

30 **Supplemental Materials and Methods**

33

34 Total RNA was extracted from cells using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA)

35 following the manufacturer's protocol, and the RNA quality and quantity were measured using

 136 the NanoDropTM 2000 Spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA).

37 Quantitative PCR detection of genes was reported after reverse transcription of 1µg of total RNA

38 using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham,

39 MA). qPCR was performed using the PowerUP SYBR Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher

40 Scientific, Waltham, MA) with sequence-specific primers (Sigma). ∆∆CT method (*1*) was used

41 to determine the expression levels of genes under investigation by normalizing the Ct values to

42 *GAPDH*. Each gene was amplified independently, and all experiments were performed in

43 triplicate. Exon-spanning primers were designed using the primer bank web application and are 44 described in Table S3.

45

- 46 **Lysosome Isolation and Enrichment**
- 47

48 Enriched lysosomes from mouse bone marrow cells were obtained using a lysosome 49 isolation kit (LYSISO1, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) with differential centrifugation, followed 50 by a density gradient centrifugation and calcium precipitation, as mentioned in the 51 manufacturer's protocol. In brief, bone marrow cell homogenates (H) were first prepared in 1x 52 extraction buffer using a glass Dounce homogenizer and were subjected to centrifugation for 10 μ min at 1,000 x*g* at 4^oC to remove the nuclear pellet (NP). The post-nuclear supernatant (PNS) obtained from the earlier step was further centrifuged at $20,000$ xg at 4° C to obtain the crude

55 lysosomal fraction (CLF) containing a mixture of lysosomes and other cell organelles, while the 56 resulting supernatant fraction was collected as the cytosolic fraction (CF). The CLF was re-57 suspended in a minimal volume of 1x extraction buffer (0.8mL per gram mice tissue) and 58 purified via density gradient centrifugation at 150,000 x*g* for 4 h on a multistep OptiPrep 59 gradient (8-27%), according to the manufacturer's details. Altogether, 10 fractions of 500 µL 60 each were collected, starting from the top of the gradient, and labeled as ELF 1-10 fractions 61 (Enriched Lysosomal Fraction). Further purification of the ELF fractions was carried out using 62 calcium chloride solution (250 mM), which precipitated the rough endoplasmic reticulum and 63 mitochondria followed by its subsequent centrifugation at $5,000$ x*g* at 4° C to obtain the PLF 1-10 64 fractions (Purified Lysosomal Fraction). In order to determine the enrichment as well as recovery 65 of lysosomes, the homogenates as well as the different lysosomal fractions were assayed for 66 protein concentration (using BCA protein assay) and acid phosphatase activity, a lysosomal 67 marker enzyme (CS0740, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO); while intactness of the lysosomes was 68 assessed, using neutral red dye assay provided with the LYSISO1 kit. Enriched lysosomes from 69 mouse HSCs were obtained using the MinuteTM Lysosome Isolation Kit (Invent Biotechnologies, 70 INC., Plymouth, MN) following the manufactures protocol. Then, the expression of different 71 subcellular marker proteins in the lysosomal fractions were evaluated using Western blotting 72 analysis by probing with specific antibodies against each marker protein like LAMP1.

73

74 **ER Isolation and Enrichment**

75

76 Enriched ER from mouse bone marrow cells were obtained using the ER isolation kit 77 (ER0100) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO) with differential centrifugation and calcium 78 precipitation, as mentioned in the manufacturer's protocol. In brief, bone marrow cell

96 by SDS-PAGE on an 8% or 12% polyacrylamide gel using the Bio-Rad gel electrophoresis

97 system following the manufacturer's protocol. Separated proteins were transferred onto PVDF

98 membranes using the Bio-Rad Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System. Western blot analysis was

99 performed using GRP78 (Abcam 108615, 1:1000), GRP94 (Abcam 230842, 1:1000), p-PERK

- 100 (CST 3192, 1:1000), eIF2α (CST 9722, 1:1000), p-eIF2α (CST 9721, 1:1000), IRE1 (Abcam
- 101 37073, 1:1000), p-IRE1 (Abcam 48187, 1:1000), ATF6 (Novus Biologicals 40256, 1:1000),
- 102 ENT3 (ThermoFisher Scientific PA5-38039, 1:1000), and LAMP1 (CST 3243S, 1:1000) primary

