

BMJ Open is committed to open peer review. As part of this commitment we make the peer review history of every article we publish publicly available.

When an article is published we post the peer reviewers' comments and the authors' responses online. We also post the versions of the paper that were used during peer review. These are the versions that the peer review comments apply to.

The versions of the paper that follow are the versions that were submitted during the peer review process. They are not the versions of record or the final published versions. They should not be cited or distributed as the published version of this manuscript.

BMJ Open is an open access journal and the full, final, typeset and author-corrected version of record of the manuscript is available on our site with no access controls, subscription charges or pay-per-view fees (<u>http://bmjopen.bmj.com</u>).

If you have any questions on BMJ Open's open peer review process please email <u>info.bmjopen@bmj.com</u>

# **BMJ Open**

#### Randomised clinical trial comparing the effectiveness of side-lying sleep positioning to back-lying at reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from Obstructive Sleep Apnoea in infants with cleft palate (SLUMBRS2)

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-049290
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	21-Jan-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Metryka, Aleksandra; Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Research and Innovation Cuniffe, Claire; Cleft Lip And Palate Association (CLAPA) Evans, Hazel J; Southampton Children's Hospital, Department of Respiratory Paediatrics Gavlak, Johanna G; Southampton Children's Hospital, Department of Respiratory Paediatrics Hudson, Nichola; Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust Kirby, Nigel; Cardiff University Lakhanpaul, Monica; UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health Population Policy and Practice, Policy & Practice Department; Whittingto Health NHS Trust, Policy & Practice Department Lin, Yin-Ling; The University of Manchester Murray, Clare ; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine Rajai, Azita; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre Schilder, Anne; UCL Ear Institute; NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre Walsh, Tanya; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine Rajah, Tanya; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre Malsh, Tanya; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine
Keywords:	Paediatric otolaryngology < OTOLARYNGOLOGY, Community child healt < PAEDIATRICS, Cot death < PAEDIATRICS, SLEEP MEDICINE



- ,



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review on

1	
2	
3	Title: Randomised clinical trial comparing the effectiveness of side-lying sleep positioning to
4	back-lying at reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from Obstructive Sleep Apnoea in infants
5	with cleft palate (SLUMBRS2)
6	
7	Aleksandra Metryka <sup>1</sup> <u>Aleksandra.Metryka@mft.nhs.uk</u>
8	Claire Cuniffe <sup>2</sup> Claire.Cunniffe@clapa.com
9	Hazel J Evans <sup>3</sup> <u>Hazel.Evans@uhs.nhs.uk</u>
10	
11	Johanna G Gavlak <sup>3</sup> <u>Johanna.Gavlak@uhs.nhs.uk</u>
12	Nichola Hudson <sup>4</sup> <u>Nichola.Hudson@nhs.net</u>
13 14	Nigel Kirby <sup>5</sup> <u>KirbyN@cardiff.ac.uk</u>
15	Monica Lakhanpaul <sup>6,7</sup> M.Lakhanpaul@ucl.ac.uk
16	Yin-Ling Lin <sup>8</sup> <u>Yin-Ling.Lin@manchester.ac.uk</u>
17	Clare S Murray <sup>9,10</sup> Clare.Murray@manchester.ac.uk
18	Azita Rajai <sup>1</sup> Azita.Rajai@mft.nhs.uk
19	Helen Robson <sup>9</sup> <u>Helen.Robson@mft.nhs.uk</u>
20	Anne GM Schilder <sup>11,12</sup> A.Schilder@ucl.ac.uk
21	Tanya Walsh <sup>8</sup> Tanya.Walsh@manchester.ac.uk
22	lain Alexander Bruce <sup>9,10</sup> lain.Bruce@manchester.ac.uk
23	
24	1 Research and Innovation, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK
25	2 Cleft Lip And Palate Association (CLAPA)
26	3 Department of Respiratory Paediatrics, Southampton Children's Hospital, Southampton, UK
27	4 Salisbury Foundation Trust
28	5 Centre for Trials Research, Cardiff University
29	6 Policy & Practice Department, UCL GOS Institute of Child Health
30	7 Community Paediatrics, Whittington Health NHS Trust
31	8 School of Dentistry, The University of Manchester
32	9 Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
33 34	Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK
35	<b>10</b> Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health
36	University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
37	11 evidENT, UCL Ear Institute
38	12 NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre
39	
40	
41	Corresponding Author
42	Corresponding Author Prof Iain Alexander Bruce Consultant Paodiatria Otolan/ngologist
43	Prof lain Alexander Bruce
44	Canaultant Deadiatria Otalan medagiat
45	Consultant Paediatric Otolaryngologist
46	Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
47	Manchester Oniversity hospitals who roundation must
48	Royal Manchester Children's Hospital
49	
50	Oxford Road
51	
52 53	M13 9WL
55 54	E mail: Jain Bruss@manchastar.co.uk
55	E-mail: lain.Bruce@manchester.ac.uk
56	
57	
58	Manuscript date: 20 Jan 2021, protocol version 1.1, 14 Dec 2020.
59	· · · · · ·
60	Reprints

# Page 1 of 13

Reprints will not be available from the authors.

# Funding

This work was supported by National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) grant number [PB-PG-NIHR201173]. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# Running Title

Which sleep position is better for infants with a cleft palate – RCT protocol.

# Word Count: 4620

# Number of tables: 1

This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Programme (Grant Reference Number PB-PG-201173). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# ABSTRACT

# Introduction:

The craniofacial abnormalities found in infants with cleft palate (CP) decrease their airway patency and increase their risk of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). We hypothesise that optimising sleep position in infants with CP may improve airway patency and offer a 'low-cost, high-impact' intervention to prevent the negative impacts of OSA. Because cleft centres give inconsistent advice about sleep position: some recommend back-lying and others side-lying, we will compare these in a randomised controlled trial.

# Methods and analysis:

The aim is to determine the clinical effectiveness of side-lying as compared to back-lying sleep positioning in terms of reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA in 244 infants aged 3 to 5 weeks of age, diagnosed with an isolated CP in/by UK cleft centres.

Primary outcome is the 4% oxygen desaturation index (ODI-4) measured using pulse oximetry during sleep.

Research plan:

1. Multicentre randomised controlled trial of side-lying compared with back-lying sleep positioning in reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA in infants with CP at one month of age.

2. Internal pilot questionnaire-based study to support parents and clinicians regarding study participation, seeking to identify and address any barriers to recruitment. Monitoring data from the internal pilot will be used in the final analysis.

3. Co-development of new UK recommendations with CLAPA regarding sleep position for infants with CP.

**Ethics and dissemination:** The study protocol has received the favourable opinion of the West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee. Study results will be published on affiliated webpages and in peer-reviewed publications and conference contributions.

# Trial registration number: ClinicalTrials.Gov (NCT04478201)

# Key words:

- Cleft Palate
- Sleep Position
- Sleep Disordered Breathing
- Sleep Oximetry

#### Article Summary

#### Strengths and limitations of this study:

- This study addresses an important evidence gap regarding the optimal sleeping position of infants with a cleft palate
- All Cleft Centres in the UK will be invited to participate in the study
- This study will produce sleep-position recommendations for future practice at UK Cleft Centres
- Non-adherence due to randomised allocation being different to advice given by the Cleft Centre

#### 1 Introduction

The craniofacial abnormalities found in children with cleft palate (CP) [1] lead to reduction in airway size, and risk of airway obstruction ranging from intermittent airway collapse during sleep (obstructive sleep apnoea, OSA) [2] to potentially life-threatening airway compromise [3] necessitating intubation or a tracheostomy. Children with CP are at increased risk of OSA when compared to otherwise 'healthy' children. There is evidence that severe OSA may be found in infants before cleft repair [2-4]. In children and adults, sleep position is known to influence the patency of the airway during sleep. When investigating the effect of sleep position on OSA in infants with CP, the best sleep position would be expected to cause less airway collapse and a reduced frequency of oxygen desaturations resulting from OSA. Altering sleep position in infants with CP may offer a 'low-cost, high impact' intervention to limit the negative impacts of OSA.

Oxygen desaturations are known to have negative effects on the wellbeing of children and adults. Children with CP are at increased background risk of impairment in learning, memory and cognition [3] with OSA recognised as having a potentially deleterious effect on cognitive development [3-5]. In addition, infants with CP are at risk of poor weight gain and 'failure to thrive' [4, 6-8] which can be further exacerbated by co-existing OSA. The increased work of breathing associated with OSA, leads to increased energy expenditure, in infants already at risk of reduced calorific intake due to cleftrelated feeding difficulties. Poor nutritional status is a significant, and potentially reversible, barrier to the desired surgical repair of a CP in infancy or early childhood.

OSA can have significant and permanent negative effects on health and development in infants with CP. An observational study in a group of children with cleft lip and/or palate, reported that severe OSA in infancy had a significant negative impact on neurocognition, quality of life and weight gain measurable at 3 years [8].

Infant sleeping position is an emotionally charged topic: In 2009, the Department of Health published a leaflet entitled "Reduce the risk of cot death" (www.nhs.uk) which advises parents to "place your baby on the back to sleep, in a cot in a room with you." We have found that there is a lack of evidence and clinical consensus regarding sleep position for infants with CP (7/12 UK centres advised side-lying and 5/12 back-lying) [9]. This is confusing for parents and health professionals who have repeatedly expressed the need for clear and consistent information about the best sleeping position.

#### 1.1 Rationale

Pierre Robin Sequence (PRS) is considered to be an extreme type of CP, associated with a markedly underdeveloped mandible and significantly increased risk of OSA. The standard sleep position advice given for PRS is a side-lying position. Studies in infants with PRS have reported an improvement in feeding difficulty and subsequent weight gain, following early intervention to improve the airway [10]. Side-lying positioning has been postulated as a simple, low-cost therapeutic intervention to improve airway patency in children with OSA [11] and those undergoing general anaesthesia [11-13] or sedation [14].

Page 3 of 13

Cleft lip and/or palate are amongst the most common birth defects, occurring in approximately 1 per 500-700 births [15], of which approximately 45% have an isolated CP [16]. The provision of care for these children is organised into Regional Cleft Networks, comprising of one or two surgical centres. The Cleft Networks have a proven track-record of clinical and research collaboration, enhanced by a highly functional Lead Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNS) Group that serves to facilitate dissemination of knowledge and sharing of best-practice initiatives.

#### 1.2 Aim and objectives

The aim of this project is to determine the clinical effectiveness in infants with CP of side-lying as compared to back-lying sleep positioning in reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA. This is to develop evidence-based recommendations for cleft centres regarding the optimum sleep position for infants with CP. This will be achieved by:

- comparing oxygen saturation during sleep in the side- and back-lying positions at 1 month of age (4 weeks +/- one week).
- comparing self-reported sleep quality between the side-lying and back-lying groups evaluated in a parental questionnaire.
- Providing information in consultation with parents that could be used to inform the development of guidelines and recommendations for sleeping position of infants with a cleft palate.

#### 2 Methods and analysis

This study protocol (v1.1, 2020) describes the design of a multi-centre RCT of sleep position in infants with CP in the UK. The study protocol conforms with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) [17] while the RCT will conform to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement for reporting RCTs [18].

An unblended, randomised controlled trial (RCT) of side-lying compared with back-lying sleep positioning in reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA in infants with CP. Infants meeting the eligibility criteria will be randomised to side-lying or back-lying in a ratio 1:1 using a minimisation routine incorporating a random element to reduce predictability. Minimisation factors will be clinical site and syndrome suspected or indicated (yes / no). Allocations will be delivered via a password-protected web-based system. The allocated position will only be used on the night(s) when the infant is monitored for the study purposes. If the first attempt at oxygen monitoring is unsuccessful parents will have the chance to keep the equipment for another night. Thereafter, parents will be free to revert back to the standard sleep position as advised by their cleft centre, should it be different than that used for the monitoring period. All centres represented at our preparatory meeting with the UK Lead Clinical Nurse Specialist group, confirmed that the side-lying position was recommended in some infants at their centre, irrespective of whether it was the standard advice used. As such, all centres had experience of the side-lying position. It was decided not to change the specific advice that centres give to parents regarding how to position the infant in a side-lying position, but any standard written or verbal information would be collected by the study documents.

#### 2.1 Study design

#### Patient and public involvement

Research described by this protocol follows a feasibility study, entitled: "Does sleep position influence sleep disordered breathing in infants with cleft palate: A feasibility study." There is an agreement among parents and clinicians that there is an unmet need in this area which requires investigation. SLUMBRS2 development was directed by the results from SLUMBRS feasibility study in which we have investigated the possibility of this trial and spoke with parents about their willingness to take part and the importance of breathing during sleep [19]. Preparation of SLUMBRS and SLUMBRS2 has

been done in collaboration and with full support of the Cleft Lip and Palate Association (CLAPA, working to improve the lives of people born with a cleft and their families in the UK) who have been supportive of the study from the very beginning.

