



Supplementary Material

The Relation Between Hair-Cortisol Concentration and Various Welfare Assessments of Dutch Dairy Farms

Frank J. C. M. van Eerdenburg 1,*, Tessa Hof 2, Benthe Doeve 2, Lars Ravesloot 2, Elly C. Zeinstra 2, Rebecca E. Nordquist 2 and Franz Josef van der Staay 2

- Department of Farm animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, Yalelaan 7, 3584 CL Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ² Behaviour and Welfare Group, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Utrecht University, Yalelaan 7, 3584 CL Utrecht, The Netherlands; t.hof518@gmail.com (T.H.); benthedoeve@gmail.com (B.D.); lars.ravesloot@wur.nl (L.R.); e.c.zeinstra@uu.nl (E.C.Z.); r.e.nordquist1@uu.nl (R.E.N.); f.j.vanderstaay@uu.nl (F.J.v.d.S.)
- * Correspondence: f.j.c.m.vaneerdenburg1@uu.nl

Citation: van Eerdenburg, F.J.C.M.; Hof, T.; Doeve, B.; Ravesloot, L.; Zeinstra, E.C.; Nordquist, R.E.; van der Staay, F.J. The Relation between Hair-Cortisol Concentration and Various Welfare Assessments of Dutch Dairy Farms. *Animals* **2021**, 11, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

Academic Editor: Paul Koene

Received: 30 January 2021 Accepted: 10 March 2021 Published: date

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Animals 2021, 11, 821 2 of 7

Tables with the elements measured in all the protocols used.

Table S1. Welzijnswijzer (WW) (=Welfare Indicator)

| Number of animals |
|---|
| present at the farm |
| with BCS < 1.5 * |
| lying in alleys |
| with swollen hocks |
| < size of a fist |
| > size of a fist |
| with swollen carpal joints, |
| < size of a fist |
| > size of a fist |
| with arthritis (swollen, painful joint) |
| with thickness on dorsal neck |
| with dirty hindquarters, size of the dirty area |
| 25 × 25–50 × 50 cm |
| 50 × 50cm–½ hindquarter |
| > ½ hindquarter |
| with skin infections with fungi |
| with scabies |
| with lesions |
| with clinical mastitis |
| with impaired teat condition (any type of injury or damage) |
| with claw score 3 (angle > 24°) |
| that are severely lame |
| with lameness score 1 (Sprecher score) |
| with lameness score 2 & 3 |
| with lameness score 4 & 5 |
| with avoidance test > 2m |
| with avoidance test < 2m, but not touched |
| with avoidance test touched |
| Number of places at the feed rack |
| Height of the feed rack |
| Width of a feeding place at the feed rack |
| Quality of the drinking water |
| Access to the drinking water |
| Bedding is soft (non-painful kneetest) |
| Quantity of bedding |
| Number of lying places |
| Length of free stall |
| Width of free stall |
| Number with open front |
| Number with closed front |
| Diagonal (distance of neck rail—curb) |
| AUTH DE L CM W DE DIT A D DI E WI HE I I |

^{*} Wildman, E.E.; Jones, G.M.; Wagner, P.E.; Dirkzwager, A.; Boman, R.L.; Troutt Jr., H.F.; Lesch, T.N. A dairy cow body condition scoring system and Its relationship to selected production characteristics. *J Dairy Sci* **1982**, *65*, 495–501.

Animals **2021**, 11, 821 3 of 7

Table S2. Continuous Welfare Monitor (CWM)

Percentage cows with somatic cell count > 250,000

Economic result

Bulk milk cell count

Percentage dead cows (per annum)

Non return < 56 days

Expected calving interval

Table S3. Koekompas (KK) (=Cow Compass) (welfare related parameters)

Activity / fearfulness

BCS < 2 and > 4*

Locomotion score 3,4,5 (Sprecher system)

Hock score

Hygiene

Deviant cows, rumination, manure, rumen score

General impression, hair, skin lesions

High somatic cell count (number of animals)

Clinical mastitis

Claw disorders

Metabolic disorders

Retentio secundinarum

Vaginitis

Embryonic deaths

Forced culling

Other diseases

number of places at the feed rack

height of the feed rack

width of a feeding place

Softness of bedding

quantity of bedding

number of lying places

length of free stall

width of free stall

Head space

Cleanliness of the free stalls

Access to pasture

Quality of the path to pasture

Barn environment: Light, ventilation, mechanical brush.

