TABLE S3. Dataset including demographic and diseases features of the overall population, patients discontinuing and continuing treatment at different timepoints.

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Gender						
n	251		1580		1831	
F	119	(47.4%)	713	(45.1%)	832	(45.4%)
М	132	(52.6%)	867	(54.9%)	999	(54.6%)
Smoking						
n	251		1578		1829	
Former smoker	20	(8.0%)	126	(8.0%)	146	(8.0%)
NO	172	(68.5%)	1087	(68.9%)	1259	(68.8%)
YES	59	(23.5%)	365	(23.1%)	424	(23.2%)
Asthma						
n	251		1579		1830	
NO	172	(68.5%)	1081	(68.5%)	1253	(68.5%)
YES	79	(31.5%)	498	(31.5%)	577	(31.5%)
Rhinitis						
n	251		1579		1830	
NO	133	(53.0%)	838	(53.1%)	971	(53.1%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Rhinitis						
YES	118	(47.0%)	741	(46.9%)	859	(46.9%)
Conjunctivitis						
n	250		1579		1829	
NO	173	(69.2%)	1016	(64.3%)	1189	(65.0%)
YES	77	(30.8%)	563	(35.7%)	640	(35.0%)
Antihistamines						
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	182	(72.5%)	1209	(76.5%)	1391	(76.0%)
YES	69	(27.5%)	371	(23.5%)	440	(24.0%)
Cyclosporine						
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	204	(81.3%)	1491	(94.4%)	1695	(92.6%)
YES	47	(18.7%)	89	(5.6%)	136	(7.4%)
Azathioprine						
n	251		1580		1831	

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Azathioprine						
NO	251	(100.0%)	1572	(99.5%)	1823	(99.6%)
YES	0		8	(0.5%)	8	(0.4%)
Methotrexate						
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	245	(97.6%)	1549	(98.0%)	1794	(98.0%)
YES	6	(2.4%)	31	(2.0%)	37	(2.0%)
Mycophenolate mofet	il					
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	251	(100.0%)	1579	(99.9%)	1830	(99.9%)
YES	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Systemic corticoste	roids					
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	228	(90.8%)	1522	(96.3%)	1750	(95.6%)
YES	23	(9.2%)	58	(3.7%)	81	(4.4%)

	Patie	nts discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Phototherapy						
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	191	(76.1%)	1560	(98.7%)	1751	(95.6%)
YES	60	(23.9%)	20	(1.3%)	80	(4.4%)
Dupilumab						
n	248		1576		1824	
NO	115	(46.4%)	172	(10.9%)	287	(15.7%)
YES	133	(53.6%)	1404	(89.1%)	1537	(84.3%)
Stopped therapy ((any reason)	at visit 1				
n	251		1580		1831	
NO	59	(23.5%)	1580	(100.0%)	1639	(89.5%)
YES	192	(76.5%)	0		192	(10.5%)
Stopped therapy ((any reason)	at visit 2				

n	236		1534		1770	
NO	173	(73.3%)	1534	(100.0%)	1707	(96.4%)
YES	63	(26.7%)	0		63	(3.6%)

	Patie	nts discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Stopped therapy	(any reason)	at visit 3				
n	190		1434		1624	
NO	162	(85.3%)	1434	(100.0%)	1596	(98.3%)
YES	28	(14.7%)	0		28	(1.7%)
Stopped therapy	autonomously	at visit 1				
n	192		58		250	
NO	100	(52.1%)	58	(100.0%)	158	(63.2%)
YES	92	(47.9%)	0		92	(36.8%)
Stopped therapy	autonomously	at visit 2				
n	50		115		165	
NO	36	(72.0%)	115	(100.0%)	151	(91.5%)
YES	14	(28.0%)	0		14	(8.5%)
Stopped therapy	autonomously	at visit 3				
n	48		146		194	
NO	40	(83.3%)	146	(100.0%)	186	(95.9%)
YES	8	(16.7%)	0		8	(4.1%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Stopped therapy	by general p	practitioner at visi	lt 1			
n	184		58		242	
NO	172	(93.5%)	58	(100.0%)	230	(95.0%)
YES	12	(6.5%)	0		12	(5.0%)
Stopped therapy	by general p	practitioner at visi	lt 2			
n	50		114		164	
NO	47	(94.0%)	114	(100.0%)	161	(98.2%)
YES	3	(6.0%)	0		3	(1.8%)

