

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

BMJ Open

A protocol for assessing whether cognition of preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation can be improved by an intervention with the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA): a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041597
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	12-Jun-2020
Complete List of Authors:	gould, jacqueline; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Makrides, Maria; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Sullivan, Thomas; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Anderson, Peter; Monash University Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience Gibson, Robert; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Best , Karen; SAHMRI, Women and Kids Theme; The University of Adelaide Adelaide Medical School, McPhee, Andrew; Women's and Children's Hospital Adelaide, Neonatal Medicine Doyle, Lex; Royal Women's Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Opie, Gillian; Mercy Hospital for Women, Travadi, Javeed; John Hunter Children's Hospital, Newborn Services; University of Newcastle Cheong, Jeanie; Royal Women's Hospital, Newborn Research; University of Melbourne, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Davis, Peter; The Royal Women's Hospital, Newborn Research Sharp, Mary; King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women and Princes Margaret Hospital for Children, Neonatal Clinical Care Unit Collins, Carmel; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute Healthy Mothers Babies and Children
Keywords:	NEONATOLOGY, NUTRITION & DIETETICS, Developmental neurology & neurodisability < PAEDIATRICS

1	
2 3	
4	SCHOLARONE™
5	Manuscripts
6	Manascripts
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52 53	
53 54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml
60	r or peer review only intep.//binjopen.binj.com/site/about/guideintes.xittim



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Reversion of

1				
2				
3	1			
4	_			
5				
6 7	2	A protocol for assessing whether cognition of preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation can		
7 8				
9	3	be improved by an intervention with the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid		
10				
11	4	docosahexaenoic acid (DHA): a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial		
12				
13				
14	5			
15	6	Dr Jacqueline F Gould		
16	7	Corresponding Author		
17 18	8	SAHMRI Women and Kids		
10	9	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute		
20	10	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia		
21	11	School of Psychology & Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.		
22	12	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, South Australia		
23	13	jacqueline.gould@sahmri.com		
24	14	Phone: +61 8 8128 4423		
25	15			
26	16	Prof Maria Makrides		
27 28	17	SAHMRI Women and Kids		
28 29	18	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute		
30	19	North Adelaide, Australia		
31	20	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.		
32	20	The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia		
33	22	maria.makrides@sahmri.com		
34	23	<u>mana.maknucsto.sammi.com</u>		
35	25 24	Dr Thomas R Sullivan		
36				
37	25	SAHMRI Women and Kids South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute		
38 39	26			
40	27	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia		
41	28	School of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.		
42	29	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia		
43	30	thomas.sullivan@sahmri.com		
44	31			
45	32	Prof Peter J Anderson		
46	33	Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences		
47	34	Monash University, Melbourne, Australia		
48 49	35	peter.j.anderson@monash.edu		
50	36			
51	37	Prof Robert A Gibson		
52	38	SAHMRI Women and Kids		
53	39	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute		
54	40	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia		
55	41	School of Agriculture, Food and Wine		
56	42	The University of Adelaide, Waite Campus, Glen Osmond, Australia		
57 58	43	robert.gibson@adelaide.edu.au		
58 59	44			
60	45	Dr Karen P Best		

2		
3	46	SAHMRI Women and Kids
4	47	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
5	48	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
6 7	49	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
8	50	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
9	51	karen.best@sahmri.com
10	52	
11	53	Dr Andrew J McPhee
12	54	Neonatal Medicine
13 14	55	Women's and Children's Hospital
14	56	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
16	57	andrew.mcphee@sa.gov.au
17	58	
18	59	Prof Lex W Doyle
19	60	Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The Royal Women's Hospital
20	61	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
21 22	62	lwd@unimelb.edu.au
22	63	
24	64	Dr Gillian Opie
25	65	Neonatal Services
26	66	Mercy Hospital for Women
27	67	163 Studley Rd, Heidelberg, Melbourne, Australia
28	68	gopie@mercy.com.au
29 30	69	
31	70	Dr Javeed Travadi
32	71	Newborn Services, John Hunter Children's Hospital
33	72	2 Lookout Road, New Lambton Heights, Australia
34	73	University of Newcastle, Newcastle Australia
35	74	Javeed.Travadi@hnehealth.nsw.gov.au
36 37	75	
38	76	Prof Jeanie LY Cheong
39	77	Neonatal Paediatrician
40	78	The Royal Women's Hospital
41	79	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia Jeanie.cheong@thewomens.org.au Prof Peter G Davis
42	80	Jeanie.cheong@thewomens.org.au
43 44	81	
44 45	82	Prof Peter G Davis
46	83	Neonatal Medicine, The Royal Women's Hospital
47	84	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
48	85	pgd@unimelb.edu.au
49	86	
50	87	Assoc Prof Mary Sharp
51 52	88	King Edward Memorial Hospital
53	89	374 Bagot Rd, Perth, Australia
54	90	mary.sharp@health.wa.gov.au
55	91	
56	92	Professor Karen Simmer
57	93	Professor of Newborn Medicine (Neonatal Research)
58 59	94	The University of Western Australia
60	95	35 Stirling Highway

2		
3	96	WA 6009
4	97	karen.simmer@health.wa.gov.au
5	98	Kuron.sminior(whourth.wu.gov.uu
6	99	Assoc Prof Carmel T Collins
7		SAHMRI Women and Kids
8	100	
9 10	101	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
11	102	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
12	103	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
13	104	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
14	105	<u>carmel.collins@sahmri.com</u>
15	106	
16		
17	107	Word Count: 2731
18	108	
19	100	
20	109	
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39 40		
41		Word Count: 2731
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47 48		
48 49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56 57		
57 58		
58 59		
60		

110 ABSTRACT

111 Introduction

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is an omega-3 (n-3) fatty acid that accumulates into neural tissue during the last trimester of pregnancy, as the fetal brain is undergoing a growth spurt. Infants born <29 weeks' gestation are deprived the normal in-utero supply of DHA during this period of rapid brain development. Insufficient dietary DHA postnatally may contribute to the cognitive impairments common among this population. This follow-up of the N-3 fatty acids for improvement in Respiratory Outcomes (N3RO) randomised controlled trial aims to determine if enteral DHA supplementation in infants born <29 weeks' gestation during the first months of life improves cognitive development at five-years of age corrected for prematurity. Ē. **Methods and Analysis** N3RO was a randomised controlled trial of enteral DHA supplementation (60 mg/kg/day) or a control emulsion (without DHA) in 1,273 infants born <29 weeks' gestation to determine the effect on bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD). We showed that DHA supplementation did

not reduce the risk of BPD and may have increased the risk.

127 In this follow-up at five years' corrected age, a predefined subset (n=655) of children from

128 five Australian sites will be invited to attend a cognitive assessment with a psychologist.

129 Children will be administered the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (4th

edition) and a measure of inhibitory control (Fruit Stroop), while height, weight and head

131 circumference will be measured.

1						
2 3	122	The mimory outcome is Full Seels intelligence questions (IO). To ensure 000/ neuron a				
4	132 The primary outcome is Full-Scale intelligence quotient (IQ). To ensure 90% power					
5 6 7	133	minimum of 592 children are needed to detect a four-point difference in IQ between the				
7 8 9	134	groups.				
10 11 12	135	Research personnel and families remain blinded to group assignment.				
13 14	136					
15 16 17	137	Ethics and Dissemination				
18 19 20	138	The Women's and Children Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee reviewed				
21 22	139	and approved the study (HREC/17/WCHN/187). Caregivers will give informed consent prior				
 to taking part in this follow-up study. Findings of this study will be disseminated to taking part in this follow-up study. 						
26 27	141	peer reviewed publications and conference presentations.				
28 29 30	142					
31 32 33	143	Trial Registration				
34 35 36	144	Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry: anzctr.org.au: <u>ACTRN12612000503820</u> .				
37 38 39	145					
40 41 42	146	Strengths and Limitations				
43 44 45	147	• This will be the first adequately powered randomised controlled trial to assess				
46 47	cognitive development following docosahexaenoic acid supplementation in preterm					
48 49 50	149	infants born <29 weeks' gestation.				
51 52	150	• This follow-up of the N3RO trial will provide sound evidence for the effect of enteral				
53 54 55	151	DHA supplementation on the cognitive development of infants born <29 weeks'				
56 57	152	gestation.				
58 59 60	153					

1 2		
2 3 4	154	Key words: intelligence quotient, cognition, preterm infant, docosahexaenoic acid,
5 6	155	randomised control trial
7 8		
9	156	
10 11		
12 13		
14 15		
16 17		
18		
19 20		
21 22		
23 24		
25 26		
27 28		
29 30		
31 32		
33		
34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48		
49 50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57 58		
59 60		

BMJ Open

2	
- 3 4	157
5 6	158
7 8	159
9 10	160
11 12 13	161
14 15	162
16 17	163
18 19	164
20 21	165
22 23	
24 25 26	166
26 27 28	167
28 29 30	168
31 32	169
33 34	170
35 36	171
37 38	172
39 40	
41 42	173
43 44	174
45 46 47	175
47 48 49	176
50 51	177
52 53	178
54 55	179
56 57	180
58 59	181
60	

15/ INTRODUCTION	157	INTRODUCTION
------------------	-----	---------------------

Medical and technological advances in the care of infants born preterm have increased their survival rates. However, there is a high risk of long-term health complications and neurological deficits with preterm birth[1-4], including higher risks of cognitive deficits[5 6] and behavioural problems[3 6-11] compared with term-born counterparts. The risk and severity of poor outcome increases as gestational age decreases.[4 8 12 13]

163 Nutrition is thought to be one modifiable influence on neurodevelopment in preterm 164 infants, in particular the omega-3 (n-3) long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (LCPUFA), 165 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). During the last trimester of pregnancy, the fetus is estimated to 166 acquire ~70 mg/day of n-3 LCPUFA, largely as DHA.[14] Infants born preterm are deprived 167 of the placental transfer of DHA and hence have lower neural tissue levels of DHA compared 168 with infants born at term.[15] It has been hypothesised that providing infants born preterm 169 with DHA may enhance normal neurodevelopment and the most recent recommendations are 170 that the preterm infant needs approximately 60 mg/kg/day DHA (about 1% of total dietary 171 fatty acids) to approximate the fetal accumulation rate.[16]

Several randomised controlled trials (RCT) have attempted to evaluate this hypothesis, with mixed results.[17-19] Two RCTs compared the standard dose of DHA in breastmilk and preterm infant formula (20 mg/kg/day) to the estimated in-utero accretion rate (60 mg/kg/day).[20 21] In one trial the DHA group showed greater problem solving skills at 6 months[21] and improved sustained attention at 20 months,[22] although attrition was high. In the larger trial, assessment at 18 months revealed no difference in overall mean cognitive scores but fewer infants had developmental delay in the DHA group.[20] No overall differences in intelligence quotient (IQ) were detected in follow-up of these trials at seven[23] or eight years of age.[24] Interestingly, both trials suggested a benefit of extra DHA in infants born at the earliest gestations (<29 weeks or <1250 g) who are most

vulnerable to experiencing neurodevelopmental deficit.[20 21] While this is promising, both
trials were significantly underpowered (with only 200 in one trial[20] and under 70 in the
other[21]) to detect an effect in this subgroup.

185 It is clear that current neonatal feeding practices are unable to replace the placental 186 transfer of DHA[16] and despite decades of research, we still do not know whether meeting 187 the estimated requirement of DHA during the neonatal period improves cognitive outcomes 188 in the most vulnerable sub-population of preterm infants.[17 20 21 23 24]

The N-3 Fatty Acids for Improvement in Respiratory Outcomes (N3RO) RCT was designed to determine the effect of an enteral DHA emulsion (providing 60 mg/kg/day) on the incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD).[25] The DHA intervention did not lower the incidence of BPD in infants born <29 weeks' gestation and may have resulted in a greater risk of BPD.[25] However, the N3RO trial offers an ideal opportunity to resolve whether DHA supplementation is beneficial for the cognitive development of these most vulnerable preterm infants.

The N3RO trial infants are now reaching five years of age. Cognition develops
rapidly across early childhood[26] and by five years most cognitive domains can be reliably
assessed using standardised psychometric tests.[27] IQ tests are considered a robust method
of estimating an individual's overall cognitive ability. Executive function is an umbrella term
referring to those skills essential for undertaking goal-oriented behaviours and includes
inhibitory control which has been reported to be an area of concern for children born
preterm.[6]

By assessing the cognition of the N3RO infants as they turn five years of age we can
determine whether providing infants born <29 weeks' gestation with DHA emulsion
improves cognitive development. We hypothesise that providing the estimated in-utero

BMJ Open

2		
3 4	206	provisions of DHA to infants born <29 weeks' gestation will result in higher cognitive scores
5 6 7	207	at five years' corrected age compared with infants who received the control intervention.
8 9 10	208	
11 12 13	209	METHODS
14 15 16	210	This protocol details the methods for a follow-up at five years of age of infants
17 18	211	enrolled in the N3RO trial. Detailed methods of the N3RO trial have been published
19 20	212	previously[25] and are summarised here.
21 22 23 24	213	The N3RO trial
25 26	214	1,273 infants born <29 weeks' gestation were enrolled into the N3RO trial within 3
20 27 28 29 30 31	215	days of their first enteral feed. Infants were recruited between June 2012 and September 2015
	216	from 13 centres in Australia, New Zealand and Singapore.[25] Infants were excluded if they
32 33	217	had a major congenital or chromosomal abnormality, were participating in another fatty acid
34 35	218	intervention trial, were receiving intravenous lipids containing fish oil, or if a breast feeding
36 37 38	219	mother was taking greater than 250 mg/day DHA through supplements.[25]
39 40	220	Infants were randomised to receive a DHA emulsion that provided 60 mg of DHA per
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	221	kg of body weight per day (intervention group, n=631), or a control emulsion without DHA
	222	(control group, n=642).[25] Infants received the study intervention from enrolment to 36
	223	weeks' postmenstrual age or discharge home, whichever occurred first. The emulsion was
	224	administered three times per day, immediately before an enteral feed through a nasogastric or
	225	orogastric tube for the duration of the intervention period. The DHA and control emulsions
	226	were iso-caloric and identical in viscosity, colour, and packaging and families, clinical staff
55 56 57	227	and study personnel were blinded to group allocation.[25] Infants were randomised to the
58 59	228	intervention or control group through a secure web-based computer-generated schedule
60	229	stratified for the 13 centres, sex and gestational age at birth <27 weeks' or 27 to <29 weeks'

2						
3 4	230	gestation. Infants from multiple births were randomised individually. A statistician not				
5 6 7	231	otherwise involved in the N3RO trial generated the randomisation schedule.				
8 9 10	232					
11 12 13	233	Five-year follow-up study procedure				
14 15	234	This is a follow-up of a predefined sub-sample of the N3RO trial infants from five of				
16 17 18	235	the Australian recruiting centres. No additional interventions will be administered. Eligible				
19 20	236	N3RO infants will be invited to attend an appointment with a psychologist when they are 5-				
21 22 23	237	years' corrected age to measure child abilities on selected cognitive domains; age is corrected				
23 24 25	238	for prematurity to avoid a known bias in cognitive test scores.[28] Appointments will take				
26 27	239	between 45 minutes to 1.5 hours, depending on the child's abilities and speed whilst working				
28 29 20	240	through the IQ test tasks, and assessments will be conducted by personnel blinded to group				
30 31 32	241	allocation.				
33 34 25	242	Families of eligible children will be emailed a letter of invitation two months before				
35 36 37	243	their child reaches 5 years' corrected age, followed by a telephone call to answer any				
38 39	244	questions and book appointments with families that wish to participate. Where necessary,				
40 41 42	245	families will be offered appointments at the family's home or at a location close to their home				
43 44	246	such as a school or community centre.				
45 46 47	247					
48 49 50	248	Participants				
51 52	249	Children who participated in the N3RO Trial and were recruited from the five largest				
53 54 55	250	recruiting centres, John Hunter Hospital (New South Wales), King Edward Memorial				
56 57	251	Hospital (Western Australia), Mercy Hospital for Women (Victoria), Royal Women's				
58 59 60	252	Hospital (Victoria), and the Women's and Children's Hospital (South Australia) in Australia				
		10				

Page 13 of 27

257

1 2 **BMJ** Open

3	
4	
5	
6	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
77	
22	
24	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
52 22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

60

will be invited to participate in this follow-up study. Children will not be invited if they have previously been withdrawn from the N3RO trial or have died. Of the n=702 children enrolled between the five centres, n=655 will be eligible to be approached for the five-year follow-up once deaths (n=4) and withdrawals (n=43) are excluded.

258 Outcomes and Measures

259 Primary outcome

The primary outcome is Full-Scale IQ, as assessed by the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence - Fourth Edition, Australian and New Zealand (WPPSI-IV). The WPPSI-IV is a battery of subtests that provides an assessment of general cognitive ability for pre-schoolers and young children (2:6 to 7:7 years). The WPPSI-IV has strong internal consistency and test–retest stability and sound psychometric properties.[29] The average reliability coefficient for the Full-Scale IQ is 0.95.[29]

266

267 Secondary outcomes

268 WPPSI-IV

Other outcomes from the WPPSI-IV will be included as secondary outcomes. These
include Verbal Comprehension, Fluid Reasoning, Working Memory and the Processing
Speed, General Ability and Cognitive Proficiency Primary Index Scales.

