# **Structure of mammalian Mediator complex reveals Tail module architecture and interaction with a conserved core**

Haiyan Zhao<sup>1.#</sup>, Natalie Young<sup>1,#</sup>, Jens Kalchschmidt<sup>2,#</sup>, Jenna Lieberman<sup>2</sup>, Laila El Khattabi<sup>3</sup>, Rafael Casellas<sup>2,4</sup> and Francisco J. Asturias<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical School, Aurora CO 80045, USA

2 Lymphocyte Nuclear Biology, NIAMS, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

<sup>3</sup>Institut Cochin Laboratoire de Cytogénétique Constitutionnelle Pré et Post Natale, 75014 Paris France

4 Center for Cancer Research, NCI, NIH, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA

#,\*These authors contributed equally to this work \*Correspondence should be addressed to F.J.A. (Francisco.Asturias@CUAnschutz.edu))

### **Supplementary Table 1. MudPIT analysis of purified mMED fractions used for cryo-EM analysis**







Uncommon Contaminant

### **Supplementary Table 2. Cryo-EM data collection, processing and model validation statistics**





## **Supplementary Table 3: Subunit module assignment and atomic model information**

## **Supplementary Table 4: Primer and cloning strategy for cell line creation.**





**Supplementary Figure 1. SDS-PAGE and EM in stain analyses of mMED preparations used for cryo-EM. a,** SDS-PAGE analysis of a typical MED25-FLAG purified mMED fraction used for cryo-EM analysis. **b,** Images of MED19-FLAG and MED25-FLAG mMED preserved in stain show homogenous particles and absence of any non-Mediator contaminants. Yellow circles highlight individual particles. Scale bars correspond to 500nm. These micrographs are representative of hundreds of micrographs used to ascertain the purity of mMED preparations used for various EM studies in stain and cryo.



**Supplementary Figure 2. 2D clustering and 3D classification diagram for mMED cryo-EM analysis.** Sequence of power spectra screening, 2D clustering and 3D classification steps during selection and analysis of mMED cryo-EM particle images.



**Supplementary Figure 3. mMED cryo-EM analysis. a,** Typical mMED micrograph showing particles cryo-preserved on a thin carbon film (0° tilt). Scale bar 200nm. This micrograph is representative of many thousands of micrographs collected in the course of the cryo-EM studies described here. **b,** 2D class averages obtained from clustering of a combination of untilted and tilted mMED images. **c,** Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) plot used to estimate the resolution of the mMED cryo-EM map to 4.0Å. **d,** Angular distribution plot for the final combined mMED cryo-EM dataset. **e,** Directional FSC plots showing the resolution of the mMED cryo-EM map along 3 perpendicular directions.



**Supplementary Figure 4. Focused refinement map and structure of the MED1 portion of the Middle module.** Focused refinement map including MED1 and neighboring portions of MED4, MED9, MED14 and MED24 (as indicated in left insert) and partial model of MED1 (~aa 1-520) showing its interaction with MED4-MED9 and MED24. The MED1 N-terminus wraps around the bottom portion of MED4-MED9 (in light blue and pink, respectively) and a N-terminal α-helix contacts both subunits. From there, alternating  $β$ -strand and α-helical domains extend to contact the very N-terminal portion of MED24 (in dark cyan) through an extended  $\beta$ -sheet domain. With its alternating arrangement of helices and strands, the structure of the ordered N-terminal portion of MED1 is reminiscent of MED14 and could undergo conformational rearrangements allowing the Middle to move without breaking its contact with MED24.



**Supplementary Figure 5. Secondary structure prediction for the N-terminal portion of MED1 in the Middle module.** Secondary structure prediction for the MED1 N-terminal region (aa 1-660) calculated using Phyre $2^{35}$ . Three regions, delineated as indicated by black outlines, correspond to portions of the partial MED1 model shown in Supplementary Fig. 4. Region 3 includes  $\beta$ -strands that interact directly with a hydrophobic patch on the MED24 Nterminus. No ordered domains are predicted after the first ~700 aa, which means that the entire C-terminal portion of MED1 (~900 residues or >55% of the 1575 aa protein) are disordered.



**Supplementary Figure 6. Focused refinement map and structure of the hook portion of the Middle module.** Focused refinement map of the hook/knob portion of the Middle module and models of the corresponding subunits fitted into the map.



**Supplementary Figure 7. Secondary structure prediction for the MED1 portion of the Middle module.** Secondary structure predictions (from Phyre2<sup>35</sup>) for MED10, MED19 and MED14's 150 N-terminal residues show them to be mostly helical and to correspond both in size and expected secondary structure with corresponding *S pombe* Mediator subunits (MED19 is larger in mouse than yeast). Molecular models of mammalian hook subunits (MED14 N-terminus, MED10, MED19) based on secondary structure predictions and the focused refinement map show structures that match well corresponding portions of the atomic model of *S pombe* Mediator (PDB 5N9J).



**Supplementary Figure 8. Interpretation and structure of upper Tail subunits MED27/MED29.** Secondary structure predictions for MED27/MED29 (left) calculated using Phyre2<sup>35</sup> show that both subunits (which are very similar in size) are expected to have the same overall secondary structure, but that the length of specific  $\alpha$ -helices and loops differs between them. MED27 also has a unique globular C-terminal domain. This, and clear bulky side-chain densities in the corresponding portions of the mMED cryo-EM map (right) made possible building an accurate model of these two upper Tail subunits.



**Supplementary Figure 9. Interpretation and structure of upper Tail subunits MED28/MED30.**  Secondary structure predictions for MED28/MED30 (left) calculated using Phyre2<sup>35</sup> show that both subunits (which are very similar in size) are expected to have the same overall secondary structure, but that the length of specific  $\alpha$ -helices and loops differs between them. This, and clear bulky side-chain densities in the corresponding portions of the mMED cryo-EM map (right) made possible building an accurate model of these two upper Tail subunits.



**Supplementary Figure 10. Inter-subunit contacts in the lower Tail.** Lower Tail subunit interfaces show a number of charged, hydrophobic and cationic- $\pi$  interactions, which are depicted by coloring the involved residues in black (polar and hydrophobic amino acids), red (negatively charged) and blue (positively charged). **a,** Interaction of MED15 with MED23 and MED24. The MED15/MED23 interface shows electrostatic interactions between MED23 D27, E16, R75 and MED15 R760 and Q763, with potential hydrogen-bonding between MED23 E16 and MED15 Q763. There is a cationic- $\pi$  interaction between MED23 K74 and MED15 W737, followed by hydrophobic-interaction between MED23 P73 and MED15 P735. The MED15/MED24 interface includes hydrophobic (MED24 Y66-MED15 F749), cationic-π (MED24 R107-MED15 W742), and electrostatic (MED24 H111-MED15 D695) interactions. **b,** In the MED23/MED24 interface. MED23 D207 interacts with K56 and R761 on MED24 to form an electrostatic interface, with a potential salt-bridge between MED23 R213 and MED24 E757. Below this interaction, there is an aromatic cluster formed by MED23 P214, F1188, P1187, Y1186 and MED24 H237, with a cationic-p interaction between MED23 F1188 and MED24 K238. **c,** At the MED16/MED24 interface, MED24 Y740 forms a hydrogen-bond with E70 of MED16. A hydrophobic patch between MED24 W741, I705 and MED16 A173, V172 is observed, as well as a charge interaction between MED24 H735 and MED16 R200.



**Supplementary Figure 11. Disease-associated mMED mutations and mMED subunit IDRs. a,**  Location of known disease-associated mammalian Mediator mutations.