, OH: Claire F. Verschraegen, Karl S. Theil, 32 Diane Minka and Nyla A. Heerema; North Shore University Hospital, Manhasset, NY: Jonathan 33 E. Kolitz, Prasad R. K. Koduru, Ayala Aviram-Goldring and Chandrika Sreekantaiah; Wake Forest 34 University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC: Heidi D. Klepin, P. Nagesh Rao, Wendy L. 35 Flejter and Mark Pettenati; Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA: Harold J. Burstein, Ramana 36 V. Tantravahi, Cynthia C. Morton and Paola Dal Cin; Washington University School of Medicine, 37 St. Louis, MO: Nancy L. Bartlett, Michael S. Watson, Eric C. Crawford, Jaime Garcia-Heras, 38 Peining Li and Shashikant Kulkarni; University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC: Matthew I. 39 Milowsky and Kathleen W. Rao; University of Maryland Greenebaum Cancer Center, Baltimore, 40 MD: Heather D. Mannuel, Joseph R. Testa, Maimon M. Cohen, Judith Stamberg and Yi Ning; 41 University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL: Hedy L. Kindler, Diane Roulston, Katrin M. 42 Carlson, Yanming Zhang and Michelle M. LeBeau; Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY: 43 Ellis G. Levine and AnneMarie W. Block; University of Iowa Hospitals, Iowa City, IA: Umar 44 Farooq and Shivanand R. Patil; Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, NH: Konstantin H. Dragnev, 45 Doris H. Wurster-Hill and Thuluvancheri K. Mohandas; Duke University Medical Center, 46 47 Durham, NC: Jeffrey Crawford, Sandra H. Bigner, Mazin B. Qumsiyeh and Barbara K. Goodman;

Page 3 of 17

Ft. Wayne Medical Oncology/Hematology, Ft. Wayne, IN: Sreenivasa Nattam and Patricia I. 48 Bader; SUNY Upstate Medical University, Syracuse, NY: Stephen L. Graziano, Larry Gordon and 49 Constance K. Stein; Weill Medical College of Cornell University, New York, NY: Scott T. 50 Tagawa, Ram S. Verma, Prasad R.K. Koduru, Andrew J. Carroll and Susan Mathew; Christiana 51 Care Health Services, Inc., Newark, DE: Gregory A. Masters, Digamber S. Borgaonkar, Jeanne 52 M. Meck, and Kathleen Richkind; Western Pennsylvania Hospital, Pittsburgh, PA: Gene G. Finley 53 and Gerard R. Diggans; University of Vermont Cancer Center, Burlington, VT: Peter A. Kaufman, 54 Elizabeth F. Allen and Mary Tang; Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI: Howard P. Safran, 55 Teresita Padre-Mendoza, Hon Fong L. Mark, Shelly L. Kerman and Aurelia Meloni-Ehrig; 56 University of Massachusetts Medical Center, Worcester, MA: William V. Walsh, Philip L. 57 Townes, Vikram Jaswaney, Kathleen Richkind, Patricia Miron and Michael J. Mitchell; Mount 58 Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY: Michael A. Schwartz and Vesna Najfeld; Eastern Maine 59 Medical Center, Bangor, ME: Sarah J. Sinclair and Laurent J. Beauregard; University of California 60 San Diego Moores Cancer Center, San Diego, CA: Lyudmila A. Bazhenova, Renée Bernstein and 61 Marie L. Dell'Aquila; University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL: Robert Diasio and 62 Andrew J. Carroll; Long Island Jewish Medical Center, Lake Success, NY: Jonathan E. Kolitz 63 dman, Prasad R. K. Koduru, Ayala Aviram-Goldring and Chandrika Sreekantaiah; Walter Reed 64 National Military Medical Center, Bethesda, MD: Karen G. Zeman, Rawatmal B. Surana, 65 Digamber S. Borgaonkar, Karl S. Theil and Kathleen E. Richkind; Massachusetts General 66 Hospital, Boston, MA: David Ryan, Justin Gainor, Cynthia C. Morton and Paola Dal Cin; 67 University of Puerto Rico, San Juan, Puerto Rico: Eileen I. Pacheco, Leonard L. Atkins, Cynthia 68 C. Morton and Paola Dal Cin; University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA: 69 Charalambos Andreadis and Kathleen E. Richkind; Virginia Commonwealth University, 70

Richmond, VA: Steven R. Grossman, Mary H. Hackney and Colleen Jackson-Cook; University of
Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN: Anne H. Blaes, Diane C. Arthur and Betsy A. Hirsch; University
of Illinois, Chicago, IL: John G. Quigley, Maureen M. McCorquodale, Kathleen E. Richkind and
Valerie Lindgren; University of Missouri/Ellis Fischel Cancer Center, Columbia, MO: Puja Nistala
and Tim Hui-Ming Huang; University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE: Apar K. Ganti
and Warren G. Sanger.

