CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) -Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be

- a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
- b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (nonpharmacologic treatment) items.

Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.

In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS, or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED). Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):

Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group

CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and

Mobile Health Interventions

J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126 URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/

doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923 PMID: 22209829

* Required

Your name *

First Last

Tamar Krishnamurti

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

University of Pittsburgh

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

tamark@pitt.edu

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Mobile Remote Monitoring of Intimate Partner Violence Among Pregnant Patients During COVID-19 Sheltering-In-Place: A Quality Improvement Pilot Study

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

MHP [MyHealthyPregnancy]

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Apple v.1.4.7; Android v.1.8

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English, Spanish

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://www.naimahealth.com/myhealthypregnancy-1

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

| Accessibility Can an enduser | access the intervention presently? |
|------------------------------|---|
| access is | s free and open |
| access o | nly for special usergroups, not open |
| access is | s open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases |
| app/inter | rvention no longer accessible |
| Other: | |
| | |
| e.g. "Stress", "Di | dical Indication/Disease/Condition * labetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)" |
| Pregnancy | |

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Intimate Partner Violence risk screening

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

*note that this report is not of a trial and intervention outcomes will be published in a separate manuscript

| Recommended "Dose" * |
|--|
| What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used? |
| |
| Approximately Daily |
| Approximately Weekly |
| Approximately Monthly |
| Approximately Yearly |
| "as needed" |
| Other: |
| |
| Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months * |
| unknown / not evaluated |
| 0-10% |
| 11-20% |
| 21-30% |
| 31-40% |
| O 41-50% |
| 51-60% |
| 61-70% |
| 71%-80% |
| - |
| 81-90% |
| ○ 81-90%○ 91-100% |
| 81-90%91-100%Other: |

!

| Overall, was the app/intervention effective? * |
|--|
| yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control |
| partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control |
| on statistically significant difference between control and intervention |
| outcomes potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more |
| inconclusive: more research is needed |
| Other: This is not an RCT and there is no control group. Comparisons were p |
| |
| Article Preparation Status/Stage * At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form) |
| onot submitted yet - in early draft status |
| onot submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission |
| submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet |
| submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments |
| submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet |
| O published |
| Other: |

| Journal * If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other") |
|--|
| not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this |
| Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR) |
| JMIR mHealth and UHealth |
| JMIR Serious Games |
| JMIR Mental Health |
| JMIR Public Health |
| JMIR Formative Research |
| Other JMIR sister journal |
| Other: |
| |
| |
| Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? * |
| Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? * Pilot/feasibility |
| |
| Pilot/feasibility |
| Pilot/feasibility |

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

This is not a randomized trial Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use "web-based" and/or "mobile" and/or "electronic game" in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like "online", "virtual", "interactive". Use "Internet-based" only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use "computer-based" or "electronic" only if offline products are used. Use "virtual" only in the context of "virtual reality" (3-D worlds). Use "online" only in the context of "online support groups". Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as "mobile" or "smart phone" instead of "iphone"), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the title includes "Mobile Remote Monitoring"

| 1a-ii) Non-web-based | components or | important | co-interventions | s in title |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------|------------|
| • | I | | | |

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., "with telephone support").

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No, non-web-based components and co-interventions are not included in this study

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., "for children with Type I Diabetes") Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the title includes "Among Pregnant Patients"

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

subitem not at all important OOOOO essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the methods section of the abstract states, "Frequencies of voluntary IPV screening and incidence identified through a prenatal care app disseminated to patients of a single large healthcare system were compared for those initiating app use before and during sheltering-in-place."

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like "fully automated" vs. "therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted" (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No. This is a study only of patient self-reports and did not involve healthcare providers.

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use "blinded" or "unblinded" to indicated the level of blinding instead of "open", as "open" in web-based trials usually refers to "open access" (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

5 subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the methods section of the abstract specifies that patients were "identified through a prenatal care app disseminated to patients of a single large healthcare system."