#### **Recruitment setting**

The *SLUMBRS2* study is a multi-centred randomised controlled trial. All cleft centres in the UK will be eligible to participate in the study provided that they are prepared to allow sleep-position to be randomised. Participating centres will be required to allow for randomisation of the sleep position for their patients participating in the study. Parents will be randomly assigned advice regarding sleep position for their child, either side-lying or back-lying. It is possible that for some parents this advice will be different to that they received from their local Cleft Centre. Parents will only be asked to follow study related advice during their participation in the study, i.e. up to three days (two nights).

Participants recruited in the initial six months from opening the first study site will be asked to complete an additional questionnaire collecting information about their experience of participating in the study (appendix 1). After 6 months this information will be analysed and used as a basis for potential changes to the recruitment process and technical information on using the monitor, with the aim of supporting parents to consent to join the study.

Parents will be asked to record in a sleep log the starting sleep position and the sleep position when the baby wakes for feeds and/or at the end of sleep. Parents will record the time awake and asleep to aid the respiratory paediatrician and physiologist with reporting the oximetry traces. The mode of feeding (e.g. breast milk, formula or combination feeding) and details of any nutritional supplementation used will be recorded in the sleep questionnaire, completed by parents. Parents will be asked to complete a bespoke sleep questionnaire (appendix 2) to capture information regarding parental perception of sleep quality during the study period.

The study will run for 36 months, with a 30 month recruitment window. We aim to recruit 244 children to the study (122 side-lying and 122 back-lying).

#### 2.2 Target population

- 2.2.1 Inclusion criteria
  - Infants diagnosed with an isolated CP under the care of a collaborating centre
  - Parents willing to give consent and able to complete study procedures

#### 2.2.2 Exclusion criteria

- Infants with associated cleft lip
- Infants born prematurely (before 37 week gestation)
- Infants with cardiorespiratory disease
- Infants requiring an intervention to assist with breathing (nasopharyngeal airway)
- Infants requiring an intervention to assist with feeding (nasogastric tube)

#### 2.3 Primary outcome

Oxygen saturation during sleep at 1 month of age (expressed 4% oxygen desaturation index, ODI-4). Oximetry is considered the mainstay of assessment of oxygenation in infants and will be the primary outcome measurement instrument. The ODI-4 represents the average number of times that oxygen saturation falls by at least 4% from baseline every hour.

# 2.4 Secondary outcomes

*i. Other commonly used oximetry parameters* including mean SpO2, nadir SpO2, ODI-3, the proportion of total sleep time (TST) with oxygen saturation below 97% [5] 95% [20] 90% [5] and 80% at age 1 month.

*ii. Weight* at age 1 month (4 weeks +/- one week).

- iii. Length and head circumference at age 1 month (4 weeks +/- one week).
- iv. Adverse events.

# 2.5 Sample size

Data from the feasibility study [21] and published studies [22] have reported estimates of the standard deviation (SD) of the primary outcome ODI-4 in the side-lying infants at four weeks to range from 8 to 11 units, with a higher SD observed in the back-lying group. The observed difference in mean ODI-4 between the side-lying and back-lying infant cohorts was 15 units (a standardised effect size of 0.91) [21]. It was considered a smaller but more realistic difference in means of five units to be a clinically important difference (SD 10), a standardised effect size of 0.5. The sample size calculation comparing two means with unequal variances for the primary outcome was, therefore, based on a standardised effect size of 0.5. To account for potential unequal variances in each group a variance ratio of 2 was used in the calculations. To detect a difference of 0.5 SDS with 80% power and alpha equal to 0.05 would require 96 infants to be monitored in each arm of the trial (a total of 192 participants). Informed by the multicentre feasibility and oximetry studies the sample size will be inflated to 244 participants in the RCT, to allow for potential attrition of 21%.

# 2.6 Statistical analysis

This will follow a pre-specified and approved statistical analysis plan. The primary analysis of the RCT data will use intention-to-treat. Baseline data will be analysed to assess the comparability of the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. Data from the trial arms will be compared using generalised linear models and adjusted for minimisation covariates where appropriate under the intention to treat principle. Estimates of treatment effect size will be reported as differences in means for continuous outcomes, and risk ratios / odds ratios for dichotomous outcomes and reported along with 95% confidence intervals. Subgroup analyses will explore the effects of sleep position on infants with, and without, associated syndromes being suspected by the responsible clinical team, through subgroup treatment interactions, at a stricter alpha level 0.01. Reasons for exclusions from analysis will be clearly detailed in the statistical analysis plan.

# 2.7 Data collection

Sleep oximetry for one night will be recorded in the home at age 3 to 5 weeks. Domiciliary sleep oximetry monitoring (amount of oxygen in the blood) reflects usual UK practice, producing data which is readily applicable to routine clinical practice.

Motion resistant pulse oximetry with a 2 second averaging time (Masimo Rad oximeter) will be recorded during sleep from a securely attached toe sensor. The technical specifications and interpretation guidelines of the Australasian Sleep Association (2019) will guide study protocol development [23]. If the first night's diagnostic study is inadequate or incomplete (less than 5 hours of sleep), then a second night will be offered.

Data from oximeters at relevant participating centres will be downloaded onto their local NHS drives and sent to the University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust (UHS) for analysis by a respiratory physiologist (Gavlak) or respiratory paediatrician (Evans). Transfer of the oximetry file will be done between encrypted nhs.net emails. In addition to oximetry data participating centres will send a sleep log to UHS to aid with interpreting the data. Data from respective centres sent to UHS will be distinguished with a pseudonym which could only be linked to participant's identifiable information by their recruiting centre. Following analysis at UHS, ODI-3 and -4 values and mean SpO2, nadir SpO2,

the proportion of total sleep time (TST) with oxygen saturation below 97%, 95%, 90% and 80% will be recorded in the study database by CTR staff in Cardiff.

Background and demographic information will be collected including the nature of the cleft palate, smoking habits of family members and first part of the home postcode. Participant's General Practitioner's (GP) and Health Visitor (HV) details will also be collected and their GP will be informed about participation in the SLUMBRS2 study. Participant's GP and HV details will be collected in the Case Report Form (CRF).

During the monitoring period, the parents of participants will be asked to complete the, SLUMBRS2 Sleep questionnaire (appendix 2) and sleep log. Additionally, immediately following the monitoring period the study experience questionnaire (appendix 1) will be completed by parents of those participants who were recruited in the first 6 months of the study opening. Six months will be counted from the time the first study site was open to recruitment to allow for simultaneous data collation and analysis from all sites.

#### 2.8 Study Procedures

Data will be collected at 2 time points;

- Screening / Baseline
- Home monitoring (when the child is aged between 3 to 5 weeks) At least one overnight sleep period over 1-2 nights

Schedule for study procedures

Screening	Recruitment and Baseline	Home monitoring at 1 month of age	End of study
Х			
	х	x	
х	x		
	х		
	х	x	
		Х	
		х	
	х	х	х
	х	х	
			Х
	X	V)         L' rs           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X           X         X	x Screening x Screening x Screening x Screening x x x x 1 month of 1 month of

\*only for study participants recruited within the initial six months of the study opening

#### 2.8.1 Screening

All babies with isolated cleft palate will be screened by the CNS for their inclusion into the SLUMBRS2 RCT (i.e. it will be checked if they fulfil the inclusion criteria). Parents with babies that fulfil the inclusion criteria, will be approached initially by the specialist nurses from the cleft team at that site. The nurse specialist will talk to the parents in more detail about the study and will give parents the Parent/Guardian Information Sheet. All sites will be asked to keep a screening log

throughout the study. Information regarding eligibility, reasons for ineligibility, and the eventual recruitment outcome (consented/not consented) will be collected. This will help to monitor recruitment levels, participation rates, and the number of patients seen within the site.

The screening log should be maintained by the research team at the site and should be emailed (to secure nhs.net email address only) to the SLUMBRS2 trial manager at the MFT every 2 months.

#### 2.8.2 Baseline

After consent is obtained, baseline demographics (including nude birth weight (measured at 0-7 days) – from the *personal child health record (The Red Book*), related medical history, parental smoking status will be collected and recorded in the CRF. This information will either be obtained at a routine visit or at the Home Visit, whichever one occurs first. It is possible that due to the Covid-19 pandemic routine visit with the cleft nurse will take place over the telephone or via a video call.

#### 2.8.3 Home monitoring 1, Day 1

The cleft nurse will arrange home monitoring to help with the setup of the oximeter (sleep monitoring).

The Home monitoring will be scheduled to occur when the infant is 4 weeks old (+/- one week) and free of signs of respiratory tract infection. The sleep study should be done overnight. We are aiming to record sleep oximetry during one period of sleep lasting at least 5h. The infants' weight, length and head circumference measured within the last one week of the oximetry monitoring will be recorded (if available) – from *personal child health record (The Red Book*).

#### 2.8.3.1 Sleep questionnaire

Parents will be given a questionnaire to complete (appendix 2). The questionnaire aims to capture information regarding parental perception of sleep quality during the sleep study. The questionnaire will enable the comparison with reported symptoms of OSA in infants with CP and sleep position.

#### 2.8.3.2 Sleep oximetry monitoring

The cleft nurse will set up the oximeter with the participant study number. The information will enable the study team to identify which baby the recording belongs to once it is downloaded. The nurse will then explain to the parent/s how to switch the monitor on/off and how to attach the SpO<sub>2</sub> sensor to their infant. This will be done either in person if a home visit is possible or via the telephone/ video call if a visit is to be a virtual one as part of the local measures for Covid-19. Parents will also be given a written instructions showing how to use the oximeter and web-link to an instruction video which they could view at any time to refresh their knowledge. The parents will be instructed to record in the sleep log if they remove the monitor or the baby wakes for a feed. The SpO<sub>2</sub> probe can be left on while the baby is feeding.

It is standard practice to silence the oximeter alarm for NHS home oximetry services. However, for the purposes of this study we will set the alarm at  $SpO_2$  70% and heart rate 80, a value that we would not expect to normally record during infant sleep.

The monitor will record the following parameters: *Mean* SpO<sub>2</sub> *Nadir* SpO<sub>2</sub> *Oxygen desaturation index 3 and 4 (ODI-3 and 4) Total sleep time with oxygen saturation below 97%, 95%, 90% and 80%* 

After the monitoring period has finished, parents will remove the SpO<sub>2</sub> probe and switch off the machine (switching off the machine will not lose the data, it will be stored). For safety purposes, all of

 the sleep oximetry sessions will be reviewed by the study Respiratory Physiologist or Paediatrician within 2 weeks of the date of monitoring.

The Respiratory Physiologist and Paediatrician (assessors) who will analyse oximetry readings will be blinded to the sleep position allocation. On the night of oximetry monitoring parents will complete the sleep log, where they will record if the sleep position is as randomised, as well as wake times. The sleep log will be provided to the oximetry assessors to aid with the analysis by helping to identify the sleep and wake times. Sleep log will also measure compliance with randomisation. As such assessors will not know the sleep position and will be blinded.

#### Procedures for Assessing Safety

All of the sleep oximetry studies will be reviewed by the study Respiratory Physiologist (Gavlak) or Paediatrician (Evans) within 2 weeks of the date of monitoring. Sleep monitoring is usually done at home in babies with CP who present with airway problems. Babies recruited to this study will not have airway concerns sufficient to mandate an airway intervention and therefore we would not expect clinically significant desaturation events. At initial site recruitment the 'emergency' contact details for each cleft team will be collated, along with a written description of the local pathway for onward referral of any infant with suspicion of significant OSA.

#### 2.8.3.3 Abnormal result suspicious of OSA

In the event that a sleep oximetry study is considered abnormal, as indicated by the review from the study Respiratory Physiologist (Gavlak) or Respiratory Paediatrician (Evans) within 2 weeks of the date of monitoring, the local cleft team will contacted by UHS (Gavlak and/or Evans). All abnormal readings considered of clinical concern will prompt an urgent written report of the oximetry findings to the responsible cleft team within 2 weeks, and an additional telephone contact may be made with the cleft team dependent upon the level of concern. An example of an oximetry finding that would be considered of clinical concern would be an ODI-4% >25. The Chief Investigator will be informed of an abnormal sleep study at the same as the local cleft team.

#### 2.8.3.4 Result not suspicious of OSA

The parents and responsible cleft team will receive written confirmation from the UHS (Gavlak and/or Evans) of studies considered to be normal, not later than 4 weeks after the date of monitoring.

#### 2.9 End of study

The day after the oximetry monitor is delivered to the participant, study staff will telephone families to check if successful monitoring has occurred. In an instance when more time with the machine is needed the participant will keep the oximetry machine for another night. Following the completion of sleep oximetry recording, oximetry machines will be either collected by the study staff or by a courier who will return them to the site which recruited the participant. Collection will be arranged by the recruiting site. In order for a courier to be arranged the recruiting site will share the participant's address with the courier. Participants' permission to share their address with the courier will be recorded in the ICF.

#### 2.10 Adverse events

No medicinal product is being given in this study. Reactions to the monitoring are highly unlikely but the study staff will record any adverse events in the CRF during the phone call following the delivery of the oximetry machine or when the equipment is returned. We will record what the illness is (e.g. upper respiratory tract infection), whether any medication was given. Adverse events will be followed up for up to 28 days or until resolution, which ever date is sooner.

