

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (http://bmjopen.bmj.com).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email info.bmjopen@bmj.com

BMJ Open

Mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy with adolescents: a systematic review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-042411
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	06-Jul-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Taubner, Svenja; Ruprecht Karls Universitat Heidelberg, Saliba, Andrea; University of Malta, Mental Health Services Malta Heinonen, Erkki; University of Oslo, Department of Psychology Protić, Sonja; Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research Volkert, Jana; University of Heidelberg, Adler, Asta; Vilnius University, Department of Clinical and Organizational Psychology Barkauskiene, Rasa; Vilnius University, Department of Clinical and Organizational Psychology Conejo Cerón, Sonia; Prevention and Health Promotion Research Network (redIAPP), ISCIII,,; Research Unit of the Health District of Primary Care Málaga-Guadalhorce, Di Giacomo, Dina; Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, Department of Life, Health and Enviromental Sciences Ioannou, Yianna; University of Nicosia, Department of Social Sciences Mestre, Jose; University of Cadiz, Department of Psychology Moreno-Peral, Patricia; Institute of Biomedical Research in Malaga (IBIMA), Research Unit of the Health District of Primary Care Málaga- Guadalhorce; Primary Care Prevention and Health Promotion Network (redIAPP), Mucha Vieira, Filipa; University of Porto, Faculty of Psychology and Education Sciences Pinheiro Mota, Catarina; University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro Chaves Hub, Department of Psychology; University of Porto, Department of Psychology Raleva, Marija; Institute for Marriage, Family and Systemic Practice – ALTERNATIVA Rangel Santos Henriques, Margarida; University of Porto, Department of Psychology Røssberg, Jan; University of Oslo, Institute of Clinical Medicine Schmidt, Stefanie; Universität Bern, Stepišnik Perdih, Tjaša; School of Advanced Social Studies, Nova Gorica Ulberg, Randi; University of Oslo Institute for Clinical Medicine; Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Department of Psychology and Education Sciences
Keywords:	Child & adolescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, MENTAL HEALTH, Clinical trials < THERAPEUTICS

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy with adolescents: a systematic review protocol

Svenja Taubner, Institute for Psychosocial Prevention, University Heidelberg, Germany

Andrea Saliba, University of Malta and Mental Health Services Malta, Malta

Erkki Heinonen, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland

Sonja Protić, Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research Belgrade, Serbia

Jana Volkert, Institute for Psychosocial Prevention, University Heidelberg, Germany

Asta Adler, Institute of Psychology, Vilnius University, Lithuania

Rasa Barkauskiene, Institute of Psychology, Vilnius University, Lithuania

Sonia Conejo-Cerón, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Malaga, Spain

Dina Di Giacomo, Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Italia

Yianna Ioannou, University of Nicosia, Cyprus

Jose M. Mestre, Universidad de Cadiz, Spain

Patricia Moreno-Peral, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Malaga, Spain

Filipa Mucha Vieira, Faculty of Psychology and Education Science, University of Porto, Portugal

Catarina Pinheiro Mota, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro and University of Porto,

Portugal

Marija Raleva, Departement of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University Clinic Skopje,

Macedonia

Margarida Isabel Rangel Santos Henriques, Faculty of Psychology and Education Science,

University of Porto, Portugal

Jan Ivar Røssberg, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway

Stefanie J. Schmidt, Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Bern,

Switzerland

Tjasa Stepisnik Perdih, School of Advanced Social Studies, Slovenia

Randi Ulberg, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo and Department of Psychiatry, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Célia M.D. Sales, Faculty of Psychology and Education Science, University of Porto, Portugal

Corresponding Author: Prof. Dr. Svenja Taubner, Ruprecht-Karls-University Heidelberg, Institute for Psychosocial Prevention, Bergheimer Str. 52, 69115 Heidelberg, Germany, svenja.taubner@med.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

Introduction

Approximately, 75% of mental disorders emerge before the age of 25 years but less than half receive appropriate treatment. Little is known about the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic change of adolescents in psychotherapy. The "European Network of Individualized Psychotherapy Treatment of Young People with Mental Disorders" (TREATme), funded by the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST), will conduct the first systematic review to summarize the existing knowledge on mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy for adolescents.

Method

A systematic review will be conducted, conforming to the reporting guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement recommendations. Electronic databases (PubMed, PsycINFO) will be systematically searched for prospective, longitudinal, and case—control designs which examine mediators of change in psychotherapy for adolescents.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval is not required for this systematic review as no primary data will be collected. The results will be published in a peer-reviewed journals and at conference presentations and will be shared with stakeholder groups. The whole data set will be offered to other research groups following recommendations of the open science initiative. Databases with the systematic search will be made openly available following open science initiatives.



Strengths and Limitations

- Review aims at identifying underlying mechanisms of change in the process of psychotherapy for adolescents
- Reviewers are from a European multidisciplinary researcher network with researchers and clinicians from 30 countries
- First comprehensive overview of mediators in psychotherapy with adolescents
- Broad inclusion criteria increase external validity but limits the possibility of causal conclusions Including non-Randomised-Controlled-Trials studies, it is likely not feasible to estimate aggregated effect sizes for the identified mediators.

Introduction

Adolescents and young adults are a particularly important and vulnerable group with distinct mental health needs. According to Kessler and colleagues, 50% of lifetime diagnosable mental health disorders start by the age of 14, and this number increases to 75% by the age of 25.[1, 2] Ignoring young people's mental health needs can result in long-lasting adverse developmental outcomes for the individual and the society. These include psychiatric disorders in adulthood, impaired social functioning, difficulties with employment, as well as poorer general health outcomes.[3]

Psychotherapy is effective, efficient, and cost-effective, providing long-term impacts which are not possible with psychopharmacological treatment alone.[4, 5, 6] There is strong evidence on the general effectiveness of psychotherapy for treating mental disorders in adolescents,[7, 8] and a wealth of psychotherapy treatment modalities are currently being used and researched, with more than 550 different psychotherapy models that can be applied for the young.[9] Treatment response is explained by different mechanisms of change and accounted for by a variety of mediators (e.g. self-reflection, learning compensatory skills, changing automatic thoughts, etc.) associated with each different treatment modality. While various psychotherapy modalities (e.g. Psychodynamic Psychotherapy (PDT); Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT)) have shown equally good treatment outcomes overall, adherence to a specific treatment modality has not shown to significantly impact the outcome in child and adolescent psychotherapy.[10] However, to understand therapeutic change and enhance outcomes, it is necessary to identify treatment processes or characteristics within the therapist, the adolescent, parent or family that facilitate successful therapeutic change and isolate those that are redundant and can be dismissed.[9]

Thus, research on underlying specific mechanisms of change across treatment modalities and settings is essential to optimizing treatment.