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the results section states, "There were 552 users in the 60 days prior to enforced sheltering-in-place and 407 users from enforcement until the order was lifted."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the conclusion section states, "With comparable rates both before and during sheltering-in-place, app-based screening for IPV is feasible during times with limited in-person access to healthcare providers and are suggestive of increases in IPV experience during sheltering-in-place. "

| INTRODUCTION | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scie | ntific b | ackgrou | ınd and | explana | ation of | rationale |
| 2a-i) Problem and the type of Describe the problem and the type of intervention vs. incorporated in broad population? Goals of the intervention complement other solutions? (Note: | system/s der health , e.g., beir | solution th care prog ng more co | at is objec ram? Inten ost-effectiv | ided for a production in the decired to the decired | particular interventi | patient ons, replace or |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the introduction provides background on the harms of Intimate Partner Violence and the importance of using a mobile intervention for screening: "Mobile apps have been successfully designed for addressing IPV [12] and may be effective sources of screening and support during the pandemic [13]. During enforced isolation, when social networks are restricted, such apps may support disclosure of such risks"

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the introduction includes relevant information about the scientific background, rationale, and context Your answer of the study, and provides information on previous systems: "Mobile apps have been successfully designed for addressing IPV [12] and may be effective sources of screening and support during the pandemic [13]."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: "Here we examine cases of IPV reported to a healthcare system through a prenatal app in a single U.S. county in the two months pre- and post- sheltering-inplace mandates"

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No. This study was not a randomized controlled trial and therefore did not have specific allocation methods. All respondents received the app and the data from all users is analyzed. However, the recruitment methods are explained in detail: "The internal protocol for prescribing MHP was to send a link to patients' phones inviting them to use the app as part of their routine prenatal care. Users were able to follow the link to a unique download code in the Android or App store.

No individual was able to download and onboard to the app unless they were a recipient of a text message-based prescription for the app from their healthcare providers' office."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes to methods were made to methods throughout the course of the study.

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes were made to the intervention throughout the course of the study.

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, eligibility requirements are discussed in detail. Eligibility includes, "all pregnant patients at their first prenatal appointment starting in January, 2020" and analyses were limited to "pregnant residents of Allegheny Co, PA prescribed the app at an inperson visit."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit "de facto" eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the Methods section states "By virtue of smartphone ownership and completion of the downloading and onboarding process, all users were smartphone and internet literate. "

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

subitem not at all important O O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, recruitment is explained: "A large academic health system prescribed the MyHealthyPregnancyTM (MHP) app to all pregnant patients at their first prenatal appointment." Other than this initial appointment, there were no face-to-face components of this intervention. Participants were fully identifiable to their provider and through the app. Because this was prescribed through and recorded in their medical chart, it is not possible to have multiple identities.

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important O O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper addresses information provided to the participants: "App users consented to share identifiable data with their healthcare provider and for research purposes and anonymized aggregate data for publication. Participants did not receive any financial compensation for app use. All app use was considered part of routine prenatal care and analyses were approved by the health system's QI review board." In addition, "All app users are notified in MHP that their provider may not see or respond to any given risk notification and immediate actions that the user can take to minimize their risk are offered (e.g. prompts to call 9-1-1, prompts to call their prenatal care provider, or watchful waiting, depending on the seriousness of the risk that is identified)."

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper states, "All app users were offered an opportunity to screen for IPV through the app, receiving Your answer in-app messaging that stated, 'Are you concerned about your safety? Take the pregnancy safety quiz. <button> Go to quiz'." This screening was not mandatory and was located in a specific area of the app dedicated to screening tools.

| 4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|--|--|
| Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential | | |

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper states, "All app users were offered an opportunity to screen for IPV through the app, receiving Your answer in-app messaging that stated, 'Are you concerned about your safety? Take the pregnancy safety quiz. <button> Go to quiz'." This screening was not mandatory and was located in a specific area of the app dedicated to screening tools.

