Figure S1. Scatter plot of bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) measured percent fat and body mass index (BMI), waist to hip ratio, or predicted percent fat at visit 5 with generalized additive regression line.



All p-values are <0.001



Figure S2. Distributions of waist to hip ratio and predicted percent fat according to baseline body mass index (BMI) tertile by sex and race.

Figure S3. Kaplan-Meier survival free of kidney failure with replacement therapy (KFRT) by tertile of baseline obesity measure within each sexrace group showing absolute risk of KFRT.



Table S1. Difference in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline slope (unit: ml/min per 1.73 m ² per decade) according to baseline obe	esity
status tertile by sex and race.	

	White (N=1,0222)					Black (N=3,274)						
	Men (N=4,802))2)	Women (N=5,420)		Men (N=1,270)			Women (N=2,004)			
	Low- tertile (N=1,601)	Mid- tertile (N=1,600)	High- tertile (N=1,601)	Low- tertile (N=1,809)	Mid- tertile (N=1,803)	High- tertile (N=1,808)	Low- tertile (N=423)	Mid- tertile (N=424)	High- tertile (N=423)	Low- tertile (N=668)	Mid- tertile (N=668)	High- tertile (N=668)
						BMI						
Model 1 ^{a,b}	Ref	0.01	0.56	Ref	-1.09***	-1.93***	Ref	0.45	-0.98	Ref	-1.70*	-3.19***
Model 2 ^{a,b}	Ref	0.56	1.46***	Ref	-0.50	-0.52	Ref	0.82	0.45	Ref	-1.06	-1.92*
					Wai	ist to hip ra	tio					
Model 1 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.92**	-0.57	Ref	-1.02***	-1.89***	Ref	-0.83	-3.00**	Ref	-0.59	-3.13***
Model 2 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.36	0.28	Ref	-0.28	-0.40	Ref	0.78	-0.07	Ref	-0.22	-1.86*
					Predi	cted percen	t fat					
Model 1 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.69*	-0.19	Ref	-1.25***	-2.22***	Ref	-1.10	-3.36***	Ref	-1.91**	-3.61***
Model 2 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.28	0.72*	Ref	-0.50	-0.68*	Ref	0.21	-0.95	Ref	-1.36	-2.22**

^a Model 1 is random effects model showing rate of decline (the interaction of each variable with follow-up time) adjusted for age (continuous), center (categorical), current smoker (yes / no), and prevalent coronary heart disease (yes / no) at baseline; model 3 additionally adjusted for hypertension medication (yes / no), systolic blood pressure (continuous), total cholesterol (continuous), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (continuous), triglyceride (continuous, log transformed), education level (high school graduated / not graduated), and annual family income (categorical) at baseline.

^b Black participants in the Minnesota and Washington County centers were excluded in the model because of small numbers.

			White (N=2,802)					Black	(N=799)		
	Men (N=1,237)			Women (N=1,565)		Men (N=253)			Women (N=546)			
	Low- tertile (N=430)	Mid- tertile (N=412)	High- tertile (N=395)	Low- tertile (N=575)	Mid- tertile (N=564)	High- tertile (N=426)	Low- tertile (N=73)	Mid- tertile (N=102)	High- tertile (N=78)	Low- tertile (N=202)	Mid- tertile (N=186)	High- tertile (N=158)
Attended	26%	25%	24%	31%	31%	23%	17%	23%	16%	29%	26%	21%
visit 6, %												
						BMI						
Model 1 ^{a,b}	Ref	0.07	0.42	Ref	-1.20***	-1.57***	Ref	0.41	-0.14	Ref	-1.14	-3.02***
Model 2 ^{a,b}	Ref	0.45	0.98**	Ref	-0.76*	-0.53	Ref	0.08	-0.59	Ref	-1.10	-2.67**
•					Wai	ist to hip rat	tio					
Model 1 ^{a,b}	Ref	-1.01**	-0.08	Ref	-1.02***	-1.40***	Ref	-0.45	-0.55	Ref	-0.91	-2.11**
Model 2 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.68*	0.30	Ref	-0.41	-0.44	Ref	-0.37	-0.44	Ref	-0.92	-1.76*
Predicted percent fat												
Model 1 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.43	0.10	Ref	-1.05***	-1.65***	Ref	-0.85	-1.09	Ref	-1.81**	-3.55***
Model 2 ^{a,b}	Ref	-0.16	0.66	Ref	-0.53	-0.56	Ref	-1.02	-1.37	Ref	-1.98**	-3.32***

Table S2. Difference in estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline slope (unit: ml/min per 1.73 m² per decade) according to baseline obesity status tertile by sex and race among participants who had visit 6 information.

^a Model 1 is random effects model showing rate of decline (the interaction of each variable with follow-up time) adjusted for age (continuous), center (categorical), current smoker (yes / no), and prevalent coronary heart disease (yes / no) at baseline; model 2 additionally adjusted for hypertension medication (yes / no), systolic blood pressure (continuous), total cholesterol (continuous), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (continuous), triglyceride (continuous, log transformed), education level (high school graduated / not graduated), and annual family income (categorical) at baseline.

^b Black participants in the Minnesota and Washington County centers were excluded in the model because of small numbers.