The WPPSI-IV has Australian/New Zealand norms that are age-standardised with a
mean of 100 and SD 15. Intellectual impairment will be defined as Full-Scale IQ <85 (i.e. <-1
SD), and moderate-severe intellectual impairment as Full-Scale IQ<70 (i.e. <-2 SD). Any

1 2		
2 3 4	275	impairment on any of the WPPSI-IV Primary Index Scales will be defined as an Index Scale
5 6 7	276	score <85 (i.e. <-1 SD).
8 9	277	
10 11 12 13	278	Fruit Stroop
14 15	279	The Fruit Stroop was administered to assess two executive functions, inhibition and
16 17 18	280	mental flexibility.[30] The child is required to identify a the correct, natural colour of a series
19 20	281	of fruits and vegetables in four 45 s trials under a series of conditions that increase in
21 22	282	complexity. The outcome is an interference score calculated as the difference between the
23 24	283	number of correct responses on the final (inhibition) trial, and predicted scores on the first
25 26 27	284	and third trials, where lower or negative values indicate more interference.
28 29 30	285	
31 32 33	286	Growth
34 35 36	287	Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be
30 37 38	288	measured at the appointment as measures of the nutritional well-being of the children.
39 40	289	Measurements will be converted to Z (SD) scores appropriate for corrected age and sex.[31]
41 42 43	290	
44 45 46	291	Background information and characteristics
47 48 49	292	At enrolment into the N3RO trial a range of socio-demographic data were collected
50 51	293	through interview with the caregiver (including parental age, education, and employment). As
52 53	294	part of the N3RO trial infant medical records were used to determine a range of baseline and
54 55 56	295	outcome clinical characteristics up to 40 weeks' postmenstrual age or first discharge home,
57 58	296	whichever occurred first, including for e.g., gestational age, birth weight, sex, and instances

1		
2 3 4 5	298	
6 7	299	Sample size
8 9 10	300	A sample size of 296 children per group (total 592) will provide 90% power (two-
11 12	301	tailed alpha 0.05) to detect a 4-point (0.27 standard deviation) mean difference in the primary
13 14 15	302	outcome of Full-Scale IQ between groups. No adjustment to the sample size is needed for
16 17	303	clustering due to multiple births, since children were randomised individually in N3RO and
18 19	304	the design effect for continuous outcomes is one in this case.[32] Should enrolment be lower
20 21	305	than planned, the study will have 80% power to detect a 4-point difference between groups
22 23 24	306	provided at least 222 children per group (total 444) provide follow-up data.
25 26	307	
27 28		
29 30	308	Statistical analysis and data management
31 32 33	309	All participants were assigned a study identification number at enrolment into the
34 35	310	N3RO trial. Throughout the follow-up and analyses, the identification number will be used to
36 37	311	identify data. Data will be entered into a REDCap database, which uses a MySQL database
38 39 40	312	via a secure web interface with data checks used during data entry to ensure data quality.
40 41 42	313	REDCap includes a complete suite of features to support the Health Insurance Portability and
43 44	314	Accountability Act of 1996 compliance, including a full audit trail, user-based privileges, and
45 46 47	315	integration with the institutional LDAP server.
48 49	316	All analyses will be conducted according to a pre-specified statistical analysis plan.
50 51 52	317	Analyses will not commence until the N3RO trial Steering Committee has approved the
53 54	318	statistical analysis plan. Intervention groups will be dummy coded to allow analyses to be
55 56 57	319	performed blinded to treatment group.
58 59 60		

Page 16 of 27

2
3
4
5
6
0
7 8
8
9
10
11
12
14
15
16
16 17 18
18
19
20
20
23
24
25
26
27
28
20 29
30
31
32
33
34
34 35
36
20
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
52 53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
00

1

Outcomes of intervention and control group children will be compared using 320 generalised linear models, with generalised estimated equations used to account for clustering 321 due to multiple births within the same family. Continuous and binary outcomes will be 322 analysed using linear and log binomial models, respectively, with adjustment for variables 323 used to stratify the randomisation: sex, centre enrolled, and gestational age (<27 completed 324 weeks' or 27 to <29 weeks' at birth). Pre-planned subgroup analyses will examine the effects 325 326 of DHA separately for girls or boys (all outcomes), and for infants born at <27 weeks' gestation or 27 to <29 weeks' gestation (primary outcome only). No adjustment will be made 327 328 for multiple pre-planned comparisons, as the single overall comparison of Full-Scale IQ between groups is of primary interest. 329

Missing outcome data will be addressed using multiple imputation, with imputation performed separately by treatment group using fully conditional specification.[33] Imputed datasets will include all surviving children from the five included centres. Children who are missing scores on psychological assessments because they were unable to complete the assessment for cognitive or physical reasons (such as blindness or cerebral palsy) will be reviewed by a psychologist to determine whether assigning the lowest possible score is appropriate.

337

338 Ethical considerations and dissemination of results

This follow-up study will be carried out in accordance with the Australian National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans, which builds upon the ethical codes of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Principles of International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice (as adopted in Australia). All procedures and study materials have been reviewed and approved by the Women's and Children's Health Network Page 17 of 27

1
2
2

BMJ Open

Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/17/WCHN/187), as well as the Research

3 4	344
5 6	345
7 8 9	346
10 11	347
12 13 14	348
15 16	349
17 18 19	350
20 21	351
22 23 24	352
25 26	353
27 28 29	354
29 30 31	355
32 33	356
34 35 36	357
37 38	358
39 40 41	359
41 42 43	360
44 45	361
46 47 48	362
49 50	363
51 52 53	364 365
54 55	
56 57	366
58 59 60	367

Governance officers at each site. The N3RO Trial and this follow-up are registered on the 345 Australia and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry (ANZCTR: ACTRN12612000503820). 346 Caregivers will be provided with a detailed information sheet about the study and will 347 provide informed consent for their child's involvement in the study. Caregivers will be free to 348 349 re-negotiate consent for each procedure in the follow-up study and are able to decline any part of the follow-up. Caregivers will be free to withdraw their children from the study at any 350 time. 351 The results of this follow-up study will be presented at academic conferences and 352 published in peer-reviewed journals. Participating families will receive a lay-report of the 353 study findings. No participants will be identified in the dissemination of study results and 354 data collected will be treated with confidence. 355 356 Access to Data 357 Individual participant data, including data dictionaries, may be shared after de-358 identification upon reasonable request. Proposals to access the data must be scientifically and 359 methodologically sound and must be reviewed and approved by the N3RO trial Steering 360 Committee and the Women's and Children's Human Research Ethics Committee. To gain 361 362 access, data requestors will need to sign a data access agreement. Proposals should be directed to Jacqueline Gould through email (Jacqueline.gould@sahmri.com). 363 364 Patient and public involvement 365 Neither patients nor the public were directly involved in the development of the 366 research question or design of this follow-up study. However, our primary outcome of IQ is 367

based on reported concerns over long-term developmental concerns from parents of preterminfants.[34]

A Community Board, comprising parents (including parents of a child born preterm) as well as clinicians and researchers specialising in paediatrics will be consulted for the dissemination of the study findings to participants, including reviewing the study results and format of dissemination.

DISCUSSION

This protocol details a follow-up of a RCT of a DHA enteral emulsion (60 mg/kg/day) compared with a control emulsion (no DHA), for preterm infants born <29 weeks' gestation in the first months of life, to evaluate the effect on child cognitive ability at 5 years of age. Unlike previous DHA RCTs in preterm populations, [17-19] our follow-up has the benefits of a population likely to be insufficient in DHA,[35] and a robust method of intervention.[25] We previously conducted a follow-up of a small sub-group of the N3RO trial infants when they were aged 18 months' corrected age. Children underwent an experimental assessment of visual attention (considered to be a basic, early emergence of higher order cognitive skills known as the executive functions).[36] Where available, Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development-3rd edition Cognition, Motor and Language assessment results were collected from hospital records.[36] No statistically significant differences were found for attention, cognition, motor or language abilities (manuscript currently under review). However, assessments of cognition during infancy are considered poor predictors of later performance, [37-41] and the sample was small and under-powered to detect a clinically important effect on cognition.[36]

Page 19 of 27

1 2 3

BMJ Open

4	
5	
6 7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
1.0	
14	
15	
13 14 15 16 17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
40 47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

For this follow-up we have carefully selected a robust assessment of general cognitive abilities, including executive functioning (both of which domains are likely to be adversely affected by very preterm birth)[42-44] to be administered at an age when cognitive domains can be reliably assessed[27 45], as well as ensuring a large, adequately powered sample. As per the recommendations of a consortium of parents and clinicians caring for high-risk preterm infants, we are assessing general cognitive ability using a Wechsler scale, which is considered the gold standard, and have included an assessment of growth.[46]

This project has global significance, with over one million infants born <29 weeks' gestation each year, and the number rising.[47] The potential benefit of DHA on cognitive performance has never been adequately demonstrated in this population. However, because of the N3RO primary results it is extremely unlikely that such a trial will be repeated. The N3RO cohort may represent the only children in which the longer-term cognitive and behavioural effects of DHA supplementation in these infants can be assessed.

2 3 4	404
5 6	405
7 8	406
9 10	407
11 12 13	408
14 15	409
16 17	410
18 19 20	411
20 21 22	412
23 24	413
25 26	414
27 28 29	415
29 30 31	416
32 33	417
34 35	418
36 37 29	419
38 39 40	415
40 41 42	
43 44	421
45 46	422
47 48 49	423
50 51	424
52 53	425
54 55 56	426
56 57 58	427
59 60	

Acknowledgements)4

We would like to thank the families who generously participated in the N3RO trial and who)5

will participate in the follow-up study, and the N3RO Steering Committee, Investigative)6

)7 Team and research staff.

Funding)9

0 Financial support for the submitted work was from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australia (ID: 1022112 - N3RO trial, 1146806 - 5-year follow-up) and .1 2 Clover Corporation Limited (Melbourne, Australia).

Competing Interests .4

.5 Study product for the original trial was donated by Clover Corporation Limited (Melbourne, .6 Australia). MM and RAG report holding a patent relating to methods and compositions for promoting the neurological development for preterm infants (2009201540), owned by the .7 8 South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute and licensed to Clover Corporation 9 Limited.

MM is supported by an Australian NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship ID: 1061704 and

22 CC is supported by a NHMRC Translating Research into Practice (TRIP) Fellowship ID

23 1132596. TS is supported by a NHMRC Emerging Leadership Investigator Grant ID:

24 1173576. KPB is supported by a Women's and Children's Hospital MS McLeod Postdoctoral

Fellowship. PGD is supported by an Australian NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship ID: 25

26 1157782. JLYC is supported by a MRFF Career Development Fellowship ID: 1141354.

1 2									
3 4	428	Honoraria have been paid to Dr Gould's institution to support conference travel by Fonterra.							
5 6	429	Drs Makrides and Gibson report serving on the board for Trajan Nutrition. No other authors							
7 8 9	430	reported any financial	disclosures. The contents of the published material are solely the						
10 11	431	responsibility of the a	uthors and do not reflect the views of the NHMRC.						
12 13	432								
14 15 16	433	List of Abbreviation	8						
17 18	434	BPD	Bronchopulmonary dysplasia						
19 20	435	DHA	Docosahexaenoic acid						
21 22 23	436	IQ	Intelligence Quotient						
23 24 25	437	n-3	Omega-3						
26 27	438	N3RO	N-3 (omega-3) Fatty Acids for Improvement in Respiratory Outcomes						
28 29	439	RCT	Randomised controlled trial						
30 31 32	440	WPPSI-IV	Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence - Fourth Edition						
33 34	441								
35 36	442	Authors Contributions							
37 38 39	443	Study concept and design: Collins, Gould, Makrides, McPhee, Anderson, Gibson, Sullivan.							
40 41	444	Drafting the protocol: Gould, Collins, Sullivan.							
42 43	445	Comment and approval of the final draft of the protocol: Gould, Collins, Makrides, Sullivan,							
44 45 46	446	Anderson, Gibson, McPhee, Best, Davis, Doyle, Opie, Travadi, Cheong, Sharp, Simmer.							
46 47 48	447	Statistical expertise: S	Sullivan.						
49 50	448	Obtained funding: Co	llins, Gould, Makrides, McPhee, Gibson, Sullivan, Best.						
51 52	449	Administrative, techni	ical, or material support: Gould, Collins, Makrides, Gibson, Sullivan,						
53 54 55	450	McPhee, Anderson, B	est, Davis, Doyle, Opie, Travadi, Cheong, Sharp, Simmer.						
56 57 58 59 60	451								

REFERENCE LIST

2		
3	452	REFERENCE LIST
4	4 5 2	
5		
6	453	1. Aylward GP. Cognitive and neuropsychological outcomes: more than IQ scores. Ment
7	454	Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 2002;8(4):234-40 doi: 10.1002/mrdd.10043.
8	455	2. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes
9	456	for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatr 2007; 119 (1):37-45 doi:
10		10.1542/peds.2006-1416.
11 12	457	1
12 13	458	3. Allotey J, Zamora J, Cheong-See F, et al. Cognitive, motor, behavioural and academic
13 14	459	performances of children born preterm: a meta-analysis and systematic review
15	460	involving 64 061 children. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2018;125(1):16-25 doi:
16	461	10.1111/1471-0528.14832.
17	462	4. Bourke J, Wong K, Srinivasjois R, et al. Predicting Long-Term Survival Without Major
18	463	Disability for Infants Born Preterm. J Pediatr 2019;215:90-97.e1 doi:
19	464	10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.07.056.
20	465	5. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Smidts DP, Oosterlaan J, et al. Executive function in very preterm
21	466	children at early school age. J Abnorm Child Psychol 2009;37(7):981-93 doi:
22	467	10.1007/s10802-009-9327-z.
23	468	6. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, et al. Meta-analysis of
24	469	neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children.
25		
26	470	Pediatr 2009; 124 (2):717-28 doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-2816.
27	471	7. Johnson S. Cognitive and behavioural outcomes following very preterm birth. Semin Fetal
28	472	Neonatal Med 2007; 12 (5):363-73 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2007.05.004.
29 30	473	8. Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, et al. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-
31	474	aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. J Am Med Assoc
32	475	2002; 288 (6):728-37
33	476	9. Lindstrom K, Lindblad F, Hjern A. Preterm birth and attention-deficit/hyperactivity
34	477	disorder in schoolchildren. Pediatr 2011; 127 (5):858-65 doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1279.
35	478	10. Arpi E, Ferrari F. Preterm birth and behaviour problems in infants and preschool-age
36	479	children: a review of the recent literature. Dev Med Child Neurol 2013;55(9):788-96
37	480	doi: 10.1111/dmcn.12142.
38	481	11. Spittle AJ, Treyvaud K, Doyle LW, et al. Early emergence of behavior and social-
39	482	emotional problems in very preterm infants. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry
40	483	2009; 48 (9):909-18 doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181af8235.
41		12. Aylward GP. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of infants born prematurely. J Dev Behav
42 42	484	
43 44	485	Pediatr 2005; 26 (6):427-40
45	486	13. Bolisetty S, Tiwari M, Sutton L, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of extremely
46	487	preterm infants in New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory. J Paediatr
47	488	Child Health 2018 doi: 10.1111/jpc.14323.
48	489	14. Clandinin MT, Chappell JE, Heim T, et al. Fatty acid utilization in perinatal de novo
49	490	synthesis of tissues. Early Hum Dev 1981;5:355-66.
50	491	15. Martinez M. Tissue levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids during early human
51	492	development. J Pediatr 1992;120(4 Pt 2):S129-38.
52	493	16. Lapillonne A, Groh-Wargo S, Gonzalez CH, et al. Lipid needs of preterm infants:
53	494	updated recommendations. J Pediatr 2013;162(3 Suppl):S37-47 doi:
54	495	10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.11.052.
55	496	17. Moon K, Rao SC, Schulzke SM, et al. Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acid
56 57	490 497	supplementation in preterm infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 12 :Cd000375
57 58		
58 59	498	doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000375.pub5.
60		