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item - describe only if this may bias results)

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is not explicitly addressed in the paper, as there are not explicit affiliations identified in the app. However, as this app is presented by the providers as part of routine prenatal care, it is assumed that all users associate it with their primary prenatal care health system.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a "Conflict of interest" section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, under conflicts of interest, the paper states, "Naima Health LLC provided the data for this study. Your answer No financial or material compensation was provided to fund this work. Dr. Castillo-Mora is a full-time employee of Naima Health LLC. Drs. Krishnamurti, Davis, and Simhan are co-founders and equityholders of Naima Health LLC, but did not receive compensation for this work or for the dissemination of this tool.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

ant O O O O essential

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We cite our original publication on the development and testing of the app "A large academic health system prescribed the MyHealthyPregnancyTM (MHP) app [14]" We also describe the app's functionality "MHP applies machine learning algorithms to patient-entered data to model each patient's likelihood of adverse pregnancy events (e.g. hypertension, premature delivery), including psychosocial risks. It then offers relevant resources (e.g. connection to a local women's shelter), as well as notifying their provider in real-time. All app users are notified in MHP that their provider may not see or respond to any given risk notification and immediate actions that the user can take to minimize their risk are offered (e.g. prompts to call 9-1-1, prompts to call their prenatal care provider, or watchful waiting, depending on the seriousness of the risk that is identified). "

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was "frozen" during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

| | ı | ۷ | 3 | 4 | 3 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essentia |

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the manuscript states, "A large academic health system prescribed the MyHealthyPregnancyTM (MHP) app [14] to all pregnant patients at their first prenatal appointment starting in January, 2020 (Apple v.1.4.7; Android v.1.8). "

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The manuscript states, "All content was developed in conjunction with and reviewed by a clinical education team employed by the healthcare system." 5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Intimate partner violence screenings are publicly available. Backend code for the MHP app is proprietary. However, the authors are willing to provide, upon request, guidance and best practices to other app developers on implementing intimate partner violence screening.

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There is no public url to download the application as it is currently available by prescription only. The Naima Health website is publicly available.

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained "access to the platform and Internet" [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a "backdoor" login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

5

essential

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes. The paper explains, "The internal protocol for prescribing MHP was to send a link to patients' phones inviting them to use the app as part of their routine prenatal care. Users were able to follow the link to a unique download code in the Android or App store. No individual was able to download and onboard to the app unless they were a recipient of a text message-based prescription for the app from their healthcare providers' office. App users included here were pregnant residents of Allegheny Co, PA prescribed the app at an in-person visit. Participants did not receive any financial compensation for app use. All app use was considered part of routine prenatal care."

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important O O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As a brief report with limited work count, this level of detail is not included in this manuscript and the framework for the app development has been detailed in a previous publication in JMIR Mhealth Uhealth. Additional detail will be provided upon request of peer reviewers or the journal editor.

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important O O O o essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "Voluntary screenings offered to the user after completing onboarding included two questions adapted from the CDC's Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) as measures of physical violence and forced sexual acts as well as questions from the Women's Experience with Battering (WEB) scale to quantify psychological abuse [14-16]. These IPV screening measures were available to participants at all times to take whenever they wished... For participants with positive responses to IPV screening questions, individual charts were reviewed for the presence of a positive IPV screen as well as all in-person interactions with the system (i.e., emergency room, routine prenatal care, specialist care, and physical therapy appointments) during the course of their pregnancy."

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as "type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered". It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "The internal protocol for prescribing MHP was to send a link to patients' phones inviting them to use the app as part of their routine prenatal care" and "For participants with positive responses to IPV screening questions, individual charts were reviewed for the presence of a positive IPV screen as well as all in-person interactions with the system (i.e., emergency room, routine prenatal care, specialist care, and physical therapy appointments) during the course of their pregnancy."

Other than providers prescribing the app to users and researchers reviewing charts, there was no other outside human involvement in this study.

