Supplementary tables

Table S1. Questions in the CDR by domain and the response options with dummy coding

		Question number	CDR question	CDR response options
		Q1	Is the subject still working?	0=yes; 1=no
		Q2	Did memory or thinking problems contribute to the subject's decision to retire?	1=yes; 0=no
		Q3	Significant difficulty in job due to problems with memory and thinking?	0=rarely/never, 1=sometimes, 2=usually
		Q4a	Did he/she ever drive a car?	0=yes; 1=no
		Q4b	Does the subject drive a car now?	0=yes; 1=no
		Q4c	If no, is this because of memory/thinking problems?	1=yes, 0=no
Community Affairs	study partner	Q5	If he/she is still driving, are there problems or risks because of poor thinking?	1=yes, 0=no
		Q6	Is he/she able to independently shop for needs?	2=rarely/never; 1=sometimes; 0=usually
		Q7	Is he/she able to independently carry out activities outside the home?	2=rarely/never; 1=sometimes; 0=usually
		Q8	Is he/she taken to social functions outside a family home?	0=yes; 1=no
		Q9	Would a casual observer of the subject's behavior think the subject was ill?	1=yes; 0=no
Judgment and	study partner	Q1	In general, if you had to rate he/she abilities to solve problems at present time, would you rate them?	0=as good; 1=good, but not as good as before; 2=fair; 3=poor; 4=no ability at all
Problem Solving		Q2	Rate he/she ability to cope with small amounts of money	0=no loss; 1=some loss; 2= severe loss
		Q3	Rate he/she ability of handle complicated financial/business transactions	0=no loss; 1=some loss; 2= severe loss

	Q4	Can he/she handle a household emergency?	0=better than before; 1=as well as before; 2=worse than before due to trouble thinking
	Q5	Can he/she understand situations/explanations?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
	Q6	Does he/she behave appropriately [i.e., in his/her usual (premorbid) manner] in social situations and interactions with other people?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
	Q1	How are these things alike? Turnip/Cauliflower	0=vegetable; 1=living things/can be cooked; 2=wrong
	Q2	How are these things alike? Desk/Bookcase	0=furniture, office furniture, hold books; 1=wooden, legs; 2=wrong
	Q3	What is the difference between these things? Lie/Mistake	0=one intentional, one deliberate; 1=one bad, one good, or explains only one thing; 2=wrong
44.4-	Q4	What is the difference between these things? River/Canal	0=natural - artificial; 1=anything else
study participa		How many nickels in a dollar?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
	Q6	How many quarters in 6.75?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
	Q7	Subtract 3 from 20 and keep subtracting 3 from each new number all the way down	0=correct; 1=incorrect
	Q8	Upon arriving in a strange city, how would you locate a friend you wanted to see?	0=telephone book, courthouse, mutual friend; 1=call police/operator; 2=no clear response
	Q9	Subject's assessment of disability/station in life and understanding of why he/she is present at examination	0=good insight; 1=partial insight; 2= little insight

		Q1 and Q1a	Does he/she have a problem with memory or thinking? Is this a consistent problem?	0=no problem; 1=inconsistent; 2=consistent
		Q2	Can he/she recall recent events	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
		Q3	Can he/she remember a short list of items (shopping)	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
		Q4	Has there been some decline in memory over the past year	1=yes; 0=no
	study partner	Q5	Is his/her memory impaired to a degree that it would have interfered with his/her daily life a few years ago?	1=yes; 0=no
		Q6	Does he/she completely forget a major event?	2=usually; 1=sometimes; 0=rarely
Memory		Q7	Does he/she forget pertinent details for the major event?	2=usually; 1=sometimes; 0=rarely
		Q8	Does he/she completely forget important info from the distant past?	2=usually; 1=sometimes; 0=rarely
		Q1	Do you have problems with memory or thinking?	1=yes;0=no
		Q2	A few moments ago your (spouse, etc.) told me a few recent experiences you had. Will you tell me something about those? (autobiographical)	0=largely correct; 1; 2; 3; 4=largely incorrect
	study	Q4	When were you born?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
	participant	Q5	Where were you born?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q6a	What was the last school you attended (Name)?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q6b	What was the last school you attended (Place)?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q6c	What was the last school you attended (grade)?	0=correct; 1=incorrect