2		
3	499	18. Schulzke SM, Patole SK, Simmer K. Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
4	500	supplementation in preterm infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2011(2):CD000375
5 6	501	doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000375.pub4.
7	502	19. Smithers LG, Gibson RA, McPhee A, et al. Effect of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty
8	503	acid supplementation of preterm infants on disease risk and neurodevelopment: a
9	504	systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87(4):912-20
10	505	doi: 87/4/912 [pii].
11	506	20. Makrides M, Gibson RA, McPhee AJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm
12 13	507	infants fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Med
15 14	508	Assoc 2009;301(2):175-82 doi: 301/2/175 [pii] 10.1001/jama.2008.945.
15	509	21. Henriksen C, Haugholt K, Lindgren M, et al. Improved cognitive development among
16	510	preterm infants attributable to early supplementation of human milk with
17	511	docosahexaenoic acid and arachidonic acid. Pediatr 2008; 121 (6):1137-45
18	512	22. Westerberg AC, Schei R, Henriksen C, et al. Attention among very low birth weight
19 20	513	infants following early supplementation with docosahexaenoic and arachidonic acid.
20 21	514	Acta Paediatr 2011; 100 (1):47-52 doi: APA1946 [pii] 10.1111/j.1651-
22	515	2227.2010.01946.x.
23	516	23. Collins CT, Gibson RA, Anderson PJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes at 7 years'
24	517	corrected age in preterm infants who were fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid to
25	518	term equivalent: a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Br Med J-Open
26	519	2015; 5 (3):e007314 doi: doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007314.
27 28	520	24. Almaas AN, Tamnes CK, Nakstad B, et al. Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and
28 29	521	cognition in VLBW infants at 8 years: an RCT. Pediatr 2015;135(6):972-80 doi:
30	522	10.1542/peds.2014-4094.
31	523	25. Collins CT, Makrides M, McPhee AJ, et al. Docosahexaenoic Acid and
32	524	Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia in Preterm Infants. N Engl J Med 2017;376(13):1245-
33	525	55 doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611942.
34 25	526	26. Anderson V, Northam E, Hendy J, et al. Developmental Neuropsychology – A clinical
35 36	527	approach. East Sussex: Psychology Press, 2001.
37	528	27. Baron IS. Neuropsychological Evaluation of the Child. New York: Oxford University
38	529	Press, 2004.
39	530	28. Wilson-Ching M, Pascoe L, Doyle LW, et al. Effects of correcting for prematurity on
40	531	cognitive test scores in childhood. J Paediatr Child Health 2014;50(3):182-8 doi:
41	532	10.1111/jpc.12475.
42 43	533	29. Wechsler D, Brown F, Joshua N. Wechsler preschool and primary scale of intelligence;
44	534	Fourth edition, Australia and New Zealand Sydney, New South Whales PsychCorp,
45	535	2012.
46	536	30. Archibald S, Kerns K. Identification and description of new tests of executive functioning
47	537	in children. Child Neuropsych 1999; 115-129 (5):115-29
48	538	31. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, et al. Development of a WHO growth reference for
49 50	539	school-aged children and adolescents. Bull World Health Organ 2007;85(9):660-7
51	540	doi: 10.2471/blt.07.043497.
52	541	32. Yelland LN, Sullivan TR, Price DJ, et al. Sample size calculations for randomised trials
53	542	including both independent and paired data. Stat Med 2017; 36 (8):1227-39 doi:
54	543	10.1002/sim.7201.
55 56	544	33. Sullivan TR, White IR, Salter AB, et al. Should multiple imputation be the method of
50 57	545	choice for handling missing data in randomized trials? Stat Methods Med Res
58	546	2018; 27 (9):2610-26 doi: 10.1177/0962280216683570.
59		
60		

1		
2 3		
3 4	547	34. Kyno NM, Ravn IH, Lindemann R, et al. Parents of preterm-born children; sources of
5	548	stress and worry and experiences with an early intervention programme - a qualitative
6	549	study. BMC Nurs 2013; 12 (1):28 doi: 10.1186/1472-6955-12-28.
7	550	35. Lapillonne A, Eleni dit Trolli S, Kermorvant-Duchemin E. Postnatal docosahexaenoic
8	551	acid deficiency is an inevitable consequence of current recommendations and practice
9	552	in preterm infants. Neonatology 2010; 98 (4):397-403 doi: 10.1159/000320159.
10	553	36. Gould JF, Colombo J, Collins CT, et al. Assessing whether early attention of very preterm
11	554	infants can be improved by an omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
12	555	intervention: a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Br Med J-Open
13 14	556	2018; 8 (5):e020043 doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020043.
15	557	37. Doyle LW, Davis PG, Schmidt B, et al. Cognitive outcome at 24 months is more
16	558	predictive than at 18 months for IQ at 8-9 years in extremely low birth weight
17	559	children. Early Hum Dev 2012; 88 (2):95-8 doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2011.07.013.
18	560	38. Anderson V. Prediction of cognitive abilities at the age of 5 years using developmental
19	561	follow-up assessments at the age of 2 and 3 years in very preterm children. Dev Med
20	562	Child Neurol 2012; 54 (3):202-3 doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.04212.x.
21	563	39. Luttikhuizen dos Santos ES, de Kieviet JF, Konigs M, et al. Predictive value of the
22	564	Bayley scales of infant development on development of very preterm/very low birth
23 24	565	weight children: a meta-analysis. Early Hum Dev 2013; 89 (7):487-96 doi:
24 25		
26	566	10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2013.03.008.
27	567	40. Spencer-Smith MM, Spittle AJ, Lee KJ, et al. Bayley-III Cognitive and Language Scales
28	568	in Preterm Children. Pediatr 2015; 135 (5):e1258-65 doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-3039.
29	569	41. García-Martínez MP, Sánchez-Caravaca J, Montealegre-Ramón MP, et al. Predictive
30	570	value of the Bayley Scales applied to a group of preterm infants, on their results on
31	571	the Wechsler Scales at 10 years of age. Annals of Psychology 2019;35(1):95-105
32	572	42. Anderson P. Neuropsychological outcomes of children born very preterm. Semin Fetal
33 34	573	Neonatal Med 2014; 19 :90-96
34 35	574	43. Kerr-Wilson CO, Mackay DF, Smith GC, et al. Meta-analysis of the association between
36	575	preterm delivery and intelligence. J Pub Health (Oxford, England) 2012;34(2):209-16
37	576	doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdr024.
38	577	44. Blencowe H, Lee AC, Cousens S, et al. Preterm birth-associated neurodevelopmental
39	578	impairment estimates at regional and global levels for 2010. Pediatr Res 2013;74
40	579	Suppl 1:17-34 doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.204.
41	580	45. Gathercole SE, Pickering SJ, Ambridge B, et al. The structure of working memory from 4
42	581	to 15 years of age. Dev Psych 2004;40(2):177-90 doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.40.2.177
43	582	[doi]2004-11032-005 [pii].
44 45	583	46. Doyle LW, Anderson PJ, Battin M, et al. Long term follow up of high risk children: who,
46	584	why and how? BMC Pediatr 2014;14:279 doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-279.
47	585	47. Blencowe H, Vos T, Lee AC, et al. Estimates of neonatal morbidities and disabilities at
48	586	regional and global levels for 2010: introduction, methods overview, and relevant
49	587	findings from the Global Burden of Disease study. Pediatr Res 2013;74 Suppl 1:4-16
50	588	doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.203.
51	589	401. 10.1050; p1.2015.205.
52	505	
53		

BMJ Open



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

Section/item	ltem No	Description	Addressed or page number
Administrative inf	ormation		
Title	1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym	1
Trial registration	2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry	5
	2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set	1-21
Protocol version	3	Date and version identifier	NA
unding	4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support	17-18
Roles and	5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors	1, 18
responsibilities	5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	NA
	5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities	NA
	5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	17
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2	Introduction							
3 4 5	Background and rationale	6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant	7-8				
6 7		6b	Explanation for choice of comparators	_7-8				
8 9	Objectives	7	Specific objectives or hypotheses	8-9				
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Trial design	8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory)	9-14				
	Methods: Participa	Methods: Participants, interventions, and outcomes						
	Study setting	9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will	10	_			
	Eligibility criteria	10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and	9-10				
	Interventions	11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered	9				
		11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose _ change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease)	NA				
		11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence	NA				
32 33		11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial	NA				
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Outcomes	12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, _ median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended	_11-13				
	Participant timeline	13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for _ participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)	_9				
43 44 45			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		2			

Page 27 of 27			BMJ Open		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sample size	14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including _ clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations	12	
	Recruitment	15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size	10-11	
	Methods: Assignment of interventions (for controlled trials)				
	Allocation:				
	Sequence generation	16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions	9-10	
16 17 18 19	Allocation concealment mechanism	16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered,	9-10	
20 21 22	Implementation	16c	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to	NA	
 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 	Blinding (masking)	17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how	9-10	
		17b	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's _ allocated intervention during the trial	NA	
	Methods: Data coll	ection.	management, and analysis		
	Data collection methods	18a	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol	9-14	
		18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols	10	
			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		

1 2 3 4	Data management	19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality _ (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol	13-15	
4 5 6 7	Statistical methods	20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the _ statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol	13-14	
8 9		20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses)	13-14	
10 11 12 13		20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation)	14	
14 15	Methods: Monitorir	ng			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Data monitoring	21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of _ whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed	NA	
		21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim _ results and make the final decision to terminate the trial	NA	
	Harms	22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct	NA	
	Auditing	23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor	NA	
	Ethics and dissemination				
	Research ethics approval	24	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval	14-15	
	Protocol amendments	25	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)	NA	
42 43 44 45			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	4	

Page 29 of 27

46

BMJ Open

Consent or assent	26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)	9-10, 14-15
	26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA
Confidentiality	27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial	13, 15
Declaration of interests	28	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site	18
Access to data	29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators	15
Ancillary and post- trial care	30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation	NA
Dissemination policy	31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions	15-16
	31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers	NA
	31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code	NA
Appendices			
Informed consent materials	32	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates	Available upon request
Biological specimens	33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA
*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons "Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported" license.			
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

BMJ Open

A protocol for assessing whether cognition of preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation can be improved by an intervention with the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA): a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041597.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Oct-2020
Complete List of Authors:	gould, jacqueline; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Makrides, Maria; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Sullivan, Thomas; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Anderson, Peter; Monash University Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience Gibson, Robert; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Best , Karen; SAHMRI, Women and Kids Theme; The University of Adelaide Adelaide Medical School, McPhee, Andrew; Women's and Children's Hospital Adelaide, Neonatal Medicine Doyle, Lex; Royal Women's Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Opie, Gillian; Mercy Hospital for Women, Travadi, Javeed; John Hunter Children's Hospital, Newborn Services; University of Newcastle Cheong, Jeanie; Royal Women's Hospital, Newborn Research; University of Melbourne, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Davis, Peter; The Royal Women's Hospital, Newborn Research Sharp, Mary; King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women and Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, Neonatal Clinical Care Unit Collins, Carmel; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Healthy Mothers Babies and Children
Primary Subject Heading :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nutrition and metabolism
Keywords:	NEONATOLOGY, NUTRITION & DIETETICS, Developmental neurology & neurodisability < PAEDIATRICS

1 2	
2 3	
4	
5 6 7 8 9	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts
10 11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16 17	
18 19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24 25	
26 27	
28	
29 30	
31 32	
33	
34 35	
36	
37 38	
39 40	
41	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
47 48	
49	
50 51	
52	
53 54	
55 56	
57	
58 59	
60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Reversion of

1		
2		
3	1	
4	_	
5		
6 7	2	A protocol for assessing whether cognition of preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation can
7 8		
9	3	be improved by an intervention with the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
10		
11	4	docosahexaenoic acid (DHA): a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial
12		
13		
14	5	
15	6	Dr Jacqueline F Gould
16	7	Corresponding Author
17 18	8	SAHMRI Women and Kids
10	9	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
20	10	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
21	11	School of Psychology & Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
22	12	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, South Australia
23	13	jacqueline.gould@sahmri.com
24	14	Phone: +61 8 8128 4423
25	15	
26	16	Prof Maria Makrides
27 28	17	SAHMRI Women and Kids
28 29	18	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
30	19	North Adelaide, Australia
31	20	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
32	21	The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
33	22	maria.makrides@sahmri.com
34	23	<u>Indita.indkitdes@summit.com</u>
35	23	Dr Thomas R Sullivan
36	24	SAHMRI Women and Kids
37 39		South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
38 39	26 27	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
40		School of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
41	28	
42	29	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
43	30	thomas.sullivan@sahmri.com
44	31	
45	32	Prof Peter J Anderson
46 47	33	Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences
47 48	34	Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
49	35	peter.j.anderson@monash.edu
50	36	
51	37	Prof Robert A Gibson
52	38	SAHMRI Women and Kids
53	39	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
54	40	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
55 56	41	School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
56 57	42	The University of Adelaide, Waite Campus, Glen Osmond, Australia
58	43	robert.gibson@adelaide.edu.au
59	44	
60	45	Dr Karen P Best

2		
3	46	SAHMRI Women and Kids
4	47	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
5	48	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
6 7	49	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
8	50	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
9	51	karen.best@sahmri.com
10	52	
11	53	Dr Andrew J McPhee
12	54	Neonatal Medicine
13 14	55	Women's and Children's Hospital
14	56	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
16	57	andrew.mcphee@sa.gov.au
17	58	
18	59	Prof Lex W Doyle
19	60	Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The Royal Women's Hospital
20	61	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
21 22	62	lwd@unimelb.edu.au
22	63	
24	64	Dr Gillian Opie
25	65	Neonatal Services
26	66	Mercy Hospital for Women
27	67	163 Studley Rd, Heidelberg, Melbourne, Australia
28	68	gopie@mercy.com.au
29 30	69	
31	70	Dr Javeed Travadi
32	71	Newborn Services, John Hunter Children's Hospital
33	72	2 Lookout Road, New Lambton Heights, Australia
34	73	University of Newcastle, Newcastle Australia
35	74	Javeed.Travadi@hnehealth.nsw.gov.au
36 37	75	\bigcirc
38	76	Prof Jeanie LY Cheong
39	77	Neonatal Paediatrician
40	78	The Royal Women's Hospital
41	79	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
42	80	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia Jeanie.cheong@thewomens.org.au Prof Peter G Davis
43 44	81	
45	82	Prof Peter G Davis
46	83	Neonatal Medicine, The Royal Women's Hospital
47	84	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
48	85	pgd@unimelb.edu.au
49	86	
50 51	87	Assoc Prof Mary Sharp
52	88	King Edward Memorial Hospital
53	89	374 Bagot Rd, Perth, Australia
54	90	mary.sharp@health.wa.gov.au
55	91	
56	92	Professor Karen Simmer
57 58	93	Professor of Newborn Medicine (Neonatal Research)
58 59	94	The University of Western Australia
60	95	35 Stirling Highway

2		
3	96	WA 6009
4	97	karen.simmer@health.wa.gov.au
5	98	Karen.sminer(@nearth.wa.gov.au
6		A good Drof Cormol T. Calling
7	99	Assoc Prof Carmel T Collins
8	100	SAHMRI Women and Kids
9	101	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
10 11	102	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
12	103	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
13	104	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
14	105	<u>carmel.collins@sahmri.com</u>
15	106	
16		
17	107	Word Count: 2860
18	100	
19	108	
20	109	
21	105	
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
29		
30		Word Count: 2860
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39 40		
40 41		
41		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49 50		
50 51		
51 52		
52 53		
55 54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		

110 ABSTRACT

111 Introduction

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is an omega-3 (n-3) fatty acid that accumulates into neural tissue during the last trimester of pregnancy, as the fetal brain is undergoing a growth spurt. Infants born <29 weeks' gestation are deprived the normal in-utero supply of DHA during this period of rapid brain development. Insufficient dietary DHA postnatally may contribute to the cognitive impairments common among this population. This follow-up of the N-3 fatty acids for improvement in Respiratory Outcomes (N3RO) randomised controlled trial aims to determine if enteral DHA supplementation in infants born <29 weeks' gestation during the first months of life improves cognitive development at five-years of age corrected for prematurity. Ē. **Methods and Analysis** N3RO was a randomised controlled trial of enteral DHA supplementation (60 mg/kg/day) or a control emulsion (without DHA) in 1,273 infants born <29 weeks' gestation to determine the effect on bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD). We showed that DHA supplementation did

not reduce the risk of BPD and may have increased the risk.

127 In this follow-up at five years' corrected age, a predefined subset (n=655) of children from

128 five Australian sites will be invited to attend a cognitive assessment with a psychologist.

129 Children will be administered the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (4th

edition) and a measure of inhibitory control (Fruit Stroop), while height, weight and head

131 circumference will be measured.

1 2		
3 4	132	The primary outcome is Full-Scale intelligence quotient (IQ). To ensure 90% power, a
5 6 7 8 9	133	minimum of 592 children are needed to detect a four-point difference in IQ between the
	134	groups.
10 11	135	Research personnel and families remain blinded to group assignment.
12 13 14	136	
15 16 17	137	Ethics and Dissemination
18 19 20	138	The Women's and Children Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee reviewed
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	139	and approved the study (HREC/17/WCHN/187). Caregivers will give informed consent prior
	140	to taking part in this follow-up study. Findings of this study will be disseminated through
	141	peer reviewed publications and conference presentations.
	142	
31 32 33	143	Trial Registration
34 35 36	144	Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry: anzctr.org.au: <u>ACTRN12612000503820</u> .
37 38 39	145	
40 41 42	146	Strengths and Limitations
43 44 45	147	• This will be the first adequately powered randomised controlled trial to assess
45 46 47	148	cognitive development following docosahexaenoic acid supplementation in preterm
48 49	149	infants born <29 weeks' gestation.
50 51 52	150	• This follow-up of the N3RO trial will provide sound evidence for the effect of enteral
53 54	151	DHA supplementation on the cognitive development of infants born <29 weeks'
55 56	152	gestation.
57 58 59	153	• Loss to follow-up five years after enrolment into the trial may contribute to risk of
60	154	bias.