77

#### 78 TREATMENT PROTOCOLS

79

Patients in this study received intensive cytarabine/daunorubicin-based therapy on one of the following Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) frontline treatment protocols:  $19808^1$ (n=295),  $10503^2$  (n=257),  $9621^3$  (n=137),  $8525^4$  (n=35),  $9222^5$  (n=72),  $10603^6$  (n=55),  $9022^7$ (n=5),  $8821^8$  (n=6).

84

Patients enrolled on CALGB 19808 were randomly assigned to receive induction chemotherapy 85 with cytarabine, daunorubicin, and etoposide with or without PSC-833 (valspodar), a multidrug 86 resistance protein inhibitor.<sup>1</sup> On achievement of complete remission (CR), patients were assigned 87 88 to intensification with high-dose cytarabine and etoposide for stem-cell mobilization followed by myeloablative treatment with busulfan and etoposide supported by autologous peripheral blood 89 stem-cell transplantation (HSCT). Patients enrolled on CALGB 10503 were assigned to receive 90 91 induction chemotherapy consisting of cytarabine, daunorubicin, and etoposide. Upon achievement of CR, patients received high-dose cytarabine and etoposide for stem-cell 92 93 mobilization followed by myeloablative treatment with busulfan and etoposide supported by 94 autologous peripheral HSCT. Patients not eligible for HSCT received high-dose cytarabine

Page 5 of 17

95 (HiDAC). After intensification, patients received the DNA methyltransferase inhibitor decitabine for maintenance.<sup>2</sup> Patients enrolled on CALGB 9621 were treated similarly to those on CALGB 96 19808, as previously reported.<sup>3</sup> Patients on CALGB 8525 were treated with induction 97 98 chemotherapy consisting of cytarabine in combination with daunorubicin and were randomly assigned to consolidation with different doses of cytarabine followed by maintenance treatment.<sup>4</sup> 99 Patients on protocol CALGB 9222 received induction chemotherapy consisting of cytarabine in 100 combination with daunorubicin followed by consolidation with one cycle of HiDAC. Different 101 doses of mitoxantrone were explored, and the consolidation treatment was randomized to three 102 cycles of monotherapy with HiDAC or consolidation with one cycle of HiDAC, a cycle of 103 cyclophosphamide and etoposide, and one cycle of mitoxantrone and diaziguone.<sup>5</sup> In CALGB 104 10603, cytarabine and daunorubicin followed by consolidation with high-dose cytarabine was 105 applied with or without PKC-412.<sup>6</sup> Patients enrolled onto CALGB 9022 received induction 106 chemotherapy consisting of cytarabine in combination with daunorubicin followed by 107 consolidation with one cycle of HiDAC, a cycle of cyclophosphamide and etoposide, and one cycle 108 of mitoxantrone and diaziquone.<sup>7</sup> After induction consisting of cytarabine in combination with 109 daunorubicin, the patients enrolled on CALGB 8821 received intensive post remission therapy 110 with cytoxan/etoposide and diazaguone/mitoxantrone.<sup>8</sup> 111

112

#### **113 DEFINITION OF CLINICAL ENDPOINTS**

114

115 CR required an absolute neutrophil count  $\geq 1.5 \ge 10^{9}$ /l with the exception for protocols CALGB 116 10503 and 10603, which required an absolute neutrophil count of  $\geq 1.0 \ge 10^{9}$ /l, platelet count  $\geq 100$ 117  $\ge 10^{9}$ /l, no leukemic blasts in the blood, bone marrow (BM) cellularity  $\geq 20\%$  with maturation of all cell lines, no Auer rods, <5% BM blast cells, and no evidence of extramedullary leukemia, all of which had persisted for at least one month. Relapse was defined by  $\ge 5\%$  BM blasts, circulating leukemic blasts, or the development of extramedullary leukemia. Disease-free survival (DFS) was measured from the date of CR until the date of relapse or death; patients alive and relapse-free at last follow-up were censored. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date on study until the date of death, and patients alive at last follow-up were censored.<sup>9</sup>

124

#### 125 MUTATIONAL PROFILING

126

Cytogenetic analyses of pretreatment BM and/or blood samples subjected to short-term (24- or 48-127 h) unstimulated cultures were performed by CALGB/Alliance-approved institutional laboratories, 128 and the results were confirmed by central karyotype review. Mononuclear cells were enriched 129 through Ficoll-Hypaque gradient centrifugation and cryopreserved until use. Genomic DNA was 130 extracted using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). The mutational 131 status of 80 protein coding genes (AKT1, ARAF, ASXL1, ATM, AXL, BCL2, BCOR, BCORL1, 132 BRAF, BRD4, BRINP3, BTK, CBL, CCND1, CCND2, CSNK1A1, CTNNB1, DNMT3A, ETV6, 133 134 EZH2, FBXW7, FLT3 [for FLT3 tyrosine kinase domain mutations (FLT3-TKD)], GATA1, GATA2, GSK3B, HIST1H1E, HNRNPK, IDH1, IDH2, IKZF1, IKZF3, ILR7, JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, 135 KIT, KLHL6, KMT2A, KRAS, MAPK1, MAPK3, MED12, MYD88, NF1, NOTCH1, NPM1, NRAS, 136 PHF6, PIK3CD, PIK3CG, PLCG2, PLEKHG5, PRKCB, PRKD3, PTEN, PTPN11, RAD21, RAF1, 137 RUNXI, SAMHD1, SETBP1, SF1, SF3A1, SF3B1, SMARCA2, SMC1A, SMC3, SRSF2, STAG2, 138 SYK, TET2, TGM7, TP53, TYK2, U2AF1, U2AF2, WT1, XPO1, ZMYM3, ZRSR2) was determined 139 by targeted amplicon sequencing using the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA). DNA 140 library preparations were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, samples 141

