

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

# **BMJ Open**

## The Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in Children and Adolescents: A Protocol for a Systematic Review Update

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-043675
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Aug-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Langley, Charlotte; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Tutelman, Perri; Dalhousie University, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Parker, Jennifer; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Chambers, Christine; Dalhousie University, Department of Psychology; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Finley, Allen; Dalhousie University, Department of Anesthesia, Pain Management & Perioperative Medicine; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Chapman, Darlene; IWK Health Centre, Health Sciences Library Jones, Gareth; University of Aberdeen, Epidemiology Group and Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Health Macfarlane, Gary; University of Aberdeen, Epidemiology Group and Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Health Marianayagam, Justina; Patient Partner and Medical Student; Northern Ontario School of Medicine
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PAEDIATRICS, PAIN MANAGEMENT
	1

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## The Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in Children and Adolescents: A Protocol for a **Systematic Review Update**

Charlotte L. Langley<sup>1+</sup>, Perri R. Tutelman<sup>1,2+</sup>, Jennifer A. Parker<sup>1</sup>, Christine T. Chambers<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, G. Allen Finley<sup>1,4</sup>, Darlene Chapman<sup>5</sup>, Gareth T. Jones<sup>6</sup>, Gary J. Macfarlane<sup>6</sup>, Justina Marianayagam<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research, Halifax, NS Canada; <sup>2</sup>Dalhousie

University, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Halifax, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Dalhousie

University, Department of Pediatrics, Halifax, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Dalhousie University, Department of

Anesthesia, Pain Management & Perioperative Medicine, Halifax, Canada; <sup>5</sup>IWK Health Centre,

Health Sciences Library, IWK Health Centre; <sup>6</sup>University of Aberdeen, Epidemiology Group and

Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Health, Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK; 7Patient

sia,
n Sciences Libn.
rdeen Centre for Arthritis a.
rtner and Medical Student, Northern G.
+CLL and PRT contributed equally to this article
\*orrespondence to:
\*o T. Chambers
\*ric Pain Research
\*8536)

#### **BMJ** Open

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction**: Chronic pain, defined as persistent or recurring pain lasting longer than three months, is a common childhood problem and can profoundly impact children's physical, psychological, and social functioning. The last comprehensive systematic review estimating the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents was published in 2011. Since then, the literature on pediatric chronic pain has grown substantially. This manuscript outlines a protocol for an updated systematic review to provide updated estimates of the prevalence of various forms of chronic pain in children and adolescence. The review will also examine the relationship between sociodemographic and psychosocial factors related to chronic pain prevalence. Methods and Analysis: This review will follow PRISMA guidelines. We will search EMBASE, PubMed, CINAHL, and PsycINFO for observational studies published in English between 2009 and 2020 reporting population-based estimates of chronic non-disease-related pain prevalence in children or adolescents (age  $\leq$  19 years). Two independent reviewers will screen the titles and abstracts retrieved from the search based on predefined eligibility criteria. The full texts of relevant studies will then be assessed by two reviewers. Studies meeting inclusion criteria will be categorized according to the type of pain investigated: headache only, abdominal pain only, back pain only, musculoskeletal pain, combined pain, and other/general pain. Data will be extracted using customized forms and studies will be assessed for risk of bias using a 10-item tool developed by Hoy et al. (2012). A narrative synthesis will summarize the prevalence estimates of pediatric chronic pain and associated sociodemographic and psychosocial correlates. Metaanalyses and meta-regressions will be performed if the data permit.

**Ethics and dissemination**: Ethical approval is not required. Findings will be disseminated through publication in an academic journal, presentations at conferences, and in various media.

## PROSPERO registration number: Submitted to PROSPERO on July 22, 2020. Currently

awaiting registration number.

tor oper text. Mont

	STRENGTHS
•	This systematic review wi
	of chronic pain in children
•	A comprehensive literature
•	This systematic review wi
	articles for risk of bias usin
•	Heterogeneity in study me
	across studies
٠	The findings of this review
	pediatric chronic pain which
	resources for this population
	For peer review only
	•

## STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- This systematic review will provide updated estimates of the prevalence of various forms of chronic pain in children and adolescents.
- A comprehensive literature search will be conducted to identify eligible studies.
- This systematic review will follow the PRISMA guidelines and will assess included articles for risk of bias using a validated quality assessment tool.
- Heterogeneity in study methods and populations may limit our ability to pool the findings across studies.
- The findings of this review will enhance our understanding of the current burden of pediatric chronic pain which may help inform the treatment and allocation of clinical resources for this population.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic pain, defined as persistent or recurring pain lasting longer than three months,[1] is a common problem in childhood. Although numerous recent population-based studies have provided estimates of the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents, the reported proportions have varied considerably across studies. For example, the prevalence of primary headache disorders in children and adolescents in recent population-based studies has ranged from 19.4-66.4%,[2,3] while estimates of the prevalence of functional gastrointestinal disorders have varied from 4.6%-31.2%.[4,5] Due to the wide variability in the reported estimates, the current epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents is unclear. The uncertainty regarding the number of children and adolescents impacted by chronic pain may limit the appropriate allocation of clinical services for this population, which are crucial given the pervasive and long-term consequences of chronic pain on young people.

Chronic pain can have a profound impact on children and adolescents. Children who experience chronic pain are at increased risk for depression and anxiety,[6,7] school absences,[8] social isolation,[9] and poorer quality of life.[10] Approximately 5% of children with chronic pain experience severe severe levels of pain which significantly impact their daily functioning.[11] Unfortunately, a substantial majority of children with chronic pain become adults with chronic pain. In a study of adult patients with chronic pain, 80% of participants reported their pain originated in childhood or adolescence.[12] The persistence of chronic pain from childhood to adulthood has significant social and economic consequences. For instance, children with chronic pain are at risk for opioid misuse[13] and psychiatric morbidity in adulthood,[14] and pediatric chronic pain is associated with high rates of outpatient appointments, emergency department visits, and hospitalizations all resulting in creased

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### **BMJ** Open

healthcare costs.[15–17] The total annual cost of pediatric chronic pain in the United States is estimated to be \$19.5 billion.[16] The significant individual, social and economic burden of pediatric chronic pain, and its persistence into adulthood, demonstrates the importance of understanding the epidemiology of this disease in order to improve treatment and reduce the impact that chronic pain has on the lives of children and adolescents.