1 2		
3 4	155	• Partial unblinding of study group allocation permitted under the primary protocol may
5 6 7	156	contribute to risk of bias
7 8 9	157	• Although bronchopulmonary dysplasia was the primary outcome of the original
10 11	158	N3RO trial, childhood respiratory functioning is not assessed in this follow-up
12 13 14	159	
15 16	160	Key words: intelligence quotient, cognition, preterm infant, docosahexaenoic acid,
17 18	161	randomised control trial
19 20 21	162	randomised control trial
22 23		
24 25		
26 27		
28 29		
30 31		
32 33		
34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52 53		
54 55		
56 57		
58 59		
60		

BMJ Open

2 3 4	163
5 6	164
7 8 9	165
10 11	166
12 13	167
14 15	168
16 17 18	169
19 20	170
21 22	171
23 24 25	172
26 27	173
28 29	174
30 31 32	175
32 33 34	176
35 36	177
37 38 39	178
40 41	179
42 43 44	180
44 45 46	181
47 48	182
49 50 51	183
51 52 53	184
54 55	185
56 57	186
58 59 60	187

163 INTRODUCTION

Medical and technological advances in the care of infants born preterm have increased their survival rates. However, there is a high risk of long-term health complications and neurological deficits with preterm birth[1-4], including higher risks of cognitive deficits[5 6] and behavioural problems[3 6-11] compared with term-born counterparts. The risk and severity of poor outcome increases as gestational age decreases.[4 8 12 13]

169 Nutrition is thought to be one modifiable influence on neurodevelopment in preterm 170 infants, in particular the omega-3 (n-3) long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (LCPUFA), 171 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). During the last trimester of pregnancy, the fetus is estimated to 172 acquire ~70 mg/day of n-3 LCPUFA, largely as DHA.[14] Infants born preterm are deprived 173 of the placental transfer of DHA and hence have lower neural tissue levels of DHA compared 174 with infants born at term.[15] It has been hypothesised that providing infants born preterm 175 with DHA may enhance normal neurodevelopment and the most recent recommendations are 176 that the preterm infant needs approximately 60 mg/kg/day DHA (about 1% of total dietary 177 fatty acids) to approximate the fetal accumulation rate.[16]

Several randomised controlled trials (RCT) have attempted to evaluate this hypothesis, with mixed results.[17 18] Two RCTs compared the standard dose of DHA in breastmilk and preterm infant formula (20 mg/kg/day) to the estimated in-utero accretion rate (60 mg/kg/day).[19 20] In one trial the DHA group showed greater problem solving skills at 6 months[20] and improved sustained attention at 20 months,[21] although attrition was high. In the larger trial, assessment at 18 months revealed no difference in overall mean cognitive scores but fewer infants had developmental delay in the DHA group.[19] No overall differences in intelligence quotient (IQ) were detected in follow-up of these trials at seven[22] or eight years of age.[23] Interestingly, both trials suggested a benefit of extra DHA in infants born at the earliest gestations (<29 weeks or <1250 g) who are most

vulnerable to experiencing neurodevelopmental deficit.[19 20] While this is promising, both
trials were significantly underpowered (with only 200 children in one trial[19] and under 70
in the other[20]) to detect an effect in this subgroup.

191 It is clear that current neonatal feeding practices are unable to replace the placental 192 transfer of DHA[16] and despite decades of research, we still do not know whether meeting 193 the estimated requirement of DHA during the neonatal period improves cognitive outcomes 194 in the most vulnerable sub-population of preterm infants.[17 19 20 22 23]

The N-3 Fatty Acids for Improvement in Respiratory Outcomes (N3RO) RCT was designed to determine the effect of an enteral DHA emulsion (providing 60 mg/kg/day) on the incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD).[24] The DHA intervention did not lower the incidence of BPD in infants born <29 weeks' gestation and may have resulted in a greater risk of BPD.[24] However, the N3RO trial offers an ideal opportunity to resolve whether DHA supplementation is beneficial for the cognitive development of these most vulnerable preterm infants.

The N3RO trial infants are now reaching five years of age. Cognition develops rapidly across early childhood[25] and by five years most cognitive domains can be reliably assessed using standardised psychometric tests.[26] IQ tests are considered a robust method of estimating an individual's overall cognitive ability. Executive function is an umbrella term referring to those skills essential for undertaking goal-oriented behaviours and includes inhibitory control which has been reported to be an area of concern for children born preterm.[6]

By assessing the cognition of the N3RO infants as they turn five years of age we can
 determine whether providing infants born <29 weeks' gestation with DHA emulsion
 improves cognitive development. We hypothesise that providing the estimated in-utero

BMJ Open

3 4	212	provisions of DHA to infants born <29 weeks' gestation will result in higher cognitive scores
5 6 7	213	at five years' corrected age compared with infants who received the control intervention.
8 9 10	214	
11 12	215	METHODS
13 14 15	216	This protocol details the methods for a follow-up at five years of age of infants
16 17 18	217	enrolled in the N3RO trial. Detailed methods of the N3RO trial have been published
19 20	218	previously[24] and are summarised here.
21 22 23	219	The N3RO trial
24 25 26	220	1,273 infants born <29 weeks' gestation were enrolled into the N3RO trial within 3
27 28 29 30 31	221	days of their first enteral feed. Infants were recruited between June 2012 and September 2015
	222	from 13 centres in Australia, New Zealand and Singapore.[24] Infants were excluded if they
31 32 33	223	had a major congenital or chromosomal abnormality, were participating in another fatty acid
34 35	224	intervention trial, were receiving intravenous lipids containing fish oil, or if a breast feeding
36 37 38	225	mother was taking greater than 250 mg/day DHA through supplements.[24]
39 40	226	Infants were randomised to receive a DHA emulsion that provided 60 mg of DHA per
41 42 43	227	kg of body weight per day (intervention group, n=631), or a control emulsion without DHA
43 44 45	228	(control group, n=642).[24] Infants received the study intervention from enrolment to 36
46 47	229	weeks' postmenstrual age or discharge home, whichever occurred first. The emulsion was
48 49 50	230	administered three times per day, immediately before an enteral feed through a nasogastric or
50 51 52	231	orogastric tube for the duration of the intervention period. The DHA and control emulsions
53 54	232	were iso-caloric and identical in viscosity, colour, and packaging and families, clinical staff
55 56 57	233	and study personnel were blinded to group allocation.[24] Infants were randomised to the
58 59	234	intervention or control group through a secure web-based computer-generated schedule
60	235	stratified for the 13 centres, sex and gestational age at birth <27 weeks' or 27 to <29 weeks'

1 2		
3 4	236	gestation. Infants from multiple births were randomised individually. A statistician not
5 6 7	237	otherwise involved in the N3RO trial generated the randomisation schedule.
8 9 10	238	
11 12 13	239	Five-year follow-up study procedure
14 15	240	This is a follow-up of a predefined sub-sample of the N3RO trial infants from five of
16 17 18	241	the Australian recruiting centres. No additional interventions will be administered. Eligible
19 20	242	N3RO infants will be invited to attend an appointment with a psychologist when they are 5-
21 22	243	years' corrected age to measure child abilities on selected cognitive domains; age is corrected
23 24	244	for prematurity to avoid a known bias in cognitive test scores.[27] Appointments will take
25 26 27	245	between 45 minutes to 1.5 hours, depending on the child's abilities and speed whilst working
28 29	246	through the IQ test tasks, and assessments will be conducted by personnel blinded to group
30 31	247	allocation. Assessments for this follow-up study commenced 29th August 2018 and are
32 33 34	248	expected to be completed on the 31 st December 2020.
35 36 37	249	Families of eligible children will be emailed a letter of invitation two months before
38 39	250	their child reaches 5 years' corrected age, followed by a telephone call to answer any
40 41	251	questions and book appointments with families that wish to participate. Where necessary,
42 43	252	families will be offered appointments at the family's home or at a location close to their home
44 45 46	253	such as a school or community centre.
47 48 49	254	
50 51 52	255	Participants
53 54 55	256	Children who participated in the N3RO Trial and were recruited from the five largest
56 57	257	recruiting centres, John Hunter Hospital (New South Wales), King Edward Memorial
58 59 60	258	Hospital (Western Australia), Mercy Hospital for Women (Victoria), Royal Women's
		10

Page 13 of 27

264

1 2

BMJ Open

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
22	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
52	
52 53	
53 54	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

Hospital (Victoria), and the Women's and Children's Hospital (South Australia) in Australia
will be invited to participate in this follow-up study. Children will not be invited if they have
previously been withdrawn from the N3RO trial or have died. Of the n=702 children enrolled
between the five centres, n=655 will be eligible to be approached for the five-year follow-up
once deaths (n=4) and withdrawals (n=43) are excluded.

265 Outcomes and Measures

266 *Primary outcome*

The primary outcome is Full-Scale IQ, as assessed by the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence - Fourth Edition, Australian and New Zealand (WPPSI-IV). The WPPSI-IV is a battery of subtests that provides an assessment of general cognitive ability for pre-schoolers and young children (2:6 to 7:7 years). The WPPSI-IV has strong internal consistency and test-retest stability and sound psychometric properties.[28] The average reliability coefficient for the Full-Scale IQ is 0.95.[28]

273
274 Secondary outcomes
275 WPPSI-IV

Other outcomes from the WPPSI-IV will be included as secondary outcomes. These
include Verbal Comprehension, Fluid Reasoning, Working Memory and the Processing
Speed, General Ability and Cognitive Proficiency Primary Index Scales.

The WPPSI-IV has Australian/New Zealand norms that are age-standardised with a
 mean of 100 and SD 15. Intellectual impairment will be defined as Full-Scale IQ <85 (i.e. <-1
 SD), and moderate-severe intellectual impairment as Full-Scale IQ<70 (i.e. <-2 SD). Any

2		
3 4	282	impairment on any of the WPPSI-IV Primary Index Scales will be defined as an Index Scale
5 6 7	283	score <85 (i.e. <-1 SD).
8 9	284	
10 11 12 13	285	Fruit Stroop
14 15	286	The Fruit Stroop was administered to assess two executive functions, inhibition and
16 17 18	287	mental flexibility.[29] The child is required to identify a the correct, natural colour of a series
19 20	288	of fruits and vegetables in four 45 s trials under a series of conditions that increase in
21 22	289	complexity. The outcome is an interference score calculated as the difference between the
23 24 25	290	number of correct responses on the final (inhibition) trial, and predicted scores on the first
25 26 27	291	and third trials, where lower or negative values indicate more interference.
28 29 30	292	
31 32 33	293	Growth
34 35 36	294	Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be
37 38	295	measured at the appointment as measures of the nutritional well-being of the children.
39 40 41	296	Measurements will be converted to Z (SD) scores appropriate for corrected age and sex.[30]
42 43 44	297	
45 46 47	298	Background information and characteristics
48 49	299	At enrolment into the N3RO trial a range of socio-demographic data were collected
50 51	300	through interview with the caregiver (including parental age, education, and employment). As
52 53 54	301	part of the N3RO trial infant medical records were used to determine a range of baseline and
55 56	302	outcome clinical characteristics up to 40 weeks' postmenstrual age or first discharge home,
57 58	303	whichever occurred first, including for e.g., gestational age, birth weight, sex, and instances
59 60	304	of intraventricular haemorrhage.

BMJ Open

1		
2 3 4	305	
5 6 7	306	Sample size
8 9 10	307	A sample size of 296 children per group (total 592) will provide 90% power (two-
11 12	308	tailed alpha 0.05) to detect a 4-point (0.27 standard deviation) mean difference in the primary
13 14	309	outcome of Full-Scale IQ between groups. No adjustment to the sample size is needed for
15 16 17	310	clustering due to multiple births, since children were randomised individually in N3RO and
18 19	311	the design effect for continuous outcomes is one in this case.[31] Should enrolment be lower
20 21	312	than planned, the study will have 80% power to detect a 4-point difference between groups
22 23 24	313	provided at least 222 children per group (total 444) provide follow-up data.
25 26	314	
27 28		
29 30	315	Statistical analysis and data management
31 32 33	316	All participants were assigned a study identification number at enrolment into the
34 35	317	N3RO trial. Throughout the follow-up and analyses, the identification number will be used to
36 37	318	identify data. Data will be entered into a REDCap database, which uses a MySQL database
38 39 40	319	via a secure web interface with data checks used during data entry to ensure data quality.
40 41 42	320	REDCap includes a complete suite of features to support the Health Insurance Portability and
43 44	321	Accountability Act of 1996 compliance, including a full audit trail, user-based privileges, and
45 46 47	322	integration with the institutional LDAP server.
48 49	323	All analyses will be conducted according to a pre-specified statistical analysis plan.
50 51 52	324	Analyses will not commence until the N3RO trial Steering Committee has approved the
53 54	325	statistical analysis plan. Intervention groups will be dummy coded to allow analyses to be
55 56 57 58 59 60	326	performed blinded to treatment group.

Page 16 of 27

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
9 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

1

Outcomes of intervention and control group children will be compared using 327 generalised linear models, with generalised estimated equations used to account for clustering 328 due to multiple births within the same family. Continuous and binary outcomes will be 329 analysed using linear and log binomial models, respectively, with adjustment for variables 330 used to stratify the randomisation: sex, centre enrolled, and gestational age (<27 completed 331 weeks' or 27 to <29 weeks' at birth). Pre-planned subgroup analyses will examine the effects 332 333 of DHA separately for girls or boys (all outcomes), and for infants born at <27 weeks' gestation or 27 to <29 weeks' gestation (primary outcome only). No adjustment will be made 334 335 for multiple pre-planned comparisons, as the single overall comparison of Full-Scale IQ between groups is of primary interest. 336

Missing outcome data will be addressed using multiple imputation, with imputation performed separately by treatment group using fully conditional specification.[32] Imputed datasets will include all surviving children from the five included centres. Children who are missing scores on psychological assessments because they were unable to complete the assessment for cognitive or physical reasons (such as blindness or cerebral palsy) will be reviewed by a psychologist to determine whether assigning the lowest possible score is appropriate.

344

345 Ethical considerations and dissemination of results

This follow-up study will be carried out in accordance with the Australian National Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans, which builds upon the ethical codes of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Principles of International Conference on Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice (as adopted in Australia). All procedures and study materials have been reviewed and approved by the Women's and Children's Health Network Page 17 of 27

1 2

BMJ Open

3 4	
5	
6 7	
7	
8	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
17 18	
19	
20 21 22	
21 22 23	
22 23 24	
25	
26	
29	
30	
29 30 31 32 33	
2∠ 33	
34 35	
35	
36 37	
37	
38	
39 40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46 47	
47 48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54 55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/17/WCHN/187), as well as the Research 351 Governance officers at each site. The N3RO Trial and this follow-up are registered on the 352 Australia and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry (ANZCTR: ACTRN12612000503820). 353 Caregivers will be provided with a detailed information sheet about the study and will 354 provide informed consent for their child's involvement in the study. Caregivers will be free to 355 356 re-negotiate consent for each procedure in the follow-up study and are able to decline any part of the follow-up. Caregivers will be free to withdraw their children from the study at any 357 time. 358 The results of this follow-up study will be presented at academic conferences and 359 published in peer-reviewed journals. Participating families will receive a lay-report of the 360 study findings. No participants will be identified in the dissemination of study results and 361 data collected will be treated with confidence. 362 363 Access to Data 364 Individual participant data, including data dictionaries, may be shared after de-365 identification upon reasonable request. Proposals to access the data must be scientifically and 366 methodologically sound and must be reviewed and approved by the N3RO trial Steering 367 Committee and the Women's and Children's Human Research Ethics Committee. To gain 368 369 access, data requestors will need to sign a data access agreement. Proposals should be directed to Jacqueline Gould through email (Jacqueline.gould@sahmri.com). 370 371 Patient and public involvement 372 Neither patients nor the public were directly involved in the development of the 373 research question or design of this follow-up study. However, our primary outcome of IQ is 374

based on reported concerns over long-term developmental concerns from parents of preterminfants.[33]

A Community Board, comprising parents (including parents of a child born preterm) as well as clinicians and researchers specialising in paediatrics will be consulted for the dissemination of the study findings to participants, including reviewing the study results and format of dissemination.