Page 7 of 17

were pooled and run on the MiSeg machine using the Illumina MiSeg Reagent Kit v3. Sequenced 142 reads were aligned to the hg19 genome build using the Illumina Isis Banded Smith-Waterman 143 aligner. Single nucleotide variant and indel calling were performed using MuTect and VarScan, 144 respectively.<sup>10,11</sup> The MuCor algorithm was used as the baseline for integrative mutation 145 assessment.<sup>12</sup> We only considered non-synonymous variants not listed in either the 1000 Genome 146 database or dbSNP142-common variants as mutations. All called variants underwent visual 147 inspection of the aligned reads using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (Broad Institute).<sup>14</sup> All 148 variants that occurred with variant allele fractions of <0.10 were considered wild-type; all variants 149 that were sequenced to a depth of <15 reads were excluded from the analysis. In addition, variants 150 were excluded when they occurred only in 1 read direction if sequenced in both directions, if the 151 region contained many variants with low quality scores, or if they occurred in all analyzed samples 152 including run controls. In addition, samples with high background noise were entirely excluded 153 from analysis. Samples were considered non-evaluable for a specific gene if 285% of the 154 amplicons covering the target regions within the coding sequence of the gene were sequenced to a 155 depth of <15 reads. 156

Gene mutations were assigned to previously described functional groups<sup>8</sup> as follows: chromatin remodeling (*ASXL1, BCOR, BCORL1, EZH2* and *SMARCA2*), cohesin complex (*RAD21, SMC1A*, *SMC3* and *STAG2*), kinases [*AXL, FLT3*-ITD, *FLT3* tyrosine kinase domain mutations (*FLT3*-TKD), *KIT* and *TYK2*], methylation-related (*DNMT3A, IDH1/2* and *TET2*), NPM1 (*NPM1*), RAS pathway (*CBL, KRAS, NRAS* and *PTPN11*), spliceosome (*SF3B1, SRSF2, U2AF1* and *ZRSR2*), transcription factors (*CEBPA, ETV6, GATA2, IKZF1, NOTCH1* and *RUNX1*) and tumor suppressors (*PHF6, TP53* and *WT1*).

Page 8 of 17

### 165 STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Patients enrolled into the various study protocols listed above were combined for analyses. To 166 verify that we did not have a time-dependent bias, we compared outcomes of patients enrolled in 167 the six protocols that comprised at least eight patients included in our study (CALGB 8525, 9222, 168 9621, 10503, 10603 and 19808). We show that there were no statistically significant differences 169 in CR rates (P=0.71), DFS (P=0.31) and OS (P=0.08) among patients enrolled in these protocols. 170 Patients who died within 30 days after starting therapy were excluded from the study as treatment 171 response could not be evaluated. We used a limited backwards selection technique for 172 multivariable modeling for achievement of CR and Cox proportional hazard stepwise regression 173 for modeling for DFS and OS. In our outcome analyses, we used P-values adjusted to control for 174 per family error rate (probability of a Type I error) for all variables considered in univariable 175 analyses. The families were all variables considered in each outcome analysis and only variables 176 whose likelihood ratio test adjusted P-value was <0.20 from the univariable models were 177 178 considered in the multivariable analyses. To identify variables associated with achievement of CR, 179 DFS and OS, the following parameters were included in the modeling of the outcome analyses 180 (univariable and multivariable) for ELN 2017 Favorable-risk non-CBF-AML patients: 181 hemoglobin, platelet counts, white blood cell (WBC) counts, % blood blasts, % BM blasts, age, race, sex, extramedullary involvement, FLT3-TKD and mutations in the BCOR, DNMT3A, EZH2, 182 183 GATA2, IDH1, IDH2, KIT, KRAS, NRAS, PLCG2, PTPN11, RAD21, SETBP1, SMARCA2, 184 SMC1A, SMC3, TET2, WT1 and ZRSR2 genes. To identify variables associated with achievement of CR, DFS and OS, the following parameters were included in the modeling of outcome analyses 185 (univariable and multivariable) for ELN 2017 Favorable-risk CBF-AML patients: hemoglobin, 186 platelet counts, WBC counts, % blood blasts, % BM blasts, age, race, sex, extramedullary 187