110 **XBP1 splicing assay**

111

112 Total RNA was extracted from ST-HSCs using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, 113 CA) and reverse transcribed to cDNA using the SuperScriptTM IV First-Strand cDNA Synthesis 114 System (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with random hexamers and RNAse inhibitor 115 added. The spliced (228bp) and unspliced (254bp) XBP1 cDNA segments were amplified by 116 PCR using the Phusion Flash High Fidelity polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, 117 MA) and primers described in Table S3. Amplified PCR products were run on a 2% agarose gel 118 stained with ethidium bromide in 1X UltraPure TBE buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, 119 MA), and imaged on ChemiDoc MP Imager (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). All samples were assayed 120 in duplicate with GAPDH as a loading control and subjected to densitometry measurements by 121 AlphaView Software 3.3 (Protein Simple, Santa Clara, CA).

122

123 **Metabolomic analysis-Instrumentation**

124

125 Untargeted metabolomics analysis was performed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific LTQ 126 Orbitrap XL™ Hybrid Ion Trap-Orbitrap hybrid mass spectrometer and a Waters 2795 HPLC 127 separation module interfaced by electrospray ionization (ESI) source. For targeted metabolomics 128 analysis, a Thermo Fisher Scientific Vanquish™ UHPLC system connected to TSQ Quantiva[™] 129 Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometer and interfaced with a heated electrospray ionization

130 (HESI-II) source. On both the instruments, data acquisition and analysis was conducted using 131 Thermo XcaliburTM software.

148 200 µL of cold acetonitrile: methanol (3:1, *v/v*); while 200 µL of cold methanol: water (1:1, *v/v*)

149 was used in case of hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) mode analysis. After

150 vortex-mixing, the samples were centrifuged at $21,000$ xg at 4° C for 10 min and 10 µL of the

151 supernatant was injected into the LC-FTMS system.

152 For subcellular analysis, samples corresponding to 100 µg of lysosomal or ER protein 153 (determined using protein concentration by BCA assay) were extracted with 100 µL of cold 154 acetonitrile: methanol (3:1, *v/v*). The samples were vortex-mixed and then, centrifuged at 21,000 x *gat* 4^oC for 10 min. The supernatant was filled in autosampler vials and volumes of 10 μ L 156 injected into the LC-FTMS system.

157

158 **LC-FTMS Data Analysis**

159

160 Untargeted metabolomics data processing was performed using the open source software 161 package MZmine v.2.21 (http://mzmine.sourceforge.net/). Briefly, peak detection was performed 162 using the following filter conditions: chromatography peak intensity signal/noise > 25, retention 163 time tolerance: ± 0.25 min, and m/z tolerance: ± 0.04 , followed by normalization using reserpine 164 and 4-nitrophenol as internal standards for positive and negative mode respectively. Then, a 165 chromatogram for each mass was constructed, which was deconvoluted into individual peaks 166 using the local minimum search algorithm. To remove isotopic peaks from the peak list, the 167 deconvoluted data were processed using the isotopic peak grouper algorithm. RANSAC 168 algorithm was applied to align detected peaks in the different samples, generating an aligned 169 peak list for *Slc29a3*^{+/+} and *Slc29a3*^{-/-} samples. The aligned peak lists containing *m/z*, retention 170 time and peak area were exported to Microsoft[®] Excel and filtered based on coefficient of 171 variation (CV) for the OC samples ($\leq 20\%$) and normalized p-values for the *Slc29a3^{-/-}* samples 172 \leq 0.05). Database search using HMDB, KEGG & LipidMaps was performed to establish peak 173 identities for each of the m/z in this filtered aligned list with a mass tolerance set to ± 10 ppm. 174 Peak identification was based on both accurate mass and calculated formula matching. In

175 addition, tandem mass spectra of pure standards confirmed the identity of the metabolites 176 examined in the transport assay.

177 The identified peak lists containing peak identities, sample identities and their normalized 178 peak area intensities were imported separately into MetaboAnalyst[®] 3.0 for multivariate data 179 analysis. Briefly, data normalization of each dataset was initially performed using log 180 transformation and pareto-scaling with the missing values (if any) being replaced by a small 181 default value. Principal component analysis (PCA), partial least squares discriminant analysis 182 (PLS-DA) as well as orthogonal projection to latent structures discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) 183 was used to visualize clustering of the $Slc29a3^{+/-}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ samples along with the QCs. 184 Unsupervised hierarchical clustering for data overview of each of the datasets was accomplished 185 with help of a heatmap generated using t-test, Euclidean distance measure and Ward clustering 186 algorithm. Cross-validated PLS-DA was used to obtain a list of discriminating metabolites from 187 each dataset, ranked according to their Variable Importance in Projection (VIP) scores, with VIP 188 >1.0 being considered relevant for group discrimination.

189

190 *Xenopus* **Oocyte Transport Assay**

191

192 The construction of pOX-Δ36hENT3 Xenopus expression vector and *in vitro* 193 transcription and expression of Δ36hENT3 in Xenopus oocytes were described earlier (2, 3). 194 Transport of radiolabeled substrates was conducted as described before (*4*). Briefly, 50 nanoliters 195 (400–800 ng/μl) of Δ36hENT3 mRNA were injected into defolliculated oocytes. The injected 196 oocytes were incubated at 15 °C for 24 h before performing transport assays. Uptake of 197 radiolabeled substrates (3 H-adenosine or 3 H-BAs; 0.02 μ M) supplemented in combination with 198 unlabeled substrates (to a final concentration of 100 μ M; for estimation of kinetic parameters)

199 was measured after 30 min of incubation in transport buffer (100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM 200 CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 5.5) at room temperature. Uptake was terminated 201 by washing oocytes 3 times with arrest buffer $(20 \text{ mM Tris-HCl}, 3 \text{ mM } K_2 \text{HPO4}, 1 \text{ mM}$ 202 MgCl2·6H2O, 2 mM CaCl2, 5 mM glucose, 130 mM N-methyl-D-glucamine) containing 20 mM 203 uridine. Individual oocytes were shaken overnight in 10% SDS for complete dissolution, and 204 then the radioactivity was quantified by Beckman liquid scintillation counter. Data represent the 205 average \pm S.E. (n = 5–10 oocytes). The results were normalized for experimental variations and 206 analyzed using Graphpad prism software. The kinetic parameters, K_m and V_{max} were calculated 207 by fitting the data of uptake rate of identified metabolites to the Michaelis-Menten equation. A 208 representative experiment from 3–5 independent experiments is presented.

209 The mass spectrometric validation of the transport of all unlabeled metabolites was 210 performed with oocytes according to the method reported earlier. Briefly, the oocytes obtained 211 after treatment with wash buffer was homogenized with 200 µL of extraction buffer (50%) 212 methanol containing 0.1% acetic acid) and spiked with cholic acid-d4 (1µg/mL) and glycocholic 213 acid-d4 (1μ g/mL) as internal standards. After incubation at 4 °C for 24 h, samples were 214 centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min and supernatants collected. Samples (10 µL) were injected into 215 the Thermo Scientific Vanquish UPLC system (Waltham, MA) interfaced with Thermo 216 Scientific TSQ Quantiva triple-stage quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waltham, MA) equipped 217 with H-ESI ion source. MS detection was carried out in positive and negative ionization modes 218 and the transition monitored for each metabolite were reported in table (Table S2 and S3). The 219 operational mass spectrometric parameters included capillary voltage: 4.5 kV; sheath gas: 35 220 arbitary units; auxiliary gas: 10 arbitary units; sweep gas: 2 arbitary units; ion transfer tube 221 temperature: 350°C; vaporizer temperature: 450°C; Dwell time: 50 ms per transition; Collision

244 **3D QSAR Analysis**

264 **Lentiviral gene transfer in mouse LSKs and HSCs**

265

266 Lentiviruses harboring ENT3 or RFP were generated in HEK293T (packaging) cells by 267 transfecting the target plasmids (Applied Biological Materials Inc., BC, Canada) and packaging 268 plasmids (Trans-Lentiviral ORF Packaging Kit, Dharmacon, IL, USA), using the calcium 269 phosphate transfection method as per the manufacturer's instructions. For viral transduction,