		Q7	What was your main occupation?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q8	What was your last major job?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q9	When did you retire and why?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q10	Repeat the name and address (John Brown 42 Market Street, Chicago)	0=all correct; 1=1wrong; 2=2wrong; 3=3wrong;4=4wrong; 5=5wrong
		Q1	How often does he/she know the exact date of the month?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
	study	Q2 How often does he/she know the exact month?		0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
		Q3	How often does he/she know the exact year?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
		Q4	How often does he/she know the exact day of the week?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
Orientation	partner	Q5	Does he/she have difficulty with time relationships?	2=usually; 1=sometimes; 0=rarely
		Q6	Can he/she find their way around familiar streets?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
		Q7	How often does he/she know how to get from place to place outside their neighborhood?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
		Q8	How often can he/she find their way about indoors?	0=usually; 1=sometimes; 2=rarely
	study participant	Q1	What is the date today?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q2	What day of the week is it?	0=correct; 1=incorrect

		Q3	What is the month?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q4	What is the year?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q5	What is the name of this place?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q6	What town or city are we in?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q7	What time is it?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q8	Does the subject know who the informant is (in your judgement)?	0=correct; 1=incorrect
		Q1	What is your estimate of he/she mental abilities: Dressing?	0=unaided; 1=occasionally bad; 2=commonly bad; 3=unable
Personal	study	Q2	What is your estimate of he/she mental abilities: Washing/Grooming?	0=unaided; 1=occasionally bad; 2=commonly bad; 3=unable
Care	partner	Q3	What is your estimate of he/she mental abilities: Eating habits?	0=unaided; 1=occasionally bad; 2=commonly bad; 3=unable
		Q4	What is your estimate of he/she mental abilities: Sphincter control?	0=unaided; 1=occasionally bad; 2=commonly bad; 3=unable

Table S2. Fit statistics for the confirmatory factor analysis

Domain	RMSEA	95% CI		TLI	CFI
Community Affairs	0.026	0.021	0.032	0.99	0.99
Judgment and Problem Solving	0.075	0.071	0.079	0.91	0.93
Memory	0.046	0.043	0.048	0.97	0.98
Orientation	0.059	0.056	0.062	0.97	0.97
Personal Care	0	0	0.035	1	1

RMSEA: root mean square error of approximation (lower is better); TLI: Tucker-Lewis index; CFI: comparative fit index.TLI and CFI close to 0.95 or higher indicates good fit.

Table S3. Item discrimination (a) and difficulty (b) parameters estimated from the unidimensional IRT model for each domain. Discrimination parameter indicates how well an item in discriminating participants with different degrees of dementia severity (higher is better). Difficulty parameter represents the degree of dementia severity at which certain response options have a 50% likelihood of being selected.

			a	b1	b2	b3	b4	b5
		Q1	1.007	-1.302				
		Q2	0.781	3.441				
		Q3	1.931	0.612	1.644			
		Q4a	1.251	3.220				
	. 1	Q4b	2.062	1.342				
Community Affairs	study partner	Q4c	0.593	-0.266				
Allalis	partitei	Q5	2.069	0.692				
		Q6	4.865	0.704	1.596			
		Q7	5.058	0.768	1.908			
		Q8	1.022	4.299				
l		Q9	1.148	3.675				
		Q1	3.519	-0.134	0.686	1.446	2.549	
	study partner	Q2	3.302	0.940	1.789			
		Q3	4.749	0.256	1.054			
		Q4	2.837	-2.882	0.818			
		Q5	2.029	0.977	2.323			
		Q6	1.138	2.316	4.854			
Judgment		Q1	1.271	1.450	2.759			
and Problem		Q2	1.452	1.514	2.094			
Solving		Q3	1.575	1.187	1.885			
8	atu dv	Q4	0.889	0.369				
	study participant	Q5	1.623	1.718				
	participant	Q6	1.695	0.719				
		Q7	1.599	0.742				
		Q8	1.434	1.742	2.703			
		Q9	2.346	0.826	1.892			
		Q1 and						
		Q1a	5.556	-0.170	0.062			
	study	Q2	3.534	0.447	1.415			
Memory	partner	Q3	2.935	-0.062	0.697			
	P	Q4	3.630	-0.173				
		Q5	4.358	0.262				
		Q6	3.354	1.281				

