











Figure S1. Molecular catalog of adult RGCs, related to Figure 1

(A) Barplot showing relative proportions (y-axis) of RGCs, non-RGCs and low-quality cells (colors) in scRNA-seq biological replicates from adult fish (x-axis). Recovered cell numbers from each replicate are indicated on top. non-RGCs include rods, bipolar cells (BC), amacrine cells (AC), Muller glia (MG), and endothelial cells (EC). Clusters containing "cells" with low gene counts that did not exhibit any distinguishing markers were excluded from the analysis.

(B) Barplot showing the relative proportion (y-axis) of each biological replicate in adult RGC clusters (x-axis), showing lack of strong batch effects.

(C) Violin plot showing distributions of the number of transcripts detected per cell (y-axis) in each adult RGC cluster (x-axis).

(D) Violin plot showing distributions of the number of genes detected per cell (y-axis) in each adult RGC cluster (x-axis).

(E) Dotplot showing top three selectively enriched markers (columns) in each adult RGC cluster (rows). Representation and row ordering as in **Figure 1F**.



Figure S2. Molecular catalog of larval RGCs, related to Figure 3

(A) Immunohistochemical labeling of larval Tg(isl2b:tagRFP) retina sections show that RGCs, located in the innermost ganglion cell layer (GCL) of the retina, are robustly and uniformly labeled by RFP. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(B) 3D projection of RGC axons labeled in a live *Tg(isl2b:tagRFP)* larva. Anatomical locations corresponding to arborization fields (AF) 4, 7 and 9 and the tectum are marked. D, dorsal; P, posterior; M, medial. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(C) Confocal plane across retinotectal layers in a live *Tg(isl2b:tagRFP)* larva shows complete labeling of all innervation domains: SO, stratum opticum; SFGS, stratum fibrosum et griseum superficiale; SGC, stratum griseum centrale; SAC/SPV, stratum album centrale/stratum periventriculare. A, anterior; M, medial. Scale bar, 50 µm.

(D) Barplot showing relative proportions (y-axis) of RGCs and non-RGC contaminant classes in replicates of larval samples (x-axis). Cell numbers from each replicate are indicated on top.

(E) tSNE visualization of all larval RGC clusters combined with cells colored by sample of origin, showing lack of strong batch effects.

(F) tSNE visualization of 29 transcriptional clusters (colors) derived from 11,406 captured larval transcriptomes showing both immature and mature RGCs (points). Clusters are ordered in decreasing relative frequency.

(G) Violin plots showing the distribution of the number of transcripts detected per cell (y-axis) in each larval RGC cluster (x-axis).

(H) Violin plot showing the distribution of the number of genes detected per cell (y-axis) in each larval RGC cluster (x-axis).

(I) Relative frequency (y-axis) of larval RGC clusters (x-axis), ordered from highest to lowest.

(J) Dotplot showing the top three selectively enriched markers (columns) in each larval RGC cluster (rows). Representation and row ordering as in **Figure 3A**.



Figure S3. Variably expressed genes across larval RGC types and maturational changes, related to Figure 3

(A-B) Dotplots highlighting variably expressed TFs in larval RGC clusters, subdivided into broad (A), and restricted (B) categories. Representation as in **Figure 3A**. The full list is provided in **Table S2**.

(C) Dotplot highlighting key cell surface and secreted molecules selectively expressed in larval RGC clusters.

(D) Dotplot highlighting neuropeptides selectively expressed in larval RGC clusters.

(E) Dotplot highlighting genes (columns) that distinguish immature versus mature larval RGC clusters (as in Figure 3A) across adult RGC clusters (rows), ordered as in Figure 1G.

(F) Transcriptional correspondence between adult and mature larval RGC clusters (as in Figure 3D) but transposed and renormalized to highlight the proportional mapping of each larval cluster (row) to adult clusters (columns). Circles and colors indicate the proportion of cells in a mature larval cluster (row) mapped to a corresponding adult RGC cluster (column) by the xgboost algorithm trained on adult RGCs. Each row is normalized to sum to 100%.

(G) Violin plots showing genes that are up- or down-regulated during maturation in a type-specific manner. Expression values (y-axis) of genes (panels) are plotted for each of the six 1:1 matching larval and adult clusters in panel D (columns). Colored bars indicate matching cluster pairs.

(H) tSNE visualization of larval cluster 7 cells (n = 539). Cells are grouped based on their adult identity as assigned by the supervised classifier.

(I) Dotplot highlighting differentially expressed genes between subclusters of larval cluster 7.



ath5:Cre

C • RFP • GFP • DAPI • Anti-syt2



D • RFP • GFP • DAPI • Anti-syt2



E • RFP • GFP • DAPI • Anti-syt2



F • RFP • GFP

AF7

G • RFP • GFP



Figure S4. Distinct anatomical features of molecularly defined RGC subclasses, related to Figure 4

(A) Genetic intersection via "AND" logic using the RGC-specific line *Tg(ath5:Cre)* refines transgene expression to RGCs in a ubiquitous driver line.

(B) Immunostained *Tg(ath5:Cre, β-actin:loxP-GFP-loxP-tagRFP)* larvae show that Cre drives recombination specifically in RGCs as shown by GFP to RFP conversion. Cre⁻ cells continue to express the default reporter GFP. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(C-E) Characterization of novel RGC-cluster specific driver lines. Overview of immunostained *Tg(driver:QF2, QUAS:switchNTR, ath5:Cre)* larvae, where the "driver" corresponds to *mafaa* (C), *tbr1b* (D), and *eomesa* (E). Besides RFP-labeled cluster-specific RGCs, each marker is expressed in additional tissues: *mafaa* is expressed in muscles and neurons in midbrain and hindbrain, *tbr1b* is expressed in the forebrain and habenula, and *eomesa* is expressed in forebrain, habenula and cerebellum. Scale bar, 100µm.

