Supplementary material for

Histatin 5 variant reduces *Candida albicans* biofilm viability and inhibits biofilm formation

Parisa Moghaddam-Taaheri¹, Jesse A. Leissa², Haleigh B. Eppler^{1,3}, Christopher M. Jewell^{1,3,4}, and Amy J. Karlsson^{1,2}*

¹Fischell Department of Bioengineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD
²Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD
³Biological Sciences Graduate Program, University of Maryland, College Park, MD
⁴United States Department of Veterans Affairs, VA Maryland Health Care System, Baltimore, MD


*Correspondence: Amy J. Karlsson Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering University of Maryland Email: <u>ajkarl@umd.edu</u>

Contents

Dantida	Parameter ^a	Fitted parameter value (95% confidence interval)			
Peptide		Planktonic	Biofilm – Preformed	Biofilm – Formation	
Histatin 5	EC50	6.989 μM (4.285 to 11.40)	0.9428 mM (0.7849 to 1.132)	0.8602 mM (0.7118 to 1.039)	
	n_H	1.422 (0.5315 to 2.313)	1.722 (1.261 to 2.183)	1.605 (1.186 to 2.023)	
	Y_{top}	87.05 % (75.63 to 98.46)	92.63 % (84.64 to 100.6)	84.11 % (75.36 to 92.86)	
	Ybottom	-24.98 % (-49.04 to -0.9153)	6.13 % (3.005 to 9.254)	-11.76 % (-15.31 to -8.200)	
K11R-K17R	EC50	6.728 μM (5.854 to 7.732)	0.3409 mM (0.2724 to 0.4266)	0.8657 mM (0.5549 to 1.351)	
	n _H	1.982 (1.498 to 2.467)	1.264 (0.9012 to 1.626)	1.057 (0.6459 to 1.469)	
	Y_{top}	96.47 % (93.19 to 99.75)	85.72 % (79.78 to 91.66)	110.5 % (89.47 to 131.6)	
	Ybottom	3.618 % (-2.406 to 9.641)	10.74 % (5.917 to 15.56)	1.03 % (-5.835 to 7.896)	

Supplementary Table S1. Values of model parameters for model fits to data in Figures 1A (Planktonic), 1B (Biofilm – Preformed), and 2A (Biofilm – Formation).

^{*a*} See methods section for model details and parameter definitions.



Supplementary Figure S1. Model fit to data in (A) Figure 1A (Planktonic), (B) Figure 1B (Biofilm – Preformed), and (C) Figure 2A (Biofilm – Formation). Values of fitted parameters are provided in Table S4, and model details are provided in the methods section.

Peptide concentration (µM)	P-value	Discovery ^a
400	0.1382	
200	0.0020	*
100	< 0.0001	*
50	0.0659	
25	0.1111	
12.5	0.0090	*
6.25	0.0022	*
3.125	0.0935	
1.5625	0.1645	
0.78125	0.1948	

Supplementary Table S2. Results of t-tests to compare data for decrease in viability at each peptide concentration for data in Figure 1A (testing against planktonic cells)

^{*a*} An asterisk marks a P-value low enough to indicate a substantial difference between the peptides with a false discovery rate (Q) of 0.05.

Supplementary Table S3. Results of t-tests to compare data for decrease in viability at each peptide concentration for data in Figure 1B (testing against preformed biofilms)

Peptide concentration (mM)	P-value	Discovery ^a
6	0.0190	*
3	0.8845	
1.5	0.0189	*
0.75	0.0001	*
0.375	0.0001	*
0.1875	0.0058	*
0.09375	0.0031	*
0.046875	0.0132	*
0.0234375	0.0692	
0.0117188	0.1864	

^{*a*} An asterisk marks a P-value low enough to indicate a substantial difference between the peptides with a false discovery rate (Q) of 0.05.

Supplementary Table S4. Results of t-tests to compare data for decrease in viability at each peptide concentration for data in Figure 1A (testing for inhibition of biofilm formation)

Peptide concentration (mM)	P-value	Discovery
6	< 0.0001	*
3	< 0.0001	*
1.5	0.0177	*
0.75	0.0284	*
0.375	< 0.0001	*
0.1875	0.0004	*
0.09375	0.0438	*
0.046875	0.0086	*
0.0234375	0.0359	*
0.0117188	0.0294	*

^{*a*} An asterisk marks a P-value low enough to indicate a substantial difference between the peptides with a false discovery rate (Q) of 0.05.