An important first step towards examination of mechanisms of change in psychotherapy is the identification of mediators. While moderators (e.g. gender, age) serve to clarify what kind of treatment is adequate for a specific person under certain circumstances, mechanisms of change define causal relationships between therapeutic change and psychological interventions. A mechanism of change explains how an intervention translates into a process that leads to an outcome, e.g. change in symptoms.[11] Thus, a mechanism is an explanatory concept that can be investigated by researching mediators, i.e., variables that explain changes statistically. Kazdin has formulated clear criteria on how to assess mediators of psychological treatments:[12]

- Conduct sufficiently powered randomized clinical trials
- Use valid and reliable measures for mediators that are sensitive to change
- Apply a process design in which changes of the mediator temporally precede changes in therapeutic outcome and the mediator variable is measured repeatedly
- Compare mediators that are theory-driven with non-specific mediators
- Apply different dosages to prove that a stronger mediator-change leads to more therapeutic changes

However, even after 13 years of Kazdin's suggestions of how to assess mechanisms of change in psychotherapy research,[12] there is still an alarming lack of studies, inconclusive results (e.g. the treatment of depression in adults)[13] and very little research on change mechanisms for the treatment of adolescents.[14, 15] Cuijpers et al. conclude that after more than 70 years of systematic psychotherapy research, we have no empirically validated mechanisms of change in adult psychotherapy.[16] The current systematic review is the first to summarize the existing knowledge on mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy for adolescents.

To address these challenges related to mediators and measures of the mechanisms of change and therapies' efficacy in children and adolescents besides other activities, the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) funded a 4-year program named "European Network of Individualized Psychotherapy Treatment of Young People with Mental Disorders" with the acronym TREATme (www.treat-me.eu) that serves as a European multidisciplinary researcher network with researchers and clinicians from 30 countries. TREATme reviews the academic research relating to mechanisms of change in patients aged between 12 and 30 years

receiving psychological treatments. For the current review, a part of the collected data set was used using only data concerning adolescents. The aim is to provide an overview of existing research on psychological factors that mediate psychotherapeutic change in adolescents. We will conduct a narrative synthesis of all studies available up to 2020. The objectives of this review are the following:

- To identify which mediators and theories of change have been studied in psychotherapy with adolescents
- 2. To identify if there are adolescence-, disorder- or treatment-specific mediators
- 3. To critically evaluate the methodological approach of the current research data available on mediators in psychotherapy with adolescents

In order to obtain a comprehensive overview of the field of psychotherapy with adolescents, we will include various forms of psychotherapy and quantitative research designs. We will select all studies including a statistical test of mediation (e.g. Baron & Kenny or more advanced methods)[17] and will summarise study characteristics as well as results and discuss the extent to which these studies meet the most important requirements for mediator research that were mentioned earlier. Furthermore, we will relate mediators to the respective change theories and describe which mediators successfully explained therapeutic change. Ultimately, this review can contribute to the challenging process of identifying underlying mechanisms of change in the process of psychotherapy for adolescents.

Method

The review was registered in Prospero (under review) and follows the Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P)[18]. This article is based upon work from the COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) Action "European Network of Individualized Psychotherapy Treatment of Young People with Mental Disorders" (TREATme) aiming to improve knowledge and understanding of psychotherapeutic interventions in young people. The PICO model was used to define the research question.

Eligibility criteria

Studies from any geographical location, written in English, available as full-text and published from inception onwards until February 1, 2020 that meet criteria, will be included in the review. Grey literature such as theses, dissertations or conference proceedings will also be included.

Types of studies

Due to a lack of studies fulfilling all criteria for mediator research, we decided to include a broad range of study types. Studies will be selected if they include statistical analysis of mediators in psychotherapy. This comprises a) empirical quantitative studies following prospective, longitudinal, and case—control designs, which include b) terms related to or describing mediators, and c) include a psychosocial intervention and/or psychotherapeutic intervention or treatment for primary/ secondary prevention.

Types of participants

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines adolescents as individuals between 10 and 19 years of age,[19] which was also the age group selected for our inclusion criteria. These participants would have to suffer from a mental disorder (e.g. depression, eating disorders) or psychological difficulties (e.g. binge drinking) and receive a psychotherapeutic intervention, including primary and secondary prevention programs. All comparators will be included as we will investigate mediators in all treatments and not the efficacy of one treatment over another.

Types of interventions

Studies will be included if they report an intervention aimed at preventing, ameliorating and/or treating psychological problems of adolescents by using psychosocial mechanisms and strategies in any setting (i.e., individual, family, group, inpatients, eMental health, etc.). These interventions should not be primarily biological or physiological. Examples of interventions include all branches or types of psychotherapy: psychodynamic, integrative, systemic, cognitive-based or cognitive-behavioural, interpersonal, humanistic (such as emotion-focused, supportive, motivational interviewing), psychoeducation and third-wave approaches (such as mindfulness-based therapies).

Search strategy

The search strategy includes terms relating to or describing the intervention. These terms have been combined with the Cochrane MEDLINE filter for controlled trials of interventions and were adapted for PsycINFO.[20] Studies published from inception to 01.02.2020 have been sought. The search string can be found in the online supplementary.

Data extraction

Study selection will be carried out by a group of 20 experienced researchers divided into ten pairs who will independently assess the eligibility of studies retrieved using the search strategy in two phases. The first phase comprises the screening of the titles and/or abstracts of studies that potentially meet the inclusion criteria outlined above. In the second phase, each pair of reviewers will evaluate the full text of these potentially eligible studies to see if they meet the inclusion criteria. Disagreements will be discussed by the pair, and a third reviewer will be involved if consensus cannot be reached. Finally, a fourth independent reviewer will perform an additional quality control check by assessing the eligibility of every fifth excluded study. Disagreements at this stage will be solved through discussion with the original review pair.

Data records will be managed with the support of Microsoft Excel.[21] A standardised form will be used to extract the information for the review. Extracted information will include: study setting; study population, participant demographics and baseline characteristics; details of the intervention and control conditions; study methodology; outcomes and times of measurement; assessed mediators; type of mediation analysis; information for assessment of the risk of bias. Two review authors will extract information independently; discrepancies will be identified and resolved through discussion or with a third author where necessary.

Critical appraisal

Although no standard form for evaluating mediation studies has been established, studies will be checked against general criteria for identifying mediators of psychosocial interventions in research, such as summarized by Kazdin and Lemmens et al.[12,13].

Data synthesis

We will provide a narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies, with focus on the categories of mediators that have been tested, types of psychosocial interventions that have been

investigated, and mental disorders or psychological difficulties of adolescents that have been treated. It will be discussed if age-, disorder- or treatment-specific mediators can be identified.

Outcomes and prioritization

The primary outcome will be mediators related to change in mental problems or disorders in adolescents measured by any already validated instrument or tool made for that purpose. Data on the disorder, to the degree available, will be categorised into depression, anxiety, substance misuse, externalising problems, severe mental illness (e.g. psychosis, bipolar disorder) and others (e.g. eating disorders). The therapies will be categorised into: psychodynamic, integrative, systematic, cognitive-based or cognitive-behavioural, interpersonal, humanistic, psychoeducation, and third-wave. Mediators and instruments for assessing them will be identified. Statistical analyses for evaluating the effect of mediators will be recorded. Pre-treatment and post-treatment scores will be recorded, and the differences compared.

