

The Journal of Physiology Statistical Summary Document

Manuscript Title: Loss of *Baiap2l2* destabilizes the transducing stereocilia of cochlear hair cells and leads to deafness

Authors: Adam J. Carlton, Julia Halford, Anna Underhill, Jing-Yi Jeng, Matthew R. Avenarius, Merle L. Gilbert, Federico Ceriani, Kimimuepigha Ebisine, Steve D. M. Brown, Michael R. Bowl, Peter G. Barr-Gillespie, Walter Marcotti

Animal model used, if applicable:

Mouse— *Baiap2l2*^{tm1b/+}, *Baiap2l2*^{tm1b/tm1b}, *Baiap2l2*^{Δ16/Δ16}, *Baiap2l2*^{+/^{Δ16}} and *Baiap2l2*^{+/+}

Underlying hypothesis:

This study investigates the hypothesis that the loss of BAIAP2L2 causes defects in stereocilia and leads to deafness.

Definitions of ‘n’:

Question 1-5: n = number of animals

Question 6-9: n = number of stereocilia

Question 10-17: n = number of cells

Mice were from both sexes unless otherwise stated

Statistical summary table:

Experimental question number*	Finding/conclusion	Experimental location/ variable e.g. cortex vs cerebellum or genotype	Mean or Median value	SD or MAD	n (value)	P	Units	Data comparisons e.g. WT vs KO	Statistical test	Any other variable e.g. subjects' age or sex	Figure/table in which data are presented	Comments e.g. observation
1. Click-evoked ABR thresholds	No ABR threshold difference in <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} from between P19 and P245.	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} P14	61.67	2.58	6	< 0.0001	dB	All ages	1-way ANOVA	14-245 days	2A	
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} P19-22	42.50	1.14	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} P48-53	40.63	3.20	8							
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} P104-116	39.00	2.24	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} P166-245	39.17	2.04	6							
		Statistical tests for the above data from <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} .						0.1357		All ages above P19	1-way ANOVA	

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

						< 0.0001		P14 vs. P19-22	1-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-test			
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> P14	85.56	6.35	9							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> P19-22	69.64	1.23	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> P48-53	80.00	6.45	7	< 0.0001	dB	All ages	1-way ANOVA	P14-P245		
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> P104-116	95.83	4.92	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> P166-245	100.00	0.00	6							
		Statistical tests for the above data from <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>				< 0.0001		P14 vs. P19-22	1-way ANOVA, Tukey's post-test			
						0.2185		P14 vs. P48-61				
		Statistical tests for the above data from <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>				< 0.0001		All ages	2-way ANOVA			
2. Pure-tone ABR thresholds	Elevated in <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 3 kHz	86.67	2.58	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 6 kHz	75.00	3.16	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 12 kHz	45.00	6.32	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 18 kHz	37.50	6.89	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 24 kHz	35.00	6.12	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 30 kHz	48.00	6.71	5							
						dB	P14			P14-P245	2B, 2C	

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 36 kHz	67.00	7.58	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 3 kHz	80.71	1.37	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 6 kHz	46.79	0.66	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 12 kHz	23.93	1.30	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 18 kHz	24.29	1.16	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 24 kHz	30.00	1.05	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 30 kHz	36.43	0.82	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 36 kHz	44.29	1.03	14							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 3 kHz	70.00	6.55	8							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 6 kHz	38.13	2.59	8							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 12 kHz	21.25	3.54	8							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 18 kHz	24.38	4.17	8							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 24 kHz	35.00	4.63	8							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 30 kHz	50.71	6.73	7							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 36 kHz	86.43	9.00	7							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 3 kHz	39.00	2.24	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 3 kHz	62.00	5.70	5							

