Supplemental Materials

Table S1.

Fit Indices from Different Models Estimated

		(df) χ^2	*p*	*CFI*	*RMSEA and 90% CI*	*SRMR*	*BIC*	χ^2 *difference test*	*Preferred*
Internalizing Measurement Model	Internalizing: AR Paths Free	(2) 16.64	.00	.994	.082 [.049, .120]	.050	27932	(2) 2.29, p = .32	No
	Internalizing: AR Paths Constrained	(4) 18.93	.00	.994	.059 [.034, .086]	.054	27921		Yes
Conflict Measurement Model	Conflict: AR Paths Free	(2) 15.00	.00	.995	.077 [.044, .116]	.032	23799	(2) 7.73, p = .02	Yes
	Conflict: AR Paths Constrained	(4) 22.73	.00	.993	.066 [.041, .093]	.043	23792		No
RI CLPM	Primary Model with no Covariates	(11) 35.85	.00	.995	.046 [.029, .063]	.034	51352	(48) 45.82, p = .56	N/A
RI CLPM	Primary Model with Covariates	(59) 81.67	.03	.995	.017 [.006, .025]	.022	80688		N/A
RI CLPM Group Analysis	Estimates freely estimated	(112) 133.50	.08	.996	.017 [.000, .027]	.028	79090	(64) 40.44, p = .99	No
	Estimates constrained	(176) 173.94	.53	1.000	.000 [.000, .017]	.038	78698		Yes

CLPM	One lagged AR paths	(12) 264.65	.00	.942	.124 [.111, .137]	.032	81285	(4) 214.27, $p < .001$	No
	One and Two lagged AR paths	(8) 50.38	.00	.990	.062 [.047, .079]	.008	81022		Yes

Note. For nested models, a significant ($p < .05$) change in chi-square indicates a decrement in model fit. When non-significant differences emerged, we selected the more parsimonious model. When statistically significant differences emerged, we selected the improved model over the parsimonious one. Comparisons across non-nested models were based on CFI (higher values indicate better fit), RMSEA and BIC (lower values indicate better fit).

Table S2.

Unstandardized Estimates from RI CLPM for Internalizing and Conflict

	Internalizing RI			Conflict RI		
	B	*SE*	*P*	*B*	*SE*	*P*
Male	0.13	0.57	.823	-0.36	0.30	.230
Black	-1.33	0.58	.023	-1.23	0.20	.000
Hispanic	-1.10	1.23	.369	0.77	0.39	.049
Other	1.70	2.02	.402	-3.10	1.25	.013
Mom Education	-0.05	0.13	.671	-0.01	0.09	.916
Mom Vocabulary	0.03	0.01	.005	-0.01	0.01	.684
Mom Depression	0.30	0.03	.000	0.16	0.02	.000
Family Income	-0.21	0.08	.010	-0.07	0.07	.271
	Internalizing (T+1)			Conflict (T+1)		
Internalizing G3	0.13	0.04	.000	0.01	0.02	.498
Conflict G3	-0.01	0.04	.764	0.13	0.03	.000
Internalizing G4	0.13	0.04	.000	-0.01	0.02	.554
Conflict G4	-0.04	0.06	.572	0.08	0.03	.016
Internalizing G5	0.13	0.04	.000	0.03	0.02	.187
Conflict G5	-0.06	0.04	.157	0.08	0.04	.043



Figure S1. *Random Intercept Cross-Lagged Panel Model for Internalizing and Conflict by Gender*. Female estimates are on the top; male estimates are on the bottom.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.

*** $p < .001$.



Figure S2. *Cross-Lagged Panel Model for Internalizing and Conflict (Single Lagged Path).* All covariates included as predictors of each outcome but not shown to reduce clutter.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.

*** $p < .001$.



Figure S3. *Cross-Lagged Panel Model for Internalizing and Conflict (Two Lagged Paths)*. All covariates included as predictors of each outcome but not shown to reduce clutter.
* $p < .05$.
** $p < .01$.
*** $p < .001$.