DISCUSSION

This protocol details a follow-up of a RCT of a DHA enteral emulsion (60 mg/kg/day) compared with a control emulsion (no DHA), for preterm infants born <29 weeks' gestation in the first months of life, to evaluate the effect on child cognitive ability at 5 years of age. Unlike previous DHA RCTs in preterm populations, [17 18] our follow-up has the benefits of a population likely to be insufficient in DHA, [34] and a robust method of intervention. [24] We previously conducted a follow-up of a small sub-group of the N3RO trial infants when they were aged 18 months' corrected age. Children underwent an experimental assessment of visual attention (considered to be a basic, early emergence of higher order cognitive skills known as the executive functions).[35] Where available, Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development-3rd edition Cognition, Motor and Language assessment results were collected from hospital records.[35] No statistically significant differences were found for attention, cognition, motor or language abilities.[36] However, assessments of cognition during infancy are considered poor predictors of later performance,[37-41] and the sample was small and under-powered to detect a clinically important effect on cognition.[35] Our sample size calculation for the primary outcome requires a 90% follow-up rate of the N3RO trial children, five years after enrolment. More than 10% loss to follow-up may

Page 19 of 27

BMJ Open

2 3 4	399	introduce attrition bias. After completion of the N3RO trial primary outcome analyses,
5 6	400	families had the opportunity to request knowledge of their group allocation. Although few
7 8	401	families requested this, knowledge of their randomisation group prior to the five-year follow-
9 10 11	402	up assessment may introduce additional bias to the results.
12 13 14	403	For this follow-up we have carefully selected a robust assessment of general cognitive
15 16	404	abilities, including executive functioning (both of which domains are likely to be adversely
17 18	405	affected by very preterm birth)[42-44] to be administered at an age when cognitive domains
19 20 21	406	can be reliably assessed[2645], as well as ensuring a large, adequately powered sample. As
21 22 23	407	per the recommendations of a consortium of parents and clinicians caring for high-risk
24 25	408	preterm infants, we are assessing general cognitive ability using a Wechsler scale, which is
26 27	409	considered the gold standard, and have included an assessment of growth.[46] Assessments
28 29 30	410	of respiratory functioning are unreliable in early childhood and hence were not included in
31 32	411	this follow-up. It is important that the long-term respiratory effects of DHA supplementation
33 34	412	in infants born <29 weeks' gestation is addressed when the N3RO trial children reach an
35 36 37	413	appropriate age.
38 39 40	414	This project has global significance, with over one million infants born <29 weeks'
41 42	415	gestation each year, and the number rising.[47] The potential benefit of DHA on cognitive
43 44	416	performance has never been adequately demonstrated in this population. However, because
45 46 47	417	of the N3RO primary results it is extremely unlikely that such a trial will be repeated. The
48 49	418	N3RO cohort may represent the only children in which the longer-term cognitive and
50 51 52	419	behavioural effects of DHA supplementation in these infants can be assessed.
53 54		
55 56 57		

2 3	
4	420
5 6	421
7 8	422
9 10 11	423
12 13	424
14 15	425
16 17	426
18 19	
20 21	427
22 23	428
24 25	429
26 27	430
28 29	431
30 31	432
32 33	433
34 35	434
36 37	434
38 39	435
40 41	436
42 43	437
44 45	438
46 47	439
48 49 50	440
50 51 52	441
53 54	442
55	-+Z
56 57	443
58 50	
59 60	

20 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the families who generously participated in the N3RO trial and who 21

will participate in the follow-up study, and the N3RO Steering Committee, Investigative 22

Team and research staff. 23

1

Funding 25

26 Financial support for the submitted work was from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australia (ID: 1022112 - N3RO trial, 1146806 - 5-year follow-up) and 27 Clover Corporation Limited (Melbourne, Australia). 28

Competing Interests 30

Study product for the original trial was donated by Clover Corporation Limited (Melbourne, 31 Australia). MM and RAG report holding a patent relating to methods and compositions for 32 promoting the neurological development for preterm infants (2009201540), owned by the 33 South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute and licensed to Clover Corporation 34 Limited. 35

MM is supported by an Australian NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship ID: 1061704 and 37

- CC is supported by a NHMRC Translating Research into Practice (TRIP) Fellowship ID 38
- 39 1132596. TS is supported by a NHMRC Emerging Leadership Investigator Grant ID:

1173576. KPB is supported by a Women's and Children's Hospital MS McLeod Postdoctoral 40

- Fellowship. PGD is supported by an Australian NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship ID: 41
- 1157782. JLYC is supported by a MRFF Career Development Fellowship ID: 1141354. 42

1 2								
3 4	444	Honoraria have been paid to Dr Gould's institution to support conference travel by Fonterra						
5 6	oson report serving on the board for Trajan Nutrition. No other authors							
7 8 9	446	reported any financial disclosures. The contents of the published material are solely the						
10 11	447	responsibility of the a	uthors and do not reflect the views of the NHMRC.					
12 13	448							
14 15 16	449	List of Abbreviation	S					
17 18	450	BPD	Bronchopulmonary dysplasia					
19 20	451	DHA	Docosahexaenoic acid					
21 22	452	IQ	Intelligence Quotient					
23 24 25	453	n-3	Omega-3					
26 27	454	N3RO	N-3 (omega-3) Fatty Acids for Improvement in Respiratory Outcomes					
28 29	455	RCT	Randomised controlled trial					
30 31 32	456	WPPSI-IV	Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence - Fourth Edition					
33 34	457							
35 36	458	Authors Contributio	ns					
37 38 39	459	Study concept and des	sign: Collins, Gould, Makrides, McPhee, Anderson, Gibson, Sullivan.					
40 41	460	Drafting the protocol.	Gould, Collins, Sullivan.					
42 43	461	Comment and approv	al of the final draft of the protocol: Gould, Collins, Makrides, Sullivan,					
44 45 46	462	Anderson, Gibson, M	cPhee, Best, Davis, Doyle, Opie, Travadi, Cheong, Sharp, Simmer.					
40 47 48	463	Statistical expertise: S	Sullivan.					
49 50	464	Obtained funding: Co	llins, Gould, Makrides, McPhee, Gibson, Sullivan, Best.					
51 52 53	465	Administrative, techni	ical, or material support: Gould, Collins, Makrides, Gibson, Sullivan,					
53 54 55	466	McPhee, Anderson, B	est, Davis, Doyle, Opie, Travadi, Cheong, Sharp, Simmer.					
56 57 58 59 60	467							

REFERENCE LIST

6	469	1. Aylward GP. Cognitive and neuropsychological outcomes: more than IQ scores. Ment
7	470	Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 2002; 8 (4):234-40 doi: 10.1002/mrdd.10043.
8	471	2. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes
9 10	472	for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatr 2007; 119 (1):37-45 doi:
11	473	10.1542/peds.2006-1416.
12	474	3. Allotey J, Zamora J, Cheong-See F, et al. Cognitive, motor, behavioural and academic
13	475	performances of children born preterm: a meta-analysis and systematic review
14	476	involving 64 061 children. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2018; 125 (1):16-25 doi:
15	470	10.1111/1471-0528.14832.
16	478	4. Bourke J, Wong K, Srinivasjois R, et al. Predicting Long-Term Survival Without Major
17	478	Disability for Infants Born Preterm. J Pediatr 2019; 215 :90-97.e1 doi:
18 10		10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.07.056.
19 20	480	5.51
20 21	481	5. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Smidts DP, Oosterlaan J, et al. Executive function in very preterm
22	482	children at early school age. J Abnorm Child Psychol 2009; 37 (7):981-93 doi:
23	483	10.1007/s10802-009-9327-z.
24	484	6. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, et al. Meta-analysis of
25	485	neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children.
26	486	Pediatr 2009; 124 (2):717-28 doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-2816.
27	487	7. Johnson S. Cognitive and behavioural outcomes following very preterm birth. Semin Fetal
28	488	Neonatal Med 2007;12(5):363-73 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2007.05.004.
29 30	489	8. Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, et al. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-
30 31	490	aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. J Am Med Assoc
32	491	2002; 288 (6):728-37
33	492	9. Lindstrom K, Lindblad F, Hjern A. Preterm birth and attention-deficit/hyperactivity
34	493	disorder in schoolchildren. Pediatr 2011; 127 (5):858-65 doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1279.
35	494	10. Arpi E, Ferrari F. Preterm birth and behaviour problems in infants and preschool-age
36	495	children: a review of the recent literature. Dev Med Child Neurol 2013;55(9):788-96
37	496	doi: 10.1111/dmcn.12142.
38	497	11. Spittle AJ, Treyvaud K, Doyle LW, et al. Early emergence of behavior and social-
39 40	498	emotional problems in very preterm infants. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry
40 41	499	2009; 48 (9):909-18 doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181af8235.
42	500	12. Aylward GP. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of infants born prematurely. J Dev Behav
43	501	Pediatr 2005; 26 (6):427-40
44	502	13. Bolisetty S, Tiwari M, Sutton L, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of extremely
45	503	preterm infants in New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory. J Paediatr
46	504	Child Health 2018 doi: 10.1111/jpc.14323.
47	505	14. Clandinin MT, Chappell JE, Heim T, et al. Fatty acid utilization in perinatal de novo
48 49	506	synthesis of tissues. Early Hum Dev 1981;5:355-66.
49 50	507	15. Martinez M. Tissue levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids during early human
51	508	development. J Pediatr 1992; 120 (4 Pt 2):S129-38.
52	508	16. Lapillonne A, Groh-Wargo S, Gonzalez CH, et al. Lipid needs of preterm infants:
53	510	updated recommendations. J Pediatr 2013; 162 (3 Suppl):S37-47 doi:
54		
55	511 512	10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.11.052.
56	512	17. Moon K, Rao SC, Schulzke SM, et al. Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acid
57	513	supplementation in preterm infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016; 12 :Cd000375
58 59	514	doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000375.pub5.
59 60		
~~		

2		
3	515	18. Smithers LG, Gibson RA, McPhee A, et al. Effect of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty
4 5	516	acid supplementation of preterm infants on disease risk and neurodevelopment: a
5 6	517	systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87(4):912-20
7	518	doi: 87/4/912 [pii].
8	519	19. Makrides M, Gibson RA, McPhee AJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm
9	520	infants fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Med
10	521	Assoc 2009; 301 (2):175-82 doi: 301/2/175 [pii] 10.1001/jama.2008.945.
11	522	20. Henriksen C, Haugholt K, Lindgren M, et al. Improved cognitive development among
12	523	preterm infants attributable to early supplementation of human milk with
13 14	524	docosahexaenoic acid and arachidonic acid. Pediatr 2008; 121 (6):1137-45
14	525	21. Westerberg AC, Schei R, Henriksen C, et al. Attention among very low birth weight
16	526	infants following early supplementation with docosahexaenoic and arachidonic acid.
17	527	Acta Paediatr 2011;100(1):47-52 doi: APA1946 [pii] 10.1111/j.1651-
18	528	2227.2010.01946.x.
19	529	22. Collins CT, Gibson RA, Anderson PJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes at 7 years'
20	530	corrected age in preterm infants who were fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid to
21	531	term equivalent: a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Br Med J-Open
22 23	532	2015; 5 (3):e007314 doi: doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007314.
25 24	533	23. Almaas AN, Tamnes CK, Nakstad B, et al. Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and
25	534	cognition in VLBW infants at 8 years: an RCT. Pediatr 2015; 135 (6):972-80 doi:
26	535	10.1542/peds.2014-4094.
27	536	24. Collins CT, Makrides M, McPhee AJ, et al. Docosahexaenoic Acid and
28		
29	537	Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia in Preterm Infants. N Engl J Med 2017; 376 (13):1245-55 doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611942.
30	538 520	
31 32	539	25. Anderson V, Northam E, Hendy J, et al. <i>Developmental Neuropsychology – A clinical</i>
32 33	540	approach. East Sussex: Psychology Press, 2001.
34	541	26. Baron IS. <i>Neuropsychological Evaluation of the Child</i> . New York: Oxford University
35	542	Press, 2004.
36	543	27. Wilson-Ching M, Pascoe L, Doyle LW, et al. Effects of correcting for prematurity on
37	544	cognitive test scores in childhood. J Paediatr Child Health 2014; 50 (3):182-8 doi:
38	545	10.1111/jpc.12475.
39	546	28. Wechsler D, Brown F, Joshua N. Wechsler preschool and primary scale of intelligence;
40 41	547	Fourth edition, Australia and New Zealand Sydney, New South Whales PsychCorp,
42	548	
43	549	29. Archibald S, Kerns K. Identification and description of new tests of executive functioning
44	550	in children. Child Neuropsych 1999; 115-129 (5):115-29
45	551	30. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, et al. Development of a WHO growth reference for
46	552	school-aged children and adolescents. Bull World Health Organ 2007;85(9):660-7
47	553	doi: 10.2471/blt.07.043497.
48 49	554	31. Yelland LN, Sullivan TR, Price DJ, et al. Sample size calculations for randomised trials
49 50	555	including both independent and paired data. Stat Med 2017;36(8):1227-39 doi:
51	556	10.1002/sim.7201.
52	557	32. Sullivan TR, White IR, Salter AB, et al. Should multiple imputation be the method of
53	558	choice for handling missing data in randomized trials? Stat Methods Med Res
54	559	2018; 27 (9):2610-26 doi: 10.1177/0962280216683570.
55	560	33. Kyno NM, Ravn IH, Lindemann R, et al. Parents of preterm-born children; sources of
56	561	stress and worry and experiences with an early intervention programme - a qualitative
57 58	562	study. BMC Nurs 2013; 12 (1):28 doi: 10.1186/1472-6955-12-28.
58 59		
60		

1 2		
3	563	34. Lapillonne A, Eleni dit Trolli S, Kermorvant-Duchemin E. Postnatal docosahexaenoic
4	564	acid deficiency is an inevitable consequence of current recommendations and practice
5	565	in preterm infants. Neonatology 2010; 98 (4):397-403 doi: 10.1159/000320159.
6	565 566	35. Gould JF, Colombo J, Collins CT, et al. Assessing whether early attention of very preterm
7		
8	567	infants can be improved by an omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
9 10	568	intervention: a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Br Med J-Open
11	569	2018; 8 (5):e020043 doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020043.
12	570	36. Hewawasam E, Collins CT, Muhlhausler BS, et al. Docosahexaenoic acid
13	571	supplementation in infants born preterm and the effect on attention at 18 months'
14	572	corrected age: follow-up of a subset of the N3RO randomised controlled trial. Br J
15	573	Nutr 2020;in press:1-26 doi: 10.1017/s0007114520002500.
16	574	37. Doyle LW, Davis PG, Schmidt B, et al. Cognitive outcome at 24 months is more
17	575	predictive than at 18 months for IQ at 8-9 years in extremely low birth weight
18	576	children. Early Hum Dev 2012;88(2):95-8 doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2011.07.013.
19 20	577	38. Anderson V. Prediction of cognitive abilities at the age of 5 years using developmental
20 21	578	follow-up assessments at the age of 2 and 3 years in very preterm children. Dev Med
22	579	Child Neurol 2012;54(3):202-3 doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.04212.x.
23	580	39. Luttikhuizen dos Santos ES, de Kieviet JF, Konigs M, et al. Predictive value of the
24	581	Bayley scales of infant development on development of very preterm/very low birth
25	582	weight children: a meta-analysis. Early Hum Dev 2013;89(7):487-96 doi:
26	583	10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2013.03.008.
27	584	40. Spencer-Smith MM, Spittle AJ, Lee KJ, et al. Bayley-III Cognitive and Language Scales
28	585	in Preterm Children. Pediatr 2015; 135 (5):e1258-65 doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-3039.
29	586	41. García-Martínez MP, Sánchez-Caravaca J, Montealegre-Ramón MP, et al. Predictive
30 31	587	value of the Bayley Scales applied to a group of preterm infants, on their results on
32	588	the Wechsler Scales at 10 years of age. Annals of Psychology 2019; 35 (1):95-105
33	589	42. Anderson P. Neuropsychological outcomes of children born very preterm. Semin Fetal
34		Neonatal Med 2014; 19 :90-96
35	590	
36	591	43. Kerr-Wilson CO, Mackay DF, Smith GC, et al. Meta-analysis of the association between
37	592	preterm delivery and intelligence. J Pub Health (Oxford, England) 2012; 34 (2):209-16
38	593	doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdr024.
39	594	44. Blencowe H, Lee AC, Cousens S, et al. Preterm birth-associated neurodevelopmental
40	595	impairment estimates at regional and global levels for 2010. Pediatr Res 2013;74
41 42	596	Suppl 1:17-34 doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.204.
43	597	45. Gathercole SE, Pickering SJ, Ambridge B, et al. The structure of working memory from 4
44	598	to 15 years of age. Dev Psych 2004;40(2):177-90 doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.40.2.177
45	599	[doi]2004-11032-005 [pii].
46	600	46. Doyle LW, Anderson PJ, Battin M, et al. Long term follow up of high risk children: who,
47	601	why and how? BMC Pediatr 2014;14:279 doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-279.
48	602	47. Blencowe H, Vos T, Lee AC, et al. Estimates of neonatal morbidities and disabilities at
49	603	regional and global levels for 2010: introduction, methods overview, and relevant
50	604	findings from the Global Burden of Disease study. Pediatr Res 2013;74 Suppl 1:4-16
51 52	605	doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.203.
52 53	606	1
55 54		
55		

- 56
- 58

BMJ Open



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

Section/item	ltem No	Description	Addressed or page number
Administrative inf	ormation		
Title	1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym	1
Trial registration	2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry	5
	2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set	1-21
Protocol version	3	Date and version identifier	NA
unding	4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support	17-18
Roles and	5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors	1, 18
responsibilities	5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	NA
	5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities	NA
	5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	17
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2	Introduction				
3 4 5	Background and rationale	6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant	7-8	
6 7		6b	Explanation for choice of comparators	_7-8	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Objectives	7	Specific objectives or hypotheses	8-9	
	Trial design	8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory)	9-14	
14 15	Methods: Participa	nts, inte	erventions, and outcomes		
16 17 18	Study setting	9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will	10	_
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Eligibility criteria	10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and	9-10	
	Interventions	11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered	9	
25 26 27 28		11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose _ change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease)	NA	
29 30 31		11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence	NA	
32 33		11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial	NA	
34 35 36 37 38 39	Outcomes	12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, _ median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended	_11-13	
39 40 41 42	Participant timeline	13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for _ participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)	_9	
43 44 45			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		2