# 2.11 Potential Risks and Benefits

# 2.11.1 Potential Risks

SLUMBRS2 is an RCT which means that infants will be randomly allocated to one of the two sleeping positions, side- or back-lying. This means that there is a chance that for the 1-2 nights during the study some participants will be asked to follow advice that is contrary to the standard advice given by their cleft centre. This may cause distress to some participants. Current UK practice is that some Cleft Networks recommend side- and others back-lying sleep position as standard, and all recommend side-lying in some infants if they are concerned about airway obstruction. As such, both of the sleep positions being compared would represent 'standard' practice in some UK centres. The probe from the oximeter will be attached to a toe, to limit the chance of entanglement in the cable.

# 2.11.2 Known Potential Benefits

There are no known direct potential benefits to participating. However, the infant will have an oximetry reading which will be reviewed by a respiratory physiologist, which they would not obtain as part of routine care. This may offer additional reassurance for parents or identification of potential health care issues as indicated by parents in the SLUMBRS feasibility study.

# 2.12 Study Closure

The end of the study is defined to be the date on which data for all participants is frozen and data entry privileges are withdrawn from the study database. However, the study may be closed prematurely by the Data Monitoring Committee. The SMG have the right at any time to terminate the study for clinical or administrative reasons.

Review of study continuation will be initiated by the SMG within 2 weeks of the following instances taking place:

- Recorded cot death of one of the study participants, past and active.
- Recall of study equipment
- Harm to the participant caused by study equipment.
- New evidence unequivocally showing one of the study positions was safer than other.

The end of the study is defined to be the date on which data for all participants is frozen and data entry privileges are withdrawn from the study database. An end of study notification will be submitted to the REC within 90 days of this date. An end of the study notification will be submitted to the REC within 15 days if the study is terminated prematurely. Investigators will inform the parents of participants of any premature termination of the study and ensure that the appropriate follow up is arranged for all involved. A summary report of the study will be provided to the REC within 12 months of the end of study notification.

All data will be stored for at least 10 years, in accordance with the sponsor's Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). Any queries or concerns about the data, conduct or conclusions of the study can also be resolved in this time. Limited data on the participants and records of any adverse events may be kept for longer if recommended by an independent advisory board.

# 3 Study Monitoring

Study monitoring will be carried out to ensure that the rights and well-being of human participants are protected during the course of a clinical trial. The study will be subject to the audit and monitoring regime of Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust (MFT), the study sponsor, in line with applicable MFT SOP and policies. The study will have, as a minimum, an annual survey sent out for completion by a member of the local research team.

#### 

#### 4 Ethics and Dissemination

The study protocol has received the favourable opinion of the West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee. All participating sites must be granted NHS permission by their local Research Office prior to commencing recruitment. Upon completion of our study, the findings will be incorporated into clinical practice for the benefit of patients via the Lead CNS group (Hudson, chair). CNSs provide 'hands-on' care for infants with cleft palate in the home and hospital settings and are ideally placed to highlight research priorities. They have been instrumental in defining and contextualising the research question. In addition, we will disseminate study results through Cleft Network study days and will create a short video which will summarise our study findings and recommendations which will be hosted on the websites <a href="https://www.clapa.com/news-item/slumbers-sleep-study/">https://www.clapa.com/news-item/slumbers-sleep-study/</a>, https://Healthtalk.org , <a href="https://www.lullabytrust.org.uk/">https://Mft.nhs.uk</a>, <a href="https://www.lullabytrust.org.uk/">https://www.lullabytrust.org.uk/</a>. It will be co-developed with parents and CLAPA to inform parents and healthcare practitioners about the best sleep practice for infants with CP.

#### 5 Steps to mitigate against the impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic

The study team acknowledge that the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted upon the delivery of clinical research. Wherever possible and safe, the RCT will be delivered as intended. Steps are being taken to ensure that study information (e.g. PIS) can be distributed electronically or by mail. Similarly any data that we collect (e.g. sleep questionnaires and the sleep log) and informed consent can be collected electronically or by mail, to limit contact between researchers and families. Details of the impact of local and national restrictions at individual sites will be recorded on a monthly basis, using a bespoke *Impact Document* that would be available to the SMG, Sponsor and Funder.

#### **Author Contributions**

AM contributed to the study design and drafted the manuscript.

CC, HJE, JGG, NH, NK, ML, YLL, CSM, HR, AS, TW, IAB contributed to the study design and revised

L.C.

the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee

#### **Consent for Publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Data Sharing Statement**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Page 11 of 13

# Funding

This work was supported by National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) grant number [PB-PG-NIHR201173]. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

# Authors' Information

Not applicable.

# Acknowledgements

The study team would like to thank all members of the SMG and SAG from the preceding SLUMBRS Feasibility study [**PB-PG-0213-30058**], the Cleft Lip & Palate Association (CLAPA) and Craniofacial Society of Great Britain & Ireland (CFSGBI). In particular, thanks are due to Trish Bannister for supporting the work from the beginning.

# References

- 1. Imamura, N., et al., *Comparison of the sizes of adenoidal tissues and upper airways of subjects with and without cleft lip and palate.* Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop, 2002. **122**(2): p. 189-94; discussion 194-5.
- 2. MacLean, J.E., et al., *The spectrum of sleep-disordered breathing symptoms and respiratory events in infants with cleft lip and/or palate.* Arch Dis Child, 2012. **97**(12): p. 1058-63.
- 3. MacLean, J.E., et al., *The identification of children with cleft palate and sleep disordered breathing using a referral system.* Pediatr Pulmonol, 2008. **43**(3): p. 245-50.
- 4. Maclean, J.E., et al., *Screening for obstructive sleep apnea in preschool children with cleft palate.* Cleft Palate Craniofac J, 2009. **46**(2): p. 117-23.
- 5. Montgomery-Downs, H.E. and D. Gozal, *Sleep habits and risk factors for sleepdisordered breathing in infants and young toddlers in Louisville, Kentucky.* Sleep Med, 2006. **7**(3): p. 211-9.
- 6. Horemuzova, E., M. Katz-Salamon, and J. Milerad, *Breathing patterns, oxygen and carbon dioxide levels in sleeping healthy infants during the first nine months after birth.* Acta Paediatr, 2000. **89**(11): p. 1284-9.
- 7. Pandya, A.N. and J.G. Boorman, *Failure to thrive in babies with cleft lip and palate.* Br J Plast Surg, 2001. **54**(6): p. 471-5.
- 8. Smith, D.M. and J.E. Losee, *Cleft palate repair.* Clin Plast Surg, 2014. **41**(2): p. 189-210.
- 9. Davies, K., et al., *Safe sleeping positions: practice and policy for babies with cleft palate.* Eur J Pediatr, 2017. **176**(5): p. 661-667.
- 10. Lidsky, M.E., T.A. Lander, and J.D. Sidman, *Resolving feeding difficulties with early airway intervention in Pierre Robin Sequence*. Laryngoscope, 2008. **118**(1): p. 120-3.
- 11. Arai, Y.C., et al., *The endoscopically measured effects of airway maneuvers and the lateral position on airway patency in anesthetized children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy.* Anesth Analg, 2005. **100**(4): p. 949-52.
- 12. Arai, Y.C., et al., *The effects of chin lift and jaw thrust while in the lateral position on stridor score in anesthetized children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy.* Anesth Analg, 2004. **99**(6): p. 1638-41, table of contents.
- 13. Arai, Y.C., et al., *The effects of jaw thrust and the lateral position on heart rate variability in anesthetized children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.* Anesth Analg, 2007. **104**(6): p. 1352-5, table of contents.
- 14. Litman, R.S., et al., *Effect of lateral positioning on upper airway size and morphology in sedated children*. Anesthesiology, 2005. **103**(3): p. 484-8.

1		
2		
3	15.	Ahmed, M.K., A.H. Bui, and E. Taioli, Designing Strategies for Cleft Lip and Palate
4	10.	Care. 2016.
5	16.	CRANE database - Home. 2019 09 July 2019]; Available from: https://www.crane-
6	10.	• •
7	47	database.org.uk/.
8	17.	Chan, A.W., et al., SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols
9		of clinical trials. BMJ, 2013. <b>346</b> : p. e7586.
10	18.	Moher, D., et al., CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: Updated guidelines
11		for reporting parallel group randomised trials. J Clin Epidemiol, 2010. 63(8): p. e1-37.
12	19.	Davies, K., et al., Parental Experience of Sleep-Disordered Breathing in Infants With
13		Cleft Palate: Comparing Parental and Clinical Priorities. Cleft Palate Craniofac J,
14		2019. <b>56</b> (2): p. 222-230.
15	20.	Hunt, C.E., et al., Longitudinal assessment of hemoglobin oxygen saturation in
16		healthy infants during the first 6 months of age. Collaborative Home Infant Monitoring
17		Evaluation (CHIME) Study Group. J Pediatr, 1999. <b>135</b> (5): p. 580-6.
18	21.	Murray CS, et al., Does sleep position influence sleep disordered breathing in infants
19	۷۱.	with cleft palate: a feasibility study? The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2020.
20		submitted.
20	22	
22	22.	Evans, H.J., et al., A cohort study reporting normal oximetry values in healthy infants
23		under 4 months of age using Masimo technology. Arch Dis Child, 2018. <b>103</b> (9): p.
24		868-872.
25	23.	Twiss, J., et al., Overnight oximetry for evaluating paediatric obstructive sleep
26		apnoea: Technical specifications and interpretation guidelines. J Paediatr Child
20		Health, 2019. <b>55</b> (10): p. 1279.
27		
28		
30		
30		
32		
33		
33		
35		
36		
30 37		
38		
30 39		
39 40		apnoea: Technical specifications and interpretation guidelines. J Paediatr Child Health, 2019. <b>55</b> (10): p. 1279.
40 41		
41 42		
42 43		
40		

#### SLUMBRS2 parental experiences questionnaire

The purpose of this questionnaire is to make sure that the study is the best it can be to maximise participation and parental satisfaction. Please can you complete as best you can and return with other study documents.

Date of completion | | | / | | / 20| | |

- 1. Which health professional asked you whether you would like to take part in the study? free text
- 2. Consider your answer in Question1, do you think it was appropriate for them to ask you to take part in the study? Yes/No/Not sure
  - a. Can you tell us why? Free text
- 3. Were you given a Patient Information Sheet (PIS)? Yes/No/Not sure
  - a. Were you given enough time to read the PIS and decide whether you wanted to take part in the study? Yes/No/Not sure/I did not read the PIS
- 4. Did you find the information on the PIS:
  - a. Easy to understand: strongly agree/agree/don't know/disagree/strongly disagree
  - b. Easy to read: strongly agree/agree/don't know/disagree/strongly disagree
  - c. Easy to find answers if unclear: strongly agree/agree/don't know/disagree/strongly disagree
- 5. What would you change on the PIS to make it better: free text
- 6. What were the main reasons that made you decide to take part in the study? free text
- 7. Do you think that this study is important to families of children with cleft? Yes/No/Not sure
- 8. What is your experience of being part of the study: excellent/good/ok/bad/terrible
- 9. Can you explain your response in Q8? free text
- 10. What are the challenges of being part of the study? free text
- 11. If we were to do this study again, what would you change to make it easier for other parents to take part? free text

BMJ Open

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnai	re	Study ID:   _ _ _
Date of completion   _   _   /   _   _   / 2	20	
Below are some questions we would like questionnaire is divided into two parts, g the response that best describes your b	general question	s and more specific questions. Please tick
General questions 1. Have you been given informatio asleep?	on about what	position to place your baby in whilst
Yes		No
2. If yes to question 1, what advic to sleep? Not applicable		en about the best position to put your baby
On their back	On their side	On their front
Other, please describe:		
	~	
3. Who gave you this information	?	·
Nurse	Doctor	Someone who is not a health care professional, e.g., family friend
Other (specify):		
4. How was that information giver	n to you (you c	an choose more <u>than</u> one answer)?
Verbally	Pamphlet / lea	aflet Email
Facebook	Online forum	1
5. If written information was giver	n, was this abo	ut? 🔲 Not applicable
Sleeping position for babies in	general	Sleeping position in babies with cleft palate
6. If verbal information was given	, was this abou	It? Not applicable
Sleeping position for babies in	general	Sleeping position in babies with cleft palate

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire (IRAS ID 276338) v 1.1, 25 Nov 2020

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

	Study ID	· IIII
7. In general, do you think your baby ha	as good quality slee	ep?
Yes		No
8. Is your baby fed		
Breast milk	Formula milk	Combined breast mil formula feeding
0. Dese very beby beve medicine for a		
9. Does your baby have medicine for ga Ranitidine, Omeprazole, Domperido	one)	ciù renux? (e.g. Gaviscon,
Yes		No
103		
If yes, please specify:		
10. Has your baby had any difficulty in	gaining weight?	
Yes		No
a) If yes, what advice was given to advice?	o you about your bab	
a) If yes, what advice was given to advice?	o you about your bab	
a) If yes, what advice was given to advice?	o you about your bab	
a) If yes, what advice was given to advice? b) What action (if any) did you tak	Z.	
advice?	Z.	
advice?	e?	
advice? b) What action (if any) did you tak	e?	by's weight and who gave yo
advice? b) What action (if any) did you tak	e?	
advice? b) What action (if any) did you tak	e?	by's weight and who gave yo
advice? b) What action (if any) did you tak	e? al supplements?	by's weight and who gave yo

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire (IRAS ID 276338) v 1.1, 25 Nov 2020

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

9 of 29	BMJ Open					
	SLUMBRS2 Sleep	Study ID:	_			
	<b>Specific Questions</b> For each of the following questions please tick the most appropriate answer to describe your baby' sleep (either during the daytime or at night).					
	<b>12. Does your bal</b> Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	ty breathing wh Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	en they are asle Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	ep? Only when they have a cold	Never
	13. Does your bal sleep?	by stop breathin	ng for periods o	r have pauses i	n their breathir	ng during
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never
	14. Do <u>es y</u> our bal	by snore / make	a noise when t	hey are asleep?		
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never
	15. Does your bal	by make snoring	g noises while t	hey are awake?		
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never
	16. How would yo	u doooribo you	r baby'a alaan?			
	Poor / restless	Sometime	s restless Mo	stly peaceful	Peaceful	
	17. If you describ often is this?	ed your baby's		poor / restless o	or sometimes r	estless, how
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire (IRAS ID 276338) v 1.1, 25 Nov 2020

	Study ID:   _ _ _
18. Do you regularly have to change your ba easier?	aby's sleeping position to help them sle
Yes	No
19. If yes, what position helps your baby sle	ep easier?
On their back On their sid	de On their front
Other (specify):	
20. Does <u>your</u> baby sleep with a dummy?	
Yes	No
	<b>)</b>
	2.
	2.
	2.
	2.2
	2.

