Supplemental information:

# **PAUPAR** and PAX6 Sequentially Regulate Human Embryonic

# **Stem Cell Cortical Differentiation**

Yanxin Xu<sup>1,5</sup>, Jiajie Xi<sup>1,5</sup>, Guiying Wang<sup>1,5</sup>, Zhenming Guo<sup>2,3</sup>, Qiaoyi Sun<sup>1</sup>, Chenqi Lu<sup>4</sup>, Li Ma<sup>1</sup>, Yukang Wu<sup>1</sup>, Wenwen Jia<sup>1</sup>, Songcheng Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Xudong Guo<sup>1</sup>, Shan Bian<sup>2</sup>, Jiuhong Kang<sup>1\*</sup>

- 1. Supplemental figures (S1-S7)
- 2. Supplemental figure legends
- 3. Supplemental methods and materials
- 4. Supplemental tables

Figure S1



в

Figure S2







ptPAX6a

ptPAU ptPAX6a&PAU







Figure S3 A

С

1

0.5

JAG1



М

Figure S5

С

0



Figure S6





н



#### Figure S1. *PAUPAR* is a PAX6 binding RNA. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) plot of hESCs dorsal neural differentiated on day 16 and day 23 with tissues from 12 weeks human fetal brain. Colors and forms denote cells sampled from differentiation tissues.

(B) QRT-PCR analysis of RNAs derived from the cytosolic or nuclear fractions on d16 of dorsal neural differentiation. The *XIST* RNA and *GAPDH* mRNA were considered as the positive controls for the nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions, respectively.

(C) RIP analysis for endogenous interaction between PAX6 and *PAUPAR* on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(D) MS2bp-YFP RNA pull-down analysis for the interaction between Flag-PAX6a and *PAUPAR* in 293FT extracts.

(E) Analyses of the genetic integration of 3×PolyA insertion into the start site of PAUPAR.

(F) Schematic representation of the strategy in construction of PAU-PAKI cell line.

(G) Expression of *PAUPAR* in control, PAU-PAKI and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(H) Expression of *OCT6*, *N-CAD* and *ZNF521* in control, PAU-PAKI and PAX6KO cells on day 7 of neural differentiation.

Data are presented as mean ±SEM. \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 (t-test).

# Figure S2. PAX6 knockout inhibits both dorsal and ventral telencephalon differentiation and *PAX6* regulates *PAUPAR* expression. Related to Figure 1.

(A) Protein level of PAX6 and SOX1 in control and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(B and C) Immunostaining assay of PAX6 (green) and SOX1 (red) in control and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation (B), and quantification of PAX6<sup>+</sup>SOX1<sup>+</sup> cells (C). Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

(D) Expression of *TBR1* and *TBR2* in control and PAX6KO cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(E and F) Immunostaining assay of TBR1 (green) in control and PAX6KO cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation (E), and quantification of TBR1<sup>+</sup> cells (F). Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

(G and H) Immunostaining assay of TBR1 (green) and TUBB3 (red) in control and PAX6KO cells on day 30 of dorsal neural differentiation (G), and quantification of TBR1<sup>+</sup>TUBB3<sup>+</sup> cells among all TUBB3<sup>+</sup> cells (H). Scale bar, 100 µm.

(I) Immunostaining assay of NKX2.1 (green) in control and PAX6KO cells on day 25 of ventral neural differentiation. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(J) Immunostaining assay of PAX6 (green) and SOX1 (red) in control and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of ventral neural differentiation. Scale bar, 100 µm.

(K) Immunostaining assay of GABA (green) and TUBB3 (red) in control and PAX6KO cells on day 30 of ventral neural differentiation. Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

(L) Luciferase activity reporter assay for the *PAUPAR* promoter co-transfected with PAX6a or control vector.

(M) Genome browser screenshots of PAX6 ChIP-seq on *PAUPAR* in control and PAU-PAKI cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

Data are presented as mean ±SEM. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 (t-test).

# Figure S3. *PAUPAR* and *PAX6* can directly promote downstream neural gene expression. Related to Figure 3.

(A) Heatmap of representative downregulated genes related to neural differentiation (e.g., *SOX1*, *POU3F2*, *POU3F3*, *NR2F1* and *MSX1*) in control, PAU-PAKI and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(B) Expression of *PAX6*, *PAUPAR* (up) and *SOX1*, *POU3F3* (down) in control, PAX6a overexpression, *PAUPAR* overexpression or PAX6a/*PAUPAR* co-overexpression hESCs.

(C) Protein level of PAX6 and SOX1 in control, PAX6a overexpression, *PAUPAR* overexpression or PAX6a/*PAUPAR* co-overexpression hESCs.

(D and E) Immunostaining assay of PAX6 (green) and SOX1 (red) in control, PAX6a overexpression, *PAUPAR* overexpression or PAX6a/*PAUPAR* co-overexpression hESCs (D), and quantification of PAX6<sup>+</sup> (left) and SOX1<sup>+</sup> (right) cells (E). Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

Data are presented as mean ±SEM. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 (t-test).

# Figure S4. *PAUPAR* overexpression can rescue the defects of dorsal telencephalon caused by inhibition of *PAUPAR*. Related to Figure 4.