Stopped therapy	by general p	ractitioner	at visit 3				
n	48		117		165		
NO	47	(97.9%)	117	(100.0%)	164	(99.4%)	
YES	1	(2.1%)	0		1	(0.6%)	
Stopped therapy	by dermatolo	gist at vis:	it 1				
n	183		30		213		
NO	142	(77.6%)	30	(100.0%)	172	(80.8%)	
YES	41	(22.4%)	0		41	(19.2%)	
YES	41	(22.4%)	0		41	(19.2%)	

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Stopped therapy by derr	matolog.	ist at visit 2				
n	51		114		165	
NO	29	(56.9%)	114	(100.0%)	143	(86.7%)
YES	22	(43.1%)	0		22	(13.3%)
Stopped therapy by derr	matolog	ist at visit 3				
n	48		116		164	
NO	25	(52.1%)	116	(100.0%)	141	(86.0%)
YES	23	(47.9%)	0		23	(14.0%)
Reason stopped therapy	at vis	it 1				
n	192		4		196	
Other cause	101	(52.6%)	0		101	(51.5%)
Comorbidity	10	(5.2%)	0		10	(5.1%)
Contact with SARS- CoV-2+ subject	7	(3.6%)	0		7	(3.6%)
Age >60	6	(3.1%)	4	(100.0%)	10	(5.1%)
SARS-CoV-2 infection	6	(3.1%)	0		6	(3.1%)
Fear of SARS-CoV-2	0	(0.10)	0		0	(0.10)
infection	62	(32.3%)	0		62	(31.6%)

treatment trea	atment TOTAL	
N=251 N=	1580 N=1831	

n	49		0	49	
Other cause	32	(65.3%)	0	32	(65.3%)
Comorbidity	5	(10.2%)	0	5	(10.2%)
Age >60	3	(6.1%)	0	3	(6.1%)
SARS-CoV-2 infection	3	(6.1%)	0	3	(6.1%)
Fear of SARS-CoV-2 infection	6	(12.2%)	0	6	(12.2%)
Reason stopped therapy a	at visi	t 3			
n	48		0	48	
Other cause	35	(72.9%)	0	35	(72.9%)
Comorbidity	2	(4.2%)	0	2	(4.2%)
Age >60	6	(12.5%)	0	6	(12.5%)
SARS-CoV-2 infection Fear of SARS-CoV-2	2	(4.2%)	0	2	(4.2%)
infection	3	(6.3%)	0	3	(6.3%)
COVID-19 infection at v	isit 1				
n	247		1469	1716	

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
COVID-19 infect	ion at visit	1				
NO	241	(97.6%)	1462	(99.5%)	1703	(99.2%)
YES	6	(2.4%)	7	(0.5%)	13	(0.8%)
COVID-19 infect	ion at visit	2				
n	240		1536		1776	
NO	236	(98.3%)	1535	(99.9%)	1771	(99.7%)
YES	4	(1.7%)	1	(0.1%)	5	(0.3%)
COVID-19 infect	ion at visit	3				
n	225		1469		1694	
NO	223	(99.1%)	1469	(100.0%)	1692	(99.9%)
YES	2	(0.9%)	0		2	(0.1%)
Buffer performe	d at visit 1					
n	247		1469		1716	
NO	228	(92.3%)	1446	(98.4%)	1674	(97.6%)
YES	19	(7.7%)	23	(1.6%)	42	(2.4%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Buffer perform	ed at visit 2					
n	240		1535		1775	
NO	230	(95.8%)	1525	(99.3%)	1755	(98.9%)
YES	10	(4.2%)	10	(0.7%)	20	(1.1%)
Buffer perform	ed at visit 3					
n	225		1469		1694	
NO	220	(97.8%)	1457	(99.2%)	1677	(99.0%)
YES	5	(2.2%)	12	(0.8%)	17	(1.0%)
Hospitalisatio	n at visit 1					
n	246		1449		1695	
NO	244	(99.2%)	1448	(99.9%)	1692	(99.8%)
YES	2	(0.8%)	1	(0.1%)	3	(0.2%)
Hospitalisatio	n at visit 2					
n	240		1536		1776	
NO	240	(100.0%)	1536	(100.0%)	1776	(100.0%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Hospitalisation	at visit 3					
n	225		1469		1694	
NO	225	(100.0%)	1469	(100.0%)	1694	(100.0%)
Dosage changed	or lengtheni	ng of time interval	ls at visi	t 1		
n	231		1479		1710	
NO	214	(92.6%)	1463	(98.9%)	1677	(98.1%)
YES	17	(7.4%)	16	(1.1%)	33	(1.9%)
Dosage changed	or lengtheni	ng of time interval	ls at visi	t 2		
n	146		1096		1242	
NO	136	(93.2%)	1086	(99.1%)	1222	(98.4%)
YES	10	(6.8%)	10	(0.9%)	20	(1.6%)
Dosage changed	or lengtheni	ng of time interval	ls at visi	t 3		
n	148		906		1054	
NO	139	(93.9%)	902	(99.6%)	1041	(98.8%)
YES	9	(6.1%)	4	(0.4%)	13	(1.2%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Modified therapy at	visit 2					
n	240		1535		1775	
NO	161	(67.1%)	1449	(94.4%)	1610	(90.7%)
YES	79	(32.9%)	86	(5.6%)	165	(9.3%)
Modified therapy at	visit 3					
n	224		1437		1661	
NO	160	(71.4%)	1393	(96.9%)	1553	(93.5%)
YES	64	(28.6%)	44	(3.1%)	108	(6.5%)
Other therapy at vis	it 1					
n	250		1399		1649	
NO	71	(28.4%)	446	(31.9%)	517	(31.4%)
Topical therapy	109	(43.6%)	275	(19.7%)	384	(23.3%)
Homeopathy	0		3	(0.2%)	3	(0.2%)
Emollients	70	(28.0%)	675	(48.2%)	745	(45.2%)
Other therapy at vis	it 2					
n	183		1227		1410	