		Q7	3.676	0.439				
		Q8	1.666	0.873	2.225			
		Q1	0.998	-0.374				
		Q2	2.682	0.219	0.668	1.452	1.989	
		Q4	1.657	2.521				
		Q5	2.052	2.759				
		Q6a	1.884	2.155				
	study	Q6b	1.888	2.342				
	participant	Q6c	1.796	2.626				
		Q7	2.265	2.504				
		Q8	2.291	1.897				
		Q 9	1.996	0.918				
		Q10	1.934	-0.280	0.389	0.853	1.168	1.359
		Q1	3.782	0.287	0.934			
		Q2	5.985	1.041	1.525			
		Q3	4.917	1.282	1.669			
	study partner	Q4	4.104	0.519	1.284			
		Q5	2.434	0.362	1.302			
		Q6	1.871	1.528	2.313			
		Q7	2.365	0.457	1.123			
Orientation		Q8	1.640	2.405	3.304			
Orientation		Q1	2.707	0.767				
		Q2	2.379	1.263				
		Q3	2.780	1.538				
	study	Q4	2.958	1.549				
	participant	Q5	1.891	1.195				
		Q6	0.695	-0.071				
		Q7	1.333	1.711				
		Q8	0.951	5.928				
		Q1	3.933	1.521	2.799			
Personal	study	Q2	2.709	1.572	3.005	4.164		
care	partner	Q3	2.266	2.362	4.581			
		Q4	1.550	2.915	3.967	5.436		

Table S4. Correlation between factors estimated from the bi-factor IRT model.

Correlation	General factor	Community Affair	Judgment and Problem Solving	Memory	Orientation	Personal Care
General factor	1	0	0	0	0	0
Community Affair		1	0.55	0.40	0.40	0.19
Judgment and Problem Solving			1	0.68	0.58	0.19
Memory				1	0.81	0.15
Orientation					1	0.17
Personal Care						1

Table S5. Item discrimination (a) and intercept (b) parameters estimated from the bi-factor IRT model. a1 and a2 are the discrimination parameters for the general factor and the domain specific factor, respectively. d1 to d5 are the intercepts corresponding to the boundaries of the response probabilities. Detailed presentation of the parameters for the multi-dimensional IRT model can be found in Reckase 2009 [1].

			a1	a2	d1	d2	d3	d4	d5
		Q3	2.682	-0.098	-1.303	-3.683			
	atudu	Q4c	0.649	0.264	0.064				
Community Affairs	study partner	Q5	1.855	0.467	-1.537				
Milans	partner	Q6	4.136	1.671	-3.564	-7.462			
		Q7	4.742	2.143	-4.472	-9.991			
		Q1	3.772	0.713	0.486	-2.917	-5.779	-9.638	
	etudy	Q2	3.265	0.740	-3.454	-6.225			
	study partner	Q3	4.683	1.077	-1.490	-5.519			
	partner	Q4	2.619	0.520	7.872	-2.414			
		Q5	2.076	0.360	-2.186	-4.938			
Judgment		Q1	0.923	1.264	-2.063	-3.908			
and Problem		Q2	1.078	1.369	-2.463	-3.408			
Solving		Q3	1.140	1.567	-2.162	-3.443			
\mathcal{E}	study	Q5	1.162	1.562	-3.173				
	participant	Q6	1.447	2.398	-1.699				
		Q7	1.221	1.763	-1.452				
		Q8	0.972	1.520	-2.871	-4.444			
		Q9	2.109	1.220	-2.145	-4.766			
		Q1 and							
Memory	study	Q1a	4.622	0.363	0.843	-0.377			
J	partner	Q2	3.053	0.558	-1.584	-4.864			
		Q3	2.797	0.316	0.158	-2.188			

		Q4	3.408	0.196	0.611				
		Q5	3.941	0.500	-1.205				
		Q6	2.869	0.784	-4.289				
		Q7	3.128	0.480	-1.586				
		Q8	1.446	0.426	-1.469	-3.692			
		Q2	2.396	1.344	-0.611	-1.976	-4.288	-5.764	
		Q4	1.351	1.619	-4.979				
		Q5	1.604	1.492	-6.161				
		Q6a	1.435	2.139	-5.215				
	study	Q6b	1.579	1.969	-5.579				
	participant	Q6c	1.380	1.817	-5.663				
		Q7	1.984	1.485	-6.302				
		Q8	1.989	1.502	-4.909				
		Q9	1.709	1.220	-2.027				
		Q10	1.869	1.565	0.652	-0.931	-2.053	-2.811	-3.260
		Q1	2.669	1.261	-1.005	-3.176			
		Q2	4.164	2.123	-5.520	-7.880			
	study	Q3	3.842	1.873	-6.095	-7.762			
		Q4	2.958	1.392	-1.991	-4.736			
	partner	Q5	2.539	0.478	-1.084	-3.564			
		Q6	2.023	0.369	-3.190	-4.703			
Orientation		Q7	2.495	0.515	-1.323	-3.063			
		Q8	1.917	0.362	-4.434	-5.930			
		Q1	2.311	1.991	-2.456				
	-4 1	Q2	2.015	1.512	-3.354				
	study participant	Q3	2.440	2.098	-5.106				
	participant	Q4	2.786	2.663	-6.056				
		Q5	1.664	1.203	-2.534				
		Q1	3.210	2.307	-6.306	-10.864			
Personal	study	Q2	2.574	1.863	-5.023	-9.010	-12.191		
Care	partner	Q3	1.978	1.492	-5.764	-10.746			
		Q4	1.267	1.026	-4.686	-6.317	-8.593		