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire (IRAS ID 276338) v 1.1, 25 Nov 2020

Page 4 of 4

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Title

Trial registration

**BMJ** Open

# Reporting checklist for protocol of a clinical trial.

Based on the SPIRIT guidelines.

# Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to

include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and

provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the SPIRITreporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin J, Dickersin K, Hróbjartsson A,

Schulz KF, Parulekar WR, Krleža-Jerić K, Laupacis A, Moher D. SPIRIT 2013 Explanation and

Elaboration: Guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ. 2013;346:e7586

acronym

#2a

 Reporting Item
 Page Number

 Administrative
 information

<u>#1</u> Descriptive title identifying the study design,
 population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet

			BMJ Open	Page 22 of 29
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15			registered, name of intended registry	
	Trial registration:	<u>#2b</u>	All items from the World Health Organization Trial	2
	data set		Registration Data Set	
	Protocol version	<u>#3</u>	Date and version identifier	1
	Funding	<u>#4</u>	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support	2
16 17 18	Roles and	<u>#5a</u>	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol	11
19 20	responsibilities:		contributors	
21 22 23	contributorship			
24 25 26	Roles and	<u>#5b</u>	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	10
27 28	responsibilities:			
29 30	sponsor contact			
31 32 33	information			
34 35 36	Roles and	<u>#5c</u>	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study	n/a this
37 38	responsibilities:		design; collection, management, analysis, and	information is not
39 40	sponsor and funder		interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the	includedi n the
41 42			decision to submit the report for publication,	paper, but is
43 44 45			including whether they will have ultimate authority	present in the
46 47 48			over any of these activities	protocol.
49 50	Roles and	<u>#5d</u>	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the	n/a this
51 52	responsibilities:		coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint	information is not
53 54	committees		adjudication committee, data management team,	includedi n the
55 56 57 58			and other individuals or groups overseeing the	paper, but is
59 60		For peer	review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

60

1 2 3			trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	present in the protocol.
4 5 6 7	Introduction			
8 9 10	Background and	<u>#6a</u>	Description of research question and justification	3
10 11 12	rationale		for undertaking the trial, including summary of	
13 14			relevant studies (published and unpublished)	
15 16			examining benefits and harms for each	
17 18			intervention	
19 20 21				
21 22 23 24 25	Background and	<u>#6b</u>	Explanation for choice of comparators	3-4
	rationale: choice of			
26 27	comparators			
28 29	Objectives	<u>#7</u>	Specific objectives or hypotheses	4
30 31	<b>-</b> · · · ·			
32 33	Trial design	<u>#8</u>	Description of trial design including type of trial	4
34 35 26			(eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single	
36 37 38			group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg,	
39 40			superiority, equivalence, non-inferiority,	
40 41 42			exploratory)	
43 44	Methods:			
45 46	Participants,			
47 48 49	interventions, and			
50 51 52	outcomes			
53 54 55	Study setting	<u>#9</u>	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic,	4
56 57			academic hospital) and list of countries where data	
58 59 60		For peer I	review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Page	24	of	29
------	----	----	----

1 2 3 4			will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained	
5 6 7 8 9	Eligibility criteria	<u>#10</u>	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and	4
10 11			individuals who will perform the interventions (eg,	
12 13 14			surgeons, psychotherapists)	
15 16 17	Interventions:	<u>#11a</u>	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to	6
18 19	description		allow replication, including how and when they will	
20 21 22			be administered	
23 24	Interventions:	<u>#11b</u>	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated	n/a for this trial
25 26	modifications		interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug	
27 28 29			dose change in response to harms, participant	
30 31			request, or improving / worsening disease)	
32 33 34	Interventions:	<u>#11c</u>	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention	5
35 36	adherance		protocols, and any procedures for monitoring	
37 38 39			adherence (eg, drug tablet return; laboratory tests)	
40 41	Interventions:	<u>#11d</u>	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that	n/a for this trial
42 43 44	concomitant care		are permitted or prohibited during the trial	
45 46 47	Outcomes	<u>#12</u>	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including	5
48 49			the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic	
50 51			blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from	
52 53 54			baseline, final value, time to event), method of	
54 55 56			aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time	
57 58			point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical	
59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2 3			relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes is strongly recommended	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Participant timeline	<u>#13</u>	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions (including any run-ins and washouts),	7
9 10 11			assessments, and visits for participants. A	
12 13			schematic diagram is highly recommended (see	
14 15 16 17			Figure)	
18 19	Sample size	<u>#14</u>	Estimated number of participants needed to	6
20 21			achieve study objectives and how it was	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28			determined, including clinical and statistical	
			assumptions supporting any sample size	
			calculations	
29 30 31	Recruitment	<u>#15</u>	Strategies for achieving adequate participant	4
32 33			enrolment to reach target sample size	
34 35 36	Methods:			
37 38	Assignment of			
39 40	interventions (for			
41 42 43	controlled trials)			
44 45 46	Allocation:	<u>#16a</u>	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg,	4
47 48	sequence		computer-generated random numbers), and list of	
49 50 51	generation		any factors for stratification. To reduce	
52 53			predictability of a random sequence, details of any	
54 55			planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be	
56 57 58			provided in a separate document that is	
58 59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1			unavailable to those who enrol participants or	
2 3			assign interventions	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Allocation	<u>#16b</u>	Mechanism of implementing the allocation	4
	concealment		sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially	
	mechanism		numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing	
12 13			any steps to conceal the sequence until	
14 15 16 17			interventions are assigned	
17 18 19	Allocation:	<u>#16c</u>	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who	4
20 21	implementation		will enrol participants, and who will assign	
22 23			participants to interventions	
24 25 26 27 28	Blinding (masking)	#17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to	8
		<u></u>	interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers,	C C
29 30			outcome assessors, data analysts), and how	
31 32				
33 34 35	Blinding (masking):	<u>#17b</u>	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is	n/a for this trial
35 36 37 38 39	emergency		permissible, and procedure for revealing a	
	unblinding		participant's allocated intervention during the trial	
40 41	Methods: Data			
42 43	collection,			
44 45 46	management, and			
46 47 48 49 50	analysis			
	-			
51 52	Data collection plan	<u>#18a</u>	Plans for assessment and collection of outcome,	6
53 54			baseline, and other trial data, including any related	
55 56 57			processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate	
58 59			measurements, training of assessors) and a	
60		For peer i	review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

			department of atudy instruments (as	
1 2			description of study instruments (eg,	
3 4			questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their	
5 6			reliability and validity, if known. Reference to	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20			where data collection forms can be found, if not in	
			the protocol	
	Data collection plan:	<u>#18b</u>	Plans to promote participant retention and	10
	retention		complete follow-up, including list of any outcome	
			data to be collected for participants who	
			discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols	
21 22 23	Data management	<u>#19</u>	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage,	8
24 25 26 27 28 29 30			including any related processes to promote data	
			quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for	
			data values). Reference to where details of data	
31 32			management procedures can be found, if not in	
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40			the protocol	
	Statistics: outcomes	<u>#20a</u>	Statistical methods for analysing primary and	6
			secondary outcomes. Reference to where other	
41 42			details of the statistical analysis plan can be found,	
43 44 45			if not in the protocol	
46 47	Statistics: additional	<u>#20b</u>	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup	n/a for this trial
48 49 50	analyses		and adjusted analyses)	
51 52 53	Statistics: analysis	<u>#20c</u>	Definition of analysis population relating to	6
54 55	population and		protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised	
56 57 58	missing data		analysis), and any statistical methods to handle	
58 59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

# missing data (eg, multiple imputation)

	Methods: Monitoring			
	Data monitoring:	<u>#21a</u>	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC);	n/a
	formal committee		summary of its role and reporting structure;	
2 2			statement of whether it is independent from the	
3 1			sponsor and competing interests; and reference to	
5			where further details about its charter can be	
3			found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an	
)   <u>}</u>			explanation of why a DMC is not needed	
3 1	Data monitoring:	<u>#21b</u>	Description of any interim analyses and stopping	n/a for this trial
5	interim analysis		guidelines, including who will have access to these	
3			interim results and make the final decision to	
)   )			terminate the trial	
- 3 1	Harms	<u>#22</u>	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and	9
5			managing solicited and spontaneously reported	
7 3			adverse events and other unintended effects of	
, )   <u>)</u>			trial interventions or trial conduct	
3 1	Auditing	<u>#23</u>	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial	n/a for this trial
5			conduct, if any, and whether the process will be	
, 3 9			independent from investigators and the sponsor	
)	Ethics and			
- 3 1 5	dissemination			
5 7 3	Research ethics	<u>#24</u>	Plans for seeking research ethics committee /	10

Page 29 of 29

1 2	approval		institutional review board (REC / IRB) approval	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Protocol	<u>#25</u>	Plans for communicating important protocol	n/a
	amendments		modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria,	
			outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg,	
			investigators, REC / IRBs, trial participants, trial	
			registries, journals, regulators)	
15 16 17	Consent or assent	<u>#26a</u>	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from	7
17 18 19			potential trial participants or authorised surrogates,	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34			and how (see Item 32)	
	Consent or assent:	<u>#26b</u>	Additional consent provisions for collection and	n/a for this trial
	ancillary studies		use of participant data and biological specimens in	
			ancillary studies, if applicable	
	Confidentiality	<u>#27</u>	How personal information about potential and	6
			enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and	
35 36			maintained in order to protect confidentiality	
37 38 39 40 41			before, during, and after the trial	
	Declaration of	<u>#28</u>	Financial and other competing interests for	11
42 43 44	interests		principal investigators for the overall trial and each	
45 46			study site	
47 48 49	Data access	<u>#29</u>	Statement of who will have access to the final trial	10
50 51			dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements	
52 53 54			that limit such access for investigators	
55 56 57 58	Ancillary and post	<u>#30</u>	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care,	n/a for this trial
59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1	trial care		and for compensation to those who suffer harm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9			from trial participation	
	Dissemination	<u>#31a</u>	Plans for investigators and sponsor to	10
	policy: trial results		communicate trial results to participants,	
10 11			healthcare professionals, the public, and other	
12 13 14			relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in	
15 16			results databases, or other data sharing	
17 18			arrangements), including any publication	
19 20 21			restrictions	
22 23	Dissemination	<u>#31b</u>	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended	n/a for this trial
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	policy: authorship		use of professional writers	
	Dissemination	<u>#31c</u>	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full	n/a for this trial
	policy: reproducible		protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical	
	research		code	
	Appendices			
	Informed consent	<u>#32</u>	Model consent form and other related	n/a
40 41 42	materials		documentation given to participants and	
43 44 45			authorised surrogates	
46 47	Biological	<u>#33</u>	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and	n/a for this trial
48 49	specimens		storage of biological specimens for genetic or	
50 51 52			molecular analysis in the current trial and for future	
53 54			use in ancillary studies, if applicable	
55 56 57 58	Notes:			
59 60		For peer 1	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1	•	5c: n/a this information is not includedi n the paper, but is present in the protocol.
2		
3 4 5	•	5d: n/a this information is not includedi n the paper, but is present in the protocol.
6 7 8	•	11b: n/a for this trial
9 10 11	•	11d: n/a for this trial
12 13 14	•	17b: n/a for this trial
15 16 17	•	20b: n/a for this trial
18 19 20	•	21b: n/a for this trial
21 22 23 24	•	23: n/a for this trial
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	•	26b: n/a for this trial
	•	30: n/a for this trial
31 32 33	•	31b: n/a for this trial
34 35 36	•	31c: n/a for this trial
37 38 39	•	33: n/a for this trial The SPIRIT checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons
40 41		Attribution License CC-BY-ND 3.0. This checklist was completed on 20. January 2021 using
42 43		https://www.goodreports.org/, a tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with
44 45		Penelope.ai
46 47		
48 49		
50		
51 52		
53 54		
55 56		
57		
58 59		
60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

# **BMJ Open**

#### Study protocol for randomised clinical trial comparing the effectiveness of side-lying sleep positioning to back-lying at reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from Obstructive Sleep Apnoea in infants with cleft palate (SLUMBRS2).

