

ON-LINE FIG 1. Bland-Altman plots showing the difference between velocity magnitude from 3D PC-MRI and CFD, with inflow boundary conditions obtained from 2D PC-MRI.



ON-LINE FIG 2. Bland-Altman plots showing the difference between singular energy from 3D PC-MRI and CFD, with inflow boundary conditions obtained from 2D PC-MRI.



ON-LINE FIG 3. Bland-Altman plots showing the difference between velocity magnitude from 3D PC-MRI and CFD, with inflow boundary conditions obtained from 3D PC-MRI.



ON-LINE FIG 4. Bland-Altman plots showing the difference between singular energy from 3D PC-MRI and CFD, with inflow boundary conditions obtained from 3D PC-MRI.



ON-LINE FIG 5. Bland-Altman plots for velocity magnitude (A) and singular energy difference (B) between 3D PC-MRI and CFD, with inflow boundary conditions obtained from 2D PC-MRI at peak systole and diastole for all aneurysms.



ON-LINE FIG 6. Bland-Altman plots for velocity magnitude (A) and singular energy difference (B) between 3D PC-MRI and CFD, with inflow boundary conditions obtained from 3D PC-MRI at peak systole and diastole for all aneurysms.

On-line Tab vessel areas	ole 1: Locations a s, mean velocity	ind size of the aneur magnitude, and pea	ysms, voxel size of t ik systolic velocity a	he 3D rotatio s measured l	onal angiograp	phy datasets, volume PC-MR imaging	es, number	of elemer	nts, elemen	t density of	the mesh	es and inpu	t flows, inf	MO
											Input / Veloo	Mean city	Input Po Systol	eak
		Size (mm. length ×	lsotropic Voxel Size 3D RA	Mesh Volume	No. of Mesh	Element Density	Input (mL	Flow /s)	Inflow Area (Vessel mm²)	Magni (cm,	itude /s)	Veloci (cm/s	È C
Aneurysm	Location	width × height)	(mm³)	(mm³)	Elements	(elements/mm ³)	2D	3D	2D	3D	2D	3D	2D	3D
-	Left MCA	$12.6 \times 7.3 \times 9.1$	0.22	554	1.765.310	3186	1.7 ^a	3.4 ^a	5.9 ^a	10.5 ^a	31 ^a	35 ^a	89 ^a	86 ^a
2	BA	7.4 imes 6.2 imes 6.4	0.22	272	1.422.476	5230	2.2	3.1	5.3	11.9	41	27	87	95
ŝ	Right MCA	$13.0 \times 7.9 \times 11.3$	0.25	732	2.608.270	3563	2.0	3.8	3.6	10.2	57	39	III	011
4	Right MCA	5.6 imes 5.0 imes 7.2	0.10	261	1.467.689	5623	2.2	3.5	6.3	12.8	37	29	78	011
ß	Right MCA	9.2 imes 6.0 imes 5.3	0.17	260	1.168.002	4492	1.9	3.2	4.0	10.3	49	31	102	66
9	BA	8.8 imes 8.7 imes 11.5	0.22	588	2.313.009	4282	2.1 ^b	2.2 ^b	6.9 ^b	9.4 ^b	31 ^b	25 ^b	69 ^b	78 ^b
7	Left MCA	12.0 imes 12.1 imes 9.7	0.22	674	2.238552	3934	2.3	2.8	4.6	10.3	52	29	123	106
∞	BA	10.3 imes 9.3 imes 10.9	0.22	687	2.559.296	3725	2.6 ^b	2.9 ^b	6.0 ^b	12.6 ^b	44 ^b	24 ^b	87 ^b	89 ^b
Note:-BA indi	licates basilar artery.													

Note:—DA indicates basing artery. ^a The 2D PC-MR scan was obtained in the coiled aneurysm, 10 months after the 3D PC-MR scan of the uncoiled aneurysm.

^b It was not possible to measure flow at the same locations because the 2D PC-MR was performed outside the imaging volume of the 3D PC-MR measurement.

vessel ^a												
		MDif (cm/	(s)			SDň	F (%)			RDif	f (%)	
	Systole		Dias	stole	Syst	tole	Dias	tole	Syst	cole	Dias	tole
Vo	Dome	Inflow	Dome	Inflow	Dome	Inflow	Dome	Inflow	Dome	Inflow	Dome	Infl
-	17.9	39.5	1:1	5.3	11.2	14.1	5.0	6.4	167.9	133.9	11.7	21
2	$-0.8^{\rm b}$ ($P = .32$)	9.2	[:[-	5.9	14.2	23.1	7.6	9.2	2.7	17.7	7.6	26
č	12.5	18.7	5.8	1.7	11.8	18.5	6.8	7.3	183.2	33.2	106.5	24
4	16.5	25.0	6.3	1.4	10.3	22.5	6.7	12.4	270.1	59.2	103.1	4.
5	6.2	18.7	1.4	6.3	13.8	24.9	8.1	17.1	41.9	39.5	12.6	21.
9	5.7	7.5	6.0	4.0	10.9	13.5	12.8	10.6	31.3	16.1	14.0	4.
7	12.6	17.3	L.7	2.0	8.5	27.7	8.5	20.3	166.4	95.5	28.7	ù.
∞	10.2	9.3	5.4	4.3	14.1	21.8	13.0	10.7	9.77	19.1	51.1	18.