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 24 kHz	66.11	11.40	9						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 30 kHz	83.89	11.67	9						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 36 kHz	97.22	2.64	9						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 3 kHz	85.00	1.48	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 6 kHz	60.00	1.39	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 12 kHz	52.50	2.95	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 18 kHz	68.21	2.20	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 24 kHz	78.93	1.30	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 30 kHz	86.79	1.00	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 36 kHz	93.57	0.82	14						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 3 kHz	80.71	3.45	7						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 6 kHz	65.00	8.16	7						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 12 kHz	62.86	11.85	7						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 18 kHz	80.71	10.97	7						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 24 kHz	96.43	5.56	7						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 30 kHz	100.00	0.00	7						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 36 kHz	100.00	0.00	7						
								P19-22			
								P48-53			

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

	36 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	88.33	5.16	6		P104-116				
	3 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	80.00	6.32	6						
	6 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	88.33	10.3	6						
	12 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	18 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6		P166-245				
	24 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	30 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	36 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	3 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	6 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	12 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	18 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	24 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	30 kHz									
	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	100.00	0.00	6						
	36 kHz									
	Statistical tests for the above data				< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} at P14	2-way ANOVA		

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> at P19-22	2-way ANOVA			
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> at P48-53	2-way ANOVA			
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> at P104-116	2-way ANOVA			
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> at P166-245	2-way ANOVA			
3. Wave 1 amplitude and latency	Wave 1 amplitude and latency were different between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	Wave 1 amplitude.	< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA	P19-53	2F	
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA,	P19-22		
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	Tukey's post-test	P48-53		
		Wave 1 latency.	0.0247		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA	P19-53		
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA,	P19-22		
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	Tukey's post-test	P48-53		
4. Wave 1 amplitude and latency relative to threshold	Wave 1 amplitude was different between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	Wave 1 amplitude relative to threshold.	< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA	P19-53	2G	
			< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA,	P19-22		
			0.0468		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	Tukey's post-test	P48-53		

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

	<i>b/tm1b</i> and <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1}_{b/tm1b}</i>	Wave 1 latency relative to threshold.				0.9677		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	2-way ANOVA	P19-53		
5. DPOAE threshold	DPOAE thresholds in <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1}_{b/tm1b}</i> mice were raised compared to <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1}_{b/+}</i>	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 6 kHz	75	5	16	< 0.0001	dB	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	aligned ranks transformati on 2-way ANOVA	P19-61	3A,D	Data are median and MAD
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 12 kHz	40	0	16							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 18 kHz	50	10	16							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 24 kHz	50	10	16							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 6 kHz	85	5	18							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 12 kHz	70	10	18							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 18 kHz	80	10	18	< 0.0001						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 24 kHz	75	15	18							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 6 kHz	80	10	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 12 kHz	30	0	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 18 kHz	50	0	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 24 kHz	60	10	5							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 6 kHz	90	0	6	< 0.0001						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 12 kHz	70	10	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 18 kHz	90	0	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> 24 kHz	90	0	6							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 6 kHz	70	0	6	< 0.0001						
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 12 kHz	40	5	6							
<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> 18 kHz	70	20	6									
									P166-245	3C,F		

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+} 24 kHz	90	0	6						
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} 6 kHz	80	10	6						
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} 12 kHz	90	0	6						
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} 18 kHz	90	0	6						
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} 24 kHz	90	0	6						
6. IHC stereocilia length	No consistent trend in the direction of the differences.	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	3.20	0.35	42	0.6481	μm	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P0.5	7A
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	3.25	0.35	12						
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	3.14	0.43	15	0.1571		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P3.5	7B
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	3.33	0.46	42						
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.84	0.24	9	0.0705		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7C
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	3.07	0.32	16						
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	3.35	0.35	13	0.0506		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P8.5	7D
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	3.64	0.42	15						
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	6.14	0.67	4	0.7017		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7E
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	6.26	0.48	12						
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	6.50	0.24	9	0.1664		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P21	7F
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	6.13	0.75	10						
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	2.55	0.34	42	0.7933		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P0.5	7A
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.58	0.29	12						
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	2.16	0.35	15	0.2737		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P3.5	7B
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.05	0.34	42						
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.01	0.22	9	0.3790		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7C
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.90	0.34	16						
Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.24	0.26	13	0.0003	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	P8.5	7D				
Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.84	0.25	15								
Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	2.18	0.33	4	0.1657		P14.5	7E				