188	involv	vement, FLT3-TKD, and mutations in KRAS, NRAS and WT1. To identify variables
189	associ	ated with achievement of CR, DFS and OS, the following parameters were included in the
190	model	ling for outcome analyses (univariable and multivariable) for ELN 2017 Intermediate-risk
191	patien	ts: hemoglobin, platelet counts, WBC counts, % blood blasts, % BM blasts, age, race, sex,
192	extran	nedullary involvement, FLT3-TKD, and mutations in DNMT3A, IDH1, IDH2, JAK1, KRAS,
193	NRAS	, PTPN11, SMC3, TET2, WT1 and ZRSR2. To identify variables associated with achievement
194	ofCR	, DFS and OS, the following parameters were included in the modeling for outcome analyses
195	(univa	ariable and multivariable) for ELN 2017 Adverse-risk patients: hemoglobin, platelet counts,
196	WBC	counts, % blood blasts, % BM blasts, age, race, sex, extramedullary involvement, FLT3-
197	TKD,	and mutations in BCOR, DNMT3A, GATA2, IDH1, IDH2, KRAS, NRAS, PHF6, PLCG2,
198	PTPN	11, SF3B1, SMARCA2, SMC1A, SRSF2, STAG2, TET2, WT1 and ZRSR2.
199		
200	Suppl	lementary references
201		
202	1.	Kolitz JE, George SL, Marcucci G, Vij R, Powell BL, Allen SL et al. P-glycoprotein
203		inhibition using valspodar (PSC-833) does not improve outcomes for patients under age 60
204		years with newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia: Cancer and Leukemia Group B study
205		19808. <i>Blood</i> 2010; <b>116</b> : 1413-1421.
206	2.	Blum W, Sanford BL, Klisovic R, DeAngelo DJ, Uy G, Powell BL et al. Maintenance
207		therapy with decitabine in younger adults with acute myeloid leukemia in first remission:
208		A phase 2 Cancer and Leukemia Group B study (CALGB 10503). Leukemia 2017; 31: 34-
209		39.

Page 10 of 17

210	3.	Kolitz JE, George SL, Dodge RK, Hurd DD, Powell BL, Allen SL et al. Dose escalation
211		studies of cytarabine, daunorubicin, and etoposide with and without multidrug resistance
212		modulation with PSC-833 in untreated adults with acute myeloid leukemia younger than
213		60 years: final induction results of Cancer and Leukemia Group B study 9621. J Clin Oncol
214		2004; <b>22</b> : 4290-4301.
215	4.	Mayer RJ, Davis RB, Schiffer CA, Berg DT, Powell BL, Schulman P et al. Intensive
216		postremission chemotherapy in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 1994;
217		<b>331</b> : 896-903.
218	5.	Moore JO, George SL, Dodge RK, Amrein PC, Powell BL, Kolitz JE et al. Sequential
219		multiagent chemotherapy is not superior to high-dose cytarabine alone as postremission
220		intensification therapy for acute myeloid leukemia in adults under 60 years of age: Cancer
221		and Leukemia Group B study 9222. Blood 2005; 105: 3420-3427.
222	6.	Stone RM, Mandrekar SJ, Sanford BL, Laumann K, Geyer S, Bloomfield CD et al.
223		Midostaurin plus chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with a FLT3 mutation. N Engl
224		<i>J Med</i> 2017; <b>377</b> : 454-464.
225	7.	Moore JO, Dodge RK, Amrein PC, Kolitz J, Lee EJ, Powell B et al. Granulocyte-colony
226		stimulating factor (filgrastim) accelerates granulocyte recovery after intensive
227		postremission chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukemia with aziridinyl benzoquinone and
228		mitoxantrone: Cancer and Leukemia Group B study 9022. Blood 1997; 89: 780-788.
229	8.	Schiffer CA, Davis RB, Schulman P, Cooper B, Coyle T, Lee E et al. Intensive post
230		remission therapy of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) with cytoxan/etoposide (CY/VP16)
231		and diazaquone/mitoxantrone (AZQ/MITO). Blood 1991; 78(suppl): 460 (abstract 1829).

232	9.	Cheson BD, Cassileth PA, Head DR, Schiffer CA, Bennett JM, Bloomfield CD et al.
233		Report of the National Cancer Institute-sponsored workshop on definitions of diagnosis
234		and response in acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol 1990; 8: 813-819.
235	10.	Cibulskis K, Lawrence MS, Carter SL, Sivachenko A, Jaffe D, Sougnez C et al. Sensitive
236		detection of somatic point mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. Nat
237		<i>Biotechnol</i> 2013; <b>31</b> : 213-219.
238	11.	DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C et al. A framework
239		for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat
240		<i>Genet</i> 2011; <b>43</b> : 491-498.
241	12.	Kroll KW, Eisfeld A-K, Lozanski A, Bloomfield CD, Byrd JC, Blachly JS. MuCor:
242		mutation aggregation and correlation. Bioinformatics 2016; 32: 1557-1558.
243	13.	Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G et al.
244		Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 2011; 29: 24-26.
245	14.	Marcucci G, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Mrózek K, Vukosavljevic T, Paschka P et al.
246		Prognostic significance of, and gene and microRNA expression signatures associated with,
247		CEBPA mutations in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with high-risk
248		molecular features: A Cancer and Leukemia Group B study. J Clin Oncol 2008; 26: 5078-
249		5087.
250	15.	Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network. Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de
251		novo myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2013; 368: 2059-2074.
252		