Journal:	BMJ Open
Manuscript ID	bmjopen-2021-049290.R1
Article Type:	Protocol
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Mar-2021
Complete List of Authors:	Metryka, Aleksandra; Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Research and Innovation Cuniffe, Claire; Cleft Lip And Palate Association (CLAPA) Evans, Hazel J; Southampton Children's Hospital, Department of Respiratory Paediatrics Gavlak, Johanna G; Southampton Children's Hospital, Department of Respiratory Paediatrics Hudson, Nichola; Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust Kirby, Nigel; Cardiff University Lakhanpaul, Monica; UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health Population Policy and Practice, Policy & Practice Department; Whittington Health NHS Trust, Policy & Practice Department Lin, Yin-Ling; The University of Manchester Murray, Clare ; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine Rajai, Azita; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre Schilder, Anne; UCL Ear Institute; NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre Walsh, Tanya; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre Schilder, Anne; UCL Ear Institute; NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre Walsh, Tanya; The University of Manchester Bruce, Iain; Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine; The University of Manchester Faculty of Biology Medicine and Health, Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine
<b>Primary Subject Heading</b> :	Paediatrics
Secondary Subject Heading:	Ear, nose and throat/otolaryngology, Respiratory medicine
Keywords:	Paediatric otolaryngology < OTOLARYNGOLOGY, Community child health < PAEDIATRICS, Cot death < PAEDIATRICS, SLEEP MEDICINE

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SCHOLARONE <sup>™</sup> Manuscripts
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	
50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58	
59 60	For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml



I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual, irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in this journal and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

The Submitting Author accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJ to the Submitting Author unless you are acting as an employee on behalf of your employer or a postgraduate student of an affiliated institution which is paying any applicable article publishing charge ("APC") for Open Access articles. Where the Submitting Author wishes to make the Work available on an Open Access basis (and intends to pay the relevant APC), the terms of reuse of such Open Access shall be governed by a Creative Commons licence – details of these licences and which <u>Creative Commons</u> licence will apply to this Work are set out in our licence referred to above.

Other than as permitted in any relevant BMJ Author's Self Archiving Policies, I confirm this Work has not been accepted for publication elsewhere, is not being considered for publication elsewhere and does not duplicate material already published. I confirm all authors consent to publication of this Work and authorise the granting of this licence.

review only

2	
3	Title: Study protocol for randomised clinical trial comparing the effectiveness of side-lying
4	sleep positioning to back-lying at reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from Obstructive
5	
6	Sleep Apnoea in infants with cleft palate (SLUMBRS2).
7	
8	Aleksandra Metryka <sup>1</sup> <u>Aleksandra.Metryka@mft.nhs.uk</u>
9	Claire Cuniffe <sup>2</sup> Claire.Cunniffe@clapa.com
10	Hazel J Evans <sup>3</sup> Hazel.Evans@uhs.nhs.uk
11	Johanna G Gavlak <sup>3</sup> Johanna.Gavlak@uhs.nhs.uk
12	Nichola Hudson <sup>₄</sup> Nichola.Hudson@nhs.net
13	
14	Nigel Kirby <sup>5</sup> <u>KirbyN@cardiff.ac.uk</u>
15	Monica Lakhanpaul <sup>6,7</sup> M.Lakhanpaul@ucl.ac.uk
16	Yin-Ling Lin <sup>®</sup> <u>Yin-Ling.Lin@manchester.ac.uk</u>
10	Clare S Murray <sup>9,10</sup> <u>Clare.Murray@manchester.ac.uk</u>
	Azita Rajai <sup>1</sup> Azita.Rajai@mft.nhs.uk
18	Helen Robson <sup>9</sup> Helen.Robson@mft.nhs.uk
19	Anne GM Schilder <sup>11,12</sup> A.Schilder@ucl.ac.uk
20	Tanya Walsh <sup>8</sup> Tanya.Walsh@manchester.ac.uk
21	
22	lain Alexander Bruce <sup>9,10</sup> lain.Bruce@manchester.ac.uk
23	
24	1 Research and Innovation, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK
25	2 Cleft Lip And Palate Association (CLAPA)
26	3 Department of Respiratory Paediatrics, Southampton Children's Hospital, Southampton, UK
27	4 Salisbury Foundation Trust
28	5 Centre for Trials Research, Cardiff University
29	6 Policy & Practice Department, UCL GOS Institute of Child Health
30	7 Community Paediatrics, Whittington Health NHS Trust
31	8 School of Dentistry, The University of Manchester
32	
33	9 Royal Manchester Children's Hospital, Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust,
34	Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK
35	10 Division of Infection, Immunity and Respiratory Medicine, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health
36	University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
37	11 evidENT, UCL Ear Institute
38	12 NIHR University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre
39	
40	
41	Corresponding Author
42	
43	Corresponding Author Prof Iain Alexander Bruce Consultant Description Otales resolution
44	
45	Consultant Paediatric Otolaryngologist
46	
47	Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
48	
49	Royal Manchester Children's Hospital
50	Outeral Deced
51	Oxford Road
52	M12 0M/
53	M13 9WL
54	E mail: Jain Bruce@manchester.ac.uk
55	E-mail: lain.Bruce@manchester.ac.uk
56	
50 57	
58	Manuscript date: 10 Mar 2021, protocol version 1.1, 14 Dec 2020.
58 59	$\mathbf{Manuscript} \mathbf{ualc.}  \mathbf{v}  \mathbf{val} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{v},  \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u} u$
59 60	Reprints
00	

#### Page 1 of 13

Reprints will not be available from the authors.

## Funding

This work was supported by National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) grant number [PB-PG-NIHR201173]. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## **Running Title**

Which sleep position is better for infants with a cleft palate – RCT protocol.

## Word Count: 4641

## Number of tables: 1

This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Programme (Grant Reference Number PB-PG-201173). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## ABSTRACT

## Introduction:

The craniofacial abnormalities found in infants with cleft palate (CP) decrease their airway patency and increase their risk of obstructive sleep apnoea (OSA). We hypothesise that optimising sleep position in infants with CP may improve airway patency and offer a 'low-cost, high-impact' intervention to prevent the negative impacts of OSA. Because cleft centres give inconsistent advice about sleep position: some recommend back-lying and others side-lying, we will compare these in a randomised controlled trial.

## Methods and analysis:

The aim is to determine the clinical effectiveness of side-lying as compared to back-lying sleep positioning in terms of reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA in 244 infants aged 3 to 5 weeks of age, diagnosed with an isolated CP in/by UK cleft centres.

Primary outcome is the 4% oxygen desaturation index (ODI-4) measured using pulse oximetry during sleep.

Research plan:

1. Multicentre randomised controlled trial of side-lying compared with back-lying sleep positioning in reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA in infants with CP at one month of age.

2. Internal pilot questionnaire-based study to support parents and clinicians regarding study participation, seeking to identify and address any barriers to recruitment. Monitoring data from the internal pilot will be used in the final analysis.

3. Co-development of new UK recommendations with CLAPA regarding sleep position for infants with CP.

**Ethics and dissemination:** The study protocol has received the favourable opinion of the West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee. Study results will be published on affiliated webpages and in peer-reviewed publications and conference contributions.

## Trial registration number: ClinicalTrials.Gov (NCT04478201)

## Key words:

- Cleft Palate
- Sleep Position
- Sleep Disordered Breathing
- Sleep Oximetry

## Article Summary

## Strengths and limitations of this study:

- This study addresses an important evidence gap regarding the optimal sleeping position of infants with a cleft palate
- All Cleft Centres in the UK will be invited to participate in the study
- This study will produce sleep-position recommendations for future practice at UK Cleft Centres
- Non-adherence due to randomised allocation being different to advice given by the Cleft Centre

## 1 Introduction

The craniofacial abnormalities found in children with cleft palate (CP) [1] lead to reduction in airway size, and risk of airway obstruction ranging from intermittent airway collapse during sleep (obstructive sleep apnoea, OSA) [2] to potentially life-threatening airway compromise [3] necessitating intubation or a tracheostomy. Children with CP are at increased risk of OSA when compared to otherwise 'healthy' children. There is evidence that severe OSA may be found in infants before cleft repair [2-4]. In children and adults, sleep position is known to influence the patency of the airway during sleep. When investigating the effect of sleep position on OSA in infants with CP, the best sleep position would be expected to cause less airway collapse and a reduced frequency of oxygen desaturations resulting from OSA. Altering sleep position in infants with CP may offer a 'low-cost, high impact' intervention to limit the negative impacts of OSA.

Oxygen desaturations are known to have negative effects on the wellbeing of children and adults. Children with CP are at increased background risk of impairment in learning, memory and cognition [3] with OSA recognised as having a potentially deleterious effect on cognitive development [3-5]. In addition, infants with CP are at risk of poor weight gain and 'failure to thrive' [4, 6-8] which can be further exacerbated by co-existing OSA. The increased work of breathing associated with OSA, leads to increased energy expenditure, in infants already at risk of reduced calorific intake due to cleftrelated feeding difficulties. Poor nutritional status is a significant, and potentially reversible, barrier to the desired surgical repair of a CP in infancy or early childhood.

OSA can have significant and permanent negative effects on health and development in infants with CP. An observational study in a group of children with cleft lip and/or palate, reported that severe OSA in infancy had a significant negative impact on neurocognition, quality of life and weight gain measurable at 3 years [8].

Infant sleeping position is an emotionally charged topic: In 2009, the Department of Health published a leaflet entitled "Reduce the risk of cot death" (www.nhs.uk) which advises parents to "place your baby on the back to sleep, in a cot in a room with you." We have found that there is a lack of evidence and clinical consensus regarding sleep position for infants with CP (7/12 UK centres advised side-lying and 5/12 back-lying) [9]. This is confusing for parents and health professionals who have repeatedly expressed the need for clear and consistent information about the best sleeping position.

## 1.1 Rationale

Pierre Robin Sequence (PRS) is considered to be an extreme type of CP, associated with a markedly underdeveloped mandible and significantly increased risk of OSA. The standard sleep position advice given for PRS is a side-lying position. Studies in infants with PRS have reported an improvement in feeding difficulty and subsequent weight gain, following early intervention to improve the airway [10]. Side-lying positioning has been postulated as a simple, low-cost therapeutic intervention to improve airway patency in children with OSA [11] and those undergoing general anaesthesia [11-13] or sedation [14].

Cleft lip and/or palate are amongst the most common birth defects, occurring in approximately 1 per 500-700 births [15], of which approximately 45% have an isolated CP [16]. The provision of care for these children is organised into Regional Cleft Networks, comprising of one or two surgical centres. The Cleft Networks have a proven track-record of clinical and research collaboration, enhanced by a highly functional Lead Clinical Nurse Specialists (CNS) Group that serves to facilitate dissemination of knowledge and sharing of best-practice initiatives.

#### 1.2 Aim and objectives

The aim of this project is to determine the clinical effectiveness in infants with CP of side-lying as compared to back-lying sleep positioning in reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA. This is to develop evidence-based recommendations for cleft centres regarding the optimum sleep position for infants with CP. This will be achieved by:

- comparing oxygen saturation during sleep in the side- and back-lying positions at 1 month of age (4 weeks +/- one week).
- comparing self-reported sleep quality between the side-lying and back-lying groups evaluated in a parental questionnaire.
- Providing information in consultation with parents that could be used to inform the development of guidelines and recommendations for sleeping position of infants with a cleft palate.

#### 2 Methods and analysis

This study protocol (v1.1, 2020) describes the design of a multi-centre RCT of sleep position in infants with CP in the UK. The study protocol conforms with the Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials (SPIRIT) [17] while the RCT will conform to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) statement for reporting RCTs [18].

An unblended, randomised controlled trial (RCT) of side-lying compared with back-lying sleep positioning in reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from OSA in infants with CP. Infants meeting the eligibility criteria will be randomised to side-lying or back-lying in a ratio 1:1 using a minimisation routine incorporating a random element to reduce predictability. Minimisation factors will be clinical site and syndrome suspected or indicated (yes / no). Allocations will be delivered via a passwordprotected web-based system. The allocated position will only be used on the night(s) when the infant is monitored for the study purposes. If the first attempt at oxygen monitoring is unsuccessful parents will have the chance to keep the equipment for another night. Thereafter, parents will be free to revert back to the standard sleep position as advised by their cleft centre, should it be different than that used for the monitoring period. All centres represented at our preparatory meeting with the UK Lead Clinical Nurse Specialist group, confirmed that the side-lying position was recommended in some infants at their centre, irrespective of whether it was the standard advice used. As such, all centres had experience of the side-lying position. It was decided not to change the specific advice that centres give to parents regarding how to position the infant in a side-lying position, but any standard written or verbal information would be collected by the study documents. Study is planned to begin recruitment in June 2021.