(A) ChIP-seq analysis showed the fractions of PAX6 binding genes diminished (green), remaining (blue), and new generated (yellow) found after the inhibition of *PAUPAR* on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(B) QPCR analysis showed the overexpression of *PAUPAR* in the PAU-PAKI cells

(C) Expression of *SOX1*, *POU3F3*, *MSX1* and *NR2F1* after *PAUPAR* overexpression in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(D and E) Immunostaining assay of PAX6 (green) and SOX1 (red) after *PAUPAR* overexpression in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation (D), and quantification of PAX6<sup>+</sup>SOX1<sup>+</sup> cells (E). Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

(F) Expression of *TBR1* and *TBR2* after *PAUPAR* overexpression in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(G and H) Immunostaining assay of TBR1 (green) after *PAUPAR* overexpression in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation (G), and quantification of TBR1<sup>+</sup> cells (H). Scale bar, 100 µm.

(I and J) Immunostaining assay of TBR1 (green) and TUBB3 (red) after *PAUPAR* overexpression in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 30 of dorsal neural differentiation (I), and quantification of TBR1<sup>+</sup>TUBB3<sup>+</sup> cells among all TUBB3<sup>+</sup> cells (J). Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

(K) Expression of *SOX1* after PAX6a/dCas9 overexpression w/o sgRNA in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(L and M) Immunostaining assay of PAX6 (green) and SOX1 (red) after PAX6a/dCas9 overexpression w/o sgRNA in the PAU-PAKI cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation (L) and quantification of PAX6<sup>+</sup>SOX1<sup>+</sup> cells (M). Scale bar, 100  $\mu$ m.

Data are presented as mean ±SEM. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 versus CTRL group (C, E, F, H and J), or versus PAU-PAKI+gRNA+ptPAX6a/dCas9-dox group (K and M); #p<0.05, ##p<0.01, ###p<0.001 versus PAU-PAKI+ptPAU-dox group (C, E, F, H and J); (t-test/ANOVA).

# Figure S5. PD domain of PAX6 is responsible for binding with *PAUPAR*. Related to Figure 5.

(A) Schematic representation of SOX1 pieces.

(B) MS2bp-YFP RNA pulldown analysis for the interaction of co-transfected *PAUPAR* with PAX6a,  $\Delta$ PD,  $\Delta$ HD and  $\Delta$ C mutant in 293FT extracts.

(C) QRT-PCR analysis showed the overexpression of PAX6a and  $\Delta PD$  mutant in the PAX6KO cells.

(D) Expression of SOX1, POU3F3, MSX1 and NR2F1 after PAX6a or  $\Delta$ PD mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(E and F) Immunostaining assay of SOX1 (red) after *PAX6a* or  $\Delta$ PD mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation (E), and quantification of SOX1<sup>+</sup> cells (F). Scale bar, 100 µm.

(G) Expression of *TBR1* and *TBR2* after *PAX6a* or  $\Delta$ PD mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(H and I) Immunostaining assay of TBR1 (green) after *PAX6a* or  $\Delta$ PD mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation (H), and quantification of TBR1<sup>+</sup> cells (I). Scale bar, 100 µm.

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$ SEM. \*/p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*/p<0.001 versus CTRL group (D, F, G and I); #/p<0.05, ###/p<0.001 versus PAX6KO+ptPAX6a-dox group (D, F, G and I); (t-test/ANOVA).

# Figure S6. *PAX6* knockout does not affect H3K4me3 and H3K27me3 but reduce H3K36me3 level of target gene. Related to Figure 6.

(A and B) Enrichment of H3K4me3 (A) and H3K27me3 (B) on *SOX1*, (TSS -200), *POU3F3* (TSS - 800), *MSX1* (TSS -500) and *NR2F1* (TSS -500) genomic region in control and PAU-PAKI cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(C and D) Enrichment of H3K4me3 (C) and H3K27me3 (D) on *SOX1*, (TSS -200), *POU3F3* (TSS -800), *MSX1* (TSS -500) and *NR2F1* (TSS -500) genomic region in control and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(E) Enrichment of H3K36me3 on *SOX1* (TSS +1300), *POU3F3* (TSS +1000), *MSX1* (TSS +1000) and *NR2F1* (TSS +1500) genomic region in control and PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

Data are presented as mean ±SEM. \*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.001 (t-test).

## Figure S7. C terminus of PAX6 is responsible for binding with NSD1. Related to Figure 7.

(A) Analyses of the genetic integration of 3×myc-tag insertion into the C-terminal of NSD1.

(B) Enrichment of myc-NSD1 on *TBR1* (TSS +200) and *TBR2* (TSS -1000) genomic region in control, PAU-PAKI and PAX6KO cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(C) QRT-PCR analysis showed the overexpression of *PAX6a* and  $\Delta$ C mutant in the PAX6KO cells.

(D) Expression of SOX1, POU3F3, MSX1 and NR2F1 after PAX6a or  $\Delta$ C mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(E and F) Immunostaining assay of SOX1 (red) after *PAX6a* or  $\Delta$ C mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 16 of dorsal neural differentiation (E), and quantification of SOX1<sup>+</sup>

cells (F). Scale bar, 100 µm.

(G) Expression of *TBR1* and *TBR2* after *PAX6a* or  $\Delta$ C mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 25 of dorsal neural differentiation.

(H and I) Enrichment of H3K36me3 on *SOX1* (TSS +1300), *POU3F3* (TSS +1000), *MSX1* (TSS +1000) and *NR2F1* (TSS +1500) genomic region after *PAX6a* or  $\Delta$ C mutant overexpression in the PAX6KO cells on day 16 (H), *TBR1* (TSS +200) and *TBR2* (TSS +600) genomic region on day 25 (I) of dorsal neural differentiation.

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$ SEM. \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001 versus CTRL group (B, D and F-I); #p<0.05, ##p<0.01, ###p<0.001 versus PAX6KO+ptPAX6a-dox group (D and F-I); (t-test/ANOVA).