The most recent, comprehensive review on the epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents was published 2011.[18] This review estimated that the median prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents ranged from 11-38% depending on body site and study. The prevalence of chronic pain was found to be higher in girls and prevalence proportions increased with age.[18] The review identified several psychosocial correlates of chronic pain in children and adolescents such as lower socio-economic status, anxiety, depression and low self-esteem.[18] However, other correlates of chronic pain in children and adolescents, such as sleep[19,20] and post-traumatic stress disorder,[21,22] have since been identified and were not consistently examined at the population level at the time of the past review. The review also identified several gaps in the understanding of the epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents, and the time of the past review the quality of included studies was generally low to moderate and methodological limitations, such as inconsistent definitions of pain between studies, made it difficult to estimate overall prevalence proportions.[18]

The literature on pediatric pain is growing exponentially; a recent bibliometric analysis revealed that there was nearly a 40-fold increase in the number of publications on pediatric pain from 1975 to 2010.[23] Since the last comprehensive systematic review on the epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents,[18] numerous population-based studies estimating the

## **BMJ** Open

prevalence of various forms of pediatric chronic pain have been published.[24–26] A few recent reviews estimating the prevlance of certain forms of chronic pain, such as functional abdominal pain[27] and headache[28,29] have also been conducted. However, in order to appropriately meet the clinical needs of children and adolescents with chronic pain, an updated review that estimates the proportion of various forms of chronic pain and examines key sociodemographic and psychosocial correlates of chronic pain in children and adolescents is needed.

This manuscript outlines a protocol for a systematic review to update a prior review synthesizing the published literature on the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents. Specifically, the objectives of this review are to: 1) Provide updated estimates of the prevalence of various forms of chronic pain (headache, abdominal pain, back pain, musculoskeletal pain, combined pain, and other/general pain) in children and adolescence; 2) Provide an updated examination of sociodemographic (e.g., age, sex, race) and psychosocial (e.g., anxiety, depression, sleep) factors related to the prevalence of chronic pain in children; and 3) Assess study quality and identify gaps in the literature and areas for future research.

## **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

This systematic review protocol was prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines (see supplementary file 1).[30] The study protocol was submitted for registration with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) on July 22, 2020. In the event of an amendment to this protocol, the date of the amendment, a description of the change and the rationale will be documented and recorded in PROSPERO. The dates for this review are May 14, 2020-April 1, 2021(expected).

## Search strategy

#### **BMJ** Open

We will search the following electronic databases: EMBASE, PubMed, CINAHL and PsycINFO. The search terms will be comprised of three conceptual blocks: i) Pain terms (e.g., musculoskeletal pain, back pain, headache, abdominal pain, recurrent pain); ii) Pediatric terms (e.g., child, adolescent, boy, girl); and iii) Epidemiological terms (e.g., epidemiology, prevalence, incidence, frequency). The searches will be restricted to English language articles, human studies, and manuscripts published between January 2009-June 2020 (the original systematic review[31] included studies published up until 2009).

## **Eligibility criteria**

Studies will be eligible for inclusion if they meet the following criteria:

- Observational studies (epidemiological, case-control, cross-sectional, retrospective and prospective cohort studies) using a population-based sampling frame to estimate the prevalence of chronic pain, defined as pain lasting 3 months or longer, in children or adolescents (study sample age ≤19 years).
- 2. Studies published in peer-reviewed journals in English.

Studies meeting the following criteria will be excluded:

- 1. Studies with sampling frames not deemed to be population-based.
- 2. Case studies, conference abstracts, dissertations, reviews, book chapters and qualitative studies.
- Studies reporting on the prevalence of chronic pain in adults (sample age is exclusively ≥20 years)
- 4. Studies of non-human samples.

 Studies exclusively examining the prevalence of disease- (e.g., cancer, arthritis) or condition- (e.g., cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy) related chronic pain in children and adolescents.

Studies reporting on multiple populations (e.g., disease/condition and non-disease/conditionrelated chronic pain, adults and children), where data on one or more sub-populations that fit the eligibility criteria for this review can be separately identified, will be included and the relevant data will be extracted.

## **Screening and Data Extraction**

Literature search results will be transferred to Covidence systematic review management software and duplicates will be removed. An initial title/abstract review of studies retrieved by the search will be independently conducted by two members of the study team to determine which studies potentially met the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Articles included from the title/abstract review phase will then by reviewed in full by two reviewers. The two reviewers will be blinded to each other's decisions. Discrepancies regarding the eligibility of a study will be resolved by consensus, and if necessary, through discussion with a third reviewer.

Data will be extracted from included studies using customized forms. Extracted information will include study design, location where the study was conducted, number of participants, participant demographics (e.g., age, sex, race), study sample (e.g., headache only, abdominal pain only, back pain only, musculoskeletal pain, combined pain, and other/general.), study methodology, prevalence proportion of chronic pain, and sociodemographic (e.g., age, sex, race, parent education, household income) and psychosocial (e.g., anxiety, depression, sleep, post-traumatic stress) predictors of chronic pain. In accordance with the PRISMA guidelines

#### **BMJ** Open

[32], the number of studies meeting inclusion criteria will be recorded and the reason for exclusion of those not included will be documented.

## **Quality Assessment**

Two independent reviewers will assess study quality using the 10-item tool developed by Hoy et al. (2012). This tool was developed to assess external and internal validity of prevalence studies.[33] Response options for each item are either "yes" (indicating low risk of bias) or "no" (indicating high risk of bias).[33] The tool will be adapted for this review if necessary. Consensus will be reached by discussion between the reviewers.