#### 2.1 Study design

#### Patient and public involvement

Research described by this protocol follows a feasibility study, entitled: "Does sleep position influence sleep disordered breathing in infants with cleft palate: A feasibility study." There is an agreement among parents and clinicians that there is an unmet need in this area which requires investigation. SLUMBRS2 development was directed by the results from SLUMBRS feasibility study in which we have investigated the possibility of this trial and spoke with parents about their willingness to take part

and the importance of breathing during sleep [19]. Preparation of SLUMBRS and SLUMBRS2 has been done in collaboration and with full support of the Cleft Lip and Palate Association (CLAPA, working to improve the lives of people born with a cleft and their families in the UK) who have been supportive of the study from the very beginning.

#### **Recruitment setting**

The *SLUMBRS2* study is a multi-centred randomised controlled trial. All cleft centres in the UK will be eligible to participate in the study provided that they are prepared to allow sleep-position to be randomised. Participating centres will be required to allow for randomisation of the sleep position for their patients participating in the study. Parents will be randomly assigned advice regarding sleep position for their child, either side-lying or back-lying. It is possible that for some parents this advice will be different to that they received from their local Cleft Centre. Parents will only be asked to follow study related advice during their participation in the study, i.e. up to three days (two nights).

Participants recruited in the initial six months from opening the first study site will be asked to complete an additional questionnaire collecting information about their experience of participating in the study (appendix 1). After 6 months this information will be analysed and used as a basis for potential changes to the recruitment process and technical information on using the monitor, with the aim of supporting parents to consent to join the study.

Parents will be asked to record in a sleep log the starting sleep position and the sleep position when the baby wakes for feeds and/or at the end of sleep. Parents will record the time awake and asleep to aid the respiratory paediatrician and physiologist with reporting the oximetry traces. The mode of feeding (e.g. breast milk, formula or combination feeding) and details of any nutritional supplementation used will be recorded in the sleep questionnaire, completed by parents. Parents will be asked to complete a bespoke sleep questionnaire (appendix 2) to capture information regarding parental perception of sleep quality during the study period.

The study will run for 36 months, with a 30 month recruitment window. We aim to recruit 244 children to the study (122 side-lying and 122 back-lying).

#### 2.2 Target population

#### 2.2.1 Inclusion criteria

- Infants diagnosed with an isolated CP under the care of a collaborating centre
- Parents willing to give consent and able to complete study procedures

#### 2.2.2 Exclusion criteria

- Infants with associated cleft lip
- Infants born prematurely (before 37 week gestation)
- Infants with cardiorespiratory disease
- Infants requiring an intervention to assist with breathing (nasopharyngeal airway)
- Infants requiring an intervention to assist with feeding (nasogastric tube)

#### 2.3 Primary outcome

Oxygen saturation during sleep at 1 month of age (expressed 4% oxygen desaturation index, ODI-4). Oximetry is considered the mainstay of assessment of oxygenation in infants and will be the primary outcome measurement instrument. The ODI-4 represents the average number of times that oxygen saturation falls by at least 4% from baseline every hour.

#### Page 5 of 13

## 2.4 Secondary outcomes

*i. Other commonly used oximetry parameters* including mean SpO2, nadir SpO2, ODI-3, the proportion of total sleep time (TST) with oxygen saturation below 97% [5] 95% [20] 90% [5] and 80% at age 1 month.

*ii. Weight* at age 1 month (4 weeks +/- one week).

- iii. Length and head circumference at age 1 month (4 weeks +/- one week).
- iv. Adverse events.

## 2.5 Sample size

Data from the feasibility study [21] and published studies [22] have reported estimates of the standard deviation (SD) of the primary outcome ODI-4 in the side-lying infants at four weeks to range from 8 to 11 units, with a higher SD observed in the back-lying group. The observed difference in mean ODI-4 between the side-lying and back-lying infant cohorts was 15 units (a standardised effect size of 0.91) [21]. It was considered a smaller but more realistic difference in means of five units to be a clinically important difference (SD 10), a standardised effect size of 0.5. The sample size calculation comparing two means with unequal variances for the primary outcome was, therefore, based on a standardised effect size of 0.5. To account for potential unequal variances in each group a variance ratio of 2 was used in the calculations. To detect a difference of 0.5 SDS with 80% power and alpha equal to 0.05 would require 96 infants to be monitored in each arm of the trial (a total of 192 participants). Informed by the multicentre feasibility and oximetry studies the sample size will be inflated to 244 participants in the RCT, to allow for potential attrition of 21%.

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

This will follow a pre-specified and approved statistical analysis plan. The primary analysis of the RCT data will use intention-to-treat. Baseline data will be analysed to assess the comparability of the demographic and clinical characteristics of the participants. Data from the trial arms will be compared using generalised linear models and adjusted for minimisation covariates where appropriate under the intention to treat principle. Estimates of treatment effect size will be reported as differences in means for continuous outcomes, and risk ratios / odds ratios for dichotomous outcomes and reported along with 95% confidence intervals. Subgroup analyses will explore the effects of sleep position on infants with, and without, associated syndromes being suspected by the responsible clinical team, through subgroup treatment interactions, at a stricter alpha level 0.01. Reasons for exclusions from analysis will be clearly detailed in the statistical analysis plan.

## 2.7 Data collection

Sleep oximetry for one night will be recorded in the home at age 3 to 5 weeks. Domiciliary sleep oximetry monitoring (amount of oxygen in the blood) reflects usual UK practice, producing data which is readily applicable to routine clinical practice.

Motion resistant pulse oximetry with a 2 second averaging time (Masimo Rad oximeter) will be recorded during sleep from a securely attached toe sensor. The technical specifications and interpretation guidelines of the Australasian Sleep Association (2019) will guide study protocol development [23]. If the first night's diagnostic study is inadequate or incomplete (less than 5 hours of sleep), then a second night will be offered.

Data from oximeters at relevant participating centres will be downloaded onto their local NHS drives and sent to the University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust (UHS) for analysis by a respiratory physiologist (Gavlak) or respiratory paediatrician (Evans). Transfer of the oximetry file will be done between encrypted nhs.net emails. In addition to oximetry data participating centres will send a sleep log to UHS to aid with interpreting the data. Data from respective centres sent to UHS will be distinguished with a pseudonym which could only be linked to participant's identifiable information by their recruiting centre. Following analysis at UHS, ODI-3 and -4 values and mean SpO2, nadir SpO2,

 the proportion of total sleep time (TST) with oxygen saturation below 97%, 95%, 90% and 80% will be recorded in the study database by CTR staff in Cardiff.

Background and demographic information will be collected including the nature of the cleft palate, smoking habits of family members and first part of the home postcode. Participant's General Practitioner's (GP) and Health Visitor (HV) details will also be collected and their GP will be informed about participation in the SLUMBRS2 study. Participant's GP and HV details will be collected in the Case Report Form (CRF).

During the monitoring period, the parents of participants will be asked to complete the, SLUMBRS2 Sleep questionnaire (appendix 2) and sleep log. Additionally, immediately following the monitoring period the study experience questionnaire (appendix 1) will be completed by parents of those participants who were recruited in the first 6 months of the study opening. Six months will be counted from the time the first study site was open to recruitment to allow for simultaneous data collation and analysis from all sites.

#### 2.8 Study Procedures

Data will be collected at 2 time points (Table 1);

- Screening / Baseline
- Home monitoring (when the child is aged between 3 to 5 weeks) At least one overnight sleep period over 1-2 nights

#### Table 1 Schedule for study procedures

Screening	Recruitment and Baseline	Home monitoring at 1 month of age	End of study
х			
	х	x	
х	х		
	х		
	х	x	
		х	
		х	
	х	x	х
	х	x	
			х
	X	X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X       X     X	xScreeningxx

\*only for study participants recruited within the initial six months of the study opening

#### 2.8.1 Screening

All babies with isolated cleft palate will be screened by the CNS for their inclusion into the SLUMBRS2 RCT (i.e. it will be checked if they fulfil the inclusion criteria). Parents with babies that fulfil the inclusion criteria, will be approached initially by the specialist nurses from the cleft team at that site. The nurse specialist will talk to the parents in more detail about the study and will give parents the Parent/Guardian Information Sheet (appendix 3 and Informed Consent Form appendix 4).

All sites will be asked to keep a screening log throughout the study. Information regarding eligibility, reasons for ineligibility, and the eventual recruitment outcome (consented/not consented) will be collected. This will help to monitor recruitment levels, participation rates, and the number of patients seen within the site.

The screening log should be maintained by the research team at the site and should be emailed (to secure nhs.net email address only) to the SLUMBRS2 trial manager at the MFT every 2 months.

#### 2.8.2 Baseline

After consent is obtained, baseline demographics (including nude birth weight (measured at 0-7 days) – from the *personal child health record (The Red Book*), related medical history, parental smoking status will be collected and recorded in the CRF. This information will either be obtained at a routine visit or at the Home Visit, whichever one occurs first. It is possible that due to the Covid-19 pandemic routine visit with the cleft nurse will take place over the telephone or via a video call.

#### 2.8.3 Home monitoring 1, Day 1

The cleft nurse will arrange home monitoring to help with the setup of the oximeter (sleep monitoring).

The Home monitoring will be scheduled to occur when the infant is 4 weeks old (+/- one week) and free of signs of respiratory tract infection. The sleep study should be done overnight. We are aiming to record sleep oximetry during one period of sleep lasting at least 5h. The infants' weight, length and head circumference measured within the last one week of the oximetry monitoring will be recorded (if available) – from *personal child health record (The Red Book*).

#### 2.8.3.1 Sleep questionnaire

Parents will be given a questionnaire to complete (appendix 2). The questionnaire aims to capture information regarding parental perception of sleep quality during the sleep study. The questionnaire will enable the comparison with reported symptoms of OSA in infants with CP and sleep position.

#### 2.8.3.2 Sleep oximetry monitoring

The cleft nurse will set up the oximeter with the participant study number. The information will enable the study team to identify which baby the recording belongs to once it is downloaded. The nurse will then explain to the parent/s how to switch the monitor on/off and how to attach the SpO<sub>2</sub> sensor to their infant. This will be done either in person if a home visit is possible or via the telephone/ video call if a visit is to be a virtual one as part of the local measures for Covid-19. Parents will also be given a written instructions showing how to use the oximeter and web-link to an instruction video which they could view at any time to refresh their knowledge. The parents will be instructed to record in the sleep log if they remove the monitor or the baby wakes for a feed. The SpO<sub>2</sub> probe can be left on while the baby is feeding.

It is standard practice to silence the oximeter alarm for NHS home oximetry services. However, for the purposes of this study we will set the alarm at  $SpO_2$  70% and heart rate 80, a value that we would not expect to normally record during infant sleep.

The monitor will record the following parameters: *Mean* SpO<sub>2</sub> *Nadir* SpO<sub>2</sub> *Oxygen desaturation index 3 and 4 (ODI-3 and 4) Total sleep time with oxygen saturation below 97%, 95%, 90% and 80%* 

After the monitoring period has finished, parents will remove the SpO<sub>2</sub> probe and switch off the machine (switching off the machine will not lose the data, it will be stored). For safety purposes, all of

 the sleep oximetry sessions will be reviewed by the study Respiratory Physiologist or Paediatrician within 2 weeks of the date of monitoring.

The Respiratory Physiologist and Paediatrician (assessors) who will analyse oximetry readings will be blinded to the sleep position allocation. On the night of oximetry monitoring parents will complete the sleep log, where they will record if the sleep position is as randomised, as well as wake times. The sleep log will be provided to the oximetry assessors to aid with the analysis by helping to identify the sleep and wake times. Sleep log will also measure compliance with randomisation. As such assessors will not know the sleep position and will be blinded.

#### Procedures for Assessing Safety

All of the sleep oximetry studies will be reviewed by the study Respiratory Physiologist (Gavlak) or Paediatrician (Evans) within 2 weeks of the date of monitoring. Sleep monitoring is usually done at home in babies with CP who present with airway problems. Babies recruited to this study will not have airway concerns sufficient to mandate an airway intervention and therefore we would not expect clinically significant desaturation events. At initial site recruitment the 'emergency' contact details for each cleft team will be collated, along with a written description of the local pathway for onward referral of any infant with suspicion of significant OSA.

#### 2.8.3.3 Abnormal result suspicious of OSA

In the event that a sleep oximetry study is considered abnormal, as indicated by the review from the study Respiratory Physiologist (Gavlak) or Respiratory Paediatrician (Evans) within 2 weeks of the date of monitoring, the local cleft team will contacted by UHS (Gavlak and/or Evans). All abnormal readings considered of clinical concern will prompt an urgent written report of the oximetry findings to the responsible cleft team within 2 weeks, and an additional telephone contact may be made with the cleft team dependent upon the level of concern. An example of an oximetry finding that would be considered of clinical concern would be an ODI-4% >25. The Chief Investigator will be informed of an abnormal sleep study at the same as the local cleft team.