(F) Frontal confocal view of AF7 innervated by *mafaa*⁺ RGCs in the ventromedial neuropil as labeled in live *Tg(mafaa:QF2, QUAS:switchNTR, ath5Cre, isl2b:GFP)* larval fish. D, dorsal; M, medial. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(G) Confocal images of divergent extra-tectal *eomesa*⁺ RGC innervations in AF1, AF2, AF3, AF4 and pretectal dorsal AF9 (AF9d) but not ventral AF9 (AF9v). A, anterior; M, medial; D, dorsal; P, posterior. Scale bar, 20 μm.



B • RFP • GFP • DAPI • Anti-syt2



Figure S5. Unique morphotypes within RGC subclasses, Related to Figure 5

(A) Overview of immunostained *Tg(tbx3a:QF2, QUAS:switchNTR, ath5:Cre)* larvae. Besides RFP-labeled RGC types, *tbx3a* is expressed in other retinal cell types (Müller glia and bipolar cells) and hypothalamic neurons. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(B) Immunostained *Tg*(*eomesa:QF2, QUAS:GFP, tbx20:Gal4, UAS:NTR-mCherry*) larvae. Because there is no crosstalk between the binary expression systems Gal4-UAS and Q-system, *eomesa*⁺ cells and *tbx20*⁺ cells could be labeled independently and co-visualized in GFP and RFP, respectively. Scale bar, 100 μ m.



Figure S6. Distinct responses across retinotectal laminae, Related to Figure 6

(A-C) Representative recorded neuropil with pixels color-coded by response group assignment as determined in **Figure 6G-I** for *isl2b*⁺ RGCs (A), *eomesa*⁺ RGCs (B) and $tbx20^+$ RGCs (C). L, lateral; P, posterior. Scale bar, 20 µm.

(D) Fourteen main response groups can be distinguished from functional imaging across retinotectal laminae using Tg(isl2b:Gal4, UAS:GCaMP6s) larvae. Shown is the dendrogram from hierarchical clustering of response exemplars. Neuronal activity to a certain type of visual stimulus is indicated by the correlation score.

(E) Activity traces of fourteen classified tectal response groups shown in D aligned with the visual stimulus sequence. Shown are the average traces (colored) and all representing exemplars that fall into the group (grey).

(F) Quantification of relative frequency of *isl2b*⁺ RGCs response groups in the tectum.

(G) Pixels originating from distinct RGC axons innervating tectal laminae were color coded by response group assignment. A, anterior; L, lateral. Scale bar, 50 µm.





В







С

F





Figure S7. Intrinsic photosensitivity in the zebrafish retina and its implication in phototaxis, Related to Figure 7

(A) Relative proportions of intron (y-axis) and exon (x-axis) annotated transcripts for melanopsin genes, *eomesa*, and *rbpms2b* in the larval (blue) and adult (red) data. These counts were computed using velocyto (La Manno et al., 2018).

(B) Read alignment patterns at the loci corresponding to *opn4b* (*top*), *opn4xa* (*middle*) and *eomesa* (*bottom*). For each gene (panel), the gene body definition is provided in the bottom-most row, with exons denoted by boxes, introns by lines and the arrows indicating the 5' to 3' direction. The pile-up of read counts from two separate adult samples shown for each gene. While peaks for *eomesa* correspond to exonic locations, those for *opn4b* and *opn4a* are predominantly derived from introns, consistent with panel A.

(C) Barplots showing relative frequency (y-axis) of detection of transcripts of melanopsin genes (x-axis) in various cell classes in the larval (top) and adult (bottom) dataset. Cell classes, denoted by colors, correspond to those in **Figures S1A** and **S2D**, respectively. Recovered numbers of transcripts (intronic + exonic) are indicated on the top of each bar for each gene.

(D) Dotplots showing type-specific expression of melanopsin genes in adult RGCs, as in **Figure 7A.** *Left*: Of the five melanopsin homologs (columns), only *opn4xa* and *opn4b* have discernible expression in specific adult RGC clusters (rows) when intronic reads are accounted for. *Right: opn4xa* and *opn4b* expressing clusters include *eomesa*⁺ RGC types but not *mafaa*⁺ or *tbr1b*⁺ types. C27, the only adult *eomesa*⁻ RGC type that expresses melanopsin, is marked by the co-expression of *onecut1* and *shisa9b*.

(E) Maximum projections of the tectum of live imaged transgenic fish expressing nitroreductase (NTR) in *ath5*⁺ RGCs (left) and *eomesa*⁺ RGCs (right) before and after treatment with metronidazole (MTZ). M, medial; P, posterior. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(F) Phototaxis index (PI) values as determined by time spent (duration) in the dim or lit half of the arena for all tested groups: NTR⁻ Tg(ath5:QF2) and Tg(eomesa:QF2) control siblings as well as $ath5^+$ RGC-ablated blind fish and $eomesa^+$ RGC-ablated larvae. Shown is a barplot with a superimposed dotplot, where each dot represents one fish. Error bars represent SEM. * p<0.05, *** p<0.001 (Dunn post-hoc test).