Table S6. Factor loadings of the bi-factor IRT model with correlated domain specific factors. Factor loading is similar to correlation which represents the association between each an item and the factor.

			General	Community Affair	Judgment and Problem Solving	Memory	Orientation	Personal Care
		Q3	0.84	-0.03	0	0	0	0
	G. 3	Q4c	0.35	0.14	0	0	0	0
Community Affairs	Study partner	Q5	0.73	0.18	0	0	0	0
Allalis	partner	Q6	0.87	0.35	0	0	0	0
		Q7	0.87	0.39	0	0	0	0
		Q1	0.90	0	0.17	0	0	0
	4 1	Q2	0.87	0	0.20	0	0	0
	study partner	Q3	0.92	0	0.21	0	0	0
	partner	Q4	0.83	0	0.16	0	0	0
		Q5	0.77	0	0.13	0	0	0
Judgment and Problem Solving		Q1	0.40	0	0.55	0	0	0
	study	Q2	0.44	0	0.56	0	0	0
		Q3	0.44	0	0.61	0	0	0
		Q5	0.45	0	0.60	0	0	0
	participants	Q6	0.44	0	0.73	0	0	0
		Q7	0.45	0	0.64	0	0	0
		Q8	0.39	0	0.61	0	0	0
		Q9	0.71	0	0.41	0	0	0
		Q1						
		and Q1a	0.94	0	0	0.07	0	0
		Q1a Q2	0.94	0	0	0.07	0	0
		Q2 Q3	0.85	0	0	0.10	0	0
	study	Q3 Q4	0.89	0	0	0.10	0	0
	partner	Q5	0.07	0	0	0.03	0	0
		Q5 Q6	0.84	0	0	0.12	0	0
3.4		Q7	0.87	0	0	0.23	0	0
Memory		Q8	0.64	0	0	0.19	0	0
		Q2	0.74	0	0	0.13	0	0
		Q2 Q4	0.50	0	0	0.60	0	0
		Q5	0.58	0	0	0.54	0	0
	study	Q6a	0.47	0	0	0.69	0	0
	participants	Q6b	0.52	0	0	0.65	0	0
		Q6c	0.49	0	0	0.64	0	0
		Q7	0.66	0	0	0.49	0	0

		Q8	0.66	0	0	0.50	0	0
		Q9	0.63	0	0	0.45	0	0
		Q10	0.63	0	0	0.53	0	0
Orientation	study partner	Q1	0.78	0	0	0	0.37	0
		Q2	0.84	0	0	0	0.43	0
		Q3	0.84	0	0	0	0.41	0
		Q4	0.80	0	0	0	0.38	0
		Q5	0.82	0	0	0	0.15	0
		Q6	0.76	0	0	0	0.14	0
		Q7	0.81	0	0	0	0.17	0
		Q8	0.74	0	0	0	0.14	0
	study participants	Q1	0.66	0	0	0	0.57	0
		Q2	0.66	0	0	0	0.50	0
		Q3	0.67	0	0	0	0.58	0
		Q4	0.66	0	0	0	0.63	0
		Q5	0.62	0	0	0	0.45	0
Personal care	study participants	Q1	0.75	0	0	0	0	0.54
		Q2	0.71	0	0	0	0	0.52
		Q3	0.66	0	0	0	0	0.50
		Q4	0.54	0	0	0	0	0.44

Reference

^[1] Reckase MD. Multidimensional item response theory models. Multidimensional item response theory: Springer; 2009. p. 79-112.