Risk of bias in individual studies

The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool will be used to evaluate the overall study quality using a formal risk of bias assessment.[22] It permits appraisal of the methodological quality of five categories of studies: qualitative research, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, quantitative descriptive studies, and mixed methods studies.

Patient and Public Involvement

No patient was involved in planning and conducting the study.

Discussion

As far as the authors are aware, this is the first systematic review of its kind, assessing mediators of psychotherapeutic changes in adolescents. The findings will inform how much is currently known about such mediators and the practical implications of this knowledge for treatment planning and outcomes. The results will also shed light on how these empirically studied mediators correspond with the theoretically putative mechanisms of change in particular models of intervention. Using qualitative synthesis, we will evaluate and comment on the conclusiveness of age-, disorder-, or treatment-specific-mediators and map out most pressing needs for future research on mediators and mechanisms of change in adolescent psychotherapy. The review will

provide a base for comparing our results with those of systematic reviews of adults to assess whether there are similar or different mediators in adolescents explaining therapeutic change.

The strengths of this protocol include the gathering of a large multidisciplinary group of international researchers with long-standing accumulated experience in the area that has worked on this topic for three years by regular online and face to face meetings. Furthermore, the group has consulted international experts in the field to develop the protocol. A structured quality assurance will be carried out as well as several search updates to ensure the completeness of the data set. The whole data set will be offered to other research groups following the recommendations of the open science initiative.

Limitations of this protocol include the use of broad inclusion criteria to describe the existing knowledge comprehensively and increase external validity, which likely limits the possibility of causal conclusions by also including non-Randomised-Controlled-Trials studies. For the same reason, it is likely not feasible to estimate aggregated effect sizes for the identified mediators. Our conclusions on mechanisms of change will only be related to empirical quantitative studies as we have excluded qualitative and theoretical studies. As there is no generally accepted gold standard for mediation analysis, we expect much variance in the studies, which could lead to our results being inconclusive or inconsistent. Also, we are analyzing both subclinical conditions and diagnosable disorders, as well as intervention and prevention studies, which may lead to less consistent or coherent results, however we will aim report the results separately, establishing independent conclusions. This was done to address the adolescent age group holistically. As the rater team consists of a fairly large group, inter-rater bias has to be strictly monitored, and so a fourth independent reviewer will be introduced to perform additional quality control checks.

a. Contributorship statement

All co-authors provided a substantial contribution to the conception and design of the work by developing the research question, the search string and carrying out the stage 1 screening. The current manuscript was drafted by the first and second as well as the last author and was corrected and finally approved by the other authors. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

b. Competing interests

There are no competing interests.

c. Funding

This article is based upon work from COST Action TREATme (CA 16102), supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) (www.cost.eu).

d. Data sharing statement

After publication of the finale review the data set including our search results and first as well as second stage screening process will be made publicly available for other researchers in a controlled repository.

References

- 1 Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012;69(4): 372-380. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.160
- 2 Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62(6): 593-602. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593
- 3 Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: A Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet* 2016;387(10036):2423–2478. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1
- 4 Cuijpers P, Sijbrandij M, Koole SL, et al. The efficacy of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy in treating depressive and anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis of direct comparisons. *World Psychiatry* 2013;12(2):137–148. doi:10.1002/wps.20038

- 5 Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, de Wit, L, et al. The effects of fifteen evidence-supported therapies for adult depression: A meta-analytic review. *Psychother Res* 2020;30(3):279–293. doi:10.1080/10503307.2019.1649732
- 6 Woll CFJ, Schönbrodt FD (2020). A Series of Meta-Analytic Tests of the Efficacy of Long-Term Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy. Eur Psychol 2020;25(1):51–72. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000385
- 7 Zhou X, Hetrick SE, Cuijpers P, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of psychotherapies for depression in children and adolescents: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry* 2015;14(2):207–222. doi:10.1002/wps.20217
- 8 Zhou X, Zhang Y, Furukawa TA, et al. Different Types and Acceptability of Psychotherapies for Acute Anxiety Disorders in Children and Adolescents: A Network Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2019;76(1):41. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.3070
- 9 Kazdin AE. Psychotherapy for Children and Adolescents. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2003;54(1): 253–276. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145105
- 10 Collyer H, Eisler I, Woolgar M. Systematic literature review and meta-analysis of the relationship between adherence, competence and outcome in psychotherapy for children and adolescents. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2020;29(4):417–431. doi:10.1007/s00787-018-1265-2
- 11 Elliott R. Psychotherapy change process research: Realizing the promise. *Psychother Res* 2010; 20:123–235. doi:10.1080/10503300903470743
- 12 Kazdin AE. Mediators and Mechanisms of Change in Psychotherapy Research. *Annul Rev Clin Psychol* 2007;3(1):1-27. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy.3.022806.091432
- 13 Lemmens LH, Müller VN, Arntz A, et al. Mechanisms of change in psychotherapy for depression: an empirical update and evaluation of research aimed at identifying psychological mediators. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2016;50:95–107. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2016.09.004
- 14 Kazdin AE, Nock MK. Delineating mechanisms of change in child and adolescent therapy: methodological issues and research recommendations. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2003;44:1116–29. doi:10.1111/1469-7610.00195
- 15 Schmidt SJ, Schimmelmann BG. Fortschritte, Herausforderungen und neue Perspektiven der Psychotherapieforschung bei Kindern und Jugendlichen. *Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother* 2015;43(2):85–90. doi:10.1024/1422-4917/a000337
- 16 Cuijpers P, Reijnders M, Huibers MJH. The role of common factors in psychotherapy outcome. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2019;7:207-231. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095424

- 17 Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;51:1173–1182. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.51.6.1173
- 18 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4(1):1-9. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
- 19 World Health Organisation. Adolescent Health. Retrieved, January 2020, from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/adolescent-health/
- 20 Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al., eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0 (updated July 2019). Cochrane, 2019. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- 21 Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Excel. Retrieved, January 2020, from https://office.microsoft.com/excel
- 22 Hong QN, Fàbregues S, Bartlett G, et al. The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. *Educ Inform* 2018;34(4):285–291. doi:10.3233/EFI-180221