Page 27 of 27			BMJ Open	
1 2	Sample size	14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including _ clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations	12
3 4 5	Recruitment	15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size	10-11
6 7	Methods: Assignm	ent of i	nterventions (for controlled trials)	
8 9	Allocation:			
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Sequence generation	16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions	9-10
	Allocation concealment mechanism	16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered,	9-10
	Implementation	16c	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to	NA
	Blinding (masking)	17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how	9-10
		17b	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's _ allocated intervention during the trial	NA
	Methods: Data coll	ection.	management, and analysis	
	Data collection methods	18a	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol	9-14
		18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols	10
42 43 44 45			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2 3 4	Data management	19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality _ (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol	13-15
4 5 6 7	Statistical methods	20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the _ statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol	13-14
8 9		20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses)	13-14
10 11 12 13		20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation)	14
14 15	Methods: Monitorir	ng		
16 17 18 19 20	Data monitoring	21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of _ whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed	NA
21 22 23 24		21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim _ results and make the final decision to terminate the trial	NA
25 26 27	Harms	22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct	NA
28 29 30	Auditing	23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor	NA
31 32	Ethics and dissemi	nation		
33 34 35 36	Research ethics approval	24	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval	14-15
37 38 39 40 41	Protocol amendments	25	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)	NA
42 43 44 45			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	4

Page 29 of 27

46

BMJ Open

Consent or assent	26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)	9-10, 14-15
	26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA
Confidentiality	27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial	13, 15
Declaration of interests	28	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site	18
Access to data	29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators	15
Ancillary and post- trial care	30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation	NA
Dissemination policy	31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions	15-16
	31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers	NA
	31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code	NA
Appendices			
Informed consent materials	32	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates	Available upon request
Biological specimens	33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA
*It is strongly recommoder Amendments to the p	protoco	I that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarificant of the spiral of the spi	
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

BMJ Open

A protocol for assessing whether cognition of preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation can be improved by an intervention with the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid docosahexaenoic acid (DHA): a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-041597.R2
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	15-Dec-2020
Complete List of Authors:	gould, jacqueline; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Makrides, Maria; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences Sullivan, Thomas; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, Anderson, Peter; Monash University Monash Institute of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience Gibson, Robert; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Women and Kids; The University of Adelaide Best , Karen; SAHMRI, Women and Kids Theme; The University of Adelaide Adelaide Medical School, McPhee, Andrew; Women's and Children's Hospital Adelaide, Neonatal Medicine Doyle, Lex; Royal Women's Hospital, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Opie, Gillian; Mercy Hospital for Women, Travadi, Javeed; John Hunter Children's Hospital, Newborn Services; University of Newcastle Cheong, Jeanie; Royal Women's Hospital, Newborn Research; University of Melbourne, Obstetrics and Gynaecology Davis, Peter; The Royal Women's Hospital, Newborn Research Sharp, Mary; King Edward Memorial Hospital for Women and Princess Margaret Hospital for Children, Neonatal Clinical Care Unit Collins, Carmel; South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute, Healthy Mothers Babies and Children
Primary Subject Heading :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Nutrition and metabolism
Keywords:	NEONATOLOGY, NUTRITION & DIETETICS, Developmental neurology & neurodisability < PAEDIATRICS

1 2	
2 3	
4 5	
5 6 7 8 9	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts
10 11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16 17	
18 19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24 25	
26 27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32 33	
34 35	
36	
37 38	
39	
40 41	
42 43	
44	
45 46	
47	
48 49	
50 51	
52	
53 54	
55	
56 57	
58	
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Reversion of

1		
2		
3	1	
4	_	
5		
6 7	2	A protocol for assessing whether cognition of preterm infants <29 weeks' gestation can
7 8		
9	3	be improved by an intervention with the omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
10		
11	4	docosahexaenoic acid (DHA): a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial
12		
13		
14	5	
15	6	Dr Jacqueline F Gould
16	7	Corresponding Author
17 18	8	SAHMRI Women and Kids
10	9	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
20	10	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
21	11	School of Psychology & Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
22	12	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, South Australia
23	13	jacqueline.gould@sahmri.com
24	14	Phone: +61 8 8128 4423
25	15	
26	16	Prof Maria Makrides
27 28	17	SAHMRI Women and Kids
28 29	18	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
30	19	North Adelaide, Australia
31	20	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
32	21	The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
33	22	maria.makrides@sahmri.com
34	23	<u>Indita.indkitdes@summit.com</u>
35	23	Dr Thomas R Sullivan
36	24	SAHMRI Women and Kids
37 39		South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
38 39	26 27	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
40		School of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
41	28	
42	29	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
43	30	thomas.sullivan@sahmri.com
44	31	
45	32	Prof Peter J Anderson
46 47	33	Turner Institute for Brain and Mental Health, School of Psychological Sciences
47 48	34	Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
49	35	peter.j.anderson@monash.edu
50	36	
51	37	Prof Robert A Gibson
52	38	SAHMRI Women and Kids
53	39	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
54	40	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
55 56	41	School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
56 57	42	The University of Adelaide, Waite Campus, Glen Osmond, Australia
58	43	robert.gibson@adelaide.edu.au
59	44	
60	45	Dr Karen P Best

2		
3	46	SAHMRI Women and Kids
4	47	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
5	48	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
6 7	49	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
8	50	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
9	51	karen.best@sahmri.com
10	52	
11	53	Dr Andrew J McPhee
12	54	Neonatal Medicine
13 14	55	Women's and Children's Hospital
14	56	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
16	57	andrew.mcphee@sa.gov.au
17	58	
18	59	Prof Lex W Doyle
19	60	Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, The Royal Women's Hospital
20	61	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
21 22	62	lwd@unimelb.edu.au
22	63	
24	64	Dr Gillian Opie
25	65	Neonatal Services
26	66	Mercy Hospital for Women
27	67	163 Studley Rd, Heidelberg, Melbourne, Australia
28	68	gopie@mercy.com.au
29 30	69	
31	70	Dr Javeed Travadi
32	71	Newborn Services, John Hunter Children's Hospital
33	72	2 Lookout Road, New Lambton Heights, Australia
34	73	University of Newcastle, Newcastle Australia
35	74	Javeed.Travadi@hnehealth.nsw.gov.au
36 37	75	\bigcirc
38	76	Prof Jeanie LY Cheong
39	77	Neonatal Paediatrician
40	78	The Royal Women's Hospital
41	79	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
42	80	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia Jeanie.cheong@thewomens.org.au Prof Peter G Davis
43 44	81	
45	82	Prof Peter G Davis
46	83	Neonatal Medicine, The Royal Women's Hospital
47	84	20 Flemington Rd, Parkville, Melbourne, Australia
48	85	pgd@unimelb.edu.au
49	86	
50 51	87	Assoc Prof Mary Sharp
52	88	King Edward Memorial Hospital
53	89	374 Bagot Rd, Perth, Australia
54	90	mary.sharp@health.wa.gov.au
55	91	
56	92	Professor Karen Simmer
57 58	93	Professor of Newborn Medicine (Neonatal Research)
58 59	94	The University of Western Australia
60	95	35 Stirling Highway

2		
3	96	WA 6009
4	97	karen.simmer@health.wa.gov.au
5	98	Kuron.sminior(whourth.wu.gov.uu
6	99	Assoc Prof Carmel T Collins
7		SAHMRI Women and Kids
8	100	
9 10	101	South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute
11	102	72 King William Road, North Adelaide, Australia
12	103	Discipline of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences.
13	104	The University of Adelaide, North Terrace Adelaide, Australia
14	105	<u>carmel.collins@sahmri.com</u>
15	106	
16		
17	107	Word Count: 2861
18	108	
19	100	
20	109	
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30 31		
32		
33		
34		Word Count: 2861
35		
36		
37		
38		
39 40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47 48		
40 49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55 56		
56 57		
57 58		
58 59		
60		

110 ABSTRACT

111 Introduction

Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) is an omega-3 (n-3) fatty acid that accumulates into neural tissue during the last trimester of pregnancy, as the fetal brain is undergoing a growth spurt. Infants born <29 weeks' gestation are deprived the normal in-utero supply of DHA during this period of rapid brain development. Insufficient dietary DHA postnatally may contribute to the cognitive impairments common among this population. This follow-up of the N-3 fatty acids for improvement in Respiratory Outcomes (N3RO) randomised controlled trial aims to determine if enteral DHA supplementation in infants born <29 weeks' gestation during the first months of life improves cognitive development at five-years of age corrected for prematurity. Ē. **Methods and Analysis** N3RO was a randomised controlled trial of enteral DHA supplementation (60 mg/kg/day) or a control emulsion (without DHA) in 1,273 infants born <29 weeks' gestation to determine the effect on bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD). We showed that DHA supplementation did

not reduce the risk of BPD and may have increased the risk.

127 In this follow-up at five years' corrected age, a predefined subset (n=655) of children from

128 five Australian sites will be invited to attend a cognitive assessment with a psychologist.

129 Children will be administered the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence (4th

edition) and a measure of inhibitory control (Fruit Stroop), while height, weight and head

131 circumference will be measured.

1 2		
2 3 4	132	The primary outcome is Full-Scale intelligence quotient (IQ). To ensure 90% power, a
5 6 7	133	minimum of 592 children are needed to detect a four-point difference in IQ between the
7 8 9	134	groups.
10 11	135	Research personnel and families remain blinded to group assignment.
12 13 14	136	
15 16 17	137	Ethics and Dissemination
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 	138	The Women's and Children Health Network Human Research Ethics Committee reviewed
	139	and approved the study (HREC/17/WCHN/187). Caregivers will give informed consent prior
	140	to taking part in this follow-up study. Findings of this study will be disseminated through
	141	peer reviewed publications and conference presentations.
	142	
	143	Trial Registration
34 35 36	144	Australian and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry: anzctr.org.au: <u>ACTRN12612000503820</u> .
37 38 39	145	
39 40 41 42	146	Strengths and Limitations
43 44 45	147	• This will be the first adequately powered randomised controlled trial to assess
45 46 47	148	cognitive development following docosahexaenoic acid supplementation in preterm
48 49	149	infants born <29 weeks' gestation.
50 51 52	150	• This follow-up of the N3RO trial will provide sound evidence for the effect of enteral
52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59	151	DHA supplementation on the cognitive development of infants born <29 weeks'
	152	gestation.
	153	• Loss to follow-up five years after enrolment into the trial may contribute to risk of
60	154	bias.

1 2		
3 4	155	• Partial unblinding of study group allocation permitted under the primary protocol may
5 6 7	156	contribute to risk of bias
7 8 9	157	• Although bronchopulmonary dysplasia was the primary outcome of the original
10 11	158	N3RO trial, childhood respiratory functioning is not assessed in this follow-up
12 13 14	159	
15 16	160	Key words: intelligence quotient, cognition, preterm infant, docosahexaenoic acid,
17 18	161	randomised control trial
19 20 21	162	randomised control trial
22 23		
24 25		
26 27		
28 29		
30 31		
32 33		
34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40 41		
42 43		
44 45		
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52 53		
54 55		
56 57		
58 59		
60		

BMJ Open

2 3 4	163
5 6	164
7 8 9	165
10 11	166
12 13	167
14 15	168
16 17 18	169
19 20	170
21 22	171
23 24 25	172
26 27	173
28 29	174
30 31 32	175
32 33 34	176
35 36	177
37 38 39	178
40 41	179
42 43 44	180
44 45 46	181
47 48	182
49 50 51	183
51 52 53	184
54 55	185
56 57	186
58 59 60	187

163 INTRODUCTION

Medical and technological advances in the care of infants born preterm have increased their survival rates. However, there is a high risk of long-term health complications and neurological deficits with preterm birth[1-4], including higher risks of cognitive deficits[5 6] and behavioural problems[3 6-11] compared with term-born counterparts. The risk and severity of poor outcome increases as gestational age decreases.[4 8 12 13]

169 Nutrition is thought to be one modifiable influence on neurodevelopment in preterm 170 infants, in particular the omega-3 (n-3) long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid (LCPUFA), 171 docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). During the last trimester of pregnancy, the fetus is estimated to 172 acquire ~70 mg/day of n-3 LCPUFA, largely as DHA.[14] Infants born preterm are deprived 173 of the placental transfer of DHA and hence have lower neural tissue levels of DHA compared 174 with infants born at term.[15] It has been hypothesised that providing infants born preterm 175 with DHA may enhance normal neurodevelopment and the most recent recommendations are 176 that the preterm infant needs approximately 60 mg/kg/day DHA (about 1% of total dietary 177 fatty acids) to approximate the fetal accumulation rate.[16]

Several randomised controlled trials (RCT) have attempted to evaluate this hypothesis, with mixed results.[17 18] Two RCTs compared the standard dose of DHA in breastmilk and preterm infant formula (20 mg/kg/day) to the estimated in-utero accretion rate (60 mg/kg/day).[19 20] In one trial the DHA group showed greater problem solving skills at 6 months[20] and improved sustained attention at 20 months,[21] although attrition was high. In the larger trial, assessment at 18 months revealed no difference in overall mean cognitive scores but fewer infants had developmental delay in the DHA group.[19] No overall differences in intelligence quotient (IQ) were detected in follow-up of these trials at seven[22] or eight years of age.[23] Interestingly, both trials suggested a benefit of extra DHA in infants born at the earliest gestations (<29 weeks or <1250 g) who are most

vulnerable to experiencing neurodevelopmental deficit.[19 20] While this is promising, both
trials were significantly underpowered (with only 200 children in one trial[19] and under 70
in the other[20]) to detect an effect in this subgroup.

191 It is clear that current neonatal feeding practices are unable to replace the placental 192 transfer of DHA[16] and despite decades of research, we still do not know whether meeting 193 the estimated requirement of DHA during the neonatal period improves cognitive outcomes 194 in the most vulnerable sub-population of preterm infants.[17 19 20 22 23]

The N-3 Fatty Acids for Improvement in Respiratory Outcomes (N3RO) RCT was designed to determine the effect of an enteral DHA emulsion (providing 60 mg/kg/day) on the incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD).[24] The DHA intervention did not lower the incidence of BPD in infants born <29 weeks' gestation and may have resulted in a greater risk of BPD.[24] However, the N3RO trial offers an ideal opportunity to resolve whether DHA supplementation is beneficial for the cognitive development of these most vulnerable preterm infants.

The N3RO trial infants are now reaching five years of age. Cognition develops rapidly across early childhood[25] and by five years most cognitive domains can be reliably assessed using standardised psychometric tests.[26] IQ tests are considered a robust method of estimating an individual's overall cognitive ability. Executive function is an umbrella term referring to those skills essential for undertaking goal-oriented behaviours and includes inhibitory control which has been reported to be an area of concern for children born preterm.[6]

By assessing the cognition of the N3RO infants as they turn five years of age we can
 determine whether providing infants born <29 weeks' gestation with DHA emulsion
 improves cognitive development. We hypothesise that providing the estimated in-utero

BMJ Open

212	provisions of DHA to infants born <29 weeks' gestation will result in higher cognitive scores
213	at five years' corrected age compared with infants who received the control intervention.
214	
215	METHODS AND ANALYSIS
216	This protocol details the methods for a follow-up at five years of age of infants
217	enrolled in the N3RO trial. Detailed methods of the N3RO trial have been published
218	previously[24] and are summarised here.
219	The N3RO trial
220	1,273 infants born <29 weeks' gestation were enrolled into the N3RO trial within 3
221	days of their first enteral feed. Infants were recruited between June 2012 and September 2015
222	from 13 centres in Australia, New Zealand and Singapore.[24] Infants were excluded if they
223	had a major congenital or chromosomal abnormality, were participating in another fatty acid
224	intervention trial, were receiving intravenous lipids containing fish oil, or if a breast feeding
225	mother was taking greater than 250 mg/day DHA through supplements.[24] Infants were
226	randomised to the intervention or control group through a secure web-based computer-
227	generated schedule stratified for the 13 centres, sex and gestational age at birth <27 weeks' or
228	27 to <29 weeks' gestation. Infants from multiple births were randomised individually. A
229	statistician not otherwise involved in the N3RO trial generated the randomisation schedule.
230	The N3RO trial intervention
231	Infants were randomised to receive a DHA emulsion that provided 60 mg of DHA per
232	kg of body weight per day (intervention group, n=631), or a control emulsion without DHA
233	(control group, n=642).[24] Infants received the study intervention from enrolment to 36
234	weeks' postmenstrual age or discharge home, whichever occurred first. The emulsion was
	 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220 221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233

2	
З	
4	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
0	
3	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
∠ I 22	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 20	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
29 30	
31	
32	
 33 34 35 36 37 38 	
34	
25	
26	
50	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
42 43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
50	
57	
58	
59	
60	

1

239

administered three times per day, immediately before an enteral feed through a nasogastric or
orogastric tube for the duration of the intervention period. The DHA and control emulsions
were iso-caloric and identical in viscosity, colour, and packaging and families, clinical staff
and study personnel were blinded to group allocation.[24]

240 Five-year follow-up study procedure

This is a follow-up of a predefined sub-sample of the N3RO trial infants from five of 241 the Australian recruiting centres. No additional interventions will be administered. Eligible 242 N3RO infants will be invited to attend an appointment with a psychologist when they are 5-243 years' corrected age to measure child abilities on selected cognitive domains; age is corrected 244 245 for prematurity to avoid a known bias in cognitive test scores.[27] Appointments will take between 45 minutes to 1.5 hours, depending on the child's abilities and speed whilst working 246 through the IQ test tasks, and assessments will be conducted by personnel blinded to group 247 allocation. Assessments for this follow-up study commenced 29th August 2018 and are 248 expected to be completed on the 31st December 2020. 249 Families of eligible children will be emailed a letter of invitation two months before 250 their child reaches 5 years' corrected age, followed by a telephone call to answer any 251

questions and book appointments with families that wish to participate. Where necessary,

families will be offered appointments at the family's home or at a location close to their homesuch as a school or community centre.