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat.	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Other therapy at visit	z 2					
NO	38	(20.8%)	261	(21.3%)	299	(21.2%)
Topical therapy	52	(28.4%)	212	(17.3%)	264	(18.7%)
Homeopathy	1	(0.5%)	2	(0.2%)	3	(0.2%)
Emollients	91	(49.7%)	748	(61.0%)	839	(59.5%)
Other therapy at visit	z 3					
n	180		1232		1412	
			3	(0.2%)	4	(0.3%)
NO	43	(23.9%)	341	(27.7%)	384	(27.2%)
Topical therapy	53	(29.4%)	217	(17.6%)	270	(19.1%)
Homeopathy	1	(0.6%)	3	(0.2%)	4	(0.3%)
Emollients	82	(45.6%)	668	(54.2%)	750	(53.1%)
Therapies followed dur	ring the	study				
n	251		1580		1831	
Anti-histamines	2	(0.8%)	36	(2.3%)	38	(2.1%)

_

	Pati	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Therapies followed during	+ ho	atudu				
Anti-histamines		study				
Azathioprine	0		3	(0.2%)	3	(0.2%)
Anti-histamines Cyclosporine	16	(6.4%)	35	(2.2%)	51	(2.8%)
Anti-histamines Cyclosporine Systemic corticosteroids	3	(1.2%)	2	(0.1%)	5	(0.3%)
Anti-histamines Cyclosporine Systemic cortico. Dupilumab	1	(0.4%)	1	(0.1%)	2	(0.1%)
Anti-histamines Cyclosporine Systemic corticosteroids phototherapy	1	(0.4%)	0		1	(0.1%)
Anti-histamines Cyclosporine Dupilumab	4	(1.6%)	11	(0.7%)	15	(0.8%)
Anti-histamines Cyclosporine Methotrexate Dupilumab	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Anti-histamines Systemic corticosteroids	9	(3.6%)	9	(0.6%)	18	(1.0%)
Anti-histamines Systemic corticoster. Dupilumab	6	(2.4%)	34	(2.2%)	40	(2.2%)
Anti-histamines Dupilumab	32	(12.7%)	322	(20.4%)	354	(19.3%)
Anti-histamines Phototherapy	15	(6.0%)	3	(0.2%)	18	(1.0%)
Anti-histamines Phototherapy Dupilumab	6	(2.4%)	3	(0.2%)	9	(0.5%)
Anti-histamines Methotrexate	0		3	(0.2%)	3	(0.2%)
Anti-histamines Methotrexate Systemic corticosteroids	1	(0.4%)	1	(0.1%)	2	(0.1%)
Anti-histamines Methotrexate Systemic corticosteroids Dupilumab	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Therapies followed durin	g the s	tudy				
Anti-histamines Methotrexate Dupilumab	0		6	(0.4%)	6	(0.3%)
Anti-histamines Mycophen. mofetil Systemic cortic. Dupi.	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Azathioprine	0		5	(0.3%)	5	(0.3%)
Cyclosporine	10	(4.0%)	37	(2.3%)	47	(2.6%)
Cyclosporine Systemic corticoster. Dupilumab	1	(0.4%)	3	(0.2%)	4	(0.2%)
Cyclosporine Systemic corticoster. Phototherapy	1	(0.4%)	0		1	(0.1%)
Cyclosporine Systemic corticoster. Phototherapy Dupilumab	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Cyclosporine Dupilumab	12	(4.8%)	34	(2.2%)	46	(2.5%)
Cyclosporine Phototherapy	1	(0.4%)	0		1	(0.1%)
Cyclosporine Phototherapy Dupilumab	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Cyclosporine Methotrexate	2	(0.8%)	2	(0.1%)	4	(0.2%)
Cyclosporine Methotrexate Dupilumab	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Systemic corticoster.	4	(1.6%)	3	(0.2%)	7	(0.4%)
Systemic corticoster. Dupilumab	6	(2.4%)	46	(2.9%)	52	(2.8%)
Systemic corticoster. Phototherapy	0		3	(0.2%)	3	(0.2%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
Therapies followed durin	ng the	study				
Systemic corticoster. Photother Dupilumab	1	(0.4%)	1	(0.1%)	2	(0.1%)
Dupilumab	75	(29.9%)	938	(59.4%)	1013	(55.3%)
Phototherapy	28	(11.2%)	2	(0.1%)	30	(1.6%)
Photother Dupilumab	6	(2.4%)	6	(0.4%)	12	(0.7%)
Methotrexate	1	(0.4%)	16	(1.0%)	17	(0.9%)
Methotrexate Systemic corticoster. Dupilumab	0		4	(0.3%)	4	(0.2%)