## Supplemental methods and materials

#### **hESC** electroporation

hESCs were pretreated with 10  $\mu$ M Y27632 for at least 12 h. Then, the cells were digested into single cells with Accutase and electroporated with plasmids. For the CRISPR/Cas9 system, 5  $\mu$ g of Cas9, 5  $\mu$ g of gRNA and 20  $\mu$ g of targeting donor plasmid were used. For the TALEN system, 5  $\mu$ g of Talen-Left, 5  $\mu$ g of Talen-Right and 20  $\mu$ g of donor plasmid were used. P3 primary cell buffer (Lonza) was used for each transfection of hESCs with Program CB-150. Transfected cells were plated on an irradiated MEF layer supplied with Y27632 and cultured overnight. The medium was changed every day, and individual colonies were selected with puromycin (1  $\mu$ g/ml), blasticidin (2  $\mu$ g/ml) or G418 (200  $\mu$ g/ml), respectively.

#### Generation of gene knock-in hESCs by CRISPR/Cas9

To generate PAU-PAKI hESC lines, gRNA targeting PAUPAR listed in Supplemental Table S2 was designed using the CRISPR DESIGN website (<u>http://crispr.mit.edu/</u>). The PGK promoter-puro segment and 3×polyA segment were cloned into the pLB vector (TIANGEN) and integrated into the transcription start site (TSS) of PAUPAR with the designed sgRNA respectively.

To generate NSD1-3×myc hESC lines, gRNA targeting the C-terminus of NSD1 (Supplemental Table S2) was used. The 3×myc tag segment and a stop codon with an EF1A1 promoter-driven neomycin cassette segmented between two LoxP sequences were cloned into the pLB vector and integrated into the end of NSD1. After individual colonies were selected by G418, the Cre recombinase driven by the EF1 $\alpha$  promoter was transfected into hESCs through electroporation.

#### Generation of gene inducible overexpression hESCs by TALEN

To generate gene-inducible overexpression hESC lines, PAUPAR, mutant PAUPAR (missing nt 1-919), wild type PAX6a, PAX6a-ΔPD (with amino acids 2-128 deleted) and PAX6a-ΔC (with amino acids 303-422 deleted) driven by the tetracycline response element (pTREtight promoter, pt) and rtTA driven by the CAG promoter were integrated into the AAVS1 locus through electroporation with TALEN as previously described(1).

To generate inducible PAX6a/dCas9-overexpression hESCs lines, the PAX6a/dCas9 fusion protein

driven by the pTREtight promoter and rtTA driven by the CAG promoter were integrated into one allele of the AAVS1 locus, and gRNA targeting the *SOX1* locus (Supplemental Table S2) modified as previously described(2) and driven by the U6 promoter was integrated into another allele through electroporation with TALEN.

#### Western blotting

The cells were collected, washed with PBS, and then lysed in 1× SDS lysis buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Lysates were separated from cell extracts by 10-12% Bis-Tris SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes (Millipore). Blots were blocked in 3% BSA/TBST at room temperature for 1 h and incubated with primary antibody at 4°C overnight and secondary antibody at room temperature for 1 h. The signals were visualized by using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL). The antibodies used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

### **Quantitative RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the cells using RNAiso (Takara) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 500 ng of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using a PrimeScript<sup>TM</sup> RT reagent kit (Takara). The resultant cDNA was used for QRT-PCR on an Mx3000 instrument (Agilent) with relative expression levels calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method(3) by normalizing against *GAPDH* expression; results are presented as the fold change relative to the control. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and repeated three times. The primer sequences used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

#### Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH)

FISH assays were performed as previously described (4). Cells cultured on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C for 15 min and washed three times with PBS. The samples were subsequently incubated with Pre-Hybridization Buffer at 37°C for 30 min and Hybridization Buffer with FISH probes at 37°C overnight in the dark using a Ribo<sup>™</sup> Fluorescent *In Situ* Hybridization Kit

(RiboBio). The next day, the coverslips were washed three times with wash buffer I (4× SSC with 0.1% Tween-20), once each with wash buffer II (2× SSC) and wash buffer III (1× SSC) at 42°C in the dark for 5 min and once with PBS at room temperature. Then, the cells were stained with Ho 33342 in the dark for 10 min. PAUPAR-Cy3 FISH probes (RiboBio) were designed and synthesized by RiboBio Co., Ltd. Human U6 FISH probes (RiboBio) and Human 18S FISH probes (RiboBio) were used as nuclear and cytoplasmic controls, respectively. All images were captured by fluorescence microscopy (Nikon).

## Luciferase reporter assay

Luciferase activities were examined using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) as described previously (5). Luciferase reporters were generated by cloning the PAUPAR promoter (-2000 to +1000) into the pGL3-Basic vector (Promega). Then, 293FT cells (5×10<sup>4</sup>) were plated in 24-well plates, and the cells in each well were transfected with luciferase reporters (100 ng), Renilla (5 ng) and PAX6a (pcDNA3.1 vector, 150 ng). Cell lysates were harvested 48 h after transfection.