mediat* OR mediation* OR mediating effect* OR indirect effect* OR mediator effect* OR working mechanisms OR working mechanism OR process research OR process-outcome* OR psychotherapeutic processes OR mechanism of changing OR mechanisms of changing OR mechanism of changes OR mechanisms of changes OR mechanism of change OR mechanisms of change OR psychotherapeutic mechanism OR psychotherapeutic mechanisms OR psychotherapy mechanism OR psychotherapy mechanisms OR psychotherapies mechanism OR psychotherapies mechanisms OR therapeutic mechanism OR therapeutic mechanisms OR therapy mechanism OR therapy mechanisms OR therapies mechanism OR therapies mechanisms OR process of therapy OR process of therapies OR processes of therapy OR processes of therapies OR processing of therapy OR processing of therapies OR process of psychotherapy OR process of psychotherapies OR processes of psychotherapy OR processes of psychotherapies OR processing of psychotherapy OR processing of psychotherapies OR psychotherapy process OR psychotherapies process OR psychotherapy processes OR psychotherapies processes OR psychotherapy processing OR psychotherapies processing OR therapy process OR therapies process OR therapy processing OR therapies processing OR therapy processes OR therapies processes OR psychotherapy research OR psychotherapies research OR psychotherapy researches OR psychotherapies researches OR therapy research OR therapies research OR therapy researches OR therapies researches OR psychotherapy technique OR psychotherapies technique OR psychotherapy techniques OR psychotherapies techniques OR psychotherapeutic technique OR psychotherapeutic techniques OR therapy technique OR therapies technique OR therapy techniques OR therapies techniques OR therapeutic technique OR therapeutic techniques OR psychotherapy relationship OR psychotherapies relationships OR psychotherapy relationship OR psychotherapies relationships OR psychotherapeutic relationship OR psychotherapeutic relationships OR therapy relationship OR therapy relationships OR therapies relationship OR therapies relationships OR therapeutic relationship OR therapeutic relationships OR therapy alliance OR therapy alliances OR therapies alliance OR therapies alliances OR therapeutic alliance OR therapeutic alliances OR psychotherapy alliance OR psychotherapy alliances OR psychotherapies alliance OR psychotherapies alliances OR psychotherapeutic alliance OR psychotherapeutic alliances

adolescent OR adolescent*" OR "emerging adulthood" OR "young" OR "juvenile" OR "early adulthood" OR "young adulthood" OR "young adults" OR "teen*" OR "youth*" OR "yeasty" OR "juvenil*" OR "young*" OR "subadult" OR "immature" OR "adolescen*" OR "puberty" OR "pupertal" OR "puberal" OR DE "Emerging Adulthood" OR DE "Puberty" OR DE "Adolescent Development" OR DE "Adolescent Characteristics" OR DE "Adult Development"

psychotherap* OR "therap*" OR counseling OR "counselling" OR "psychological treatment*" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychological intervention*" OR "psychosocial intervention*" OR psychoeducation OR "group therap*" OR "family therap*" OR "general psychiatric management" OR GPM OR MA "Mind-Body Therapies" OR "supportive psychotherapy"OR DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Counseling" OR DE "Psychoeducation" OR DE "Psychosocial Readjustment" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Creative Arts Therapy" OR DE "Mind Body

Therapy" OR DE "Dance Therapy" OR DE "Art Therapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy"

"cbt" OR "cognitive therapy" OR "behavior therapies" OR "behavior therapy" OR "behavioural" treatment" OR "behavioral treatment" OR "behavioral activation" OR "exposure and response prevention" OR "exposure with response prevention" OR (exposure AND "response prevention") OR REBT OR "problem solving therapy" OR "interpersonal therapy" OR "mindfulness" OR psychodynamic OR "psychodynamic therapy" OR DE psychoanalysis OR "psychoanalysis" OR "psychoanalytic" OR "mentalization based therapy" OR "mentalization based treatment" OR "MBT" OR "transference focused therapy" OR "transference focused psychotherapy" OR "metacognitive therapy" OR "metacognitive treatment" OR "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy" OR "ACT" OR "dialectical behavior therapy" OR "dialectical behaviour therapy" OR DBT OR "Schema Therapy" OR "Schema-focused Therapy" OR "Systematic Desensitization" OR "Exposure therapy" OR MA relaxation OR "relaxation" OR DE biofeedback, psychology OR ("biofeedback" AND "psychology") OR "psychology biofeedback" OR "biofeedback") OR DE hypnosis OR "hypnosis" OR "Attention bias-modification" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy"OR DE "Behavior Therapy"OR DE "Behavioral Activation System"OR DE "Exposure Therapy"OR DE "Interpersonal Psychotherapy"OR DE "Mindfulness"OR DE "Psychodynamic OR DE Psychotherapy"OR DE "Psychodynamics"OR DE "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy"OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy "OR DE "Schema Therapy "OR DE "Systematic Desensitization Therapy"OR DE "Relaxation Therapy"

(exposure AND "response prevention") OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE"Cognitive Behaviour Therapy" OR CBT OR "cognitive therap*" OR "behavior therap*" OR "behaviour therap*" OR "behaviour therap*" OR "behavioural treatment" OR "behavioral activation" OR "exposure and response prevention" OR "exposure with response prevention OR "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy" OR "ACT" OR "dialectical behavior therapy" OR "dialectical behaviour therapy" OR DBT OR "Schema-focused therapy" OR "schema therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Behavioral Activation System" OR DE "Exposure Therapy" OR DE "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy "OR DE "Schema Therapy"

OR "interpersonal and social rhythm therapy" OR "IPSRT" OR DE "Interpersonal Psychotherapy"

OR "cognitive training" OR "cognitive remediation" OR DE "Brain Training"

OR "behavioral weight control"

OR ("supportive-expressive" AND ("therapy" OR "program" OR "treatment" OR "intervention*")) OR DE "Expressive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR ("insight-oriented" AND ("therapy" OR "program" OR "treatment" OR "intervention*")) OR DE "Insight Therapy" OR "interpretive psychotherapy" OR (interpretive AND (DE psychotherapy OR ("psychotherapies" OR "psychotherapy"))) OR "General psychiatric management" OR (Systems AND (MA education OR "education" OR "training"

OR "education" OR "training") AND ("MA emotions OR "emotions" OR "emotional") AND predictability AND (MA problem solving OR ("problem" AND "solving") OR "problem solving"))



BMJ Open

Mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy with adolescents: a systematic review protocol

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2020-042411.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	17-Nov-2020
Complete List of Authors:	Taubner, Svenja; Ruprecht Karls Universitat Heidelberg, Saliba, Andrea; University of Malta, Mental Health Services Malta Heinonen, Erkki; University of Oslo, Department of Psychology Protić, Sonja; Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research Volkert, Jana; University of Heidelberg, Adler, Asta; Vilnius University, Department of Clinical and Organizational Psychology Barkauskiene, Rasa; Vilnius University, Department of Clinical and Organizational Psychology Conejo Cerón, Sonia; Prevention and Health Promotion Research Network (redIAPP), ISCIII,,; Research Unit of the Health District of Primary Care Málaga-Guadalhorce, Di Giacomo, Dina; Università degli Studi dell'Aquila, Department of Life, Health and Enviromental Sciences Ioannou, Yianna; University of Nicosia, Department of Social Sciences Mestre, Jose; University of Cadiz, Department of Psychology Moreno-Peral, Patricia; Institute of Biomedical Research in Malaga (IBIMA), Research Unit of the Health District of Primary Care Málaga- Guadalhorce; Primary Care Prevention and Health Promotion Network (redIAPP), Mucha Vieira, Filipa; University of Porto, Faculty of Psychology and Education Sciences Pinheiro Mota, Catarina; University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro Chaves Hub, Department of Psychology; University of Porto, Department of Psychology Raleva, Marija; Institute for Marriage, Family and Systemic Practice – ALTERNATIVA Rangel Santos Henriques, Margarida; University of Porto, Department of Psychology Røssberg, Jan; University of Oslo, Institute of Clinical Medicine Schmidt, Stefanie; Universitä Bern, Stepišnik Perdih, Tjaša; School of Advanced Social Studies, Nova Gorica Ulberg, Randi; University of Oslo Institute for Clinical Medicine; Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Department of Psychology and Education Sciences
Primary Subject Heading :	Mental health
Secondary Subject Heading:	Research methods