Dupilumab Methotrexate	6	(2.4%)	3	(0.2%)	9	(0.5%)
Methotrexate Phototherapy	1	(0.4%)	0		1	(0.1%)
Methotrexate Phototherapy Dupilumab	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Mycophen. mofetil Systemic cortic. Dupi.	0		1	(0.1%)	1	(0.1%)
Quarantene at vist 1						
n	247		1469		1716	
NO	222	(89.9%)	1446	(98.4%)	1668	(97.2%)
YES	25	(10.1%)	23	(1.6%)	48	(2.8%)

	Patie	ents discontinuing treatment N=251	Pat	ients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831		
Quarantene at vist 2								
n	240		1536		1776			
NO	235	(97.9%)	1533	(99.8%)	1768	(99.5%)		
YES	5	(2.1%)	3	(0.2%)	8	(0.5%)		
Quarantene at v	vist 3							
n	225		1469		1694			
NO	224	(99.6%)	1468	(99.9%)	1692	(99.9%)		
YES	1	(0.4%)	1	(0.1%)	2	(0.1%)		
Therapy restart	ed at vist 1							
n	182		1		183			
NO	163	(89.6%)	1	(100.0%)	164	(89.6%)		
YES	19	(10.4%)	0		19	(10.4%)		
Therapy restart	ed at vist 2							
n	158		3		161			
NO	96	(60.8%)	1	(33.3%)	97	(60.2%)		
YES	62	(39.2%)	2	(66.7%)	64	(39.8%)		

		N=251		N=1580		N=1831	
Therapy restarted	at vist 3						
n	70		3		73		
NO	43	(61.4%)	3	(100.0%)	46	(63.0%)	
YES	27	(38.6%)	0		27	(37.0%)	
AD status at vist	1						
n	251		1577		1828		
improved	39	(15.5%)	454	(28.8%)	493	(27.0%)	
worsened	90	(35.9%)	162	(10.3%)	252	(13.8%)	
stable	122	(48.6%)	961	(60.9%)	1083	(59.2%)	
AD status at vist	2						
n	240		1535		1775		
improved	53	(22.1%)	417	(27.2%)	470	(26.5%)	
worsened	85	(35.4%)	138	(9.0%)	223	(12.6%)	
stable	102	(42.5%)	980	(63.8%)	1082	(61.0%)	
AD status at vist	3						
n	225		1464		1689		

	Patients discontinuing treatment N=251	Patients continuing treatment N=1580	TOTAL N=1831
AD status at vis	t 3		
0.8	0	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.1%)
improved	69 (30.7%)	442 (30.2%)	511 (30.3%)
worsened	43 (19.1%)	101 (6.9%)	144 (8.5%)
stable	113 (50.2%)	920 (62.8%)	1033 (61.2%)
Age (years)			
n	251	1580	1831
Mean (SD)	41.5 (18.2)	42.3 (17.2)	42.2 (17.4)
Median	36.0	41.0	40.0
Range	17 ; 89	15 ; 92	15 ; 92
EASI at visit 1			
n	251	1580	1831
Mean (SD)	8.231 (7.457)	6.628 (7.773)	6.847 (7.748)
Median	5.600	4.000	4.000
Range	0.00 ; 32.00	0.00 ; 47.00	0.00 ; 47.00

	Patients discontinuing treatment N=251	g Patients continuing treatment N=1580	TOTAL N=1831
EASI at visit 3			
n	91	655	746
Mean (SD)	7.28 (7.68)	2.83 (3.43)	3.37 (4.42)
Median	4.00	2.00	2.00
Range	0.0 ; 33.0	0.0 ; 31.0	0.0 ; 33.0
Change in EASI fr	com visit 1 to visit 3		
n	91	655	746
Mean (SD)	-0.230 (7.665)	-2.823 (7.103)	-2.507 (7.219)
Median	-0.600	-1.000	-1.000
Range	-26.00 ; 22.00	-47.00 ; 26.00	-47.00 ; 26.00
P-value (for pre-	post) Paired t-test		<0.0001
VAS - pruritus at	t visit 1		
n	251	1577	1828
Mean (SD)	3.7 (2.3)	2.4 (2.1)	2.6 (2.2)
Median	4.0	2.0	2.0
Range	0 ; 9	0 ; 10	0 ; 10

	Patien	ts discontinuing treatment N=251	Pa	tients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
VAS - pruritus at visi	t 2					
n	240		1535		1775	
Mean (SD)	3.8	(2.7)	2.2	(2.1)	2.5	(2.3)
Median	4.0		2.0		2.0	
Range	0 ;	10	0	; 10	0	; 10
VAS - pruritus at visi	t 3					
n	225		1465		1690	
Mean (SD)	3.3	(2.6)	2.0	(2.0)	2.2	(2.1)
Median	3.0		2.0		2.0	
Range	0 ;	10	0	; 10	0	; 10
Change in VAS - prurit	us from visi	t 1 to visit 3				
n	225		1462		1687	
Mean (SD)	-0.2	(2.7)	-0.3	(2.0)	-0.3	(2.1)
Median	0.0		0.0		0.0	
Range	-7 ;	10	-9	; 8	-9	; 10
P-value (for pre-pos	t) Paired t-	test			<0.	.0001

	Pat	ients discontinuing treatment N=251	Pa	tients continuing treatment N=1580		TOTAL N=1831
VAS - sleep at visit 1						
n	251		1577		1828	
Mean (SD)	2.7	(2.4)	1.6	(2.0)	1.7	(2.1)
Median	2.0		1.0		1.0	
Range	0	; 9	0	; 10	0	; 10
VAS - sleep at visit 2						
n	240		1535		1775	
Mean (SD)	2.8	(2.8)	1.5	(1.8)	1.6	(2.0)
Median	2.0		1.0		1.0	
Range	0	; 10	0	; 10	0	; 10
VAS - sleep at visit 3						
n	225		1465		1690	
Mean (SD)	2.2	(2.4)	1.2	(1.7)	1.3	(1.9)
Median	1.0		0.0		1.0	
Range	0	; 9	0	; 9	0	; 9

	Patients discontinuing treatment N=251	Patients continuing treatment N=1580	TOTAL N=1831
Change in VAS - sl	eep from visit 1 to visit 3		
n	225	1462	1687
Mean (SD)	-0.3 (2.8)	-0.3 (1.9)	-0.3 (2.0)
Median	0.0	0.0	0.0
Range	-8 ; 8	-10 ; 9	-10 ; 9
P-value (for pre	-post) Paired t-test		<0.0001
VAS – skin damage	at visit 1		
n	251	1577	1828
Mean (SD)	3.5 (2.2)	2.3 (2.0)	2.5 (2.1)
Median	4.0	2.0	2.0
Range	0 ; 9	0 ; 10	0 ; 10
Range JAS - skin damage	·	0 ; 10	0 ; 10
2	·	0 ; 10 1535	0 ; 10 1775
VAS - skin damage	at visit 2		
VAS - skin damage n	at visit 2 240	1535	1775

	Pat	ients discontinuing treatment N=251	Patients continui treatment N=1580	ng TOTAL N=1831
AS – skin damage	at visit 3			
n	225		1464	1689
Mean (SD)	3.0	(2.4)	1.9 (1.9)	2.1 (2.0)
Median	2.0		2.0	2.0
Range	0	; 9	0 ; 9	0 ; 9
uration of total	therapy inte	rruption (days)		
n	98		0	98
Mean (SD)	56.5	(27.2)		56.5 (27.2)
Median	56.0			56.0
Range	0	; 125		0 ; 125