#### **Data Synthesis**

A narrative synthesis will summarize the prevalence proportions of chronic pain in children and adolescents in the following categories; headache only; abdominal pain only; back pain only; musculoskeletal pain; combined pain; and other/general pain. Additionally, the relationship between psychosocial factors (e.g., sleep, anxiety and depression) and sociodemographic factors (e.g., sex, age, race and indicators of socio-economic status such as, but not limited to, household income, parental level of education and urban versus rural area of residence) and chronic pain in children and adolescents will be reviewed.

Depending on the heterogeneity of included studies the prevalence proportions of chronic pain will be calculated using median prevalence proportions and/or meta-analysis. When at least two or more studies are comparable in terms of the study sample (e.g., category of chronic pain), and methodology (e.g., operationalization of chronic pain), we will pool the effects to determine the prevalence proportion of chronic pain across studies. Similarly, if studies have used similar methods to examine the relationship between certain sociodemographic and/or psychosocial

## **BMJ** Open

variables in comparable chronic pain samples, we will conduct separate meta-regressions to examine the relationship between these variables and chronic pain across studies.

Reporting of this systematic review will follow PRISMA guidelines.[34] A PRISMA flow diagram will be included in the final manuscript of this review.

## **Ethics and Dissemination**

Ethical approval will not be sought for this study, as no human subject participants will be involved. A manuscript outlining the results of this review will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed academic journal and for presentation at relevant academic conferences. Results will be publicly disseminated through social media, news and media outlets, and newsletters and blog posts, as appropriate.

## **IMPLICATIONS OF THE REVIEW**

We anticipate that the results from this review will enhance our understanding of the current burden of pediatric chronic pain which may help inform treatment and allocation of clinical resources for this population. Furthermore, findings from this study will identify priority areas for research on the epidemiology of chronic pain to guide future research efforts. Through our planned knowledge translation efforts, findings of the review will be disseminated not only to clinicians and scientists, but also to patients and families, which may aid in public awareness and advocacy efforts.

## PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

This protocol was designed in collaboration with a patient partner and co-author, JM. JM will remain involved as a patient partner throughout all steps of the review.

## CONTRIBUTORS

## **BMJ** Open

CTC conceived the study idea. CL, PRT and JAP designed the study protocol, data extraction, and statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. DC, GAF, GTJ, GJM and JM provided critical insights. All authors approved and contributed to the final manuscript.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by an operating grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FRN167902) awarded to CTC. CTC is also supported by a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair with infrastructure support from the Canada Foundation for Innovation. CL is supported by an IWK Health Centre Summer Studentship [1025420]. PRT is supported by a Research Nova Scotia Scholars Award, a Nova Scotia Graduate Scholarship, and an IWK Graduate Studentship Award.

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

None declared.

## PATIENT CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not required.

## REFERENCES

- Treede R-D, Rief W, Barke A, *et al.* A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. *PAIN* 2015;156:1. doi:10.1097/j.pain.00000000000160
- Al-Hashel JY, Ahmed SF, Alroughani R. Prevalence and burden of primary headache disorders in Kuwaiti children and adolescents: A community based study. *Front Neurol* 2019;10:793. doi:10.3389/fneur.2019.00793
- Malik AH, Shah PA, Yaseen Y. Prevalence of primary headache disorders in school-going children in Kashmir Valley (North-west India). *Ann Indian Acad Neurol* 2012;15:100–3. doi:10.4103/0972-2327.100030
- Zheng S, Fu W, Zhou J, *et al.* Prevalence and related factors of irritable bowel syndrome among middle-school students in areas affected by Wenchuan earthquake: An epidemiological study. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2012;46:345–6.
   doi:10.1097/MCG.0b013e31824712d0
- 5 Demirceken FG, Kurt G, Dulkadir R, *et al.* Functional dyspepsia in children: A Turkish prospective survey in Kirikkale province. 2010.
- 6 Shelby GD, Shirkey KC, Sherman AL, *et al.* Functional abdominal pain in childhood and long-term vulnerability to anxiety disorders. *Pediatrics* 2013;132:475–82. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-2191
- Simons LE, Sieberg CB, Claar RL. Anxiety and impairment in a large sample of children and adolescents with chronic pain. *Pain Res Manag* 2012;17:93–7. doi:10.1155/2012/420676
- Logan DE, Gray LS, Iversen CN, *et al.* School Self-Concept in Adolescents With Chronic
   Pain. *J Pediatr Psychol* 2017;42:892–901. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsx063

## BMJ Open

9	Maes M, Van den Noortgate W, Fustolo-Gunnink SF, et al. Loneliness in Children and
	Adolescents With Chronic Physical Conditions: A Meta-Analysis. J Pediatr Psychol
	2017; <b>42</b> :622-35. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsx046
10	Gold JI, Yetwin AK, Mahrer NE, et al. Pediatric Chronic Pain and Health-Related Quality of
	Life. J Pediatr Nurs 2009;24:141-50. doi:10.1016/j.pedn.2008.07.003
11	Huguet A, Miró J. The Severity of Chronic Pediatric Pain: An Epidemiological Study. J Pain
	2008; <b>9</b> :226–36. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2007.10.015
12	Hassett AL, Hilliard PE, Goesling J, et al. Reports of chronic pain in childhood and
	adolescence among patients at a tertiary care pain clinic. <i>J Pain</i> 2013;14:1390–7.
	doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2013.06.010
13	Groenewald CB, Law EF, Fisher E, et al. Associations Between Adolescent Chronic Pain
	and Prescription Opioid Misuse in Adulthood. J Pain 2019;20:28-37.
	doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.07.007
14	Noel M, Groenewald CB, Beals-Erickson SE, et al. Chronic Pain in Adolescence and
	Internalizing Mental Health Disorders: A Nationally Representative Study. Pain
	2016; <b>157</b> :1333–8. doi:10.1097/j.pain.000000000000522
15	Ho IK, Goldschneider KR, Kashikar-Zuck S, et al. Healthcare Utilization and Indirect
	Burden among Families of Pediatric Patients with Chronic Pain. J Musculoskelet Pain
	2008; <b>16</b> :155–64. doi:10.1080/10582450802161853
16	Groenewald CB, Essner BS, Wright D, et al. The economic costs of chronic pain among a
	cohort of treatment-seeking adolescents in the United States. J Pain 2014;15:925-33.
	doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2014.06.002