Keywords: Child & adolescent psychiatry < PSYCHIATRY, MENTAL HEALTH, Clinical trials < THERAPEUTICS

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our licence.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which Creative Commons licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

Mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy with adolescents: a systematic review protocol

Svenja Taubner, Institute for Psychosocial Prevention, University Heidelberg, Germany

Andrea Saliba, University of Malta and Mental Health Services Malta, Malta

Erkki Heinonen, National Institute for Health and Welfare, Finland

Sonja Protić, Institute of Criminological and Sociological Research Belgrade, Serbia

Jana Volkert, Institute for Psychosocial Prevention, University Heidelberg, Germany

Asta Adler, Institute of Psychology, Vilnius University, Lithuania

Rasa Barkauskiene, Institute of Psychology, Vilnius University, Lithuania

Sonia Conejo-Cerón, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Malaga, Spain

Dina Di Giacomo, Life, Health and Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, Italia

Yianna Ioannou, University of Nicosia, Cyprus

Jose M. Mestre, Universidad de Cadiz, Spain

Patricia Moreno-Peral, Instituto de Investigación Biomédica de Malaga, Spain

Filipa Mucha Vieira, Faculty of Psychology and Education Science, University of Porto, Portugal Catarina Pinheiro Mota, University of Trás-os-Montes and Alto Douro and University of Porto, Portugal

Marija Raleva, Departement of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University Clinic Skopje, Macedonia

Margarida Isabel Rangel Santos Henriques, Faculty of Psychology and Education Science, University of Porto, Portugal

Jan Ivar Røssberg, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway

Stefanie J. Schmidt, Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Switzerland

Tjasa Stepisnik Perdih, School of Advanced Social Studies, Slovenia

Randi Ulberg, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo and Department of Psychiatry, Diakonhjemmet Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Célia M.D. Sales, Faculty of Psychology and Education Science, University of Porto, Portugal

Corresponding Author: Prof. Dr. Svenja Taubner, Ruprecht-Karls-University Heidelberg, Institute

for Psychosocial Prevention, Bergheimer Str. 52, 69115 Heidelberg, Germany,

svenja.taubner@med.uni-heidelberg.de

Abstract

Introduction

Approximately 75% of mental disorders emerge before the age of 25 years but less than half receive appropriate treatment. Little is known about the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic change of adolescents in psychotherapy. The "European Network of Individualized Psychotherapy Treatment of Young People with Mental Disorders" (TREATme), funded by the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST), will conduct the first systematic review to summarize the existing knowledge on mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy for adolescents.

Method

A systematic review will be conducted, conforming to the reporting guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses statement recommendations. Electronic databases (PubMed and PsycINFO) have been systematically searched on the 23rd of February 2020, for prospective, longitudinal, and case—control designs which examine mediators of change. Participants will be adolescents between 10 and 19 years of age who suffer from a mental disorder or psychological difficulties and receive an intervention that aims at preventing, ameliorating and/or treating psychological problems.

Ethics and dissemination

Ethical approval is not required for this systematic review as no primary data will be collected. The results will be published in a peer-reviewed journals and at conference presentations and will be shared with stakeholder groups. The whole data set will be offered to other research groups following recommendations of the open science initiative. Databases with the systematic search will be made openly available following open science initiatives. The planned review has been registered in PROSPERO (CRD42020177535).

Strengths and Limitations

- Review aims at identifying underlying mechanisms of change in the process of psychotherapy for adolescents
- Reviewers are from a European multidisciplinary researcher network with researchers and clinicians from 30 countries
- First comprehensive overview of mediators in psychotherapy carried out with adolescents
- Broad inclusion criteria increase external validity but limits the possibility of causal conclusions including non-randomised controlled trials studies, it is likely not feasible to estimate aggregated effect sizes for the identified mediators.

Introduction

Adolescents and young adults are a particularly important and vulnerable group with distinct mental health needs. According to Kessler and colleagues, 50% of lifetime diagnosable mental health disorders start by the age of 14, and this number increases to 75% by the age of 25 [1, 2]. Ignoring young people's mental health needs can result in long-lasting adverse developmental outcomes for the individual and society. These include: psychiatric disorders in adulthood, impaired social functioning, difficulties with employment, as well as poorer general health outcomes [3].

Psychotherapy is effective, efficient, and cost-effective, providing long-term impacts which are not possible with psychopharmacological treatment alone [4, 5, 6]. There is strong evidence on the general effectiveness of psychotherapy for treating mental disorders in adolescents [7, 8], and a wealth of psychotherapy treatment modalities are currently being used and researched, with more than 550 different psychotherapy models that can be applied to young people [9]. Treatment response is explained by different mechanisms of change and accounted for by a variety of mediators (e.g. self-reflection, learning compensatory skills, changing automatic thoughts, etc.) associated with each treatment modality. While various psychotherapy modalities (e.g. Psychodynamic Psychotherapy (PDT); Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT)) have shown equally good treatment outcomes overall, adherence to a specific treatment modality has not shown to significantly impact the outcome in child and adolescent psychotherapy [10]. However, to understand therapeutic change and enhance outcomes, it is necessary to identify treatment processes or characteristics within the therapist, the adolescent, parent or family that facilitate successful therapeutic change and isolate those that are redundant and can be dismissed [9].

Thus, research on underlying specific mechanisms of change across treatment modalities and settings is essential to optimising treatment.

An important first step towards examination of mechanisms of change in psychotherapy is the identification of mediators. While moderators (e.g. gender, age) serve to clarify what kind of treatment is adequate for a specific person under certain circumstances, mechanisms of change define causal relationships between therapeutic change and psychological interventions. A mechanism of change explains how an intervention translates into a process that leads to an outcome, e.g. change in symptoms [11]. Thus, a mechanism is an explanatory concept that can be investigated by researching mediators, i.e., variables that explain changes statistically. Kazdin has formulated clear criteria on how to assess mediators of psychological treatments [12]:

- Conduct sufficiently powered randomized clinical trials
- Use valid and reliable measures for mediators that are sensitive to change
- Apply a process design in which changes of the mediator temporally precede changes in therapeutic outcome and the mediator variable is measured repeatedly
- Compare mediators that are theory-driven with non-specific mediators
- Apply different dosages to prove that a stronger mediator-change leads to more therapeutic changes

However, even after 13 years of Kazdin's suggestions on how to assess mechanisms of change in psychotherapy research [12], there are still an alarming lack of studies, inconclusive results (e.g. the treatment of depression in adults) [13] and very little research on change mechanisms for the treatment of adolescents [14, 15]. Cuijpers et al. conclude that despite more than 70 years of systematic psychotherapy research, we have no empirically validated mechanisms of change in adult psychotherapy [16]. The current systematic review will be the first to summarize the existing knowledge on mediators and theories of change in psychotherapy for adolescents.