#### Chromatin isolation by RNA purification (ChIRP)

ChIRP was performed as previously described with modifications (6,7). Antisense probes were designed using an online probe designer (singlemoleculefish.com). Cells were crosslinked with 1% glutaraldehyde (Sangon Biotech) for 10 min and quenched with 0.25 M glycine for 5 min at room temperature. The cell pellet was lysed in nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.0), 10 mM EDTA and 1% SDS) with the addition of dithiothreitol, protease inhibitor cocktail, PMSF and RNaseOUT (Invitrogen). Then, each sample was sonicated to generate 100-500 bp fragments. Chromatin fractions were diluted in two volumes of hybridization buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.0), 750 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100 and 15% formamide (Sigma) with dithiothreitol, protease inhibitor cocktail, PMSF and RNaseOUT) supplemented with 100 pmol of probes by end-to-end rotation at 37°C for 4 h. Then, 100 μl of prewashed streptavidin magnetic C1 beads (invitrogen) was added and incubated at 37°C by end-to-end rotation for an additional 0.5-1 h. The beads were then washed five times with wash buffer (2× SSC and 0.1% SDS supplemented with dithiothreitol

and fresh PMSF). For RNA elution, beads were resuspended in RNA pK buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 7.0), 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) and Proteinase K. Then, samples were incubated at 65°C for 45 min with end-to-end shaking, followed by boiling at 95°C for 10 min. Immunoprecipitated RNAs were extracted using RNAiso (Takara) and analyzed by QRT-PCR. For DNA elution, beads were washed once with SDS elution buffer (50 mM Tris, 75 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1% SDS) at 37°C for 30 min, followed by one wash with elution buffer (50 mM Tris, 75 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% Triton X-100) at 37°C for 5 min. Then, DNA was sequentially eluted by elution buffer supplemented with RNaseH and RNaseA and by SDS elution buffer at room temperature for 2 min. The combined eluents were treated with proteinase K, 150 mM NaCl and 10 mM EDTA at 65°C for 50 min. DNA was then purified with phenol-chloroform (Sangon Biotech) and subjected to QRT-PCR analysis. The primer sequences and the probe sequences used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

# **Coimmunoprecipitation (Co-IP)**

Co-IP assays were performed as previously described with modifications (8). A mixture of Ezview Red Protein A affinity gel (Sigma) and Ezview Red Protein G affinity gel (Sigma) (1:1) was incubated with antibodies or control normal IgG overnight at 4°C. Cells were lysed in RIPA buffer containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) on ice. The cells were sonicated for 30 s (2 s interval) at 25 Amps (Qsonica Sonicators), after which antibodies were added and incubated for 4-6 h at 4°C. The protein-bead complex was washed with RIPA buffer 3 times and subjected to western blotting analysis. The antibodies used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

#### MS2bp-YFP RNA pulldown

The MS2bp-YFP RNA pulldown assay was performed as described previously(9). A total of  $5 \times 10^6$  293FT cells were seeded into 100-mm plates and cotransfected with 4 µg of MS2bs overexpression vector (pcDNA3-MS2bs), 4 µg of the MS2bp-YFP overexpression plasmid, and 4 µg of pcDNA overexpression vector with 36 µl of FuGENE HD (Roche). After 48 h, the cells were lysed with RIP buffer (100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 0.5% NP-40, and 1 mM dithiothreitol)

for 30 min on ice. The proteins were immunoprecipitated using control IgG (CST) or anti-GFP antibody (Abcam), which was able to recognize the YFP protein. The RNA and RNA-bound protein complexes were treated with RNAiso (Takara) to purify the RNA or SDS lysis buffer for western blotting analysis.

### Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

ChIP assay was performed as described previously (4). Cells were crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde (Sigma) for 10 min, and the crosslinking reaction was then guenched with 0.25 M glycine for 5 min at room temperature. Cell pellets were lysed in cell lysis buffer (5 mM PIPES (pH 8pH 8.0), 85 mM KCl and 0.5% NP-40), followed by nuclei lysis buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 8.1), 10 mM EDTA and 0.75% SDS), and sonicated using an M220 Focused-ultrasonicator (Covaris) to generate 500 to 750 bp fragments. Fragmented chromatin samples were incubated with magnetic beads (ChIP-grade Protein G beads; CST) prebound with 4 µg of antibody at 4°C. The beads were washed once with TSE buffer I (20 mM Tris (pH 8.1), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1%SDS, 1% TritonX-100 and 2 mM EDTA), TSE buffer II (20 mM Tris (pH 8.1), 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% TritonX-100 and 2 mM EDTA), wash buffer III (10 mM Tris (pH 8.1), 250 mM LiCl, 1% deoxycholate, 1% NP-40 and 1 mM EDTA) and TE buffer (10 mM Tris (pH 8.1) and 1 mM EDTA). Each wash was performed on a rotator over 15 min at 4°C. Beads were treated with 300  $\mu$ l of elution buffer (100 mM NaHCO3 and 1% SDS). 30 µl of 5 M NaCl was added to the eluted samples except input. All samples were reverse crosslinked overnight at 65°C. After RNaseA and proteinase K treatment, samples were purified with phenolchloroform (Sangon Biotech). Immunoprecipitated DNA and input DNA were used as templates for QRT-PCR analysis. The antibodies used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1. The primer sequences used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

### **RNA-seq**

RNA-Seq library generation and sequencing were performed as previously described (10). Quality control and adapter trimming of sequencing raw data were completed by fastqc (11) and Trim Galore (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim\_galore/). All RNA-seq reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) using TopHat (v2.0.12) with default parameters (12). Gene expression levels were measured as FPKM using Cufflinks (v2.2.1) to eliminate the effects of sequencing depth and transcript length (13). For each comparison, differentially expressed (DE) genes with a GFOLD value larger than 1 (fold change larger than 2) were found using GFOLD (v1.1.3) (14). For the following analysis, FPKMs were log2 transformed after adding a pseudocount of 1. Functional annotation was performed using the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID 6.7) bioinformatics resource (15). GO terms for each functional cluster were summarized as a representative term, and P-values were plotted to show the significance.