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.41	0.26	12			<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}					
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.27	0.27	9	0.7738		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P21	7F		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.30	0.29	10								
7. IHC stereocilia width	No consistent trend in the direction of the differences.	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.33	0.04	42		0.0251	μm	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P0.5	7G	
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.30	0.03	12							P3.5	7H
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.46	0.06	15	< 0.001		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7I		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.56	0.06	42					P8.5	7J		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.43	0.02	9	< 0.001		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7K		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.58	0.07	16					P21	7L		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.64	0.06	13	0.1010		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P0.5	7G		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.68	0.07	15					P3.5	7H		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.79	0.04	4	0.0326		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7I		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.87	0.07	12					P8.5	7J		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.64	0.03	9	0.2048		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7K		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.67	0.04	10					P21	7L		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.32	0.04	42	0.0009		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P0.5	7G		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.28	0.03	12					P3.5	7H		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.57	0.06	15	0.3067		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7I		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.60	0.08	42					P8.5	7J		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.51	0.05	9	0.0136		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7K		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.60	0.09	16					P21	7L		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.67	0.07	13	0.0158		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P0.5	7G		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.57	0.12	15					P3.5	7H		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.59	0.11	4	0.0551		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7I		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.68	0.07	12					P8.5	7J		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.51	0.06	9	0.7722		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7K		
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.52	0.06	10					P21	7L		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.73	0.30	13	0.0804	μm	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P5.5	7M		
		Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.88	0.19	23								

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

8. OHC stereocilia length	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	3.00	0.17	73	0.0289	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P8.5	7N																
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	3.11	0.38	45																					
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.84	0.20	31	0.0598		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P14.5	7O															
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.96	0.29	38																					
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	3.16	1.14	12	0.8971			<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P21	7P														
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	3.20	0.13	15																					
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.12	0.27	13	0.0384					<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P5.5	7M												
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.32	0.27	23																					
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	1.90	0.22	73	0.0090							<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P8.5	7N										
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.79	0.23	45																					
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	2.02	0.19	31	0.2298									<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P14.5	7O								
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.96	0.21	38																					
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	1.90	0.67	12	0.2183											<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P21	7P						
	Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	2.12	0.16	15																					
	Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	1.07	0.17	3	0.0814													<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P5.5	7M				
	Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.32	0.21	10																					
	Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	1.25	0.15	64	< 0.0001															<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test	P8.5	7N		
	Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.07	0.13	17																					
Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	1.28	0.20	22	0.2994	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test																P14.5	7O		
Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.18	0.17	6																						
Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.98	0.25	9	-			<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}															-	P21	7P	
Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	1.35	N/A	1																						
9. OHC stereocilia width	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.32	0.03	13				0.0023	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}														t-test	P5.5	7Q
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.28	0.03	23																					
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.35	0.03	73				< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test													P8.5	7R
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.38	0.04	45																					
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.34	0.04	31				0.2820				<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test											P14.5	7S
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.35	0.04	38																					
	Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.30	0.03	12				0.7075						<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	t-test									P21	7T
Row 1 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.30	0.04	15																						