#### 2.8.3.4 Result not suspicious of OSA

The parents and responsible cleft team will receive written confirmation from the UHS (Gavlak and/or Evans) of studies considered to be normal, not later than 4 weeks after the date of monitoring.

#### 2.9 End of study

The day after the oximetry monitor is delivered to the participant, study staff will telephone families to check if successful monitoring has occurred. In an instance when more time with the machine is needed the participant will keep the oximetry machine for another night. Following the completion of sleep oximetry recording, oximetry machines will be either collected by the study staff or by a courier who will return them to the site which recruited the participant. Collection will be arranged by the recruiting site. In order for a courier to be arranged the recruiting site will share the participant's address with the courier. Participants' permission to share their address with the courier will be recorded in the ICF.

#### 2.10 Adverse events

No medicinal product is being given in this study. Reactions to the monitoring are highly unlikely but the study staff will record any adverse events in the CRF during the phone call following the delivery of the oximetry machine or when the equipment is returned. We will record what the illness is (e.g. upper respiratory tract infection), whether any medication was given. Adverse events will be followed up for up to 28 days or until resolution, which ever date is sooner.

## 2.11 Potential Risks and Benefits

## 2.11.1 Potential Risks

SLUMBRS2 is an RCT which means that infants will be randomly allocated to one of the two sleeping positions, side- or back-lying. This means that there is a chance that for the 1-2 nights during the study some participants will be asked to follow advice that is contrary to the standard advice given by their cleft centre. This may cause distress to some participants. Current UK practice is that some Cleft Networks recommend side- and others back-lying sleep position as standard, and all recommend side-lying in some infants if they are concerned about airway obstruction. As such, both of the sleep positions being compared would represent 'standard' practice in some UK centres. The probe from the oximeter will be attached to a toe, to limit the chance of entanglement in the cable.

## 2.11.2 Known Potential Benefits

There are no known direct potential benefits to participating. However, the infant will have an oximetry reading which will be reviewed by a respiratory physiologist, which they would not obtain as part of routine care. This may offer additional reassurance for parents or identification of potential health care issues as indicated by parents in the SLUMBRS feasibility study.

## 2.12 Study Closure

The end of the study is defined to be the date on which data for all participants is frozen and data entry privileges are withdrawn from the study database. However, the study may be closed prematurely by the Data Monitoring Committee. The SMG have the right at any time to terminate the study for clinical or administrative reasons.

Review of study continuation will be initiated by the SMG within 2 weeks of the following instances taking place:

- Recorded cot death of one of the study participants, past and active.
- Recall of study equipment
- Harm to the participant caused by study equipment.
- New evidence unequivocally showing one of the study positions was safer than other.

The end of the study is defined to be the date on which data for all participants is frozen and data entry privileges are withdrawn from the study database. An end of study notification will be submitted to the REC within 90 days of this date. An end of the study notification will be submitted to the REC within 15 days if the study is terminated prematurely. Investigators will inform the parents of participants of any premature termination of the study and ensure that the appropriate follow up is arranged for all involved. A summary report of the study will be provided to the REC within 12 months of the end of study notification.

All data will be stored for at least 10 years, in accordance with the sponsor's Standard Operating Procedure (SOP). Any queries or concerns about the data, conduct or conclusions of the study can also be resolved in this time. Limited data on the participants and records of any adverse events may be kept for longer if recommended by an independent advisory board.

## 3 Study Monitoring

Study monitoring will be carried out to ensure that the rights and well-being of human participants are protected during the course of a clinical trial. The study will be subject to the audit and monitoring regime of Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust (MFT), the study sponsor, in line with applicable MFT SOP and policies. The study will have, as a minimum, an annual survey sent out for completion by a member of the local research team.

#### 4 Ethics and Dissemination

The study protocol has received the favourable opinion of the West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee. All participating sites must be granted NHS permission by their local Research Office prior to commencing recruitment. Upon completion of our study, the findings will be incorporated into clinical practice for the benefit of patients via the Lead CNS group (Hudson, chair). CNSs provide 'hands-on' care for infants with cleft palate in the home and hospital settings and are ideally placed to highlight research priorities. They have been instrumental in defining and contextualising the research question. In addition, we will disseminate study results through Cleft Network study days and will create a short video which will summarise our study findings and recommendations which will be hosted on the websites <a href="https://www.clapa.com/news-item/slumbers-sleep-study/">https://Healthtalk.org</a>, <a href="https://Mft.nhs.uk">http://craniofacialsociety.co.uk/</a>, <a href="https://www.clapa.torg.uk/">https://www.clapa.torg.uk/</a>. It will be co-developed with parents and CLAPA to inform parents and healthcare practitioners about the best sleep practice for infants with CP.

#### 5 Steps to mitigate against the impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic

The study team acknowledge that the COVID-19 pandemic has impacted upon the delivery of clinical research. Wherever possible and safe, the RCT will be delivered as intended. Steps are being taken to ensure that study information (e.g. PIS) can be distributed electronically or by mail. Similarly any data that we collect (e.g. sleep questionnaires and the sleep log) and informed consent can be collected electronically or by mail, to limit contact between researchers and families. Details of the impact of local and national restrictions at individual sites will be recorded on a monthly basis, using a bespoke *Impact Document* that would be available to the SMG, Sponsor and Funder.

L.C.

#### **Author Contributions**

AM contributed to the study design and drafted the manuscript.

CC, HJE, JGG, NH, NK, ML, YLL, CSM, AR, HR, AS, TW, IAB contributed to the study design and

revised the manuscript.

All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee

#### **Consent for Publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Data Sharing Statement**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Page 11 of 13

#### Funding

This work was supported by National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) grant number [PB-PG-NIHR201173]. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care.

#### Authors' Information

Not applicable.

#### Acknowledgements

The study team would like to thank all members of the SMG and SAG from the preceding SLUMBRS Feasibility study [**PB-PG-0213-30058**], the Cleft Lip & Palate Association (CLAPA) and Craniofacial Society of Great Britain & Ireland (CFSGBI). In particular, thanks are due to Trish Bannister for supporting the work from the beginning.

## Ethical Approval

West Midlands - South Birmingham Research Ethics Committee, REC reference: 20/WM/0302

#### References

- 1. Imamura, N., et al., *Comparison of the sizes of adenoidal tissues and upper airways of subjects with and without cleft lip and palate.* Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop, 2002. **122**(2): p. 189-94; discussion 194-5.
- 2. MacLean, J.E., et al., *The spectrum of sleep-disordered breathing symptoms and respiratory events in infants with cleft lip and/or palate.* Arch Dis Child, 2012. **97**(12): p. 1058-63.
- 3. MacLean, J.E., et al., *The identification of children with cleft palate and sleep disordered breathing using a referral system.* Pediatr Pulmonol, 2008. **43**(3): p. 245-50.
- 4. Maclean, J.E., et al., *Screening for obstructive sleep apnea in preschool children with cleft palate.* Cleft Palate Craniofac J, 2009. **46**(2): p. 117-23.
- 5. Montgomery-Downs, H.E. and D. Gozal, *Sleep habits and risk factors for sleepdisordered breathing in infants and young toddlers in Louisville, Kentucky.* Sleep Med, 2006. **7**(3): p. 211-9.
- 6. Horemuzova, E., M. Katz-Salamon, and J. Milerad, *Breathing patterns, oxygen and carbon dioxide levels in sleeping healthy infants during the first nine months after birth.* Acta Paediatr, 2000. **89**(11): p. 1284-9.
- 7. Pandya, A.N. and J.G. Boorman, *Failure to thrive in babies with cleft lip and palate.* Br J Plast Surg, 2001. **54**(6): p. 471-5.
- 8. Smith, D.M. and J.E. Losee, *Cleft palate repair*. Clin Plast Surg, 2014. **41**(2): p. 189-210.
- 9. Davies, K., et al., *Safe sleeping positions: practice and policy for babies with cleft palate.* Eur J Pediatr, 2017. **176**(5): p. 661-667.
- 10. Lidsky, M.E., T.A. Lander, and J.D. Sidman, *Resolving feeding difficulties with early airway intervention in Pierre Robin Sequence*. Laryngoscope, 2008. **118**(1): p. 120-3.
- 11. Arai, Y.C., et al., *The endoscopically measured effects of airway maneuvers and the lateral position on airway patency in anesthetized children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy.* Anesth Analg, 2005. **100**(4): p. 949-52.
- 12. Arai, Y.C., et al., *The effects of chin lift and jaw thrust while in the lateral position on stridor score in anesthetized children with adenotonsillar hypertrophy.* Anesth Analg, 2004. **99**(6): p. 1638-41, .

י ר	
2	
3	
4	
5	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ 25\\ 26\\ 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 33\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\end{array}$	
7	
, ,	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
20	
27	
28	
29	
30	
21	
21	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
27	
3/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
40 49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	

- 14. Litman, R.S., et al., *Effect of lateral positioning on upper airway size and morphology in sedated children.* Anesthesiology, 2005. **103**(3): p. 484-8.
- 15. Ahmed, M.K., A.H. Bui, and E. Taioli, *Designing Strategies for Cleft Lip and Palate Care*. 2016.
- 16. *CRANE database Home*. 2019 09 July 2019]; Available from: <u>https://www.crane-database.org.uk/</u>.
- 17. Chan, A.W., et al., *SPIRIT 2013 explanation and elaboration: guidance for protocols of clinical trials.* BMJ, 2013. **346**: p. e7586.
- 18. Moher, D., et al., *CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: Updated guidelines* for reporting parallel group randomised trials. J Clin Epidemiol, 2010. **63**(8): p. e1-37.
- 19. Davies, K., et al., *Parental Experience of Sleep-Disordered Breathing in Infants With Cleft Palate: Comparing Parental and Clinical Priorities.* Cleft Palate Craniofac J, 2019. **56**(2): p. 222-230.
- 20. Hunt, C.E., et al., *Longitudinal assessment of hemoglobin oxygen saturation in healthy infants during the first 6 months of age. Collaborative Home Infant Monitoring Evaluation (CHIME) Study Group.* J Pediatr, 1999. **135**(5): p. 580-6.
- 21. Murray CS, et al., *Does sleep position influence sleep disordered breathing in infants with cleft palate: a feasibility study?* The Cleft Palate-Craniofacial Journal, 2020. **submitted**.
- 22. Evans, H.J., et al., *A cohort study reporting normal oximetry values in healthy infants under 4 months of age using Masimo technology.* Arch Dis Child, 2018. **103**(9): p. 868-872.
- 23. Twiss, J., et al., Overnight oximetry for evaluating paediatric obstructive sleep apnoea: Technical specifications and interpretation guidelines. J Paediatr Child Health, 2019. 55(10): p. 1279.

## SLUMBRS2 parental experiences questionnaire

The purpose of this questionnaire is to make sure that the study is the best it can be to maximise participation and parental satisfaction. Please can you complete as best you can and return with other study documents.

Date of completion | | | / | | / 20| | |

- 1. Which health professional asked you whether you would like to take part in the study? free text
- 2. Consider your answer in Question1, do you think it was appropriate for them to ask you to take part in the study? Yes/No/Not sure
  - a. Can you tell us why? Free text
- 3. Were you given a Patient Information Sheet (PIS)? Yes/No/Not sure
  - a. Were you given enough time to read the PIS and decide whether you wanted to take part in the study? Yes/No/Not sure/I did not read the PIS
- 4. Did you find the information on the PIS:
  - a. Easy to understand: strongly agree/agree/don't know/disagree/strongly disagree
  - b. Easy to read: strongly agree/agree/don't know/disagree/strongly disagree
  - c. Easy to find answers if unclear: strongly agree/agree/don't know/disagree/strongly disagree
- 5. What would you change on the PIS to make it better: free text
- 6. What were the main reasons that made you decide to take part in the study? free text
- 7. Do you think that this study is important to families of children with cleft? Yes/No/Not sure
- 8. What is your experience of being part of the study: excellent/good/ok/bad/terrible
- 9. Can you explain your response in Q8? free text
- 10. What are the challenges of being part of the study? free text
- 11. If we were to do this study again, what would you change to make it easier for other parents to take part? free text

SLUMBRS2 study (IRAS ID 276338) V1.0, 24 Jun 2020

BMJ Open

	_
Date of completion   _   _   /   _   / 20  _   _	
Below are some questions we would like to ask you about your baby's sleep questionnaire is divided into two parts, general questions and more specific the response that best describes your baby. It should take about 10 minute	questions. Please tick
General questions 1. Have you been given information about what position to place yo asleep?	our baby in whilst
Yes No	
<ul> <li>2. If yes to question 1, what advice were you given about the best p to sleep?</li> <li>Not applicable</li> </ul>	position to put your bal
On their back On their side On	their front
Other, please describe:	
3. Who gave you this information?	7
	→ neone who is not a heal e professional, e.g., fam nd
Other (specify):	
4. How was that information given to you (you can choose more th	an one answer)?
Verbally Pamphlet / leaflet Er	nail
Facebook Online forum	
	ble
5. If written information was given, was this about?  Not applica	
	babies with cleft palate

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire (IRAS ID 276338) v 1.1, 25 Nov 2020