#### ChIP-seq

ChIP was performed using the SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP Kit (Magnetic Beads) (Cell Signaling Technology). DNA libraries were constructed by following Illumina library preparation protocols. All ChIP-seq reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) using Bowtie2 (v2.2.9) with default parameters (16). The reads signal for each sample were generated using MACS2 (v2.1.1.20160309) and normalized to 1 million reads for visualization with the parameter –SPMR (17). The normalized reads signal were used to calculate the average signal of each sample around the TSS in different groups of genes. The binding motif of PAX6 was predicted by the MEME method (18). The antibodies used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

#### References

- Hockemeyer, D., Wang, H., Kiani, S., Lai, C.S., Gao, Q., Cassady, J.P., Cost, G.J., Zhang, L., Santiago, Y., Miller, J.C. *et al.* (2011) Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nucleases. *Nature biotechnology*, **29**, 731-734.
- Zalatan, J.G., Lee, M.E., Almeida, R., Gilbert, L.A., Whitehead, E.H., La Russa, M., Tsai, J.C., Weissman, J.S., Dueber, J.E., Qi, L.S. *et al.* (2015) Engineering complex synthetic transcriptional programs with CRISPR RNA scaffolds. *Cell*, **160**, 339-350.
- 3. Livak, K.J. and Schmittgen, T.D. (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*, **25**, 402-408.
- Guo, X., Xu, Y., Wang, Z., Wu, Y., Chen, J., Wang, G., Lu, C., Jia, W., Xi, J., Zhu, S. *et al.* (2018) A Linc1405/Eomes Complex Promotes Cardiac Mesoderm Specification and Cardiogenesis. *Cell stem cell*, **22**, 893-908 e896.
- Xi, J., Wu, Y., Li, G., Ma, L., Feng, K., Guo, X., Jia, W., Wang, G., Yang, G., Li, P. *et al.* (2017) Mir-29b Mediates the Neural Tube versus Neural Crest Fate Decision during Embryonic Stem Cell

Neural Differentiation. Stem cell reports, 9, 571-586.

- 6. Chu, C., Qu, K., Zhong, F.L., Artandi, S.E. and Chang, H.Y. (2011) Genomic maps of long noncoding RNA occupancy reveal principles of RNA-chromatin interactions. *Molecular cell*, **44**, 667-678.
- Trimarchi, T., Bilal, E., Ntziachristos, P., Fabbri, G., Dalla-Favera, R., Tsirigos, A. and Aifantis, I. (2014) Genome-wide mapping and characterization of Notch-regulated long noncoding RNAs in acute leukemia. *Cell*, **158**, 593-606.
- 8. Yu, Y., Jia, W., Lyu, Y., Su, D., Bai, M., Shen, J., Qiao, J., Han, T., Liu, W., Chen, J. *et al.* (2019) Pwp1 regulates telomere length by stabilizing shelterin complex and maintaining histone H4K20 trimethylation. *Cell discovery*, **5**, 47.
- Yoon, J.H., Abdelmohsen, K., Srikantan, S., Yang, X., Martindale, J.L., De, S., Huarte, M., Zhan,
  M., Becker, K.G. and Gorospe, M. (2012) LincRNA-p21 suppresses target mRNA translation.
  *Molecular cell*, 47, 648-655.
- Weng, R., Lu, C., Liu, X., Li, G., Lan, Y., Qiao, J., Bai, M., Wang, Z., Guo, X., Ye, D. *et al.* (2018) Long Noncoding RNA-1604 Orchestrates Neural Differentiation through the miR-200c/ZEB Axis. *Stem cells*, **36**, 325-336.
- 11. Brown, J., Pirrung, M. and McCue, L.A. (2017) FQC Dashboard: integrates FastQC results into a web-based, interactive, and extensible FASTQ quality control tool. *Bioinformatics*, **33**, 3137-3139.
- 12. Trapnell, C., Pachter, L. and Salzberg, S.L. (2009) TopHat: discovering splice junctions with RNA-Seq. *Bioinformatics*, **25**, 1105-1111.
- Trapnell, C., Williams, B.A., Pertea, G., Mortazavi, A., Kwan, G., van Baren, M.J., Salzberg, S.L., Wold, B.J. and Pachter, L. (2010) Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. *Nature biotechnology*, 28, 511-515.
- 14. Feng, J., Meyer, C.A., Wang, Q., Liu, J.S., Shirley Liu, X. and Zhang, Y. (2012) GFOLD: a generalized fold change for ranking differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq data. *Bioinformatics*, **28**, 2782-2788.
- 15. Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T. and Lempicki, R.A. (2009) Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature protocols*, **4**, 44-57.
- 16. Langmead, B. and Salzberg, S.L. (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature methods*, **9**, 357-359.
- Zhang, Y., Liu, T., Meyer, C.A., Eeckhoute, J., Johnson, D.S., Bernstein, B.E., Nusbaum, C., Myers, R.M., Brown, M., Li, W. *et al.* (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome biology*, 9, R137.
- 18. Bailey, T.L., Johnson, J., Grant, C.E. and Noble, W.S. (2015) The MEME Suite. *Nucleic acids research*, **43**, W39-49.

Table S1. Antibodies used for this study.