- 255
 - 256 Participants and sample selection

BMJ Open

Children who participated in the N3RO Trial and were recruited from the five largest recruiting centres, John Hunter Hospital (New South Wales), King Edward Memorial Hospital (Western Australia), Mercy Hospital for Women (Victoria), Royal Women's Hospital (Victoria), and the Women's and Children's Hospital (South Australia) in Australia will be invited to participate in this follow-up study. Children will not be invited if they have previously been withdrawn from the N3RO trial or have died. Of the n=702 children enrolled between the five centres, n=655 will be eligible to be approached for the five-year follow-up once deaths (n=4) and withdrawals (n=43) are excluded. **Outcomes and Measures** Primary outcome The primary outcome is Full-Scale IQ, as assessed by the Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence - Fourth Edition, Australian and New Zealand (WPPSI-IV). The WPPSI-IV is a battery of subtests that provides an assessment of general cognitive ability for pre-schoolers and young children (2:6 to 7:7 years). The WPPSI-IV has strong internal consistency and test-retest stability and sound psychometric properties.[28] The average reliability coefficient for the Full-Scale IQ is 0.95.[28] Secondary outcomes

276 WPPSI-IV

Other outcomes from the WPPSI-IV will be included as secondary outcomes. These
 include Verbal Comprehension, Fluid Reasoning, Working Memory and the Processing
 Speed, General Ability and Cognitive Proficiency Primary Index Scales.

2 3 4	280	The WPPSI-IV has Australian/New Zealand norms that are age-standardised with a
5 6	281	mean of 100 and SD 15. Intellectual impairment will be defined as Full-Scale IQ <85 (i.e. <-1
7 8 9	282	SD), and moderate-severe intellectual impairment as Full-Scale IQ<70 (i.e. <-2 SD). Any
10 11	283	impairment on any of the WPPSI-IV Primary Index Scales will be defined as an Index Scale
12 13	284	score <85 (i.e. <-1 SD).
14 15 16 17	285	
18 19 20	286	Fruit Stroop
21 22	287	The Fruit Stroop was administered to assess two executive functions, inhibition and
23 24 25	288	mental flexibility.[29] The child is required to identify a the correct, natural colour of a series
25 26 27	289	of fruits and vegetables in four 45 s trials under a series of conditions that increase in
28 29	290	complexity. The outcome is an interference score calculated as the difference between the
30 31	291	number of correct responses on the final (inhibition) trial, and predicted scores on the first
32		
32 33 34	292	and third trials, where lower or negative values indicate more interference.
33 34 35 36 37	292 293	and third trials, where lower or negative values indicate more interference.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40		and third trials, where lower or negative values indicate more interference.
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 	293	ez -
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	293 294	Growth
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 	293 294 295	<i>Growth</i> Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 	293 294 295 296	<i>Growth</i> Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be measured at the appointment as measures of the nutritional well-being of the children.
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 	293 294 295 296 297	<i>Growth</i> Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be measured at the appointment as measures of the nutritional well-being of the children.
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 	293 294 295 296 297 298	<i>Growth</i> Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be measured at the appointment as measures of the nutritional well-being of the children. Measurements will be converted to Z (SD) scores appropriate for corrected age and sex.[30]
 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 	293 294 295 296 297 298 299	Growth Anthropometrics including child height, weight and head circumference will be measured at the appointment as measures of the nutritional well-being of the children. Measurements will be converted to Z (SD) scores appropriate for corrected age and sex.[30] Background information and characteristics

Page 15 of 27

1 2

BMJ Open

3 4	303
- 5 6	304
0 7	
8 9	305
10	200
11 12	306
13 14	307
15	507
16 17	308
18 19	200
20	309
21 22	310
23 24 25	311
25 26 27	312
28 29	313
30 31	314
32	
33 34	315
35 36	
37	316
38 39	317
40 41	211
42	318
43 44	319
45 46	
47	320
48 49	321
50 51	322
52	522
53 54	323
55 56	
56 57	324
58 59	325
60	

outcome clinical characteristics up to 40 weeks' postmenstrual age or first discharge home, 303 whichever occurred first, including for e.g., gestational age, birth weight, sex, and instances 304 of intraventricular haemorrhage. 305

Sample size calculation

A sample size of 296 children per group (total 592) will provide 90% power (two-308 tailed alpha 0.05) to detect a 4-point (0.27 standard deviation) mean difference in the primary 309 310 outcome of Full-Scale IQ between groups. The power calculation assumes a design effect due to the inclusion of multiple births of one, since children from a multiple birth were 311 randomized individually in N3RO.[31] Should enrolment be lower than planned, the study 312 313 will have 80% power to detect a 4-point difference between groups provided at least 222 children per group (total 444) provide follow-up data. 314

Lieu

Data management and analysis plan 316

317 All participants were assigned a study identification number at enrolment into the N3RO trial. Throughout the follow-up and analyses, the identification number will be used to 318 identify data. Data will be entered into a REDCap database, which uses a MySQL database 319 320 via a secure web interface with data checks used during data entry to ensure data quality. REDCap includes a complete suite of features to support the Health Insurance Portability and 321 Accountability Act of 1996 compliance, including a full audit trail, user-based privileges, and 322 integration with the institutional LDAP server. 323

All analyses will be conducted according to a pre-specified statistical analysis plan. 324 Analyses will not commence until the N3RO trial Steering Committee has approved the 325

statistical analysis plan. Intervention groups will be dummy coded to allow analyses to beperformed blinded to treatment group.

Outcomes of intervention and control group children will be compared using generalised linear models, with generalised estimated equations used to account for clustering due to multiple births within the same family. Continuous and binary outcomes will be analysed using linear and log binomial models, respectively, with adjustment for variables used to stratify the randomisation: sex, centre enrolled, and gestational age (<27 completed weeks' or 27 to <29 weeks' at birth). Pre-planned subgroup analyses will examine the effects of DHA separately for girls or boys (all outcomes), and for infants born at <27 weeks' gestation or 27 to <29 weeks' gestation (primary outcome only). No adjustment will be made for multiple pre-planned comparisons, as the single overall comparison of Full-Scale IQ between groups is of primary interest.

Missing outcome data will be addressed using multiple imputation, with imputation performed separately by treatment group using fully conditional specification.[32] Imputed datasets will include all surviving children from the five included centres. Children who are missing scores on psychological assessments because they were unable to complete the assessment for cognitive or physical reasons (such as blindness or cerebral palsy) will be reviewed by a psychologist to determine whether assigning the lowest possible score is appropriate.

346 Ethics and dissemination

347 This follow-up study will be carried out in accordance with the Australian National
348 Statement on Ethical Conduct in Research Involving Humans, which builds upon the ethical
349 codes of the Declaration of Helsinki and the Principles of International Conference on

Page 17 of 27

BMJ Open

2 3 4	350	Harmonisation Good Clinical Practice (as adopted in Australia). All procedures and study
5 6	351	materials have been reviewed and approved by the Women's and Children's Health Network
7 8 9	352	Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC/17/WCHN/187), as well as the Research
10 11	353	Governance officers at each site. The N3RO Trial and this follow-up are registered on the
12 13	354	Australia and New Zealand Clinical Trial Registry (ANZCTR: ACTRN12612000503820).
14 15 16	355	Caregivers will be provided with a detailed information sheet about the study and will
17 18	356	provide informed consent for their child's involvement in the study. Caregivers will be free to
19 20 21	357	re-negotiate consent for each procedure in the follow-up study and are able to decline any
22 23	358	part of the follow-up. Caregivers will be free to withdraw their children from the study at any
24 25 26	359	time.
20 27 28	360	The results of this follow-up study will be presented at academic conferences and
29 30	361	published in peer-reviewed journals. Participating families will receive a lay-report of the
31 32 33	362	study findings. No participants will be identified in the dissemination of study results and
34 35	363	data collected will be treated with confidence.
36 37 38	364	
39 40	365	Access to Data
41 42	366	Individual participant data, including data dictionaries, may be shared after de-
43 44 45	367	identification upon reasonable request. Proposals to access the data must be scientifically and
46 47	368	methodologically sound and must be reviewed and approved by the N3RO trial Steering
48 49	369	Committee and the Women's and Children's Human Research Ethics Committee. To gain
50 51 52	370	access, data requestors will need to sign a data access agreement. Proposals should be
53 54	371	directed to Jacqueline Gould through email (Jacqueline.gould@sahmri.com).
55 56	372	
57 58 59	373	Patient and public involvement
60		

Neither patients nor the public were directly involved in the development of the research question or design of this follow-up study. However, our primary outcome of IQ is based on reported concerns over long-term developmental concerns from parents of preterm infants.[33]

A Community Board, comprising parents (including parents of a child born preterm) as well as clinicians and researchers specialising in paediatrics will be consulted for the dissemination of the study findings to participants, including reviewing the study results and format of dissemination.

DISCUSSION

This protocol details a follow-up of a RCT of a DHA enteral emulsion (60 mg/kg/day) compared with a control emulsion (no DHA), for preterm infants born <29 weeks' gestation in the first months of life, to evaluate the effect on child cognitive ability at 5 years of age. Unlike previous DHA RCTs in preterm populations, [17 18] our follow-up has the benefits of a population likely to be insufficient in DHA,[34] and a robust method of intervention.[24]

We previously conducted a follow-up of a small sub-group of the N3RO trial infants when they were aged 18 months' corrected age. Children underwent an experimental assessment of visual attention (considered to be a basic, early emergence of higher order cognitive skills known as the executive functions).[35] Where available. Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development-3rd edition Cognition, Motor and Language assessment results were collected from hospital records.[35] No statistically significant differences were found for attention, cognition, motor or language abilities.[36] However, assessments of cognition during infancy are considered poor predictors of later performance,[37-41] and the sample was small and under-powered to detect a clinically important effect on cognition.[35]

Page 19 of 27

1 2 3

BMJ Open

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	

60

Our sample size calculation for the primary outcome requires a 90% follow-up rate of the N3RO trial children, five years after enrolment. More than 10% loss to follow-up may introduce attrition bias. After completion of the N3RO trial primary outcome analyses, families had the opportunity to request knowledge of their group allocation. Although few families requested this, knowledge of their randomisation group prior to the five-year followup assessment may introduce additional bias to the results.

For this follow-up we have carefully selected a robust assessment of general cognitive 404 abilities, including executive functioning (both of which domains are likely to be adversely 405 affected by very preterm birth)[42-44] to be administered at an age when cognitive domains 406 can be reliably assessed [26 45], as well as ensuring a large, adequately powered sample. As 407 per the recommendations of a consortium of parents and clinicians caring for high-risk 408 preterm infants, we are assessing general cognitive ability using a Wechsler scale, which is 409 considered the gold standard, and have included an assessment of growth.[46] Assessments 410 of respiratory functioning are unreliable in early childhood and hence were not included in 411 this follow-up. It is important that the long-term respiratory effects of DHA supplementation 412 in infants born <29 weeks' gestation is addressed when the N3RO trial children reach an 413 appropriate age. 414

This project has global significance, with over one million infants born <29 weeks' gestation each year, and the number rising.[47] The potential benefit of DHA on cognitive performance has never been adequately demonstrated in this population. However, because of the N3RO primary results it is extremely unlikely that such a trial will be repeated. The N3RO cohort may represent the only children in which the longer-term cognitive and behavioural effects of DHA supplementation in these infants can be assessed.

2	
3	421
4	
5	422
6	422
7	
8	423
9	
10	424
11	
12	425
13	425
14	
15	426
16	
17	427
18	
19	120
20	428
21	
22	429
23	
24	430
25	
26	121
27	431
28	
29	432
30	
31	433
32	
33	121
34	434
35	
36	435
37	
38	436
39	
40	407
40 41	437
42 42	438
43 44	
44 45	439
	100
46 47	110
47	440
48	
49 50	441
50	
51	442
52	
53	110
54	443
55	
56	444
57	
58	
59	
60	

Acknowledgements 21

We would like to thank the families who generously participated in the N3RO trial and who 22

will participate in the follow-up study, and the N3RO Steering Committee, Investigative 23

Team and research staff. 24

1

Funding 26

27 Financial support for the submitted work was from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Australia (ID: 1022112 - N3RO trial, 1146806 - 5-year follow-up) and 28 29 Clover Corporation Limited (Melbourne, Australia).

Competing Interests 31

Study product for the original trial was donated by Clover Corporation Limited (Melbourne, 32 Australia). MM and RAG report holding a patent relating to methods and compositions for 33 promoting the neurological development for preterm infants (2009201540), owned by the 34 South Australian Health and Medical Research Institute and licensed to Clover Corporation 35 Limited. 36

MM is supported by an Australian NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship ID: 1061704 and 38 CC is supported by a NHMRC Translating Research into Practice (TRIP) Fellowship ID 39 40 1132596. TS is supported by a NHMRC Emerging Leadership Investigator Grant ID:

1173576. KPB is supported by a Women's and Children's Hospital MS McLeod Postdoctoral 41

Fellowship. PGD is supported by an Australian NHMRC Practitioner Fellowship ID: 42

1157782. JLYC is supported by a MRFF Career Development Fellowship ID: 1141354. 43

1 2			
3 4	445	Honoraria have been	paid to Dr Gould's institution to support conference travel by Fonterra.
5 6	446	Drs Makrides and Git	oson report serving on the board for Trajan Nutrition. No other authors
7 8 9	447	reported any financial	disclosures. The contents of the published material are solely the
9 10 11	448	responsibility of the a	uthors and do not reflect the views of the NHMRC.
12 13	449		
14 15 16	450	List of Abbreviation	s
10 17 18	451	BPD	Bronchopulmonary dysplasia
19 20	452	DHA	Docosahexaenoic acid
21 22	453	IQ	Intelligence Quotient
23 24 25	454	n-3	Omega-3
26 27	455	N3RO	N-3 (omega-3) Fatty Acids for Improvement in Respiratory Outcomes
28 29	456	RCT	Randomised controlled trial
30 31 32	457	WPPSI-IV	Wechsler Preschool and Primary Scale of Intelligence - Fourth Edition
33 34	458		
35 36	459	Authors Contributio	ons
37 38 39	460	Study concept and des	sign: Collins, Gould, Makrides, McPhee, Anderson, Gibson, Sullivan.
40 41	461	Drafting the protocol.	Gould, Collins, Sullivan.
42 43	462	Comment and approv	al of the final draft of the protocol: Gould, Collins, Makrides, Sullivan,
44 45	463	Anderson, Gibson, M	cPhee, Best, Davis, Doyle, Opie, Travadi, Cheong, Sharp, Simmer.
46 47 48	464	Statistical expertise: S	Sullivan.
49 50	465	Obtained funding: Co	llins, Gould, Makrides, McPhee, Gibson, Sullivan, Best.
51 52	466	Administrative, techni	ical, or material support: Gould, Collins, Makrides, Gibson, Sullivan,
53 54 55	467	McPhee, Anderson, B	Best, Davis, Doyle, Opie, Travadi, Cheong, Sharp, Simmer.
56 57 58 59 60	468		

