Every Newborn BIRTH multi-country validation study: informing measurement of coverage and quality of maternal and newborn care

Kangaroo mother care: EN-BIRTH multi-country validation study

Additional File 1: STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	ltem No	Recommendation	Achieved
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in	√
		the title or the abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced	\checkmark
		summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	\checkmark
		investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any pre-specified	\checkmark
		hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	\checkmark
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	\checkmark
		periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data	
		collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources	√
		and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods	
		of follow-up	
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the	
		sources and methods of case ascertainment and control	
		selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and	
		controls	
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the	
		sources and methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria	NA
		and number of exposed and unexposed	
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching	
		criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	\checkmark
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if	
		applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details	\checkmark
measurement		of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	
		comparability of assessment methods if there is more than	
		one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	\checkmark
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	In
			Protoco
			paper

variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen	\checkmark
		and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	~
		control for confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	\checkmark
		interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	\checkmark
		(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	NA
		<i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of	
		cases and controls was addressed	
		<i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical	
		methods taking account of sampling strategy (<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	\checkmark
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg	✓
·		numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-	
		up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	\checkmark
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	\checkmark
Descriptive	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic,	\checkmark
data	- ·	clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential	
		confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each	~
		variable of interest	
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and	NA
		total amount)	1.07
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or	NA
	10	summary measures over time	
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure	NA
		category, or summary measures of exposure	,,,,
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or	~
		summary measures	
Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-	~
Wall results		adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence	
		interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for	
		and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables	\checkmark
		were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk	NA
		into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	11/7
	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and	~
Other analyses	÷'	interactions, and sensitivity analyses	
Other analyses			
Discussion	18		~
Discussion Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	✓ ✓
Discussion	18 19		√ √

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	\checkmark
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	\checkmark
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	\checkmark

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.