Antibodies	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-PAX6	Abcam	Cat# ab5790, RRID: AB_305110
Goat polyclonal to anti-SOX1	R&D Systems	Cat# AF3369, RRID: AB_2239879
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-PAX6	BioLegend	Cat# 901301, RRID: AB_2565003
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-TBR1	Abcam	Cat# ab183032
Mouse monoclonal to anti-TUBB3	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# T5076, RRID: AB_532291
Mouse monoclonal to anti-NKX2.1	Millipore	Cat# MAB5460, RRID: AB_571072
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-GABA	Sigma-Aldrich	Cat# A2052, RRID: AB_477652
Mouse monoclonal to anti-NESTIN	BD Biosciences	Cat# 611658, RRID: AB_399176
Mouse monoclonal to anti-MAP2	Abcam	Cat# ab11267, RRID: AB_297885
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-GAPDH	Bioworld	Cat# AP0063; RRID: AB_2651132
Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey Anti-Mouse	Invitrogen	Cat# A21202; RRID: AB_141607
Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey Anti-Rabbit	Invitrogen	Cat# A21206; RRID: AB_141708
Alexa Fluor 594 Donkey Anti-Mouse	Invitrogen	Cat# A21203; RRID: AB_141633
Alexa Fluor 594 Donkey Anti-Goat	Invitrogen	Cat# A-11058, RRID: AB_142540
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-GFP	Abcam	Cat# ab290; RRID: AB_2313768
Mouse monoclonal to anti-RNA Polymerase II	Millipore	Cat# 05-623; RRID: AB_309852
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-H3K4me3	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 9727, RRID: AB_561095

Mouse monoclonal o anti-H3K27me3	Abcam	Cat# ab6002, RRID: AB_305237
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-H3K36me3	Abcam	Cat# ab9050, RRID: AB_306966
Rabbit polyclonal to anti-H3K36me2	Abcam	Cat# ab9049, RRID: AB_1280939
Mouse monoclonal to anti-Flag	GNI	Cat# GNI4110
Mouse monoclonal to anti-HA-tag	Bioworld	Cat# AP0005M, RRID: AB_2797399
Goat polyclonal to anti-Myc-tag	Abcam	Cat# ab9132, RRID: AB_307033
Mouse monoclonal to anti- Myc-tag	Cell Signaling Technology	Cat# 2276, RRID: AB_331783

Supplemental Tables S2. Primers used for this study.

Primers used for gI	RNA.	
	GGACGAAACACCGTAACTCGAACCGGAGAAGCGAGG	Б
DALIDAD - DNIA	GTTTTAGAGCTA	Г
PAUPAK-gRNA	TTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCTCGCTTCTCCGGTTCGAGTT	п
	ACGGTGTTTC	ĸ
	GGACGAAACACCGTCAGCGCCCGGCGGTCGCCAGGG	Б
PAX6a/dCAS9-	GTTTTAGAGCTA	Г
gRNA	TTTCTAGCTCTAAAACTCAGCGCCCGGCGGTCGCCAG	D
	GGCGGTGTTTC	ĸ
	GGACGAAACACCGCATTAGCCAGTGGGGGGCTTATGG	F
NSD1-C-terminal-	GTTTTAGAGCTA	1
gRNA	TTTCTAGCTCTAAAACCCATAAGCCCCCACTGGCTAA	R
	TGCGGTGTTTC	K
Primers used in QR	T-PCR of gene expression assays.	
DALIDAD	GGAGGCAAATAGCGTCAAGGTTTCCTC	F
PAUPAK	CGAATGTTTGTATGCCATATTTGTGC	R
DAVG	TCTTTGCTTGGGAAATCCG	F
PAX6	CTGCCCGTTCAACATCCTTAG	R
SOV1	GTTTTTTGTAGTTGTTACCGC	F
SOXI	GCATTTACAAGAAATAATAC	R
NIKVO 1	AACCAAGCGCATCCAATCTCAAGG	F
INKA2.1	TGTGCCCAGAGTGAAGTTTGGTCT	R
NEVO O	GTCAGGGACGGCAAACCAT	F
INKA2.2	GCGCTGTAGGCAGAAAAGG	R
	ACAATTTTCCTGACTCCAAGGAC	F
I DKI	ACTGTGACGAAGCTCAGAGAC	R
ΤΟΟΊ	CTGCCCACTACAATGTGTTCG	F
I DK2	GCGCCTTTGTTATTGGTGAGTTT	R
OCT6	GCTCGAGAGCCACTTTCTCA	F
0010	CCAGGCGCGTATACATCGT	R
7NE521	CAACTGACAGATGGAGTGGATG	F
ZINF J21	GCTAGGGGAAGTCTGATCCTT	R
NCAD	TCCTGATATATGCCCAAGACAA	F
NCAD	TGACCCAGTCTCTCTTCTGC	R
CATAA	GTGTCCCAGACGTTCTCAGTC	F
UA1A4	GGGAGACGCATAGCCTTGT	R
SOV17	GTGGACCGCACGGAATTTG	F
SUAT/	GGAGATTCACACCGGAGTCA	R
Т	AATTGGTCCAGCCTTGGAAT	F