557 $\mathbf a$ 558 **Averaged RAW Ct Values** 559 560 **MPP** c -kit⁺ LT-HSC ST-HSC **LSK BM** 561 $(Flt3⁺)$ **BM** 562 $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ 26.5 29.3 27.6 25.3 21.8 18.2 $Slc29a3$ 563 564 $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ 32.3 30.7 31.1 32.0 32.3 33.2 565 Slc29a3+/+ 21.3 23.3 23.3 16.1 12.5 24.6 566 **GAPDH** 567 $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ 22.0 22.5 22.5 21.2 18.2 14.6 568 569 $\mathbf b$ 570 571 6000- $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ Slc29a3^{+/+} in S/c29a3 mRNA $(2^{-A \Delta C t})$ 572 Relative Fold Change $5000 -$ 573 $4000 +$ 574 800千 575 400 576 577 20 578 579 10 580 581 $\mathbf 0$ 582 **MPP** LT-HSC ST-HSC LSK c-kit BM **BM** 583 $(Flt3⁺)$ 584 585 C **HEK293** 586 WBMC HSPC 587 588 589 590 70 kDa ENT3 591 592 100 kDa LAMP1 593 594 595 596

Supplemental Figure 5

Supplemental Fig. 6. Flow cytometry profiling of ER stress, apoptosis, and aggresomal markers 648 pre-irradiation. LSK, LSK FLT3-, ST-HSCs and LT-HSCs were examined for **(a)** Thioflavin T (ThT) stained unfolded proteins (ThT^{\dagger}) , **(b)** GRP78, **(c)** GRP94, **(d)** p-eIF2 α , **(e)** p-PERK, **(f)** 650 Cleaved Caspase-3, and **(g)** Annexin V in 8-week old $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ mice before 651 irradiation. Gating strategy for HSPC populations (LSK, LSK Flt3-, ST-HSCs and LT-HSCs) from $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ mice followed by a histogram overlay of $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ (gray) and 653 *Slc29a3^{-/-}* (red) are presented for each biomarker.

-
-
-
-
-
-

Plasma

Supplemental Fig. 11. Analysis of differentially produced metabolites in $\frac{Slc29a3^{-1}}{P}$ plasma. (a) 902 Projection to latent structure-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) score plot constructed based on 903 metabolic profiles of *Slc29a3^{+/+}* and *Slc29a3^{-/-}* plasma samples (*green, Slc29a3^{+/+}; <i>red, Slc29a3^{-/-}* 904 (b) Volcano plots showing metabolite profiles of $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ compared with $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ plasma 905 samples. Dotted lines along x axis represent $\pm \log(2)$ fold change and the dotted line along y 906 axis represents –log10(0.05). *pink*, differential metabolites; *black*, other metabolites. **(c)** 907 Representative reference standard and plasma sample MS2 spectra used for identification of the 908 metabolite taurocholic acid. **(d)** Variable in projection (VIP) plot illustrating the 21 significantly 909 altered metabolites in $SLc29a3^{-1}$ mice plasma ranked on the basis of PLS-DA modelling. The 910 colored boxes represent the relative levels of the metabolites in each group. *red*, high; *green*, low. 911 **(e)** Box-whisker plot representation of 21 significantly altered metabolites in $Slc29a3^{-1}$ mice 912 plasma samples. Values, Mean \pm S.E.M; n=6 mice/group, $*$, p<0.05 by two-tailed t-test 913 comparing $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ and fold change >2.0 w.r.t $Slc29a3^{+/+}$. Box plots represent 914 the median (middle line), 25th, and 75th percentile (box), while the whiskers span from the 915 minimum to the maximum value.