17 Tumin D, Drees D, Miller R, *et al.* Health Care Utilization and Costs Associated With Pediatric Chronic Pain. *J Pain* 2018;19:973–82. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.03.012

- 18 King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, *et al.* The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents revisited: A systematic review. *Pain* 2011;152:2729–38. doi:10.1016/j.pain.2011.07.016
- 19 Evans S, Djilas V, Seidman LC, *et al.* Sleep Quality, Affect, Pain, and Disability in Children With Chronic Pain: Is Affect a Mediator or Moderator? *J Pain* 2017;18:1087–95. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2017.04.007
- 20 Murphy LK, Palermo TM, Tham SW, et al. Comorbid Sleep Disturbance in Adolescents with Functional Abdominal Pain. Behav Sleep Med 2020;0:1–10.
   doi:10.1080/15402002.2020.1781634
- 21 Noel M, Wilson AC, Holley AL, *et al.* Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in youth with vs without chronic pain. *Pain* 2016;**157**:2277–84. doi:10.1097/j.pain.00000000000642
- 22 Stahlschmidt L, Rosenkranz F, Dobe M, *et al.* Posttraumatic stress disorder in children and adolescents with chronic pain. *Health Psychol* 2020;**39**:463–70. doi:10.1037/hea0000859
- 23 Caes L, Boerner KE, Chambers CT, *et al.* A comprehensive categorical and bibliometric analysis of published research articles on pediatric pain from 1975 to 2010. Pain.
  2016;157:302–13. doi:10.1097/j.pain.000000000000403
- Oswari H, Alatas FS, Hegar B, *et al.* Functional abdominal pain disorders in adolescents in Indonesia and their association with family related stress. *BMC Pediatr* 2019;19:342–5. doi:10.1186/s12887-019-1682-5 [doi]

#### BMJ Open

1	1
	n
т	v

25	Aartun E, Hartvigsen J, Wedderkopp N, et al. Spinal pain in adolescents: prevalence,
	incidence, and course: a school-based two-year prospective cohort study in 1,300 Danes aged
	11–13. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2014;15:187. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-15-187
26	Arruda MA, Arruda R, Guidetti V, et al. Psychosocial adjustment of children with migraine
	and tension-type headache - a nationwide study. <i>Headache</i> 2015; <b>55 Suppl</b> 1:39–50.
	doi:10.1111/head.12510
27	Korterink JJ, Diederen K, Benninga MA, et al. Epidemiology of Pediatric Functional
	Abdominal Pain Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. PLOS ONE 2015;10:e0126982-e0126982.
	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126982
28	Albers L, von Kries R, Heinen F, et al. Headache in School Children: Is the Prevalence
	Increasing? Curr Pain Headache Rep 2015;19:4. doi:10.1007/s11916-015-0477-0
29	Wöber-Bingöl Ç. Epidemiology of migraine and headache in children and adolescents
	topical collection on childhood and adolescent headache. Curr Pain Headache Rep
	2013; <b>17</b> :1–11. doi:10.1007/s11916-013-0341-z
30	Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and
	meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Rev Espanola Nutr Humana Diet
	2016; <b>20</b> :148–60. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
31	King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, et al. The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and
	adolescents revisited: A systematic review. Pain 2011;152:2729-38.
	doi:10.1016/j.pain.2011.07.016
32	Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and
	meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6.
	doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

- 33 Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: Modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:934-9. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.11.014
- 34 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6.

doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

.nt .gt .aff J, et al. Preferr. .ISMA statement. PLoS M. .al.pmed.1000097

Additional file 1: PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist	Reporting Page No
ADMINISTR	ATIVE	INFORMATION	
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	1,3
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number	3
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	1
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	12
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	7
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	12
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor Role of sponsor/ funder	N/A
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	N/A
INTRODUCT	ION		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	5-7
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	7
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years	8-9

		-	1
		considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	7,8
Search	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one	To be
Strategy		electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	included in final manuscript
Study			•
Records			
	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	9
	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)	9-11
	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	9-11
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	9,10
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	9-11
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	10
Data synthesis			
	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized	10,11
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., I 2, Kendall's tau)	10,11
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	10,11
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	10

M	1eta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	N/A
C cu ev	Confidence in umulative vidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)	N/A

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

or of the terms only

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

BMJ Open

## **BMJ Open**

## The Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in Children and Adolescents: A Protocol for a Systematic Review Update

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-043675.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Dec-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Tutelman, Perri; Dalhousie University, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Langley, Charlotte; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Chambers, Christine; Dalhousie University, Department of Psychology; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Parker, Jennifer; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Finley, Allen; Dalhousie University, Department of Anesthesia, Pain Management & Perioperative Medicine; IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research Chapman, Darlene; IWK Health Centre, Health Sciences Library Jones, Gareth; University of Aberdeen, Epidemiology Group and Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Health Macfarlane, Gary; University of Aberdeen, Epidemiology Group and Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Health Marianayagam, Justina; Patient Partner and Medical Student; Northern Ontario School of Medicine
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Epidemiology
Keywords:	EPIDEMIOLOGY, PAEDIATRICS, PAIN MANAGEMENT

## SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

reliez oni

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## The Epidemiology of Chronic Pain in Children and Adolescents: A Protocol for a Systematic Review Update

Perri R. Tutelman<sup>1,2</sup>, Charlotte L. Langley<sup>1</sup>, Christine T. Chambers<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Jennifer A. Parker<sup>1</sup>, G. Allen Finley<sup>1,4</sup>, Darlene Chapman<sup>5</sup>, Gareth T. Jones<sup>6</sup>, Gary J. Macfarlane<sup>6</sup>, Justina Marianayagam<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IWK Health Centre, Centre for Pediatric Pain Research, Halifax, NS Canada; <sup>2</sup>Dalhousie

University, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Halifax, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Dalhousie

University, Department of Pediatrics, Halifax, Canada; <sup>4</sup>Dalhousie University, Department of

Anesthesia, Pain Management & Perioperative Medicine, Halifax, Canada; <sup>5</sup>IWK Health Centre,

Health Sciences Library, IWK Health Centre; <sup>6</sup>University of Aberdeen, Epidemiology Group and

Aberdeen Centre for Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Health, Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK; 7Patient

Partner and Medical Student, Northern Ontario School of Medicine.

\*Correspondence to:

Dr. Christine T. Chambers Centre for Pediatric Pain Research IWK Health Centre (K8536) 5850/5980 University Ave Halifax, NS B3K 6R8, Canada christine.chambers@dal.ca

Word Count: 1892

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction**: Chronic pain, defined as persistent or recurring pain or pain lasting longer than three months, is a common childhood problem and can profoundly impact children's physical, psychological, and social functioning. The last comprehensive systematic review estimating the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents was published in 2011. Since then, the literature on pediatric chronic pain has grown substantially. This manuscript outlines a protocol for an updated systematic review to provide updated estimates of the prevalence of various forms of chronic pain in children and adolescence. The review will also examine the relationship between sociodemographic and psychosocial factors related to chronic pain prevalence. Methods and Analysis: This review will follow PRISMA guidelines. We will search EMBASE, PubMed, CINAHL, and PsycINFO for observational studies published in English between 2009 and 2020 reporting population-based estimates of chronic non-disease-related pain prevalence in children or adolescents (age  $\leq$  19 years). Two independent reviewers will screen the titles and abstracts retrieved from the search based on predefined eligibility criteria. The full texts of relevant studies will then be assessed by two reviewers. Studies meeting inclusion criteria will be categorized according to the type of pain investigated: headache only, abdominal pain only, back pain only, musculoskeletal pain, combined pain, general pain, and other pain. Data will be extracted using customized forms and studies will be assessed for risk of bias using a 10-item tool developed by Hoy et al. (2012). A narrative synthesis will summarize the prevalence estimates of pediatric chronic pain and associated sociodemographic and psychosocial correlates. Meta-analyses and meta-regressions will be performed if the data permit.

**Ethics and dissemination**: Ethical approval is not required. Findings will be disseminated through publication in an academic journal, presentations at conferences, and in various media.

**BMJ** Open

PROSPERO registration number: CRD42020198690

to beet even only

60

#### **BMJ** Open

1	
2 3 4	STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY
5 6	• This systematic review will provide updated estimates of the prevalence of various forms
7 8	of chronic pain in children and adolescents.
9 10 11	• A comprehensive literature search will be conducted to identify eligible studies.
12 13	• This systematic review will follow the PRISMA guidelines and will assess included
14 15	articles for risk of bias using a validated quality assessment tool.
16 17	• Heterogeneity in study methods and populations may limit our ability to pool the findings
18 19 20	across studies.
21 22	• The findings of this review will enhance our understanding of the current burden of
23 24	pediatric chronic pain which may help inform the treatment and allocation of clinical
25 26 27	resources for this population.
27 28 29	
30 31	
32 33	
34 35	
36 37 38	
39 40	
41 42	
43 44	
45 46 47	
48 49	
50 51	
52 53	
54 55 56	
57 58	

## **INTRODUCTION**

Chronic pain, often defined as persistent or recurring pain or pain lasting longer than three months,[1] is a common problem in childhood. Although numerous recent populationbased studies have provided estimates of the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents, the reported proportions have varied considerably across studies. For example, the prevalence of primary headache disorders in children and adolescents in recent population-based studies has ranged from 19.4-66.4%,[2,3] while estimates of the prevalence of functional gastrointestinal disorders have varied from 4.6%-31.2%.[4,5] Due to the wide variability in the reported estimates, the current epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents is unclear. The uncertainty regarding the number of children and adolescents impacted by chronic pain may limit the appropriate allocation of clinical services for this population, which are crucial given the pervasive and long-term consequences of chronic pain on young people.

Chronic pain can have a profound impact on children and adolescents. Children who experience chronic pain are at increased risk for depression and anxiety,[6,7] school absences,[8] social isolation,[9] and poorer quality of life.[10] Approximately 5% of children with chronic pain experience severe levels of pain which significantly impact their daily functioning.[11] Unfortunately, many children with chronic pain become adults with chronic pain. In a prospective study of pediatric patients with functional abdominal pain, 35% continued to report recurrent abdominal symptoms when reassessed in adulthood.[12] Similarly, in 14-year follow up study of adolescents with frequent headaches, 19% continued to report weekly headaches in young adulthood.[13] These rates are similar to retrospective reports of chronic pain in childhood by adults with chronic pain. In a study of adolescence. [14]

#### **BMJ** Open

The persistence of chronic pain from childhood to adulthood has significant social and economic consequences. For instance, children with chronic pain are at risk for opioid misuse[15] and psychiatric morbidity in adulthood,[16] and pediatric chronic pain is associated with high rates of outpatient appointments, emergency department visits, and hospitalizations all resulting in creased healthcare costs.[17–19] The total annual cost of pediatric chronic pain in the United States is estimated to be \$19.5 billion.[18] The significant individual, social and economic burden of pediatric chronic pain, and its persistence into adulthood, demonstrates the importance of understanding the epidemiology of this disease in order to improve treatment and reduce the impact that chronic pain has on the lives of children and adolescents.

The most recent, comprehensive review on the epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents was published 2011.[20] This review estimated that the median prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents ranged from 11-38% depending on pain type and varied substantially across studies. The prevalence of chronic pain was found to be higher in girls and prevalence proportions increased with age.[20] The review identified several psychosocial correlates of chronic pain in children and adolescents such as lower socio-economic status, anxiety, depression and low self-esteem.[20] However, other correlates of chronic pain in children as sleep[21,22] and post-traumatic stress disorder,[23,24] have since been identified and were not consistently examined at the population level at the time of the past review. The review also identified several gaps in the understanding of the epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents, including restricted age ranges and lack of longitudinal studies.[20] Furthermore, at the time of the past review the quality of included studies was generally low to moderate and methodological limitations, such as inconsistent

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## **BMJ** Open

definitions of pain between studies, made it difficult to estimate overall prevalence proportions.[20]

The literature on pediatric pain is growing exponentially; a recent bibliometric analysis revealed that there was nearly a 40-fold increase in the number of publications on pediatric pain from 1975 to 2010.[25] Since the last comprehensive systematic review on the epidemiology of chronic pain in children and adolescents,[20] numerous population-based studies estimating the prevalence of various forms of pediatric chronic pain have been published.[26–28] A few recent reviews estimating the prevlance of certain forms of chronic pain, such as functional abdominal pain[29] and headache[30,31] have also been conducted. However, in order to appropriately meet the clinical needs of children and adolescents with chronic pain, an updated review that estimates the proportion of various forms of chronic pain and examines key sociodemographic and psychosocial correlates of chronic pain in children and adolescents is needed.

This manuscript outlines a protocol for a systematic review to update a prior review synthesizing the published literature on the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents. Specifically, the objectives of this review are to: 1) Provide updated estimates of the prevalence of various forms of chronic pain (headache, abdominal pain, back pain, musculoskeletal pain, combined pain, general pain, and other pain) in children and adolescents; 2) Provide an updated examination of sociodemographic (e.g., age, sex, race) and psychosocial (e.g., anxiety, depression, sleep) factors related to the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents; and 3) Assess study quality and identify gaps in the literature and areas for future research.

## **METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

#### **BMJ** Open

This systematic review protocol was prepared in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) guidelines (see supplementary file 1).[32] The study protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) on September 3, 2020. In the event of an amendment to this protocol, the date of the amendment, a description of the change and the rationale will be documented and recorded in PROSPERO. The dates for this review are May 14, 2020-April 1, 2021(expected).

## Search strategy

We will search the following electronic databases: EMBASE, PubMed, CINAHL and PsycINFO. The search terms will be comprised of three conceptual blocks: i) Pain terms (e.g., musculoskeletal pain, back pain, headache, abdominal pain, recurrent pain); ii) Pediatric terms (e.g., child, adolescent, boy, girl); and iii) Epidemiological terms (e.g., epidemiology, prevalence, frequency). The searches will be restricted to English language articles, human studies, and manuscripts published between January 2009-June 2020 (the original systematic review[20] included studies published up until 2009).

### **Eligibility criteria**

Studies will be eligible for inclusion if they meet the following criteria:

- Observational studies using a population-based sampling frame to estimate the prevalence of chronic pain in children or adolescents (study sample age ≤19 years).
- 2. Studies examining the prevalence of chronic pain in children and adolescents, defined as pain with a minimum duration of at least 3 months or pain that is described as chronic, persistent, or recurrent. This definition was selected to align with current conceptualizations of chronic pain [1] while allowing for flexibility to accommodate

established diagnostic criteria for common childhood chronic pain conditions (e.g.,

functional abdominal pain [33] and migraine [34]).

3. Studies published in peer-reviewed journals in English.

Studies meeting the following criteria will be excluded:

- 1. Studies with sampling frames not deemed to be population-based.
- 2. Case studies, conference abstracts, dissertations, reviews, book chapters and qualitative studies.
- Studies reporting on the prevalence of chronic pain in adults (sample age is exclusively ≥20 years)
- 4. Studies of non-human samples.
- 5. Studies examining the prevalence of chronic pain in specific sub-populations, such as children and adolescents with chronic illnesses (e.g., cancer, arthritis) or other health conditions (e.g., cerebral palsy, muscular dystrophy).

Studies reporting on multiple populations (e.g., adults and children), where data on one or more sub-populations that fit the eligibility criteria for this review can be separately identified, will be included and the relevant data will be extracted.

**Screening and Data Extraction** 

Literature search results will be transferred to Covidence systematic review management software and duplicates will be removed. An initial title/abstract review of studies retrieved by the search will be independently conducted by two members of the study team to determine which studies potentially met the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Articles included from the title/abstract review phase will then be reviewed in full by two reviewers. The two reviewers will

## **BMJ** Open

be blinded to each other's decisions. Discrepancies regarding the eligibility of a study will be resolved by consensus, and if necessary, through discussion with a third reviewer.

Data will be extracted from included studies using customized forms. Extracted information will include study design, location where the study was conducted, number of participants, participant demographics (e.g., age, sex, race), study sample (e.g., headache only, abdominal pain only, back pain only, musculoskeletal pain, combined pain, general pain, and other pain), study methodology, prevalence proportion of chronic pain, and sociodemographic (e.g., age, sex, race, parent education, household income) and psychosocial (e.g., anxiety, depression, sleep, post-traumatic stress) predictors of chronic pain. In accordance with the PRISMA guidelines [35], the number of studies meeting inclusion criteria will be recorded and the reason for exclusion of those not included will be documented.

## **Quality Assessment**

Two independent reviewers will assess study quality using the 10-item tool developed by Hoy et al. (2012). This tool was developed to assess external and internal validity of prevalence studies.[36] Response options for each item are either "yes" (indicating low risk of bias) or "no" (indicating high risk of bias).[36] The tool will be adapted for this review if necessary. Consensus will be reached by discussion between the reviewers.