	CGTTGCTCACAGACCACA	R
	GGCGTCAGAGTGGGAAATCC	F
MIXLI	GGCAGGCAGTTCACATCTACC	R
DOLLES	AAGCGGAAAAAGCGGACCT	F
POU3F2	GTGTGGTGGAGTGTCCCTAC	R
DOUDED	CATTTTTGCAGTCCAAGGAAGGAG	F
POUSFS	ACACCACCACAGTATGAACGAGATC	R
EEZED	CGCTGGAGCAGGTACTGAAG	F
ΓΕΖΓΖ	CGGGTGAGATTATAGTGAGCGTT	R
ND7E1	ATCGTGCTGTTCACGTCAGAC	F
IN <b>K</b> 2 <b>F</b> I	TGGCTCCTCACGTACTCCTC	R
MCV1	ACACAAGACGAACCGTAAGCC	F
MSXI	CACATGGGCCGTGTAGAGTC	R
	GCCGAGGTCCTATACGTTGC	F
JAGI	CCGAGTGAGAAGCCTTTTCAA	R
NEUDOC1	GCTCTCTGACCCCAGTAGC	F
NEUROGI	GCGTTGTGTGGAGCAAGTC	R
NEUDOD4	AAAGCTCGCCTTGAGAGATTC	F
NEUKOD4	GCCTCAGGTTATCCAGGGC	R
HES5	TCAGCCCCAAAGAGAAAAAC	F
HES5	TAGTCCTGGTGCAGGCTCTT	R
MEIS1 GATATAGCCGTGTTCGCCAAA CGGTGGCAGAAATTGTCACAT	F	
	CGGTGGCAGAAATTGTCACAT	R
VIST	Image: Contract of the contract	F
ΛΙΣΙ		R
CAPDH	U3F2      AAGCCGGAAAAGCCGGACC1        U3F3      CATTTTGCAGTCCAAGGAAGGAG        U3F3      ACACCACACACAGTATGAAGGAGGATC        CGGTGGAGCAGGTACTGAAG      CGCTGGAGCAGGTACTGAAG        ZZF2      CGGGTGAGATTATAGTGAGCGTT        R2F1      ATCGTGCTGTCACGTACTGAAG        SXI      ACACAAGACGAACCGTAAGCC        AG1      GCCGAGGTCCTATACGTTGC        GCGTTGTGGAGAAGCCTTTTCAA      GCCGAGGTCCTATACGTTGC        AG1      GCCGAGGTCCTATACGTTGC        ROG1      GCCTGTGGAGAAGCCTTTTCAA        ROG1      GCTTCTGACCCAGTAGC        ROD4      GCCTCAGGTTGGAGCAAGTC        ROD4      GCCTCAGGTTGGAGCAAGTC        ROD4      GCCTCAGGTTATCCAGGGC        ROD4      GCCTCAGGTGTGGAGCAGCTTT        RAGCCCCCAAAGAGAAAAAC      GCGTGGCAGGCTCTT        RAGTCCTGGGCAGAAATGTCCAGGGC      GCGTGGCAGAAATGGTCCACAA        RS5      TAGTCCTGGGCAGAAATGTCCACAT        RJT      GGTGAATAGCCGTGTCGCAAAC        RDH      CATCCAGGAAATGAGCGGGAACC        PDH      CATACCAGGAAATGAGCCGGAACC        PDH      GGTGAATGAGCCCAGCACTGA        C4erminus      GGTGAATGAGCCCAGCAGCCACCCA        I-4000	F
OAI DII		R
PAY6 C terminus	CACATGGGCCGTGTAGAGTCGCCGAGGTCCTATACGTTGCCCGAGTGAGAAGCCTTTTCAAGCTCTCTGACCCCAGTAGCGCGTTGTGTGGAGCAAGTCAAAGCTCGCCTTGAGAGAATCGCCTCAGGTTATCCAGGGCTCAGCCCCAAAGAGAAAAACTAGTCCTGGTGCAGGCTCTTGATATAGCCGTGTTCGCCAAACGGTGGCAGAAATTGTCACATAGCTCCTCGGACAGCTGTAACTCCAGATAGCTGGCAACCATGACATCAAGAAGAGTGGTGCATACCAGGAAATGAGCTGGGGTGAATGGGCGGAGTTATGATGGGGAAATGAGCTCTGTTGAAGTGRT-PCR of ChIP, ChIRP and in vitro triplex pulldown assays.TAATGGTGTTACAGTCCAGCACTCAGGTCATAATCTTCAAAGCCAATCCAAGTGGTTTGTGCATCAGGAGAAACGAGAGGAATTCAGACGAGTGAGACC	F
TAX0-C-terminus	GGGGAAATGAGTCCTGTTGAAGTG	R
Primers used in QR	Γ-PCR of ChIP, ChIRP and in vitro triplex pulldown assays.	
COV1 4000	TAATGGTGTTACAGTCCAGCACTCA	F
SOX1-4000	GGTCATAATCTTCAAAGCCAATCC	R
GOV1 200	AAGTGGTTTGTGCATCAGGAGAAAC	F
SOX1-200	GAGAGGAATTCAGACGGAGTGAGAC	R
SOV1 + 200	ATGCACAACTCGGAGATCAGCAAG	F
<i>SOA1</i> +300	GCGAGTACTTGTCCTTCTTGAGCAG	R
SOV1 - 600	CAAGACCAAGACGCTGCTCAAGAAG	F
SOX1+600	CAGCCGTTGACGTGCGCGTAG	R
SOV1 1200	CTTTTGTACAGACGTTCCCACATTC	F
SOX1+1300	CGCTTCCTCCGTAGGTGATAAATAC	R
SOV1 2000	AATGGGAGGACAGGATTTTTCAAGG	F
SOA1+2000	CAGTGAAACAGCCGGAGCAGAAG	R