On-line Table 2: Differences between velocity fields as determined with 3D PC-MRI and CFD with boundary conditions obtained from 2D PC-MRI for the dome of the aneurysm and the inflow

^a Indicated are *MDif, SDif,* and *RDif,* as determined on a voxel basis. ^b Nonsignificant difference.

van Ooij Sep 2013 www.ajnr.org **E6**

		MDif (c	:m/s)			SDif (c	(s/m			RDif	(%)			Median	Angle (°)	
	Sys	stole	Diasto	ole	Syst	tole	Diast	tole	Sys	tole	Dias	tole	Syst	ole	Dias	tole
Aneurysm	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC
-	21.1	1.9	2.0	-4.2	12.7	17.0	6.3	8.1	161.8	6.0	17.8	23.9	20.6	20.8	26.5	27.8
2	0.2^{b} ($P = .77$)	-8.5	$-0.0^{\rm b}$ ($P = .97$)	-4.5	17.1	16.9	8.5	9.7	0.6	19.9	0.1	21.5	16.9	18.5	24.7	25.5
č	9.2	9.2	5.2	1.6	18.0	17.8	9.6	12.0	61.0	61.4	62.1	13.7	37.3	33.3	52.6	50.7
4	19.5	-3.7 (P = .002)	4.9	-2.6	17.9	26.3	10.2	12.8	9.66	8.7	33.4	11.5	26.3	24.0	30.3	31.0
5	10.5	3.5	3.4	$0.7^{\rm b}$ ($P = .21$)	17.2	19.3	10.9	11.9	40.6	10.8	20.0	3.5	21.1	22.0	25.5	27.5
9	4.8	I	4.8	ļ	14.2	I	12.2	I	21.6	I	34.3	I	27.2	I	48.4	I
7	12.8	9.1	6.5	4.0	12.0	16.9	10.4	13.4	100.1	56.0	62.6	32.2	32.2	37.3	44.1	49.1
∞	10.9	I	5.0	I	19.8	I	13.0	I	55.7	I	39.3	I	34.4	I	49.7	I
Average	11.1 ± 6.9	1.9 ± 7.0	4.0 ± 2.1	-0.8 ± 3.5	16.1 ± 2.8	19.0 ± 3.7	10.1 ± 2.1	11.3 ± 2.0	67.6 ± 51.4	27.1 ± 24.9	33.7 ± 21.5	17.7 ± 10.2	27.0 ± 7.2	26.0 ± 7.5	37.7 ± 12.1	35.3 ± 11.5

On-line Table 3: Differences between velocity fields as determined with 3D PC-MRI and \mbox{CFD}^a

Note:---2D BC indicates the CFD simulations with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; 3D BC, CFD with inflow boundary condition obtained from 3D PC-MRI; -- not possible to perform the CFD with inflow boundary condition obtained from the CFD with inflow boundary conditions obtained from the CFD with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; and the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; and the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; and the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; and the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; and the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from the cFD with inflow boundary conditions from 2D PC-MRI; and the cFD with inflow boundary 3D PC-MRI because the CFD inflow boundary was located outside the imaging volume of the 3D PC-MRI measurement.

^a Indicated *MDif*, *SDif*, and *RDif* and the median angle as determined on a voxel basis and averaged over the whole aneurysm and connecting vessels, between 3D PC-MRI and CFD.

 $^{\circ}$ Nonsignificant difference. All P values not given were P < .0001.

		ШW	lif			21S	Dif			RDi	f (%)	
	Systo	ble	Diastol	e	Sys	tole	Diast	ole	Syste	ole	Dias	tole
Aneurysm	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC	2D BC	3D BC
-	0.02^{b} ($P = .42$)	$0.00^{\rm b}$ ($P = .93$)	-0.05 (P = .001)	-0.09	0.66	0.67	0.55	0.74	1.3	0.1	4.4	7.5
2	$0.02^{\rm b}$ ($P = .55$)	-0.23	$0.01^{\rm b}$ ($P = .74$)	-0.23	0.64	0.79	1.01	1.06	1.3	15.6	1:1	14.7
e	-0.08 (P = .0007)	-0.17	-0.11 (P = .002)	-0.22	0.83	0.93	1.24	1.41	7.6	15.3	9.7	18.2
4	0.16	0.18	0.30	0.32	0.60	0.51	1.12	1.18	25.6	29.6	43.8	47.6
5	$-0.04^{\rm b}$ ($P = .37$)	-0.32	-0.12 (P = .0003)	-0.18	16.0	0.94	0.75	0.97	3.6	28.5	11.6	17.4
9	-0.31	I	0.08 (P = .015)	I	0.97	I	0.94	I	27.4	I	8.2	I
7	0.15	0.24	0.29	0.35	0.57	0.86	1.08	1.1	19.1	29.2	34.6	39.6
∞	0.27	I	0.26	I	0.79	I	0.76	I	37.8	I	41.7	I
Average	0.02 ± 0.17	-0.05 ± 0.23	0.08 ± 0.18	-0.01 ± 0.27	0.75 ± 0.15	0.78 ± 0.17	0.93 ± 0.23	1.08 ± 0.22	15.5 ± 13.9	19.7 ± 11.7	19.4 ± 17.6	24.2 ± 15.7
Note: JD BC	indicates the CED similation	repaired woffer in the second	N conditions from 2D PC-W	4PI- 3D BC CED with	inflow hou adam,	conditions obtains	d from 3D DC-ADI	not nocciblo to	v sorform that	od wolden dation	indaw, condition	obtained from

On-line Table 4: Differences between singular-energy fields as determined with 3D PC-MRI and CFD^a

^a indicated are *MDif. SDif.* and *RDif.* and the median angle, as determined on a voxel basis and averaged over the whole aneurysm and connecting vessels, between 3D PC-MRI and CFD. ^b Nonsignificant difference. All *P* values not given were P < .0001.

E7