To address these challenges related to mediators and measures of the mechanisms of change and therapies' efficacy in children and adolescents, besides other activities, the European Cooperation in Science and Technology (COST) funded a 4-year program named "European Network of Individualized Psychotherapy Treatment of Young People with Mental Disorders" with the acronym TREATme (www.treat-me.eu) that serves as a European multidisciplinary researcher network with researchers and clinicians from 30 countries. TREATme will review the academic research relating to mechanisms of change in patients aged between 10 and 30 years

receiving psychological treatments. For the current review, the part of the collected data set concerning adolescents only will be used. The aim is to provide an overview of existing research on psychological factors that mediate psychotherapeutic change in adolescents. We will conduct a narrative synthesis of all studies available up to 2020. The objectives of this review are the following:

- 1. To identify which mediators and theories of change have been studied in psychotherapy with adolescents
- 2. To identify if there are adolescence-, disorder- or treatment-specific mediators
- 3. To critically evaluate the methodological approach of the current research data available on mediators in psychotherapy for adolescents

In order to obtain a comprehensive overview of the field of psychotherapy for adolescents, we will include various forms of psychotherapy and quantitative research designs. We will select all studies including a statistical test of mediation (e.g. Baron & Kenny or more advanced methods) [17] and will summarise study characteristics and results. We will discuss the extent to which these studies meet the most important requirements for mediator research that were mentioned earlier. Furthermore, we will relate mediators to the respective theories of change and describe which mediators successfully explain therapeutic change. Ultimately, this review can contribute to the challenging process of identifying underlying mechanisms of change in the process of psychotherapy for adolescents.

Method

The review was registered in Prospero (CRD42020177535) and follows the preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) [18]. This article is based upon work from the COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) Action "European Network of Individualized Psychotherapy Treatment of Young People with Mental Disorders" (TREATme) aiming to improve knowledge and understanding of psychotherapeutic interventions in young people. The PICO model was used to define the research question.

Eligibility criteria

Studies from any geographical location, written in English, available as full-text and published from inception onwards until February 23rd, 2020 that meet criteria, will be included in the review. Grey literature such as theses, dissertations or conference proceedings will also be included.

Types of studies

Due to a lack of studies fulfilling all criteria for mediator research, we decided to include a broad range of study types. Studies will be selected if they include statistical analysis of mediators in psychotherapy. This comprises a) empirical quantitative studies following prospective, longitudinal, and case—control designs, b) terms related to or describing mediators, and c) a psychosocial intervention and/or psychotherapeutic intervention or treatment for primary/ secondary prevention.

Types of participants

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines adolescents as individuals between 10 and 19 years of age [19], which was the age group selected for our inclusion criteria. These participants would have a mental disorder (e.g. depression, eating disorders) or psychological difficulties (e.g. binge drinking) and receive a psychotherapeutic intervention, including primary and secondary prevention programs. All comparators will be included as we will be investigating mediators in all treatments and not the efficacy of one treatment over another.

Types of interventions

Studies will be included if they report an intervention aimed at preventing, ameliorating and/or treating psychological problems of adolescents by using psychosocial mechanisms and strategies in any setting (i.e., individual, family, group, inpatients, eMental health, etc.). These interventions should not be primarily biological or physiological. Examples of interventions include all branches or types of psychotherapy: psychodynamic, integrative, systemic, cognitive-based or cognitive-behavioural, interpersonal, humanistic (such as emotion-focused, supportive, motivational interviewing), psychoeducation and third-wave approaches (such as mindfulness-based therapies).

Search strategy

The search strategy includes terms relating to or describing the intervention. These terms have been combined with the Cochrane MEDLINE filter for controlled trials of interventions and were adapted for PsycINFO [20]. Studies published from inception to 23.02.2020 have been sought. The search string can be found in the online supplementary. The search was performed on the 23rd of February 2020.

Data extraction

Study selection will be carried out by a group of 20 experienced researchers divided into ten pairs who will independently assess the eligibility of studies retrieved using the search strategy in two phases. The first phase comprises the screening of the titles and/or abstracts of studies that potentially meet the inclusion criteria outlined above. In the second phase, each pair of reviewers will evaluate the full text of these potentially eligible studies to see if they meet the inclusion criteria. Disagreements will be discussed by the pair, and a third reviewer will be involved if consensus cannot be reached. Finally, a fourth independent reviewer will perform an additional quality control check by assessing the eligibility of every fifth excluded study. Disagreements at this stage will be solved through discussion with the original review pair.

Data records will be managed with the support of Microsoft Excel [21]. A standardised form will be used to extract the information for the review. Extracted information will include: study setting; study population, participant demographics and baseline characteristics; details of the intervention and control conditions; study methodology; outcomes and times of measurement; assessed mediators; type of mediation analysis; and information for assessment of the risk of bias. Two review authors will extract information independently; discrepancies will be identified and resolved through discussion or with a third author when necessary.

Critical appraisal

Although no standard form for evaluating mediation studies has been established, studies will be checked against general criteria for identifying mediators of psychosocial interventions in research, such as summarized by Kazdin and Lemmens et al. [12,13].

Data synthesis

We will provide a narrative synthesis of the findings from the included studies, with a focus on the categories of mediators that have been tested, types of psychosocial interventions that have been

investigated, and mental disorders or psychological difficulties of adolescents that have been treated. It will be discussed if age-, disorder- or treatment-specific mediators can be identified. The age specific mediators will be identified by comparing results to mediators identified by reviews from adult psychotherapy.

Outcomes and prioritization

The primary outcome will be mediators related to change in mental health problems or disorders in adolescents measured by a validated instrument or tool made for that purpose. Data on the disorder will be categorised into depression, anxiety, substance misuse, externalising problems, severe mental illness (e.g. psychosis, bipolar disorder) and others (e.g. eating disorders). The therapies will be categorised into: psychodynamic, integrative, systematic, cognitive-based or cognitive-behavioural, interpersonal, humanistic, psychoeducation, and third-wave. Mediators and instruments for assessing them will be identified. The statistical analyses for evaluating the effect of mediators will be recorded. Pre-treatment and post-treatment scores will be noted, and the differences compared.

Risk of bias in individual studies

The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool will be used to evaluate the overall study quality using a formal risk of bias assessment [22]. This tool permits the appraisal of the methodological quality of five categories of studies: qualitative research, randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, quantitative descriptive studies, and mixed methods studies.

Patient and Public Involvement

No patient was involved in planning and conducting the study.

Ethics and Dissemination

No ethical approval will be necessary to conduct the review as no additional empirical data will be assessed. Results from the review will be disseminated through a publication in a peer-reviewed open access journal and through scientific communication using the COST-action homepage. The data set that will be established during the search and extractions will be published in an open data repository after the acceptance of the publication of the review order to facilitate access to students, academia and professionals. Additionally, results will be disseminated in conferences, seminars, congresses or symposia.

Discussion

As far as the authors are aware, this is the first systematic review of its kind, assessing mediators of psychotherapeutic changes in adolescents. The findings will inform how much is currently known about such mediators and the practical implications of this knowledge for treatment planning and outcomes. The results will also shed light on how these empirically studied mediators correspond with the theoretically putative mechanisms of change in particular models of intervention. Using qualitative synthesis, we will evaluate and comment on the conclusiveness of age-, disorder-, or treatment-specific-mediators and map out the most pressing needs for future research on mediators and mechanisms of change in adolescent psychotherapy. The review will provide a basis for comparing our results with those of systematic reviews in adults, to assess whether there are similar or different mediators in adolescents that explain therapeutic change.

The strengths of this protocol include the gathering of a large multidisciplinary group of international researchers with long-standing accumulated experience in the area that have worked on this topic for three years through regular online and face to face meetings. Furthermore, the group has consulted international experts in the field to develop the protocol. A structured quality assurance will be carried out, as well as several search updates to ensure the completeness of the data set. The whole data set will be offered to other research groups following the recommendations of the open science initiative.

Limitations of this protocol include the use of broad inclusion criteria to describe the existing knowledge comprehensively and increase external validity, which likely limits the possibility of causal conclusions by also including non-randomised controlled trials. For the same reason, it is likely not feasible to estimate aggregated effect sizes for the identified mediators. Our conclusions on mechanisms of change will only be related to empirical quantitative studies as we have excluded qualitative and theoretical studies. As there is no generally accepted gold standard for mediation analysis, we expect much variance in the studies, which could lead to our results being inconclusive or inconsistent. Also, we are analyzing both subclinical conditions and diagnosable disorders, as well as intervention and prevention studies, which may lead to less consistent or coherent results. We have chosen to do this in order to address the adolescent age group holistically and aim to report the results separately in order to establish independent conclusions. As the rater team consists of a fairly large group, inter-rater bias has to be strictly monitored, and so a fourth independent reviewer will be introduced to perform additional quality control checks.

a. Contributorship statement

All co-authors provided a substantial contribution to the conception and design of the work by developing the research question, the search string and carrying out the stage 1 screening (ST, AS, EH, SP, JV, AA, RB, SCC, DG, YI, JM, PMP, FMV, CPM, MR, MSH, JIR, SJS, TSP, RU, CMDS). The current manuscript was drafted by the first and second as well as the last author (ST, AS, CMDS) and was corrected and finally approved by the other authors (EH, SP, JV, AA, RB, SCC, DG, YI, JM, PMP, FMV, CPM, MR, MSH, JIR, SJS, TSP, RU). RU coordinates the overall COST initiative. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

b. Competing interests

There are no competing interests.

c. Funding

This article is based upon work from COST Action TREATme (CA 16102), supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology) (www.cost.eu).

d. Data sharing statement

At the moment, the data-set is available from the first author upon reasonable request.

References

- Kessler RC, Avenevoli S, Costello EJ, et al. Prevalence, persistence, and sociodemographic correlates of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication Adolescent Supplement. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012;69(4): 372-380. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2011.160
- 2 Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, et al. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005;62(6): 593-602. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.593

- 3 Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: A Lancet commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet* 2016;387(10036):2423–2478. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1
- 4 Cuijpers P, Sijbrandij M, Koole SL, et al. The efficacy of psychotherapy and pharmacotherapy in treating depressive and anxiety disorders: A meta-analysis of direct comparisons. *World Psychiatry* 2013;12(2):137–148. doi:10.1002/wps.20038
- 5 Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, de Wit, L, et al. The effects of fifteen evidence-supported therapies for adult depression: A meta-analytic review. *Psychother Res* 2020;30(3):279–293. doi:10.1080/10503307.2019.1649732
- 6 Woll CFJ, Schönbrodt FD (2020). A Series of Meta-Analytic Tests of the Efficacy of Long-Term Psychoanalytic Psychotherapy. *Eur Psychol* 2020;25(1):51–72. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000385
- 7 Zhou X, Hetrick SE, Cuijpers P, et al. Comparative efficacy and acceptability of psychotherapies for depression in children and adolescents: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. *World Psychiatry* 2015;14(2):207–222. doi:10.1002/wps.20217
- 8 Zhou X, Zhang Y, Furukawa TA, et al. Different Types and Acceptability of Psychotherapies for Acute Anxiety Disorders in Children and Adolescents: A Network Meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2019;76(1):41. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.3070
- 9 Kazdin AE. Psychotherapy for Children and Adolescents. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2003;54(1): 253–276. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145105
- 10 Collyer H, Eisler I, Woolgar M. Systematic literature review and meta-analysis of the relationship between adherence, competence and outcome in psychotherapy for children and adolescents. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2020;29(4):417–431. doi:10.1007/s00787-018-1265-2
- 11 Elliott R. Psychotherapy change process research: Realizing the promise. *Psychother Res* 2010; 20:123–235. doi:10.1080/10503300903470743
- 12 Kazdin AE. Mediators and Mechanisms of Change in Psychotherapy Research. *Annul Rev Clin Psychol* 2007;3(1):1-27. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy.3.022806.091432
- 13 Lemmens LH, Müller VN, Arntz A, et al. Mechanisms of change in psychotherapy for depression: an empirical update and evaluation of research aimed at identifying psychological mediators. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2016;50:95–107. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2016.09.004
- 14 Kazdin AE, Nock MK. Delineating mechanisms of change in child and adolescent therapy: methodological issues and research recommendations. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2003;44:1116–29. doi:10.1111/1469-7610.00195