REFERENCE LIST

6	470	1. Aylward GP. Cognitive and neuropsychological outcomes: more than IQ scores. Ment
7	471	Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev 2002;8(4):234-40 doi: 10.1002/mrdd.10043.
8	472	2. Wilson-Costello D, Friedman H, Minich N, et al. Improved neurodevelopmental outcomes
9	473	for extremely low birth weight infants in 2000-2002. Pediatr 2007; 119 (1):37-45 doi:
10 11	474	10.1542/peds.2006-1416.
12	475	3. Allotey J, Zamora J, Cheong-See F, et al. Cognitive, motor, behavioural and academic
13	475	performances of children born preterm: a meta-analysis and systematic review
14		1 1 5 5
15	477	involving 64 061 children. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 2018; 125 (1):16-25 doi:
16	478	10.1111/1471-0528.14832.
17	479	4. Bourke J, Wong K, Srinivasjois R, et al. Predicting Long-Term Survival Without Major
18	480	Disability for Infants Born Preterm. J Pediatr 2019;215:90-97.e1 doi:
19	481	10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.07.056.
20	482	5. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Smidts DP, Oosterlaan J, et al. Executive function in very preterm
21	483	children at early school age. J Abnorm Child Psychol 2009;37(7):981-93 doi:
22 23	484	10.1007/s10802-009-9327-z.
23 24	485	6. Aarnoudse-Moens CS, Weisglas-Kuperus N, van Goudoever JB, et al. Meta-analysis of
25	486	neurobehavioral outcomes in very preterm and/or very low birth weight children.
26	487	Pediatr 2009;124(2):717-28 doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-2816.
27	488	7. Johnson S. Cognitive and behavioural outcomes following very preterm birth. Semin Fetal
28	489	Neonatal Med 2007; 12 (5):363-73 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2007.05.004.
29	490	8. Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, et al. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-
30	491	aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. J Am Med Assoc
31	492	2002; 288 (6):728-37
32	493	9. Lindstrom K, Lindblad F, Hjern A. Preterm birth and attention-deficit/hyperactivity
33 34	494	disorder in schoolchildren. Pediatr 2011; 127 (5):858-65 doi: 10.1542/peds.2010-1279.
35	495	10. Arpi E, Ferrari F. Preterm birth and behaviour problems in infants and preschool-age
36	496	children: a review of the recent literature. Dev Med Child Neurol 2013;55(9):788-96
37	497	doi: 10.1111/dmcn.12142.
38		
39	498	11. Spittle AJ, Treyvaud K, Doyle LW, et al. Early emergence of behavior and social-
40	499	emotional problems in very preterm infants. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry
41	500	2009; 48 (9):909-18 doi: 10.1097/CHI.0b013e3181af8235.
42	501	12. Aylward GP. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of infants born prematurely. J Dev Behav
43	502	Pediatr 2005; 26 (6):427-40
44 45	503	13. Bolisetty S, Tiwari M, Sutton L, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of extremely
46	504	preterm infants in New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory. J Paediatr
47	505	Child Health 2018 doi: 10.1111/jpc.14323.
48	506	14. Clandinin MT, Chappell JE, Heim T, et al. Fatty acid utilization in perinatal de novo
49	507	synthesis of tissues. Early Hum Dev 1981;5:355-66.
50	508	15. Martinez M. Tissue levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids during early human
51	509	development. J Pediatr 1992;120(4 Pt 2):S129-38.
52	510	16. Lapillonne A, Groh-Wargo S, Gonzalez CH, et al. Lipid needs of preterm infants:
53	511	updated recommendations. J Pediatr 2013;162(3 Suppl):S37-47 doi:
54 57	512	10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.11.052.
55 56	513	17. Moon K, Rao SC, Schulzke SM, et al. Longchain polyunsaturated fatty acid
50 57	514	supplementation in preterm infants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;12:Cd000375
58	515	doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000375.pub5.
59	010	usi. 1911002/17001000.02000070.pubb.
60		

2		
3	516	18. Smithers LG, Gibson RA, McPhee A, et al. Effect of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty
4 5	517	acid supplementation of preterm infants on disease risk and neurodevelopment: a
6	518	systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87(4):912-20
7	519	doi: 87/4/912 [pii].
8	520	19. Makrides M, Gibson RA, McPhee AJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm
9	521	infants fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid: a randomized controlled trial. J Am Med
10	522	Assoc 2009;301(2):175-82 doi: 301/2/175 [pii] 10.1001/jama.2008.945.
11	523	20. Henriksen C, Haugholt K, Lindgren M, et al. Improved cognitive development among
12	524	preterm infants attributable to early supplementation of human milk with
13 14	525	docosahexaenoic acid and arachidonic acid. Pediatr 2008; 121 (6):1137-45
14	526	21. Westerberg AC, Schei R, Henriksen C, et al. Attention among very low birth weight
16	527	infants following early supplementation with docosahexaenoic and arachidonic acid.
17	528	Acta Paediatr 2011;100(1):47-52 doi: APA1946 [pii] 10.1111/j.1651-
18	529	2227.2010.01946.x.
19	530	22. Collins CT, Gibson RA, Anderson PJ, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes at 7 years'
20	531	corrected age in preterm infants who were fed high-dose docosahexaenoic acid to
21	532	term equivalent: a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Br Med J-Open
22 23	533	2015; 5 (3):e007314 doi: doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2014-007314.
25 24	534	23. Almaas AN, Tamnes CK, Nakstad B, et al. Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and
25	535 535	cognition in VLBW infants at 8 years: an RCT. Pediatr 2015; 135 (6):972-80 doi:
26	536	10.1542/peds.2014-4094.
27	530 537	24. Collins CT, Makrides M, McPhee AJ, et al. Docosahexaenoic Acid and
28		
29	538	Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia in Preterm Infants. N Engl J Med 2017; 376 (13):1245-
30	539	55 doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1611942.
31 22	540	25. Anderson V, Northam E, Hendy J, et al. <i>Developmental Neuropsychology – A clinical</i>
32 33	541	approach. East Sussex: Psychology Press, 2001.
34	542	26. Baron IS. <i>Neuropsychological Evaluation of the Child</i> . New York: Oxford University
35	543	Press, 2004.
36	544	27. Wilson-Ching M, Pascoe L, Doyle LW, et al. Effects of correcting for prematurity on
37	545	cognitive test scores in childhood. J Paediatr Child Health 2014; 50 (3):182-8 doi:
38	546	10.1111/jpc.12475.
39	547	28. Wechsler D, Brown F, Joshua N. Wechsler preschool and primary scale of intelligence;
40 41	548	Fourth edition, Australia and New Zealand Sydney, New South Whales PsychCorp,
41	549	2012.
43	550	29. Archibald S, Kerns K. Identification and description of new tests of executive functioning
44	551	in children. Child Neuropsych 1999; 115-129 (5):115-29
45	552	30. de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, et al. Development of a WHO growth reference for
46	553	school-aged children and adolescents. Bull World Health Organ 2007;85(9):660-7
47	554	doi: 10.2471/blt.07.043497.
48	555	31. Yelland LN, Sullivan TR, Price DJ, et al. Sample size calculations for randomised trials
49 50	556	including both independent and paired data. Stat Med 2017;36(8):1227-39 doi:
50 51	557	10.1002/sim.7201.
52	558	32. Sullivan TR, White IR, Salter AB, et al. Should multiple imputation be the method of
53	559	choice for handling missing data in randomized trials? Stat Methods Med Res
54	560	2018; 27 (9):2610-26 doi: 10.1177/0962280216683570.
55	561	33. Kyno NM, Ravn IH, Lindemann R, et al. Parents of preterm-born children; sources of
56	562	stress and worry and experiences with an early intervention programme - a qualitative
57 59	563	study. BMC Nurs 2013;12(1):28 doi: 10.1186/1472-6955-12-28.
58 59		
60		

1 2			
3	564	34	Lapillonne A, Eleni dit Trolli S, Kermorvant-Duchemin E. Postnatal docosahexaenoic
4	565	54.	acid deficiency is an inevitable consequence of current recommendations and practice
5	566		in preterm infants. Neonatology 2010; 98 (4):397-403 doi: 10.1159/000320159.
6	567	35	Gould JF, Colombo J, Collins CT, et al. Assessing whether early attention of very preterm
7 8	568	55.	infants can be improved by an omega-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid
o 9	569		intervention: a follow-up of a randomised controlled trial. Br Med J-Open
10	570		2018; 8 (5):e020043 doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020043.
11	570 571	26	Hewawasam E, Collins CT, Muhlhausler BS, et al. Docosahexaenoic acid
12	571	50.	supplementation in infants born preterm and the effect on attention at 18 months'
13			corrected age: follow-up of a subset of the N3RO randomised controlled trial. Br J
14	573		
15	574	27	Nutr 2020; in press: 1-26 doi: 10.1017/s0007114520002500.
16 17	575	57.	Doyle LW, Davis PG, Schmidt B, et al. Cognitive outcome at 24 months is more
18	576		predictive than at 18 months for IQ at 8-9 years in extremely low birth weight
19	577	20	children. Early Hum Dev 2012; 88 (2):95-8 doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2011.07.013.
20	578	38.	Anderson V. Prediction of cognitive abilities at the age of 5 years using developmental
21	579		follow-up assessments at the age of 2 and 3 years in very preterm children. Dev Med
22	580	20	Child Neurol 2012; 54 (3):202-3 doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.04212.x.
23	581	39.	Luttikhuizen dos Santos ES, de Kieviet JF, Konigs M, et al. Predictive value of the
24 25	582		Bayley scales of infant development on development of very preterm/very low birth
25 26	583		weight children: a meta-analysis. Early Hum Dev 2013; 89 (7):487-96 doi:
20	584		10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2013.03.008.
28	585	40.	Spencer-Smith MM, Spittle AJ, Lee KJ, et al. Bayley-III Cognitive and Language Scales
29	586		in Preterm Children. Pediatr 2015; 135 (5):e1258-65 doi: 10.1542/peds.2014-3039.
30	587	41.	García-Martínez MP, Sánchez-Caravaca J, Montealegre-Ramón MP, et al. Predictive
31	588		value of the Bayley Scales applied to a group of preterm infants, on their results on
32	589		the Wechsler Scales at 10 years of age. Annals of Psychology 2019;35(1):95-105
33 34	590	42.	Anderson P. Neuropsychological outcomes of children born very preterm. Semin Fetal
35	591		Neonatal Med 2014; 19 :90-96
36	592	43.	Kerr-Wilson CO, Mackay DF, Smith GC, et al. Meta-analysis of the association between
37	593		preterm delivery and intelligence. J Pub Health (Oxford, England) 2012;34(2):209-16
38	594		doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdr024.
39	595	44.	Blencowe H, Lee AC, Cousens S, et al. Preterm birth-associated neurodevelopmental
40	596		impairment estimates at regional and global levels for 2010. Pediatr Res 2013;74
41	597		Suppl 1 :17-34 doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.204.
42 42	598	45.	Gathercole SE, Pickering SJ, Ambridge B, et al. The structure of working memory from 4
43 44	599		to 15 years of age. Dev Psych 2004;40(2):177-90 doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.40.2.177
45	600		[doi]2004-11032-005 [pii].
46	601	46.	Doyle LW, Anderson PJ, Battin M, et al. Long term follow up of high risk children: who,
47	602		why and how? BMC Pediatr 2014;14:279 doi: 10.1186/1471-2431-14-279.
48	603	47.	Blencowe H, Vos T, Lee AC, et al. Estimates of neonatal morbidities and disabilities at
49	604		regional and global levels for 2010: introduction, methods overview, and relevant
50	605		findings from the Global Burden of Disease study. Pediatr Res 2013;74 Suppl 1:4-16
51 52	606		doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.203.
52 53	607		1
55 54			
55			

BMJ Open



SPIRIT 2013 Checklist: Recommended items to address in a clinical trial protocol and related documents*

Section/item	ltem No	Description	Addressed or page number
Administrative inf	ormation		
Title	1	Descriptive title identifying the study design, population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial acronym	1
Trial registration	2a	Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet registered, name of intended registry	5
	2b	All items from the World Health Organization Trial Registration Data Set	1-21
Protocol version	3	Date and version identifier	NA
unding	4	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support	17-18
Roles and	5a	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol contributors	1, 18
responsibilities	5b	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	NA
	5c	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study design; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the decision to submit the report for publication, including whether they will have ultimate authority over any of these activities	NA
	5d	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint adjudication committee, data management team, and other individuals or groups overseeing the trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	17
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2	Introduction				
3 4 5	Background and rationale	6a	Description of research question and justification for undertaking the trial, including summary of relevant	7-8	
6 7		6b	Explanation for choice of comparators	7-8	
8 9	Objectives	7	Specific objectives or hypotheses	8-9	
10 11 12 13	Trial design	8	Description of trial design including type of trial (eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg, superiority, equivalence, noninferiority, exploratory)	9-14	
14 15	Methods: Participa	nts, inte	erventions, and outcomes		
16 17 18	Study setting	9	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic, academic hospital) and list of countries where data will _ be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained	10	
19 20 21	Eligibility criteria	10	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and	9-10	
22 23 24	Interventions	11a	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to allow replication, including how and when they will be administered	9	
25 26 27 28		11b	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug dose _ change in response to harms, participant request, or improving/worsening disease)	NA	
29 30 31		11c	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention protocols, and any procedures for monitoring adherence	NA	
32 33		11d	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that are permitted or prohibited during the trial	NA	
34 35 36 37 38 39	Outcomes	12	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from baseline, final value, time to event), method of aggregation (eg, _ median, proportion), and time point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended	_11-13	
40 41 42	Participant timeline	13	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts), assessments, and visits for _ participants. A schematic diagram is highly recommended (see Figure)	_9	
43 44 45			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml		2

Page	27 of 27		BMJ Open	
1 2	Sample size	14	Estimated number of participants needed to achieve study objectives and how it was determined, including _ clinical and statistical assumptions supporting any sample size calculations	12
3 4 5	Recruitment	15	Strategies for achieving adequate participant enrolment to reach target sample size	10-11
6 7 8 9	Methods: Assignm	ent of i	nterventions (for controlled trials)	
	Allocation:			
10 11 12 13 14 15	Sequence generation	16a	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg, computer-generated random numbers), and list of any factors for stratification. To reduce predictability of a random sequence, details of any planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be provided in a separate document that is unavailable to those who enrol participants or assign interventions	9-10
16 17 18 19	Allocation concealment mechanism	16b	Mechanism of implementing the allocation sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially numbered,	9-10
20 21 22	Implementation	16c	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who will enrol participants, and who will assign participants to	NA
23 24 25 26	Blinding (masking)	17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers, outcome assessors, data analysts), and how	9-10
26 27 28 29		17b	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is permissible, and procedure for revealing a participant's _ allocated intervention during the trial	NA
30 31	Methods: Data coll	ection.	management, and analysis	
32 33 34 35 36 37	Data collection methods	18a	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome, baseline, and other trial data, including any related processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate measurements, training of assessors) and a description of study instruments (eg, questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their reliability and validity, if known. Reference to where data collection forms can be found, if not in the protocol	9-14
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45		18b	Plans to promote participant retention and complete follow-up, including list of any outcome data to be collected for participants who discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols	10
			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2 3 4	Data management	19	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage, including any related processes to promote data quality _ (eg, double data entry; range checks for data values). Reference to where details of data management procedures can be found, if not in the protocol	13-15		
5 6 7 8 9	Statistical methods	20a	Statistical methods for analysing primary and secondary outcomes. Reference to where other details of the _ statistical analysis plan can be found, if not in the protocol	13-14		
		20b	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup and adjusted analyses)	13-14		
10 11 12 13		20c	Definition of analysis population relating to protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised analysis), and any statistical methods to handle missing data (eg, multiple imputation)	14		
14 15	Methods: Monitoring					
16 17 18 19 20	Data monitoring	21a	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC); summary of its role and reporting structure; statement of _ whether it is independent from the sponsor and competing interests; and reference to where further details about its charter can be found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an explanation of why a DMC is not needed	NA		
21 22 23 24		21b	Description of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines, including who will have access to these interim _ results and make the final decision to terminate the trial	NA		
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Harms	22	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and managing solicited and spontaneously reported adverse events and other unintended effects of trial interventions or trial conduct	NA		
	Auditing	23	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial conduct, if any, and whether the process will be independent from investigators and the sponsor	NA		
	Ethics and dissemination					
	Research ethics approval	24	Plans for seeking research ethics committee/institutional review board (REC/IRB) approval	14-15		
	Protocol amendments	25	Plans for communicating important protocol modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria, outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg, investigators, REC/IRBs, trial participants, trial registries, journals, regulators)	NA		
			For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	4		

Page 29 of 27

46

BMJ Open

Consent or assent	26a	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from potential trial participants or authorised surrogates, and how (see Item 32)	9-10, 14-15		
	26b	Additional consent provisions for collection and use of participant data and biological specimens in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA		
Confidentiality	27	How personal information about potential and enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and maintained in order to protect confidentiality before, during, and after the trial	13, 15		
Declaration of interests	28	Financial and other competing interests for principal investigators for the overall trial and each study site	18		
Access to data	29	Statement of who will have access to the final trial dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements that limit such access for investigators	15		
Ancillary and post- trial care	30	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care, and for compensation to those who suffer harm from trial participation	NA		
Dissemination policy	31a	Plans for investigators and sponsor to communicate trial results to participants, healthcare professionals, the public, and other relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in results databases, or other data sharing arrangements), including any publication restrictions	15-16		
	31b	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended use of professional writers	NA		
	31c	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical code	NA		
Appendices					
Informed consent materials	32	Model consent form and other related documentation given to participants and authorised surrogates	Available upon request		
Biological specimens	33	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and storage of biological specimens for genetic or molecular analysis in the current trial and for future use in ancillary studies, if applicable	NA		
*It is strongly recommended that this checklist be read in conjunction with the SPIRIT 2013 Explanation & Elaboration for important clarification on the items. Amendments to the protocol should be tracked and dated. The SPIRIT checklist is copyrighted by the SPIRIT Group under the Creative Commons " <u>Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Unported</u> " license.					
		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml			