

**ON-LINE FIG 1.** Analysis of the contribution from fluoroscopy, DSA, and 3D imaging (including rotational angiography and conebeam CT acquisitions) to the CP<sub>KA</sub>. During the study period, data distribution for fluoroscopy, DSA, and 3D imaging was available for a subset of cases (n = 94) on the dose-monitoring software (DoseWise Portal; Philips Healthcare). Most available cases were performed on the dose-reduction platform (n = 56), of which 21 were diagnostic. Among the cases available on the reference platform (n = 38), almost all were diagnostic (n = 33). Examination CP<sub>KA</sub> values were appropriately Box-Cox transformed before statistical analysis. Back-transformed means and 95% confidence intervals are reported.



**ON-LINE FIG 2.** Relative contribution of fluoroscopy, angiography and 3D imaging. On the dose-reduction platform, contributions to examination  $CP_{KA}$  from different imaging modes (DSA, fluoroscopy, 3D imaging) vary with procedure type. We included procedures with at least 4 cases available for analysis: thrombectomy (n = 4), stent-assisted coiling (n = 4), flow diverter (n = 12), diagnostic (n = 21), and coil embolization (n = 12).

## On-line Table: Image mode contribution to diagnostic $CP_{KA^a}$

	Reference (n = 33)	Dose Reduction (n = 21)	Two-Sample <i>t</i> Test
Fluoroscopy	30.6 (24.7–37.9)	8.6 (6.6–11.1)	t = -7.87, P < .0001
DSA	118.5 (101.2–138.7)	34.7 (26.4–45.5)	t = -8.12, P < .0001
3D imaging	7.4 (5.7–9.7)	7.6 (5.3–10.8)	t = 0.09, P = .9303
Total exam	161.1 (138.3–187.6)	54.9 (44.7–67.3)	t = -8.73, P = .0001

<sup>a</sup> The contribution of different imaging modes (fluoroscopy, angiography, and 3D imaging) to the examination CP<sub>KA</sub> for diagnostic cases, reported as mean (95% confidence interval). The dose-reduction platform was associated with significantly lower fluoroscopic and angiographic P<sub>KA</sub> values, while the P<sub>KA</sub> from 3D imaging was not affected.