Supplemental Figure 12

Urine

b

Supplemental Fig. 13. Radiometric BA transport assay in oocytes and fitted-predicted transport

1034 activities of BA. (a) Graphical representation of the transport activities of ³H-CA, ³H-DCA and

1035 $3H-TCA$ by $\triangle 36h/RT3$ expressing oocytes with respect to water-injected oocytes. Uptake was

1036 measured in sodium-free transport buffer at pH 5.5. Data represent mean \pm SEM (n=8

1037 oocytes/group, *p < 0.05 by two-tailed t-test) **(b)** The predicted versus experimental activity of

1038 ENT3 BA transport comparison data presented. Cross-validation regression coefficient, $q2 =$

1039 0.24; regression coefficient r2 = 0.98. Fit, fitted; Pred, predicted; Err Pred, error prediction. **(c)**

1040 Fitted (*black*) and predicted (*red*) transport activities for the 21 BAs in the Training Data Set. Fit, 1041 fitted; Pred, predicted.

1088 **Supplemental Fig. 14.** Targeted mass spectrometric analysis of *Slc29a3-/-* mouse liver and 1089 lysosomes. **(a)** Heat map representing hierarchical clustering of 13 BAs determined by targeted 1090 mass spectrometric analysis of 8 *Slc29a3^{-/-}* mice liver samples. MS signal intensities were 1091 clustered in two dimensions (row represent metabolites while column indicates samples) on the 1092 basis of Euclidean distance. Colors indicate the metabolite abundances with red for high and 1093 green for low. **(b)** Pie chart illustrating the bile acid composition in $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ 1094 mice liver samples (n=8 mice/group). **(c)** The concentrations of liver total BAs, unconjugated 1095 BAs (U-BAs), taurine-conjugated BAs (TBAs) and glycine-conjugated (GBAs) as determined by 1096 LC-MS/MS analyses. Values, Mean \pm S.E.M; n=8 mice/group, p<0.05 by two-tailed t-test 1097 comparing *Slc29a3^{+/+}* and *Slc29a3^{-/-}*. (**d**) Box-whisker plot representation of significantly altered 1098 BAs in *Slc29a3^{-/-}* mice liver samples as determined by targeted LC-MS/MS analyses. Values, 1099 Mean \pm S.E.M; n=8 mice/group, p<0.05 versus *Slc29a3^{+/+}*. Box plots represent the median 1100 (middle line), 25th, and 75th percentile (box), while the whiskers span from the minimum to the 1101 maximum value. **(e)** Heat map representing hierarchical clustering of 13 bile acids determined by targeted mass spectrometric analysis of 8 $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ mice liver lysosome samples. MS signal 1103 intensities were clustered in two dimensions (row represent metabolites while column indicates 1104 samples) on the basis of Euclidean distance. Colors indicate the metabolite abundances with red for high and green for low. **(f)** Pie chart illustrating the bile acid composition in $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and 1106 *Slc29a3^{-/-}* mice liver lysosome samples (n=8 mice/group). **(g)** The concentrations of lysosome 1107 total bile acids (BAs), unconjugated BAs (U-BAs), taurine-conjugated BAs (T-BAs) and 1108 glycine-conjugated (G-BAs) as determined by LC-MS/MS analyses. Values, Mean \pm S.E.M; n=8 1109 mice/group, p<0.05 by two-tailed t-test comparing $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$. (h) Box-whisker 1110 plot representation of significantly altered BAs in $Slc29a3^{-/-}$ mice liver samples as determined by 1111 targeted LC-MS/MS analyses. Values, Mean \pm S.E.M; n=8 mice/group, p<0.05 by two-tailed ttest comparing $Slc29a3^{+/+}$ and $Slc29a3^{-/-}$. Box plots represent the median (middle line), 25th, and 1113 75th percentile (box), while the whiskers span from the minimum to the maximum value. 1114 1115 1116 1117 1118 1119 1120 1121 1122 1123 1124 1125 1126 1127 1128 1129 1130

1177 **Supplemental Fig. 15.** ENT3 overexpression increases BA accumulation in HEK293 cells. **(a)**

- 1178 Uptake of ³H-cholic acid into HEK293 (control)(*black*) and HEK293 overexpressing ENT3
- 1179 (HEK293 + ENT3 OE)(*red*) were measured after cells were incubated with culture media
- 1180 containing 1 μ M cholic acid (including 0.02 μ M ³H-cholic acid) for 0.5, 3, 12, and 24 hours.
- 1181 Data represent mean±SEM (n=3 biological replicates/group, $\frac{*p}{0.05}$ by two-tailed t-test).