Table S3. Association of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) decline slope (unit: ml/min per 1.73 m ² per decade) with three measures	of
baseline obesity by sex and race excluding current smokers.	

	White (1	N=1,0222)	Black (N=3,274)			
-	Men (N=3,608)	Women (N=4,081)	Men (N=782)	Women (N=1,490)	-	
		BMI ^c , per standard de	viation			
Model 1 ^{a,b}	-0.05 (-0.33, 0.23)	-0.84 (-1.08, -0.59)***	-0.34 (-1.28, 0.61)	-1.60 (-2.20, -1.00)***		
Model 2 ^{a.b}	0.31 (0.02, 0.61)*	-0.27 (-0.53, 0.001)	0.11 (-0.87, 1.09)	-1.22 (-1.86, -0.58)***		
_		Waist to hip ratio ^c , per stand	lard deviation		-	
Model 1 ^{a,b}	-0.35 (-0.62, -0.07)*	-0.70 (-0.94, -0.46)***	-1.17 (-2.10, -0.24)*	-1.47 (-2.06, -0.87)***		
Model 2 ^{a,b}	-0.07 (-0.35, 0.22)	-0.12 (-0.39, 0.14)	-0.51 (-1.52, 0.51)	-1.24 (-1.89, -0.59)***		
_		Predicted percent fat ^c , per sta	ndard deviation		-	
Model 1 ^{a,b}	-0.27 (-0.55, 0.01)	-0.92 (-1.17, -0.68)***	-0.83 (-1.79, 0.13)	-1.67 (-2.27, -1.07)***		
Model 2 ^{a,b}	0.07 (-0.22, 0.37)	-0.31 (-0.58, -0.04)*	-0.30 (-1.32, 0.72)	-1.29 (-1.94, -0.65)***		
	0.07(-0.22, 0.57)	-0.51(-0.50, -0.04)	-0.50(-1.52, 0.72)	-1.29(-1.94, -0.05)		

^a Model 1 is random effects model showing rate of decline (the interaction of each variable with follow-up time) adjusted for age (continuous), center (categorical), and prevalent coronary heart disease (yes / no) at baseline; model 2 additionally adjusted for hypertension medication (yes / no), systolic blood pressure (continuous), total cholesterol (continuous), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (continuous), triglyceride (continuous, log transformed), education level (high school graduated / not graduated), and annual family income (categorical) at baseline.

^b Black participants in the Minnesota and Washington County centers were excluded in the model because of small numbers.

^c Centered at median of each race-gender group.

^dEstimate (95% confidence interval) for all such values.

Table S4. Subhazard ratios for end-stage kidney disease (KFRT) according to baseline obesity status by sex and race with using a Fine-Gray competing risk model.

	White (n/	N=95/1,0222)	Black (N=95/3,274)						
	Men (n/N=57/4,802)	Women (n/N=43/5,420)	Men (n/N=39/1,270)	Women (n/N=56/2,004)					
		Body mass index ^{c.d} ,	per standard deviation						
Mean (SD), kg/m ²	27.23 (3.86)	26.33 (5.09)	27.28 (4.53)	30.29 (6.36)					
Model 1 ^{a,b}	1.21 (0.96, 1.54)	1.46 (1.17, 1.83)***	1.73 (1.31, 2.28)***	1.61 (1.28, 2.02)***					
Model 2 ^{a,b}	1.05 (0.82, 1.35)	1.05 (0.81, 1.36)	1.51 (1.07, 2.13)*	1.58 (1.24, 2.03)***					
		Waist to hip ratio ^c , j	p ratio ^c , per standard deviation						
Mean (SD)	0.97 (0.05)	0.89 (0.08)	0.94 (0.05)	0.90 (0.08)					
Model 1 ^{a,b}	1.05 (0.80, 1.37)	1.70 (1.19, 2.43)**	1.78 (1.19, 2.65)**	1.70 (1.29, 2.24)***					
Model 2 ^{a,b}	0.91 (0.69, 1.19)	1.27 (0.87, 1.86)	1.5 (0.97, 2.31)	1.67 (1.21, 2.3)**					
		Predicted percent fat	, per standard deviation						
Mean (SD), %	28.49 (3.88)	39.99 (4.92)	26.31 (4.65)	41.83 (5.99)					
Model 1 ^{a,b}	1.11 (0.85, 1.46)	1.67 (1.30, 2.15)***	1.74 (1.30, 2.34)***	1.59 (1.29, 1.96)***					
Model 2 ^{a,b}	0.96 (0.73, 1.25)	1.27 (0.92, 1.75)	1.44 (1.02, 2.03)*	1.53 (1.21, 1.93)***					

^a Model 1 adjusted for age (continuous), center (categorical), current smoker (yes / no), and prevalent coronary heart disease (yes / no) at baseline; model 2 additionally adjusted for hypertension medication (yes / no), systolic blood pressure (continuous), total cholesterol (continuous), highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol (continuous), triglyceride (continuous, log transformed), estimated glomerular filtration rate (continuous), education level (high school graduated / not graduated), and annual family income (categorical) at baseline.

^b Black participants in the Minnesota and Washington County centers were excluded in the model because of small numbers.

^c Centered at median of each race-gender group.

^d Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval) for all such values.