Quality of the feed

Does the feed fit the group?

Quality and quantity of drinking water

* Wildman, E.E.; Jones, G.M.; Wagner, P.E.; Dirkzwager, A.; Boman, R.L.; Troutt Jr., H.F.; Lesch, T.N. A dairy cow body condition scoring system and Its relationship to selected production characteristics. *J Dairy Sci* **1982**, *65*, 495-501.

Table S4. Stall Standing Index (SSI)

Percentage of cows standing with 2 or more feet in the stall

Animals **2021**, 11, 821 4 of 7

Table S5. Cow comfort scoring system (CCSS)

| General | Concentrate Dispenser |
|---|---|
| Number of cows standing idle | Number |
| Fear behavior | Type (period-/saving system) |
| Stretching when raising from cubicle | |
| Tail is hanging straight and relaxed | Water |
| Bellowing | Number of places |
| Cows lying in walkways | Type of waterer |
| Noise (environmental) | Cleanliness |
| | Temperature |
| Light | |
| Sufficient light in the barn | Waiting room and milking parlor |
| Period of light > 15 h | Behavior |
| Period of dark > 6 h | Time spent every milking |
| 37(*1(* | YA7.11 |
| Ventilation | Walkways and alleys |
| It smells fresh (between the animals) | Width of the alley behind the feeding fence |
| Cobwebs Condense/mold | Width other walkways |
| Barn temperature | Sufficient passages |
| Dead spaces | Miscellaneous |
| Draft | Maternity pen |
| Diait | Sick bay |
| Cubicles/Free stalls | Access to pasture / outside paddock |
| Cows are clean | Is there a mechanical brush? |
| Bedding is made of inorganic material | |
| Bedding is soft | Animal health + feeding |
| Bedding is clean and dry | Hair |
| Stall surface is under a slight angle | Lameness |
| Bedding is flat | Hocks |
| Neck rail | Claws |
| Lunge space | Mastitis |
| Stall dimensions | Abomasal displacement |
| Brisket board | Filling of the rumen |
| Number | Milking fever |
| | Acetonaemia (Ketosis) |
| Floor | BCS |
| | Fat % |
| | y . |
| | Calving |
| | |
| Cleanliness | |
| Feeding fence | |
| Headlocks (yes or no) | |
| Height | |
| Number of places | |
| Contamination of feed | |
| Slipperiness Loose/unequal slats Rubber Walking Cleanliness Feeding fence Headlocks (yes or no) Height | |

Animals **2021**, 11, 821 5 of 7

Table S6. New Welfare Monitor (WM)

| Principle | Parameters Measured | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Feed & water | % very lean cows | |
| | Water supply | |
| Housing | Free stall dimensions | |
| | Softness of bedding (with knee test) | |
| | Cleanliness of the cows | |
| | Access to pasture (as in WQ) Cows lying outside free stall (as in WQ) | |
| | | |
| Health | Locomotion score (Sprecher system) | |
| | Skin lesions (as in WQ) % mastitis (milk somatic cell count > 400,000) | |
| | | |
| | Other diseases (respiratory/metabolic/fertility) (as in WQ) | |
| Behaviour | Avoidance distance at the feeding fence (as in WQ) | |
| | Possibilities for expression of normal behaviour (as in WQ) | |