256

- classification 257
- 258

Gene <sup>a</sup>	All patients	Favorable-risk	Intermediate-risk	Adverse-risk	P-value <sup>b</sup>
	n=863	n=423	n=189	n=251	
<i>ASXL1</i> , n (%)		<b>2</b> (0)	0 (0)		<0.001
Mutated	34 (4)	2(0)	0(0)	32 (13)	
Wild-type	829 (96)	421 (100)	189 (100)	219 (87)	
<i>AXL</i> , n (%)					0.72
Mutated	16 (2)	9 (2)	4 (2)	3 (1)	
Wild-type	847 (98)	414 (98)	185 (98)	248 (99)	
<i>BCOR</i> , n (%)					< 0.001
Mutated	40 (5)	9 (2)	8 (4)	23 (9)	
Wild-type	823 (95)	414 (98)	181 (96)	228 (91)	
BCORL1, n (%)					0.66
Mutated	22 (3)	9 (2)	6 (3)	7 (3)	
Wild-type	841 (97)	414 (98)	183 (97)	244 (97)	
<i>BRINP3</i> , n (%)					0.14
Mutated	14 (2)	4(1)	6(3)	4 (2)	
Wild-type	849 (98)	419 (99)	183 (97)	247 (98)	
<i>CBL</i> , n (%)	~ /			~ /	0.90
Mutated	16(2)	9 (2)	3 (2)	4(2)	
Wild-type	847 (98)	414 (98)	186 (98)	247 (98)	
$\frac{CCND2 n (\%)}{CCND2 n (\%)}$		()	()		0.004
Mutated	13 (2)	12 (3)	1(1)	0(0)	0.0001
Wild-type	850 (98)	411 (97)	188 (99)	251 (100)	
CERPA n (%)	000 (20)	() ()	100 (77)	201 (100)	<0.001
Mutated	73 (9)	73 (19)	0(0)	0 (0)	-0.001
Wild type	723 (91)	321 (81)	184(100)	218(100)	
DWMT34 n (%)	725 (51)	521 (61)	101 (100)	210 (100)	<0.001
Mutatad	100(23)	101(24)	63 (33)	35(14)	<0.001
	139 (23)	70	03 (33) 44	25	
Non D882	61	32	19	10	
INOII-K002	664 (77)	222 (76)	126 (67)	216 (86)	
	004(77)	322 (70)	120 (07)	210 (80)	0.61
EIV0, n(%)	19 (2)	7 (2)	4 (2)	<b>7</b> (2)	0.01
Mutated	18 (2)	/ (2)	4 (2)	/ (3)	
Wild-type	845 (98)	416 (98)	185 (98)	244 (97)	0.00
<i>EZH2</i> , n (%)		10 (0)	4 (2)		0.88
Mutated	22 (3)	12 (3)	4(2)	6 (2)	
Wild-type	841 (97)	411 (97)	185 (98)	245 (98)	
<i>FLT3</i> -ITD, n (%)					< 0.001
Present	191 (23)	40 (10)	89 (47)	62 (28)	
Absent	637 (77)	377 (90)	100 (53)	160 (72)	
<i>FLT3</i> -TKD, n (%)					< 0.001
Present	72 (8)	52 (12)	12 (6)	8 (3)	
Absent	784 (92)	369 (88)	175 (94)	240 (97)	
<i>GATA2</i> , n (%)					0.13
Mutated	49 (6)	31 (7)	7 (4)	11 (4)	
Wild-type	814 (94)	392 (93)	182 (96)	240 (96)	