- 15 Schmidt SJ, Schimmelmann BG. Fortschritte, Herausforderungen und neue Perspektiven der Psychotherapieforschung bei Kindern und Jugendlichen. *Z Kinder Jugendpsychiatr Psychother* 2015;43(2):85–90. doi:10.1024/1422-4917/a000337
- 16 Cuijpers P, Reijnders M, Huibers MJH. The role of common factors in psychotherapy outcome. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol* 2019;7:207-231. doi:10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095424
- 17 Baron RM, Kenny DA. The moderator–mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986;51:1173–1182. doi:10.1037//0022-3514.51.6.1173
- 18 Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev* 2015;4(1):1-9. doi:10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
- 19 World Health Organisation. Adolescent Health. Retrieved, January 2020, from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/adolescent-health/
- 20 Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al., eds. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.0 (updated July 2019). Cochrane, 2019. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- 21 Microsoft Corporation, Microsoft Excel. Retrieved, January 2020, from https://office.microsoft.com/excel
- 22 Hong QN, Fàbregues S, Bartlett G, et al. The Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. *Educ Inform* 2018;34(4):285–291. doi:10.3233/EFI-180221

mediat* OR mediation* OR mediating effect* OR indirect effect* OR mediator effect* OR working mechanisms OR working mechanism OR process research OR process-outcome* OR psychotherapeutic processes OR mechanism of changing OR mechanisms of changing OR mechanism of changes OR mechanisms of changes OR mechanism of change OR mechanisms of change OR psychotherapeutic mechanism OR psychotherapeutic mechanisms OR psychotherapy mechanism OR psychotherapy mechanisms OR psychotherapies mechanism OR psychotherapies mechanisms OR therapeutic mechanism OR therapeutic mechanisms OR therapy mechanism OR therapy mechanisms OR therapies mechanism OR therapies mechanisms OR process of therapy OR process of therapies OR processes of therapy OR processes of therapies OR processing of therapy OR processing of therapies OR process of psychotherapy OR process of psychotherapies OR processes of psychotherapy OR processes of psychotherapies OR processing of psychotherapy OR processing of psychotherapies OR psychotherapy process OR psychotherapies process OR psychotherapy processes OR psychotherapies processes OR psychotherapy processing OR psychotherapies processing OR therapy process OR therapies process OR therapy processing OR therapies processing OR therapy processes OR therapies processes OR psychotherapy research OR psychotherapies research OR psychotherapy researches OR psychotherapies researches OR therapy research OR therapies research OR therapy researches OR therapies researches OR psychotherapy technique OR psychotherapies technique OR psychotherapy techniques OR psychotherapies techniques OR psychotherapeutic technique OR psychotherapeutic techniques OR therapy technique OR therapies technique OR therapy techniques OR therapies techniques OR therapeutic technique OR therapeutic techniques OR psychotherapy relationship OR psychotherapies relationships OR psychotherapy relationship OR psychotherapies relationships OR psychotherapeutic relationship OR psychotherapeutic relationships OR therapy relationship OR therapy relationships OR therapies relationship OR therapies relationships OR therapeutic relationship OR therapeutic relationships OR therapy alliance OR therapy alliances OR therapies alliance OR therapies alliances OR therapeutic alliance OR therapeutic alliances OR psychotherapy alliance OR psychotherapy alliances OR psychotherapies alliance OR psychotherapies alliances OR psychotherapeutic alliance OR psychotherapeutic alliances

adolescent OR adolescent*" OR "emerging adulthood" OR "young" OR "juvenile" OR "early adulthood" OR "young adulthood" OR "young adults" OR "teen*" OR "youth*" OR "yeasty" OR "juvenil*" OR "young*" OR "subadult" OR "immature" OR "adolescen*" OR "puberty" OR "pupertal" OR "puberal" OR DE "Emerging Adulthood" OR DE "Puberty" OR DE "Adolescent Development" OR DE "Adolescent Characteristics" OR DE "Adult Development"

psychotherap* OR "therap*" OR counseling OR "counselling" OR "psychological treatment*" OR "psychosocial treatment" OR "psychological intervention*" OR "psychosocial intervention*" OR psychoeducation OR "group therap*" OR "family therap*" OR "general psychiatric management" OR GPM OR MA "Mind-Body Therapies" OR "supportive psychotherapy" OR DE "Psychotherapy" OR DE "Counseling" OR DE "Psychoeducation" OR DE "Psychosocial Readjustment" OR DE "Psychotherapeutic" OR DE "Group Psychotherapy" OR DE "Family Therapy" OR DE "Creative Arts Therapy" OR DE "Mind Body

Therapy" OR DE "Dance Therapy" OR DE "Art Therapy" OR DE "Play Therapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy"

"cbt" OR "cognitive therapy" OR "behavior therapies" OR "behavior therapy" OR "behavioural" treatment" OR "behavioral treatment" OR "behavioral activation" OR "exposure and response prevention" OR "exposure with response prevention" OR (exposure AND "response prevention") OR REBT OR "problem solving therapy" OR "interpersonal therapy" OR "mindfulness" OR psychodynamic OR "psychodynamic therapy" OR DE psychoanalysis OR "psychoanalysis" OR "psychoanalytic" OR "mentalization based therapy" OR "mentalization based treatment" OR "MBT" OR "transference focused therapy" OR "transference focused psychotherapy" OR "metacognitive therapy" OR "metacognitive treatment" OR "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy" OR "ACT" OR "dialectical behavior therapy" OR "dialectical behaviour therapy" OR DBT OR "Schema Therapy" OR "Schema-focused Therapy" OR "Systematic Desensitization" OR "Exposure therapy" OR MA relaxation OR "relaxation" OR DE biofeedback, psychology OR ("biofeedback" AND "psychology") OR "psychology biofeedback" OR "biofeedback") OR DE hypnosis OR "hypnosis" OR "Attention bias-modification" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy"OR DE "Behavior Therapy"OR DE "Behavioral Activation System"OR DE "Exposure Therapy"OR DE "Interpersonal Psychotherapy"OR DE "Mindfulness"OR DE "Psychodynamic OR DE Psychotherapy"OR DE "Psychodynamics"OR DE "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy"OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy "OR DE "Schema Therapy "OR DE "Systematic Desensitization Therapy"OR DE "Relaxation Therapy"

(exposure AND "response prevention") OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behaviour Therapy" OR CBT OR "cognitive therap*" OR "behavior therap*" OR "behaviour therap*" OR "behaviour therap*" OR "behavioural treatment" OR "behavioral activation" OR "exposure and response prevention" OR "exposure with response prevention OR "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy" OR "ACT" OR "dialectical behavior therapy" OR "dialectical behaviour therapy" OR DBT OR "Schema-focused therapy" OR "schema therapy" OR DE "Cognitive Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Behavior Therapy" OR DE "Behavioral Activation System" OR DE "Exposure Therapy" OR DE "Acceptance and Commitment Therapy" OR DE "Dialectical Behavior Therapy "OR DE "Schema Therapy"

OR "interpersonal and social rhythm therapy" OR "IPSRT" OR DE "Interpersonal Psychotherapy"

OR "cognitive training" OR "cognitive remediation" OR DE "Brain Training"

OR "behavioral weight control"

OR ("supportive-expressive" AND ("therapy" OR "program" OR "treatment" OR "intervention*")) OR DE "Expressive Psychotherapy" OR DE "Supportive Psychotherapy" OR ("insight-oriented" AND ("therapy" OR "program" OR "treatment" OR "intervention*")) OR DE "Insight Therapy" OR "interpretive psychotherapy" OR (interpretive AND (DE psychotherapy OR ("psychotherapies" OR "psychotherapy"))) OR "General psychiatric management" OR (Systems AND (MA education OR "education" OR "training"

OR "education" OR "training") AND ("MA emotions OR "emotions" OR "emotional") AND predictability AND (MA problem solving OR ("problem" AND "solving") OR "problem solving"))