Table S7. Welfare Quality (WQ)

| Number of lactating cows | |
|--|--|
| Type of housing (loose vs tied) | |
| Feeding | Behaviour |
| % very lean cows | Frequency of butts per cow per hour |
| Number/length/flow of water troughs sufficient? | Frequency of other aggressive events |
| At least 2 water bowls available for each cow | % cows that can be touched |
| Are the drinkers clean? | % cows that can be approached by 50 cm but not touched |
| Housing | % cows that can be approached between 50 cm and 1 m $$ |
| Duration of lying down movements (in sec) | % cows that can't be approached |
| % lying down movements with collisions | Tendency to be active |
| % lying cows which lie partly outside lying area | Tendency to be relaxed |
| % cows with dirty lower legs | Tendency to be fearful |
| % cows with dirty udder | Tendency to be agitated |
| % cows with dirty flank and upper legs | Tendency to be calm |
| Number of days with access to OLA per year | Tendency to be content |
| Number of hours with access to OLA per day | Tendency to be indifferent |
| Number of days on pasture per year | Tendency to be frustrated |
| Number of hours on pasture per day | Tendency to be friendly |
| Health | Tendency to be bored |
| % not lame cows | Tendency to be playful |
| % moderately lame cows | Tendency to be positively occupied |
| % severely lame cows | Tendency to be lively |
| % cows with no integument alterations | Tendency to be inquisitive |
| Number of hairless patches per cow | Tendency to be irritable |
| Number of lesions + swellings per cow | Tendency to be uneasy |
| Frequency of coughing per cow per 15 min | Tendency to be sociable |
| % cows with nasal discharge | Tendency to be apathetic |
| % cows with ocular discharge | Tendency to be happy |
| % cows with increased respiratory rate | Tendency to be distressed |
| % cows with diarrhoea | |
| % cows with vulvar discharge | |
| % mastitis (milk somatic cell count > 400,000) | |
| % mortality during the last 12 months | |

Animals **2021**, 11, 821 6 of 7

| % dystocia |
|--------------------------------------|
| % downer cows |
| % dehorned cows |
| Method used for dehorning |
| Use of anaesthetics for dehorning |
| Use of analgesics for dehorning |
| % tail-docked cows |
| Method used for tail-docking |
| Use of anaesthetics for tail docking |
| Use of analgesics for tail docking |

Table S8. Modified Welfare Quality (WQ Mod)

| Number of lactating cows | |
|--|--|
| Type of housing (loose vs tied) | |
| Feeding | Behaviour |
| % very lean cows | Frequency of butts per cow per hour |
| Number/length/flow of water troughs sufficient? | Frequency of other aggressive events |
| At least 2 water bowls available for each cow | % cows that can be touched |
| Are the drinkers clean? | % cows that can be approached by 50 cm but not touched |
| Housing | % cows that can be approached between 50 cm and 1 m $$ |
| Duration of lying down movements (in sec) | % cows that can't be approached |
| % lying down movements with collisions | |
| % lying cows which lie partly outside lying area | |
| % cows with dirty lower legs | |
| % cows with dirty udder | |
| % cows with dirty flank and upper legs | |
| Number of days with access to OLA per year | |
| Number of hours with access to OLA per day | |
| Number of days on pasture per year | |
| Number of hours on pasture per day | |
| Health | |
| % not lame cows | |
| % moderately lame cows | |
| % severely lame cows | |
| % cows with no integument alterations | |
| Number of hairless patches per cow | |
| Number of lesions + swellings per cow | |
| Frequency of coughing per cow per 15 min | |
| % cows with nasal discharge | |
| % cows with ocular discharge | |
| % cows with increased respiratory rate | |
| % cows with diarrhoea | |
| % cows with vulvar discharge | |
| % mastitis (milk somatic cell count > 400,000) | |
| % mortality during the last 12 months | |
| % dystocia | |
| % downer cows | |
| % dehorned cows | |
| Method used for dehorning | |
| Use of anaesthetics for dehorning | |

Animals **2021**, 11, 821 7 of 7

| Use of analgesics for dehorning |
|--------------------------------------|
| % tail-docked cows |
| Method used for tail-docking |
| Use of anaesthetics for tail docking |
| Use of analgesics for tail docking |