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.40	0.06	13	0.1253		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7Q	
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.38	0.03	23							
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.37	0.03	73	0.0214		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P8.5	7R	
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.38	0.04	45							
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.41	0.04	31	0.8592		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7S	
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.41	0.04	38							
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.36	0.02	12	0.0094		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P21	7T	
		Row 2 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.32	0.04	15							
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.27	0.10	3	0.1143		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P5.5	7Q	
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.21	0.04	10							
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+}	0.29	0.04	64	0.4959		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P8.5	7R	
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.28	0.05	17							
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.34	0.05	22	0.0470		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}		P14.5	7S	
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.30	0.03	6							
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{+/+}	0.26	0.06	9	-		-		P21	7T	
		Row 3 <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{Δ16/Δ16}	0.20	N/A	1							
10. OHC maximal MET current at -124 mV	MET currents were smaller in <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} at P11	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	-915	145	11	0.1711	pA	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	t-test	P8-9	8G	
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	-767	327	17							
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	-1200	317	6	0.0036		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}		P11		
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	-511	131	4							
11. OHC maximal MET current at +96 mV	MET currents were smaller in <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} at P11	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	955	206	11	0.2020	pA	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	t-test	P8-9	8H	
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	805	337	16							
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	1484	205	5	< 0.0001		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}		P11		
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	579	79	4							
12. OHC MET	No difference	<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	0.065	0.028	11	0.1035		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b} vs. <i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/+}	t-test	P8-9	8I	
		<i>Baiap2l2</i> ^{tm1b/tm1b}	0.113	0.090	17							

The Journal of Physiology

Statistical Summary Document

channel open probability at -124 mV	between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and control.	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	0.085	0.017	6	0.1950		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>		P11					
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	0.122	0.063	4										
13. OHC MET channel open probability at +96 mV	No difference between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and control.	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	0.394	0.119	11	0.0680		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	t-test	P8-9	8J				
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	0.472	0.094	16										
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	0.360	0.063	5	0.4225		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>		P11					
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	0.398	0.071	4										
14. Uptake of FM1-43 by OHCs	FM1-43 uptake is highly reduced in OHCs from <i>Baiap2l2^{Δ16/+}</i> mice	No BAPTA <i>Baiap2l2^{Δ16/+}</i>	100.0	11.9	24	0.0001	%	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	t-test		8M				
		No BAPTA <i>Baiap2l2^{Δ16/Δ16}</i>	66.4	15.8	36										
		5 mM BAPTA <i>Baiap2l2^{Δ16/+}</i>	45.6	11.5	24	0.0001									
		5 mM BAPTA <i>Baiap2l2^{Δ16/Δ16}</i>	37.4	6.1	24										
15. Size and Po of the MET current in adult IHCs	No difference between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and control.	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	-358	96	16	0.286	pA	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	t-test	P19-P28	8O left panel				
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	-317	84	12										
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	0.023	0.008	16	0.3229					8O right panel				
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i>	0.026	0.006	12										
16. IHC I_K at 0 mV, $I_{K,f}$ at -25 mV and $I_{K,n}$ at -124 mV	No difference between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and control.	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> I_K	10.06	3.10	7	0.3001	nA	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	t-test	P28-38	9E, left panel				
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> I_K	11.49	1.99	8										
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> $I_{K,f}$	1.29	0.21	7	0.1312	nA				<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>		t-test	P28-38	9E, right panel
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> $I_{K,f}$	1.53	0.35	8										
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> $I_{K,n}$	0.245	0.052	6	0.8122	nA								t-test

The Journal of
Physiology Statistical Summary Document

	<i>b/tm1b</i> and control.	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> $I_{K,n}$	0.235	0.097	7			<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>				
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> <i>Cm</i>	12.2	2.9	8							
17. OHC $I_{K,n}$ at -124 mV	No difference between <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> and control.	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> $I_{K,n}$	0.440	0.051	3	0.4592	nA	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	t-test	P12	10C	
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> $I_{K,n}$	0.410	0.037	3							
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i> $I_{K,n}$	0.857	0.220	8	0.1022	nA	<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> vs. <i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/+}</i>	t-test	P29-40	10F	
		<i>Baiap2l2^{tm1b/tm1b}</i> $I_{K,n}$	0.671	0.150	6							