**Title:** Implementation of medicines pricing policies in sub-Saharan Africa: protocol for a systematic review

PRISMA-P (preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols) 2015 checklist: recommended items to address in a systematic review protocol

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Y/N	Page number	Line numbers
Administrative information				number	numbers
Title:					
Identification	1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	Y	1	1-2
Update	1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such	n/a	n/a	n/a
Registration	2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (such as PROSPERO) and registration number	Y	2	67-68
Authors:					
Contact	3a	Provide name, institutional affiliation, e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author	Y	1	6-26
Contributions	3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review	Y	9	297-299
Amendments	4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments	n/a	n/a	n/a
Support:					
Sources	5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review	Y	9	302-304
Sponsor	5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor	Y	9	302-304
Role of sponsor or funder	5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol	Y	9	304-306
Introduction					
Rationale	6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	Υ	4	108-126
Objectives	7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)	Y	5	129-147

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Y/N	Page	Line
,			,	number	numbers
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (such	Υ	5-6	153-186
		as PICO, study design, setting, time			
		frame) and report characteristics (such			
		as years considered, language,			
		publication status) to be used as			
		criteria for eligibility for the review			
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information	Υ	5	154-158
		sources (such as electronic databases,			
		contact with study authors, trial			
		registers or other grey literature			
		sources) with planned dates of			
		coverage			
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be	Υ	6-7	189-212
		used for at least one electronic			
		database, including planned limits,			
		such that it could be repeated			
Study records:	44	5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		-	244 247
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be	Υ	7	214-217
		used to manage records and data			
Salastian process	11h	throughout the review State the process that will be used for	Υ	7	210 224
Selection process	11b	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Y	'	219-224
		selecting studies (such as two			
		independent reviewers) through each			
		phase of the review (that is, screening,			
		eligibility and inclusion in meta- analysis)			
Data collection	11c	Describe planned method of extracting	Υ	7	226-236
process	110	data from reports (such as piloting		'	220 230
process		forms, done independently, in			
		duplicate), any processes for obtaining			
		and confirming data from investigators			
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which	Υ	7-8	228-236
		data will be sought (such as PICO			
		items, funding sources), any pre-			
		planned data assumptions and			
		simplifications			
Outcomes and	13	List and define all outcomes for which	Υ	8	251-273
prioritization		data will be sought, including			
		prioritization of main and additional			
		outcomes, with rationale			
Risk of bias in individual	14	Describe anticipated methods for	Υ	7	237-248
studies		assessing risk of bias of individual			
		studies, including whether this will be			
		done at the outcome or study level, or			
		both; state how this information will			
		be used in data synthesis			
Data synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study	n/a	n/a	n/a
		data will be quantitatively synthesised			

Section and topic	Item No	Checklist item	Y/N	Page number	Line numbers
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (such as I2, Kendall's T)	n/a	n/a	n/a
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (such as sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)	n/a	n/a	n/a
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned	Y	8	261-269
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (such as publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)	Y	8	258-260
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (such as GRADE)	Υ	8	266-275