## **Data Synthesis**

A narrative synthesis will summarize the prevalence proportions of chronic pain in children and adolescents in the following categories; headache only; abdominal pain only; back pain only; musculoskeletal pain; combined pain; general pain; and other pain. Additionally, the relationship between psychosocial factors (e.g., sleep, anxiety, and depression) and sociodemographic factors (e.g., sex, age, race and indicators of socio-economic status such as,

## **BMJ** Open

but not limited to, household income, parental level of education and urban versus rural area of residence) and chronic pain in children and adolescents will be reviewed.

Depending on the heterogeneity of included studies the prevalence proportions of chronic pain will be calculated using median prevalence proportions and/or meta-analysis. When at least two or more studies are comparable in terms of the study sample (e.g., category of chronic pain), and methodology (e.g., operationalization of chronic pain), we will pool the effects to determine the prevalence proportion of chronic pain across studies. Similarly, if studies have used similar methods to examine the relationship between certain sociodemographic and/or psychosocial variables in comparable chronic pain samples, we will conduct separate meta-regressions to examine the relationship between these variables and chronic pain across studies.

Reporting of this systematic review will follow PRISMA guidelines.[37] A PRISMA flow diagram will be included in the final manuscript of this review.

## **Ethics and Dissemination**

Ethical approval will not be sought for this study, as no human subject participants will be involved. A manuscript outlining the results of this review will be submitted for publication in a peer-reviewed academic journal and for presentation at relevant academic conferences. Results will be publicly disseminated through social media, news and media outlets, and newsletters and blog posts, as appropriate.

#### **IMPLICATIONS OF THE REVIEW**

We anticipate that the results from this review will enhance our understanding of the current burden of pediatric chronic pain which may help inform treatment and allocation of clinical resources for this population. Furthermore, findings from this study will identify priority areas for research on the epidemiology of chronic pain to guide future research efforts. Through

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

#### **BMJ** Open

our planned knowledge translation efforts, findings of the review will be disseminated not only to clinicians and scientists, but also to patients and families, which may aid in public awareness and advocacy efforts.

## PATIENT AND PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

This protocol was designed in collaboration with a patient partner and co-author, JM. JM will remain involved as a patient partner throughout all steps of the review.

## CONTRIBUTORS

CTC conceived the study idea. CL, PRT, and JAP designed the study protocol, data extraction, and statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. CTC, DC, GAF, GTJ, GJM and JM provided critical insights at all stages. All authors approved and contributed to the final manuscript.

## **FUNDING**

This work was supported by an operating grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (FRN167902) awarded to CTC and funding from the Dalhousie Medical Research Foundation (DMRF). CTC is the senior author and is supported by a Tier 1 Canada Research Chair with infrastructure support from the Canada Foundation for Innovation. CL is supported by an IWK Health Centre Summer Studentship [1025420]. PRT is supported by a Research Nova Scotia Scholars Award, a Nova Scotia Graduate Scholarship, and an IWK Graduate Studentship Award and is a trainee member of Pain Child Health (PICH).

## **COMPETING INTERESTS**

None declared.

## PATIENT CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not required.

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Data sharing not applicable as no datasets were generated and/or analysed for this

protocol.

tor peer terien only

1	1
	4

1	Treade D. D. Dief.W. Derlee A. et al. A classification of changing and for ICD 11. DAIN
1	Treede K-D, Klef W, Barke A, et al. A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. PAIN
	2015; <b>156</b> :1. doi:10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000160
2	Al-Hashel JY, Ahmed SF, Alroughani R. Prevalence and burden of primary headache
	disorders in Kuwaiti children and adolescents: A community based study. Front Neurol
	2019;10:793. doi:10.3389/fneur.2019.00793
3	Malik AH, Shah PA, Yaseen Y. Prevalence of primary headache disorders in school-going
	children in Kashmir Valley (North-west India). Ann Indian Acad Neurol 2012;15:100-3.
	doi:10.4103/0972-2327.100030
4	Zheng S, Fu W, Zhou J, et al. Prevalence and related factors of irritable bowel syndrome
	among middle-school students in areas affected by Wenchuan earthquake: An
	epidemiological study. J. Clin. Gastroenterol. 2012;46:345-6.
	doi:10.1097/MCG.0b013e31824712d0
5	Demirceken FG, Kurt G, Dulkadir R, et al. Functional dyspepsia in children: A Turkish
	prospective survey in Kirikkale province. 2010.
6	Shelby GD, Shirkey KC, Sherman AL, et al. Functional abdominal pain in childhood and
	long-term vulnerability to anxiety disorders. <i>Pediatrics</i> 2013; <b>132</b> :475–82.
	doi:10.1542/peds.2012-2191
7	Simons LE, Sieberg CB, Claar RL. Anxiety and impairment in a large sample of children
	and adolescents with chronic pain. Pain Res Manag 2012;17:93-7. doi:10.1155/2012/42067
8	Logan DE, Gray LS, Iversen CN, et al. School Self-Concept in Adolescents With Chronic
	Pain I Padiate Payahal 2017:42:802 001 dai:10.1002/inanay/igy062

9	Maes M, Van den Noortgate W, Fustolo-Gunnink SF, et al. Loneliness in Children and			
	Adolescents With Chronic Physical Conditions: A Meta-Analysis. J Pediatr Psychol			
	2017; <b>42</b> :622-35. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsx046			
10	Gold JI, Yetwin AK, Mahrer NE, et al. Pediatric Chronic Pain and Health-Related Quality of			
	Life. J Pediatr Nurs 2009;24:141-50. doi:10.1016/j.pedn.2008.07.003			
11	Huguet A, Miró J. The Severity of Chronic Pediatric Pain: An Epidemiological Study. J Pain			
	2008; <b>9</b> :226–36. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2007.10.015			
12	Walker LS, Dengler-Crish CM, Rippel S, et al. Functional abdominal pain in childhood and			
	adolescence increases risk for chronic pain in adulthood. PAIN 2010;150:568-572.			
	doi:10.1016/j.pain.2010.06.018			
13	Larsson B, Sigurdson JF, Sund AM. Long-term follow-up of a community sample of			
	adolescents with frequent headaches. J Headache Pain 2018;19:79. doi:10.1186/s10194-			
	018-0908-5			
14	Hassett AL, Hilliard PE, Goesling J, et al. Reports of chronic pain in childhood and			
	adolescence among patients at a tertiary care pain clinic. J Pain 2013;14:1390-7.			
	doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2013.06.010			
15	Groenewald CB, Law EF, Fisher E, et al. Associations Between Adolescent Chronic Pain			
	and Prescription Opioid Misuse in Adulthood. J Pain 2019;20:28-37.			
	doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.07.007			
16	Noel M, Groenewald CB, Beals-Erickson SE, et al. Chronic Pain in Adolescence and			
	Internalizing Mental Health Disorders: A Nationally Representative Study. Pain			
	2016;157:1333-8. doi:10.1097/j.pain.000000000000522			