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important O O O o essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper explains, "All app users were offered an opportunity to screen for IPV through the app, receiving in-app messaging that stated, "Are you concerned about your safety? Take the pregnancy safety quiz. <buton> Go to quiz". This screening was not mandatory and was located in a specific area of the app dedicated to screening tools... Voluntary screenings [were] offered to the user after completing onboarding... IPV screening measures were available to participants at all times to take whenever they wished."

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 - generalizability.

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper describes the other interventions provided through the app: "MHP applies machine learning algorithms to patient-entered data to model each patient's likelihood of adverse pregnancy events Your answer (e.g. hypertension, premature delivery), including psychosocial risks. It then offers relevant resources (e.g. connection to a local women's shelter), as well as notifying their provider in real-time. All app users are notified in MHP that their provider may not see or respond to any given risk notification and immediate actions that the user can take to minimize their risk are offered (e.g. prompts to call 9-1-1, prompts to call their prenatal care provider, or watchful waiting, depending on the seriousness of the risk that is identified)."

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes the primary outcome measure was rate of screening for IPV and a secondary outcome was the rate of positive IPV screens, i.e. "cases of IPV reported to a healthcare system through a prenatal app in a single U.S. county in the two months pre- and post- sheltering-in-place mandates." These included "two questions adapted from the CDC's Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) as measures of physical violence and forced sexual acts as well as questions from the Women's Experience with Battering (WEB) scale to quantify psychological abuse." Another secondary outcome measure was intimate partner violence documented in patients' medical records: "For participants with positive responses to IPV screening questions, individual charts were reviewed for the presence of a positive IPV screen as well as all in-person interactions with the system (i.e., emergency room, routine prenatal care, specialist care, and physical therapy appointments) during the course of their pregnancy."

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

1 2 3 4 5
subitem not at all important O O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

These measures were not developed for or specifically validated for online use to the best of the authors' knowledge. However, these are commonly used measures by healthcare systems, including in online formats.

| 6a-ii) Describe whether and how | "use" | ' (including intensity | y of use/do | sage) [,] | was |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-----|
| defined/measured/monitored | | | | | |

Describe whether and how "use" (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Yes, "Use of the in-app IPV risk assessment" is inferred as completion of a risk screening.

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

Qualitative feedback was not obtained from participants.

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No changes to outcomes were made throughout this study.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No. This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. As such, a specific sample size was not calculated and attrition rates were not taken into account.

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Our starting and stopping points were dictated by local mandates (pre- and postenforced sheltering-in-place.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. All pre- and postcomparisons are dependent on local government policy. There was no random allocation.

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. All pre- and postcomparisons are dependent on local government policy. There was no random allocation.

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. All pre- and postcomparisons are dependent on local government policy. There was no random allocation.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. All pre- and postcomparisons are dependent on local government policy. There was no random allocation.

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

| 11a-i) Specify who was | blinded, and who wasn't |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
|------------------------|-------------------------|

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. All pre- and postcomparisons are dependent on local government policy. There was no blinding.

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is a descriptive study and not a randomized controlled trial. All pre- and postcomparisons are dependent on local government policy. Participants were aware of sheltering-in-place mandates and awareness of their own app user.

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No, this is not relevant to this study because a placebo or sham intervention was not used.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper states "Frequencies of IPV screening and incidence were calculated and compared between the pre- and post- sheltering-in-place cohorts. All frequencies of IPV were then queried against the patient's Your answer chart to determine if any IPV had been documented by a healthcare provider. Analyses were completed in R version 3.6.0." Clustering by care providers/centers did not need to be addressed because this was a single-center study.

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not impute secondary outcomes (rates of positive screens) for those who elected not to screen for IPV (primary outcome). The purpose of the study was the feasibility of use of the tool for screening so imputation is not relevant to that goal.

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Additional analyses such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses were not performed.