COV1 + 2600	ACACACAGAAATATCGACCATCACC		F
<i>SOX1</i> +2000	GCATCAAACAACCTCAAGATCTCG		R
DOU2E2 4000	ATCAGCACTAACTGTTCTCCCCTTGT		F
<i>F003F3-</i> 4000	CGTACTTAATTCTCGAATCGCTCCC		R
POU3F3-800 -	GACTGTTGCGAGGAGAATGAAAAAG		F
	CTAGGAAAACTTCTTGCGATGGTG		R
DOU2E2 + 1000	TTCAAGAACATGTGCAAGCTCAAG		F
POU3F3+1000	TCACCTCGATAGAGGTCCGCTTC		R
MSV1 4000	TCGGTTTTCATAGCACATTCATTC		F
<i>MSX1-</i> 4000	TTTTGTTTATGCTCCCTCCTCT		R
MSV1 500	CTGAAGCACTCCGTGGGCAC		F
MISA1-300	GGAAAGGTGGATGTTAATACAGTTGAC		R
MSV1 + 1000	AGTTAACGGATAGGACACCGATGTC		F
M3A1+1000	TCCTACTGCGAGAAAGTGAAACTCC		R
ND2E1 4000	AATTGAGCCTCTTCCTTTCAACAC		F
IVK2F1-4000	AGCGGATTAAAAGGGACATCG		R
ND2E1 500	GGTCTCAGAGTAAATAGCAGCGCAG		F
NR2F1-500	GCTACCTACAGACTGGGATGGTGTG		R
ND2E1 + 1500	AAGCACTACGGCCAATTCACCT		F
NR2F1+1500	ATATTCACCTTCCCGCCTCATG		R
TRR1 4000	GCCCCTGTTATTACTTACGGTTCA		F
<i>I BKI</i> -4000	CATATTTTCACAAGGGTGCAGACTAG		R
$TRR1 \pm 200$	AAGTGCTTTCTGTCTAGTGAGGGG		F
<i>IB</i> K1+200	TTGTCAGTGGTCGAGATAATGGG		R
TGGGAGTATCTTGCTCTTGTCAGC        TBR2-4000      CACCGTTAGGTTGTTCATGTTTCAT			F
			R
$TBR_{2-1000}$	CTGAAGATGGTGGTGAAGGGACG		F
1002-1000	ACAACTGCTTTCGGGGAGACAG		R
TBR2+600	CCAAGAAGTTTTCCGGCAGTC		F
1000	CGGCGTCGGTGTCACTAAGC		R
GAPDH+3500	ATGACATCAAGAAGGTGGTG		F
0/11/0/11/05000	CATACCAGGAAATGAGCTTG		R
ChIRP probes.			
PAUPAR-ChIRP-1	AAATTTTTATTCCGGTACCTTT	even	
PAUPAR-ChIRP-2	CACCGATTAATTTATCCCAAAC	odd	
PAUPAR-ChIRP-3	TTCTTCAAGCCACCGATTAATT	CAATT even	
PAUPAR-ChIRP-4	CAAAACTTAAATCCTGGCTGGA	CAAAACTTAAATCCTGGCTGGA odd	
PAUPAR-ChIRP-5	CAGCTGCCTCCAAATCAATAGA eve		/en
PAUPAR-ChIRP-6	IRP-6 AGCTAATATATTGTGACTGCTC od		dd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-7	RP-7 TAAAAGTAAGGGTTGAGCCCAA ev		ven
PAUPAR-ChIRP-8	hIRP-8 AGTTAAAAGTAAGGGTTGAGCC od		dd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-9	CATTAGTAGTACTACTCCATTA eve		/en

PAUPAR-ChIRP-10	GAGTGGAGACTCTTTGGTCTCC	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-11	CCACGACCAGCAGAACTTCTCC	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-12	CTGTTTTCACAATCTGCGTCAC	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-13	ATTAGCGAAGGAAATGACCCAA	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-14	TCAAAGTGAGGCAGACTCTGGC	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-15	ATATTTTCTCCATAAAGTGCAG	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-16	TTTAATTGTCCATGCGTGTTCC	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-17	TAAGTGGGTGTGTTTAATTGTC	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-18	TATCCATTTCTGAACACATGTG	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-19	TATAGCAAGGTGCATTAGCTGC	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-20	AACGGACGTACATCTATAGCAA	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-21	CGGCAACAAGAAAAGGTGTCAG	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-22	CTTCCCCGGCAACAAGAAAAGG	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-23	CTGCAGAGGTCTAGTCAAAATA	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-24	CCCCTGCAGAGGTCTAGTCAAA	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-25	AACTACAGTATTCCACAGATGA	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-26	CAGCAAGACAGACTCTTTAACA	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-27	ACTAGTTCTATGGGTTTCCTCA	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-28	GGAAAGTAGCAGTGACAGAACA	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-29	ACGATCGGAAAGTAGCAGTGAC	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-30	CAGGGAGGGGGCGTTAAGAAGAG	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-31	CCGAGGAAACCTTGACGCTATT	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-32	GTACTACATACAGAAACCGAGG	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-33	AACCATGCGTACATCAATATTT	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-34	ACCATGCGTACATCAATATTTG	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-35	CGAATGTTTGTATGCCATATTT	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-36	AACTGACATTAACAGGGAGGTA	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-37	CCAGGCCCTAAAATAACCTCAA	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-38	CTTACACTATAGGTGAATTGGG	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-39	AGCCTATTTGTCACAATTGTAG	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-40	CAGCCTATTTGTCACAATTGTA	odd
PAUPAR-ChIRP-41	ATTCAAGTTCCTTGCATCAAAA	even
PAUPAR-ChIRP-42	AAATTCAAGTTCCTTGCATCAA	odd