16

17 of 21		BMJ Open
		16
	17	Ho IK, Goldschneider KR, Kashikar-Zuck S, et al. Healthcare Utilization and Indirect
		Burden among Families of Pediatric Patients with Chronic Pain. J Musculoskelet Pain
		2008; <b>16</b> :155–64. doi:10.1080/10582450802161853
	18	Groenewald CB, Essner BS, Wright D, et al. The economic costs of chronic pain among a
		cohort of treatment-seeking adolescents in the United States. J Pain 2014;15:925-33.
		doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2014.06.002
	19	Tumin D, Drees D, Miller R, et al. Health Care Utilization and Costs Associated With
		Pediatric Chronic Pain. J Pain 2018;19:973-82. doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2018.03.012
	20	King S, Chambers CT, Huguet A, et al. The epidemiology of chronic pain in children and
		adolescents revisited: A systematic review. Pain 2011;152:2729-38.
		doi:10.1016/j.pain.2011.07.016
	21	Evans S, Djilas V, Seidman LC, et al. Sleep Quality, Affect, Pain, and Disability in Children
		With Chronic Pain: Is Affect a Mediator or Moderator? J Pain 2017;18:1087–95.
		doi:10.1016/j.jpain.2017.04.007
	22	Murphy LK, Palermo TM, Tham SW, et al. Comorbid Sleep Disturbance in Adolescents
		with Functional Abdominal Pain. Behav Sleep Med 2020;0:1–10.
		doi:10.1080/15402002.2020.1781634
	23	Noel M, Wilson AC, Holley AL, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in youth with
		vs without chronic pain. Pain 2016;157:2277-84. doi:10.1097/j.pain.00000000000642
	24	Stahlschmidt L, Rosenkranz F, Dobe M, et al. Posttraumatic stress disorder in children and
		adolescents with chronic pain. Health Psychol 2020;39:463-70. doi:10.1037/hea0000859
		For peer review only - http://bmionen.bmi.com/site/about/quidelines.yhtml

25 Caes L, Boerner KE, Chambers CT, *et al.* A comprehensive categorical and bibliometric analysis of published research articles on pediatric pain from 1975 to 2010. Pain.
2016;157:302–13. doi:10.1097/j.pain.000000000000403

- 26 Oswari H, Alatas FS, Hegar B, *et al.* Functional abdominal pain disorders in adolescents in Indonesia and their association with family related stress. *BMC Pediatr* 2019;19:342–5. doi:10.1186/s12887-019-1682-5 [doi]
- 27 Aartun E, Hartvigsen J, Wedderkopp N, *et al.* Spinal pain in adolescents: prevalence, incidence, and course: a school-based two-year prospective cohort study in 1,300 Danes aged 11–13. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2014;15:187. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-15-187
- 28 Arruda MA, Arruda R, Guidetti V, *et al.* Psychosocial adjustment of children with migraine and tension-type headache a nationwide study. *Headache* 2015;55 Suppl 1:39–50. doi:10.1111/head.12510
- Korterink JJ, Diederen K, Benninga MA, *et al.* Epidemiology of Pediatric Functional Abdominal Pain Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. *PLOS ONE* 2015;10:e0126982–e0126982. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126982
- 30 Albers L, von Kries R, Heinen F, *et al.* Headache in School Children: Is the Prevalence Increasing? *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2015;**19**:4. doi:10.1007/s11916-015-0477-0
- Wöber-Bingöl Ç. Epidemiology of migraine and headache in children and adolescents topical collection on childhood and adolescent headache. *Curr Pain Headache Rep* 2013;17:1–11. doi:10.1007/s11916-013-0341-z
- 32 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, *et al.* Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Rev Espanola Nutr Humana Diet* 2016;**20**:148–60. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1

## BMJ Open

33	Hyams JS, Di Lorenzo C, Saps M, et al. Childhood Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders:
	Child/Adolescent. Gastroenterology 2016;150:1456-1468.e2.
	doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.015
34	Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS). The
	International Classification of Headache Disorders, 3rd edition. <i>Cephalalgia</i> 2018; <b>38</b> :1–211.
	doi:10.1177/0333102417738202
35	Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and
	meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6.
	doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
36	Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: Modification
	of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:934–9.
	doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2011.11.014
37	Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and
	meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6.
	doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

Additional file 1: PRISMA-P (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist	Reporting Page No
ADMINISTR	ATIVE	INFORMATION	
Title:			
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	1,3
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number	3
Authors:			
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	1
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	12
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	8
Support:			
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	12
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor Role of sponsor/ funder	N/A
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	N/A
INTRODUCT	ION		
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	5-7
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	7
METHODS			·
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years	8-9

PRISMA Protocol Checklin

		considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review	
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage	8
Search Strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated	To be included in final manuscript
Study Records			•
	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review	9
	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)	9-11
	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators	9-11
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications	9,10
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale	9-11
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis	10
Data synthesis			
	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized	10,11
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., I 2, Kendall's tau)	10,11
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	10,11
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	10,11

Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	N/A
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)	N/A

From: Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart L, PRISMA-P Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ. 2015 Jan 2;349(jan02 1):g7647.

or occiter on only