Gene <sup>a</sup>	All patients	Favorable-risk	Intermediate-risk	Adverse-risk	P-value <sup>b</sup>
	n=863	n=423	n=189	n=251	0.04
<i>IDH1</i> , n (%)	(2)(7)	40 (0)	12 (6)	11 (4)	0.04
With the second	03 (7)	40(9)	12(0)	11(4)	
Wild-type	800 (93)	383 (91)	1 / / (94)	240 (96)	0.02
IDH2, n (%)	74(0)	27(6)	24(12)	22(0)	0.03
Mutated	74 (9)	27 (6)	24 (13)	23 (9)	
Wild-type	/89 (91)	396 (94)	165 (87)	228 (91)	0.02
<i>IKZF1</i> , n (%)	12 (2)	2 (0)	(2)	5 (2)	0.02
Mutated	13 (2)	2(0)	6 (3) 192 (07)	5 (2) 246 (00)	
Wild-type	850 (98)	421 (100)	183 (97)	246 (98)	0.04
<i>JAK1</i> , n (%)	0 (1)		1 (1)	0 (0)	0.04
Mutated	9(1)	8 (2)	I(1)	0(0)	
Wild-type	854 (99)	415 (98)	188 (99)	251 (100)	0.001
<i>KIT</i> , n (%)				- (2)	< 0.001
Mutated	44 (5)	36 (9)	3 (2)	5 (2)	
Wild-type	796 (95)	381 (91)	179 (98)	236 (98)	
<i>KMT2A</i> , n (%)			- /->		0.19
Mutated	14 (2)	4(1)	3 (2)	7 (3)	
Wild-type	849 (98)	419 (99)	186 (98)	244 (97)	
<i>KRAS</i> , n (%)					0.47
Mutated	38 (4)	18 (4)	6 (3)	14 (6)	
Wild-type	825 (96)	405 (96)	183 (97)	237 (94)	
<i>MED12</i> , no. (%)					0.53
Mutated	11 (1)	4(1)	2(1)	5 (2)	
Wild-type	852 (99)	419 (99)	187 (99)	246 (98)	
<i>NF1</i> , no. (%)					0.54
Mutated	32 (6)	15 (5)	7 (5)	10 (7)	
Wild-type	539 (94)	292 (95)	122 (95)	125 (93)	
NOTCH1, n (%)					0.53
Mutated	14 (2)	5 (1)	3 (2)	6 (2)	
Wild-type	849 (98)	418 (99)	186 (98)	245 (98)	
<i>NPM1</i> , n (%)					< 0.001
Mutated	299 (35)	216 (51)	79 (42)	4 (2)	
Wild-type	561 (65)	207 (49)	110 (58)	244 (98)	
<i>NRAS</i> , n (%)					< 0.001
Mutated	131 (15)	86 (20)	17 (9)	28 (11)	
Wild-type	732 (85)	337 (80)	172 (91)	223 (89)	
<i>PHF6</i> , n (%)					0.001
Mutated	21 (2)	4(1)	3 (2)	14 (6)	
Wild-type	842 (98)	419 (99)	186 (98)	237 (94)	
<i>PIK3CG</i> , n (%)		~ /		. ,	0.57
Mutated	11(1)	7 (2)	1(1)	3(1)	
Wild-type	852 (99)	416 (98)	188 (99)	248 (99)	
PLCG2, n (%)			( )		0.78
Mutated	22 (3)	10(2)	4(2)	8 (3)	
Wild-type	841 (97)	413 (98)	185 (98)	243 (97)	
$\frac{PTPN11 n (\%)}{PTPN11 n (\%)}$	(> / )	(> >)	(>>)	(> / )	0.07
Mutated	68 (8)	42 (10)	9 (5)	17 (7)	,
Wild-type	795 (92)	381 (90)	180 (95)	234 (93)	