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "All app use was considered part of routine prenatal care and analyses were approved by the health system's QI review board"

| x26-ii) Outline informed | consent procedures |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
|--------------------------|--------------------|

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "App users consented to share identifiable data with their healthcare provider and for research purposes and anonymized aggregate data for publication"

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "The app was password protected with a password set by the user. The app included a password reset function that required and a 24/7 hotline was available for all technical troubleshooting. Intimate partner violence screenings were not stored or accessible in the app after completion to minimize the risk of privacy violations to the app users."

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The MHP app onboarded 552 users in the 60 days prior to enforced sheltering-inplace (Jan 23 to March 22, 2020) and 407 users from enforcement until the order was lifted (March 23 to May 15, 2020)" Participants were not randomized and all received the intended treatment.

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "The MHP app onboarded 552 users in the 60 days prior to enforced sheltering-in-place (Jan 23 to March 22, 2020) and 407 users from enforcement until the order was lifted (March 23 to May 15, 2020)... Use of the in-app IPV risk assessment among newly onboarded patients did not differ significantly, from before sheltering-in-place 46% (252/552) to during sheltering-in-place 40% (163/407)"

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i? Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include

quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no losses or exclusions. Participants who did not choose to fill out the IPV risk assessments were documented as part of the analyses.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

essential

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participant logins and overall app use were not tracked over time as part of this study.

14a-i) Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period

Indicate if critical "secular events" fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or "changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources"

subitem not at all important





essential

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "The MHP app onboarded 552 users in the 60 days prior to enforced sheltering-in-place (Jan 23 to March 22, 2020) and 407 users from enforcement until the order was lifted (March 23 to May 15, 2020)." This study is structured around an instance of a secular event.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The study period was ended on May 15th, 2020, because the shelter-in-place mandate (secular event) was lifted on this day.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "Table 1 shows the demographics of patients onboarded prior to and during the sheltering-in-place order."

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essentia |

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The paper provides the education status of participants.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions

Report multiple "denominators" and provide definitions: Report N's (and effect sizes) "across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds" [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants "used" the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define "use" of the intervention.

5 subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "Use of the in-app IPV risk assessment among newly onboarded patients did not differ significantly, from before sheltering-in-place 46% (252/552) to during sheltering-in-place 40% (163/407) (two-sample Chi-squared test of proportions, 95% CI: -12% to -0.01%; p = .10)"

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only "users", with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

5

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Intention-to-treat analysis is not applicable here since participants were not randomised.

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Use of the in-app IPV risk assessment among newly onboarded patients did not differ significantly, from before sheltering-in-place 46% (252/552) to during sheltering-in-place 40% (163/407) (two-sample Chi-squared test of proportions, 95% CI: -12% to -0.01%; p = .10), although there was a slight decrease... Most notably, none of the physically at-risk patients identified by the app had documented IPV in their chart, though 24% (n=4) received ER care and 29% (n=5) received physical therapy or a prenatal consultation for non-specific pain or injury." 17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as "average session length". These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a "session" is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

1 2 3 4

subitem not at all important

O O O o essential

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is not applicable to the study because completing the measures was optional for all participants. Therefore metrics of use were not tracked outside of how many newly onboarded patients completed the IPV measures: "Use of the in-app IPV risk assessment among newly onboarded patients did not differ significantly, from before sheltering-in-place 46% (252/552) to during sheltering-in-place 40% (163/407) (two-sample Chi-squared test of proportions, 95% CI: -12% to -0.01%; p = .10), although there was a slight decrease."

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Not applicable as only absolute rates are compared

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Additional analyses such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses were not performed.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Subgroup analysis was not performed.

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Harms or unintended effects were not observed throughout the course of the study.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical "harm" to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. "Unintended effects" also includes unintended positive effects [2].

5

subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Privacy breaches and unexpected/unintended incidents were not observed throughout the course of the study. Some technical problems (e.g., a small subset of users required a prescription to be resent to their phone etc) were reported to the hotline during this time period but were not categorized here as the sample consists of only those who had onboarded.