Gene <sup>a</sup>	All patients	Favorable-risk	Intermediate-risk	Adverse-risk	P-value <sup>b</sup>
	n=863	n=423	n=189	n=251	
<i>RAD21</i> , n (%)					0.03
Mutated	19 (2)	11 (3)	7 (4)	1 (0)	
Wild-type	844 (98)	412 (97)	182 (96)	250 (100)	
<i>RUNX1</i> , n (%)					< 0.001
Mutated	61 (7)	2 (0)	1(1)	58 (23)	
Wild-type	802 (93)	421 (100)	188 (99)	193 (77)	
SETBP1, n (%)					0.96
Mutated	23 (3)	12 (3)	5 (3)	6 (2)	
Wild-type	840 (97)	411 (97)	184 (97)	245 (98)	
<i>SF3B1</i> , n (%)				× /	0.009
Mutated	26(3)	8 (2)	3 (2)	15 (6)	
Wild-type	837 (97)	415 (98)	186 (98)	236 (94)	
SMARCA2 n (%)		- ()	()		0.12
Mutated	26(3)	13 (3)	2(1)	11 (4)	0.11
Wild_type	837 (97)	410 (97)	187 (99)	240 (96)	
$\frac{MC14 \text{ p}(\%)}{SMC14 \text{ p}(\%)}$	057 (77)	410 (77)	107 (77)	240 (90)	0.36
SMCIA, II (70)	34(4)	21 (5)	5 (2)	8 (2)	0.50
Wild tree	34 (4) 820 (06)	21(3)	$\frac{3(3)}{184(07)}$	3(3)	
SMC2 (9()	829 (90)	402 (93)	164 (97)	243 (97)	0.17
SMC3, n (%)	20 (2)	15(4)	10 (5)	5 (2)	0.17
Mutated	30 (3)	15 (4)	10(5)	5(2)	
Wild-type	833 (97)	408 (96)	179 (95)	246 (98)	
<i>SRSF2</i> , n (%)	/->		- /->		< 0.001
Mutated	23 (3)	4(1)	3 (2)	16 (6)	
Wild-type	836 (97)	417 (99)	185 (98)	234 (94)	
<i>STAG2</i> , n (%)					0.11
Mutated	17 (2)	5 (1)	3 (2)	9 (4)	
Wild-type	846 (98)	418 (99)	186 (98)	242 (96)	
<i>TET2</i> , n (%)					0.42
Mutated	79 (9)	43 (10)	18 (10)	18 (7)	
Wild-type	784 (91)	380 (90)	171 (90)	233 (93)	
<i>TP53</i> , n (%)					< 0.001
Mutated	42 (5)	2 (0)	1(1)	39 (16)	
Wild-type	821 (95)	421 (100)	188 (99)	212 (84)	
TYK2, n (%)				× /	0.85
Mutated	16(2)	7 (2)	4(2)	5 (2)	
Wild-type	847 (98)	416 (98)	185 (98)	246 (98)	
$\frac{1/2}{4Fl}$ n (%)		- ()	()		0.29
Mutated	18 (2)	6(1)	6(3)	6(2)	0.29
Wild type	845 (98)	417 (99)	183 (97)	245(98)	
WT1 n (%)	015 (70)	()))	105 (77)	210 (70)	0.18
11,11 (70) Mutated	77 (0)	36 (0)	23 (12)	18 (7)	0.10
Wild type	786 (01)	30(7)	$\frac{25(12)}{166(99)}$	10(7)	
	/00 (91)	307 (91)	100 (00)	233 (93)	0.40
ZKSKZ, n (%)	11 (5)	10 (4)	12(0)	14.(0)	0.49
Mutated	44 (5)	18 (4)	12(0)	14(0)	
Wild-type	819 (95)	405 (96)	1 / / (94)	237 (94)	0.10
Total number of					0.10

mutations

Gene <sup>a</sup>	All patients n=863	Favorable-risk n=423	Intermediate-risk n=189	Adverse-risk n=251	P-value <sup>b</sup>
Median	3	3	3	2	
Range	(0, 9)	(0, 9)	(0, 9)	(0, 9)	

259

260 Abbreviation: ELN, European LeukemiaNet; n, number.

<sup>a</sup> Listed are only those genes that were found mutated in at least 2% of patients in at least one of the risk
groups. The following genes were mutated in <2%: *AKT1*, *ARAF*, *ATM*, *BRAF*, *BRD4*, *BTK*, *CCND1*, *CTNNB1*, *FBXW7*, *GSK3B*, *HIST1H1*, *HNRNPK*, *IKZF3*, *IL7R*, *JAK2*, *JAK3*, *KLHL6*, *MAPK3*, *MYD88*, *PIK3CD*, *PLEKHG5*, *PRKCB*, *PRKD3*, *PTEN*, *RAF1*, *SAMHD1*, *SF1*, *SF3A1*, *SYK*, *TGM7* and *XPO1*. No
patient harbored a mutation in any of the following genes analyzed: *BCL2*, *CSNKN1A*, *GATA1*, *MAPK1*, *U2AF2*, or *ZMYM3*.

267 <sup>b</sup> *P*-values for categorical variables are from Fisher's exact test. *P*-values for continuous variables are from

the Wilcoxon rank sum test and they are comparing the three risk groups: Favorable, Intermediate andAdverse.

270

271

273 Supplementary Table S2. Frequencies of gene mutations assigned to functional groups in younger

adult patients with *de novo* acute myeloid leukemia assigned to the genetic-risk groups according