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

5 subitem not at all important essential

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Qualitative feedback was not obtained from participants. The paper discusses possible limitations of the study: "While our results are suggestive of increases in incidence of IPV during sheltering-in-place, our sample size could not support detection of statistically significant differences. Another limitation of this work is that we were unable to determine whether app-users who screened positive for IPV actually connected with the resources offered to them through the app. However, we are currently working on a system for a warm handoff to resources among those screening positive for IPV who wish to connect with them. While our app users reflect the general population of patients our health system serves, it is also possible that those choosing not to use MHP are also more likely to experience IPV, which would result in less effective screening than we are able to discern from our data."

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

| 22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data | €, |
|---|----|
| starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use) | |

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

1 2 3 4 5

subitem not at all important

O O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper states, "Here we find stable self-screening for IPV using a prenatal care app during Your answer sheltering-in-place conditions. This finding suggests that, during social isolation, there is sustained use of technology to disclose risk. Given that the positive instances of IPV identified using this tool

were not captured in routine in-person screening suggests that the app may be addressing the needs of patients who are unable or prefer not to disclose risk directly to their provider."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

1 2 3 4

subitem not at all important

O O O essential

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The paper suggests possible avenues for new research: "App-based screening may serve as a complementary form of care for those with limited ability to interact with their healthcare provider or other social networks. Such technologies may offer an additional form of connection during such times."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

5

subitem not at all important

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The paper discusses possible limitations of the study: "While our results are suggestive of increases in incidence of IPV during sheltering-in-place, our sample size could not support detection of statistically significant differences. Another limitation of this work is that we were unable to determine whether app-users who screened positive for IPV actually connected with the resources offered to them through the app. However, we are currently working on a system for a warm handoff to resources among those screening positive for IPV who wish to connect with them. While our app users reflect the general population of patients our health system serves, it is also possible that those choosing not to use MHP are also more likely to experience IPV, which would result in less effective screening than we are able to discern from our data."

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This study is not generalizable to populations beyond pregnant people. "While our results are only suggestive that there is greater willingness to report IPV in an app in comparison to an in-person encounter, offering app-based IPV risk screening paired with appropriate resources is one way to address the needs of pregnant people experiencing violence during and beyond social isolation."

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|
| subitem not at all important | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | essential |

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, the paper addresses various elements that might impact app use outside of a study setting: "Our number of positive screens across both the pre- and postsheltering-in-place period reflect the lower end of national estimates of IPV and it is unlikely that we are capturing all instances of IPV among app-users (another possibility is that those experiencing IPV may not be using smartphones or apps as frequently). As such, it is important to consider that app-based screening alone will not necessarily capture all instances of IPV, particularly if the abusive partner controls smartphone use. Our results also suggest that app-based screening may capture a different set of at-risk patients, which would make app-based and inperson assessments complementary."

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No, this study was not a randomized controlled trial and therefore was not registered.

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is not applicable as this was not a registered trial.

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes: "Dr. Krishnamurti's time in preparing the manuscript was supported, in part, by NIH KL2 TR001856. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH. The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

subitem not at all important

essential

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Yes, "Naima Health LLC provided the data for this study. No financial or material compensation was provided Your answer to fund this work. Dr. Castillo-Mora is a full-time employee of Naima Health LLC. Drs. Krishnamurti, Davis, and Simhan are co-founders and equity-holders of Naima Health LLC, but did not receive compensation for this work or for the dissemination of this tool."

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

yes, major changes

yes, minor changes

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

I edited the title and added in some content about privacy and security measures.

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

1.5 working days

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- yes
- Other:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- yes
- Potentially, depending no time commitments and constraints Other:

Clear selection

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

I was requested to complete this although the study is descriptive and not a randomized controlled trial. I would be interested in participating in the creation of a comparable but more pertinent checklist for descriptive mhealth studies.

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit!

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Submit

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Google Forms