to the 2017 ELN classification

276

277

All patients	Favorable-risk	Intermediate-risk	Adverse-risk	P-value <sup>b</sup>
n=863	n=423	n=189	n=251	
				< 0.001
132 (15)	44 (10)	17 (9)	71 (28)	
731 (85)	379 (90)	172 (91)	180 (72)	
				0.36
99 (11)	51 (12)	25 (13)	23 (9)	
764 (89)	372 (88)	164 (87)	228 (91)	
				< 0.001
316 (39)	137 (33)	102 (56)	77 (35)	
497 (61)	273 (67)	79 (44)	145 (65)	
				< 0.001
328 (38)	165 (39)	96 (51)	67 (27)	
535 (62)	258 (61)	93 (49)	184 (73)	
				< 0.001
298 (35)	216 (51)	78 (41)	4 (2)	
562 (65)	207 (49)	111 (59)	244 (98)	
				< 0.001
242 (28)	148 (35)	35 (19)	59 (24)	
621 (72)	275 (65)	154 (81)	192 (76)	
				< 0.001
104 (12)	34 (8)	21 (11)	49 (20)	
755 (88)	387 (92)	167 (89)	201 (80)	
				< 0.001
190 (24)	92 (23)	17 (9)	81 (36)	
612 (76)	302 (77)	167 (91)	143 (64)	
				< 0.001
135 (16)	41 (10)	26 (14)	68 (27)	
728 (84)	382 (90)	163 (86)	183 (73)	
	All patients $n=863$ 132 (15)         731 (85)         99 (11)         764 (89)         316 (39)         497 (61)         328 (38)         535 (62)         298 (35)         562 (65)         242 (28)         621 (72)         104 (12)         755 (88)         190 (24)         612 (76)         135 (16)         728 (84)	All patients $n=863$ Favorable-risk $n=423$ 132 (15)44 (10) 379 (90)99 (11)51 (12) 764 (89)764 (89)372 (88)316 (39)137 (33) 273 (67)328 (38)165 (39) 253 (62)298 (35)216 (51) 207 (49)242 (28)148 (35) 621 (72)104 (12)34 (8) 387 (92)190 (24)92 (23) 612 (76)135 (16)41 (10) 382 (90)	All patients $n=863$ Favorable-risk $n=423$ Intermediate-risk $n=189$ 132 (15)44 (10)17 (9)731 (85)379 (90)172 (91)99 (11)51 (12)25 (13)764 (89)372 (88)164 (87)316 (39)137 (33)102 (56)497 (61)273 (67)79 (44)328 (38)165 (39)96 (51)535 (62)258 (61)93 (49)298 (35)216 (51)78 (41)562 (65)207 (49)111 (59)242 (28)148 (35)35 (19)621 (72)275 (65)154 (81)104 (12)34 (8)21 (11)755 (88)387 (92)167 (89)190 (24)92 (23)17 (9)612 (76)302 (77)167 (91)135 (16)41 (10)26 (14)728 (84)382 (90)163 (86)	All patients $n=863$ Favorable-risk $n=423$ Intermediate-risk $n=189$ Adverse-risk $n=251$ 132 (15)44 (10)17 (9)71 (28)731 (85)379 (90)172 (91)180 (72)99 (11)51 (12)25 (13)23 (9)764 (89)372 (88)164 (87)228 (91)316 (39)137 (33)102 (56)77 (35)497 (61)273 (67)79 (44)145 (65)328 (38)165 (39)96 (51)67 (27)535 (62)258 (61)93 (49)184 (73)298 (35)216 (51)78 (41)4 (2)562 (65)207 (49)111 (59)244 (98)242 (28)148 (35)35 (19)59 (24)621 (72)275 (65)154 (81)192 (76)104 (12)34 (8)21 (11)49 (20)755 (88)387 (92)167 (89)201 (80)190 (24)92 (23)17 (9)81 (36)135 (16)41 (10)26 (14)68 (27)728 (84)382 (90)163 (86)183 (73)

278

279 Abbreviations: ELN, European LeukemiaNet; n, number.

<sup>a</sup> Gene mutations were assigned to functional groups as follows: chromatin remodeling (ASXL1, BCOR,

281 BCORL1, EZH2 and SMARCA2), cohesin complex (RAD21, SMC1A, SMC3 and STAG2), kinases [AXL,

*FLT3* internal tandem duplications (*FLT3*-ITD), *FLT3* tyrosine kinase domain mutations (*FLT3*-TKD), *KIT* 

and TYK2], methylation-related (DNMT3A, IDH1/2 and TET2), NPM1 (NPM1), RAS pathway (CBL,

284 KRAS, NRAS and PTPN11), spliceosome (SF3B1, SRSF2, U2AF1 and ZRSR2), transcription factors

285 (*CEBPA*, *ETV6*, *GATA2*, *IKZF1*, *NOTCH1* and *RUNX1*) and tumor suppressors (*PHF6*, *TP53* and *WT1*).

<sup>b</sup> *P*-values for categorical variables are from Fisher's exact test and they are comparing the three risk groups:
 Favorable, Intermediate and Adverse.

288

290 Supplementary Table S3. Clinical outcome of younger adult patients with *de novo* acute

- 291 myeloid leukemia classified according to the proposed refinements of the 2017 ELN risk
- 292 classification with additional gene mutations
- 293

	New Favorable-	New Intermediate-	New Adverse-	P-value <sup>a</sup>
	risk	risk	risk	
Outcome	n=371	n=131	n=361	
Complete remission, n (%)	348 (92)	95 (77)	212 (59)	< 0.001
Disease-free survival				< 0.001
Median, years	7.7	1.1	0.7	
% Disease-free at 3 years (95% CI)	57 (51-62)	32 (23-41)	10 (7-15)	
Overall survival				< 0.001
Median, years	12.9	1.8	1.0	
% Alive at 3 years (95% CI)	69 (64-73)	41 (33-49)	19 (15-23)	

## 294

295 Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval; ELN, European LeukemiaNet; n, number.

<sup>a</sup> *P*-values for categorical variables are from Fisher's exact test, *P*-values for the time to event variables are

297 from the log-rank test and they are comparing the three risk groups: New Favorable, New Intermediate and

298 New Adverse.