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

7. In general, do you think your baby I	nas good quality slee	p?
Yes		No
8. Is your baby fed		
Breast milk	Formula milk	Combined breast mill formula feeding
9. Does your baby have medicine for g Ranitidine, Omeprazole, Domperio	gastric / stomach / ac	id reflux? (e.g. Gaviscon,
Yes		No
If yes, please specify:		
10. Has your baby had any difficulty ir	a gaining weight?	
Yes a) If yes, what advice was given advice?	to you about your bab	No y's weight and who gave you
a) If yes, what advice was given	to you about your bab	
a) If yes, what advice was given	to you about your bab	
a) If yes, what advice was given	Z.	
a) If yes, what advice was given advice?	Z.	
a) If yes, what advice was given advice? b) What action (if any) did you ta	ke?	
a) If yes, what advice was given advice?	ke?	
a) If yes, what advice was given advice? b) What action (if any) did you ta	ke?	y's weight and who gave you
a) If yes, what advice was given advice? b) What action (if any) did you ta	ke?	
a) If yes, what advice was given advice? b) What action (if any) did you ta 11. Is your baby receiving any nutritio Yes	ke?	y's weight and who gave you
a) If yes, what advice was given advice? b) What action (if any) did you ta 11. Is your baby receiving any nutritio Yes	ke?	y's weight and who gave you

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

of 35	BMJ Open						
	SLUMBRS2 Sleep	Questionnai	re	Study ID:   _	_		
	<b>Specific Questions</b> For each of the following questions please tick the most appropriate answer to describe your b sleep (either during the daytime or at night).						
	<b>12. Does your bal</b> Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never		
	13. Does your bal sleep?	oy stop breathir	ng for periods o	r have pauses ir	htheir breathin	g during	
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never	
	14. Do <u>es y</u> our bal	oy s <u>nor</u> e / make	a noise when t	hey a <u>re a</u> sleep?			
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never	
	15. Does your bal	by make snoring	g noises while t	hey are awake?			
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never	
	16. Ho <u>w w</u> ould yo	ou describe y <u>ou</u>	r_baby's sleep?				
	Poor / restless	Sometimes	 s restlessMos	stly peaceful	Peaceful		
	roor resuess Sometimes resuess mostly peacerul reacerul						
	17. If you describ often is this?	ed your baby's		ooor / restless o	r sometimes re	estless, how	
	Every day	Frequently (more than 3 days per week)	Sometimes (3 days or less per week)	Occasionally (every 1 – 2 weeks)	Only when they have a cold	Never	

SLUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire (IRAS ID 276338) v 1.1, 25 Nov 2020

LUMBRS2 Sleep Questionnaire	Study ID:   _ _ _
18. Do you regularly have to change your b easier?	aby's sleeping position to help them sle
Yes	No
19. If yes, <u>wha</u> t position helps your bab <u>y sl</u>	oon assiar?
On their back On their s	ide On their front
Other (specify):	
20. Does your baby sleep with a dummy?	_
Yes	No
21. Is there anything else you would like to	tell us about your baby's sleep?
	<b>V</b>
	0.
	<u>`</u> 4
	21
	1
	5

# Comparing the effectiveness of side-lying sleep positioning to back-lying at reducing oxygen desaturation resulting from Obstructive Sleep Apnoea in infants with cleft palate (SLUMBRS2).

## Parent/Guardian Information Sheet (Version 1.1, 25 Nov 2020)

We would like to invite you and your baby to take part in our research study. Joining the study is entirely up to you, so before you decide we would like you to understand why the research is being done and what it would involve for your child and you. One of our team will go through the information sheet with you and answer any questions you have. Please take time to read the information and feel free to talk to others about the study if you wish.

#### Important things you need to know

- We want to find the best way to answer the question, "what is the best sleeping position for a baby with isolated cleft palate?"
- SLUMBRS2 study will answer this question by comparing the levels of oxygen in the bloodstream of babies whilst sleeping on their side or on their back.
- Taking part will involve monitoring your baby sleeping at home, using a sensor attached to their foot that records changes in the amount of oxygen levels in the blood. This will not involve any discomfort for your baby.
- Sleep monitoring will take place at night.
- We will be randomly assigning babies to one of two sleeping positions: side or back lying. We will ask you to follow the assigned sleeping position only during the monitored sleep.

## Why are we doing this research?

Currently, doctors and nurses working within your cleft team do not know the best advice to give parents about the safest sleep position for a baby with a cleft palate. Although some UK cleft centres advise that babies should sleep on their backs other centres advise positioning the baby on their side as their experience has been that the child breathes easier during sleep in this position. However, we still do not know which sleeping position is best.

If a baby's airway becomes regularly narrowed during sleep then the levels of oxygen in the blood stream will drop and the levels of the waste gas carbon dioxide will increase, which can affect health in severe cases. Children with cleft palate can be at increased risk of this airway narrowing which has led doctors and nurses to think about what is the best sleeping position for children with a cleft palate.

We want to find out the answer the question, "what is the best sleeping position for a baby with isolated cleft palate?"

We have asked all Cleft Centres in the United Kingdom to be involved in the study. Each of the centres will invite parents and their babies to take part in the study.

## Insert your Trust logo

## Why have we been asked to take part?

You and your baby have been invited to take part because you are a parent of a baby who has an isolated cleft palate and your cleft network is participating in the study. We would like to recruit 244 babies and their families.

## What would taking part involve?

We would like to look at the effect of sleeping position on oxygen level in the blood.

This will involve monitoring your baby sleeping at home, using a sensor attached to their foot that records changes in the amount of oxygen in the blood. This will not involve any discomfort for your baby.

We would like to monitor your baby for a period of 1 night's sleep, hopefully lasting 5 hours or more, when they are about 1 month old. We will also ask you to complete a form about your baby's sleep. Participants recruited in the first 6 months from the start of the study will also be asked to complete a questionnaire about their experiences of participating in the study. Please check with your cleft nurse if this will be applicable to you.

We will randomly assign your child to one of the sleeping positions: side or back lying. You will only need to adhere to that advice during the 1 night of sleep when your child will be monitored. After your participation has finished you will follow the advice given by your cleft centre.

If you agree for your baby to take part, you will be asked to sign a consent form. Once you have consented to take part we will collect some information about you and your baby, this may be done at a routine clinic visit, during the first research home visit or telephone/ video call visit, whichever occurs first.

## Day 1

- The research nurse will collect your baby's most recent weight, length and head circumference as recorded in the Red Book and ask you some questions about your baby's medical history.
- We will ask you to complete a short questionnaire about your baby's sleeping habits and a "sleep log" which will collect information such as your baby's sleeping position and feeding times. This will be provided to you as a paper or electronic version, depending on your preference.
- Your cleft nurse will set up the sleep monitoring machine (oximeter) and show you how to switch the monitor on and off and also how to connect the sensors to your baby. This may be done during the home visit or via a video call.
- You will be given written instructions of how to use the monitor as well as information of where to find an instruction video, in case you need to refresh your knowledge.
- You will be asked to monitor your baby for a period of time (at night) while they are asleep. The nurse will not be there for the sleep monitoring *but you will be able to contact her / him if you have any concerns.*

## What to do when the alarm goes off on the machine (oximeter)?

The alarm on the machine that measures oxygen levels in the bloodstream (oximeter) is a safety measure to alert if there is a prolonged fall in blood oxygen levels that could be a risk to your baby. Thankfully, life-threatening events are very rare, and in fact the alarms are usually switched off for home sleep studies in the UK. We have decided to have the alarms switched on for this research study. Both of the sleep positions being compared in our study are used as standard in different parts of the country.

We know that all babies have brief falls in oxygen levels during sleep which may trigger the alarm. This is entirely normal and is due to normal variations in breathing patterns in babies. The alarm can also go off for other reasons such as the baby moving or the sensor becoming detached from the foot. It is very unlikely if the alarm goes off that your baby is in danger especially if the alarm is brief. We recommend that the baby's cot is placed in your bedroom for the night(s) of monitoring. If the alarm goes off and does not stop within a few seconds you should check that your baby is breathing (like you would do if you bought a home apnoea alarm) and make sure that your baby has not rolled over into a face down position.

We want to find out what is happening to the amount of oxygen in your baby's blood stream whilst they are asleep. To help us recognize when the oxygen recording from the machine is from sleep, we ask you to pull the cable out of the machine while keeping the sensor on your child's foot during feeds/nappy changes and complete the sleep log accordingly.

#### Do we have to take part?

It is up to you whether you and your baby take part in this study. Not taking part will have no effect on the care your baby receives now or in the future.

If you decide you do want to take part you will be asked to sign a consent form. This is to say that you understand what will happen. Even after signing the consent form, if you decide at any time that you and your baby no longer want to take part that is OK. You can withdraw from the study at any time without having to give a reason why.

#### What are the possible benefits of taking part?

This study will not help you or your baby directly. Instead it will help to answer the question of which sleep position is better for children with an isolated cleft palate. As part of this study you will find out your child's oxygenation levels during sleep. A Sleep Physiologist and Respiratory Paediatrician will review all collected data and will report all results to parents who are taking part in the study, via their local cleft team.

#### What are the possible disadvantage and risks of taking part?

You will be randomised to one of the two sleeping positions: side or back lying therefore for the night of the study you child may be asked to sleep in a position that is different to that advised by your centre. The equipment used to monitor the levels of oxygen is standard equipment that is routinely used, has no risk associated with it and is not uncomfortable for your baby.

#### What if there is a problem?

If you have a concern about any aspect of this study, you should ask to speak to the research nurse who will do their best to answer your questions [*local contact number*]. If you remain unhappy and wish to complain formally, you can do this by contacting your hospitals Patient Advice and Liaison Service (PALS). Details can be obtained from [*insert local details*]

#### Will our information be kept confidential?

Yes. All information collected about your child and you will be kept confidential and stored anonymously and securely under the provisions of the 2018 Data Protection Act.

Your name and your baby's name will be removed from all the information we collect and the information will be given a code so that you, and they, cannot be identified. The information with the code will be entered into the main computer (database) via a secure internet connection. The database is kept securely in the Centre for Trials Research at the University of Cardiff. Members of the research team entering the information will have a personal password to access the database.

#### Insert your Trust logo

With your permission, your baby's GP, their health visitor and any other doctors involved in their clinical care will be told of their participation in the study. Your baby's relevant medical records may be inspected by the study team and regulatory authorities. This is to check that the study is being carried out correctly.

If you agree we would like to share with you relevant future research opportunities led by the Chief Investigator of SLUMBRS2, by the members of the SLUMBRS2 study management group or affiliated organisations: Cleft Lip and Palate Association (CLAPA) and the Cleft Collective.

Data collected for the purpose of this study will be stored for 10 years after the study finishes.

#### Impact of COVID-19 on the study.

Your local Cleft Centre will follow national and their local guidance with regards to Covid-19. All the equipment that you receive will have been cleaned as per the local policy. Sensors for the oximetry machine that you will receive are single use and will come to you in an unopened packaging. Any information that is gathered from you will be done in accordance with local practice, e.g. this may be via telephone or video call and not in person. If appropriate and agreed by you, study documents will be exchanged with you via email.

#### What will happen to the results of the study?

The results of the study (using only anonymous data) will be made available to the parents that took part in the study, parents and children affected by cleft via the Cleft Lip and Palate Association (CLAPA) website and through UK Cleft lip and palate centres. We will also publish a study summary on the following websites:

- 1. Healthtalk.org
- 2. Mft.nhs.uk

- 3. Cleft Palate Professional Organisations (http://craniofacialsociety.co.uk/)
- 4. https://www.lullabytrust.org.uk/

We have commissioned Healthtalk.org to produce an animated video summary of research to be shared on the websites mentioned.

The results will also be published in scientific journals and may be presented at conferences.

## Who is organising and funding the study?

The organisation responsible the study is Manchester University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust. The study is funded by a "Research for Patient Benefit" grant from the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR). The NIHR is funded by the Department of Health and is part of the NHS.

#### How have patients and the public been involved in this study?

The Cleft Lip and Palate Association (CLAPA) were involved in the design of this study. We will have input from parent representatives, who are part of the Study Advisory Group, throughout the study. The Study Advisory Group provides independent advice to the SLUMBRS2 study team.

#### Who has reviewed this study?

All research in the NHS is looked at by an independent group of people, called a Research Ethics Committee, to protect your / your baby's interests. This study has been reviewed and given favourable opinion by [*Insert name*] Research Ethics Committee.

#### If you decide you do not want to take part

We also understand that parents may have many different reasons for choosing not to consent and this is also important information for researchers. We would like to know (if you wish to tell us) your reasons for declining to be involved in the study. Knowing this will help

us amend the study. Your decision will be respected and nobody will try to change your mind.

## How will we use information about you?

Medical records of your baby will be accessed to obtain information for the research purposes. We will also need to use information from [you] for this research project.

This information will include:

- Your child's date of birth
- Your contact details including e-mail address
- Your home address for courier equipment pick up

People will use this information to do the research or to check your records to make sure that the research is being done properly.

People who do not need to know who you are will not be able to see your name or contact details. Your data will have a code number instead.

We will keep all information about you safe and secure.

Once we have finished the study, we will keep some of the data so we can check the results. We will write our reports in a way that no-one can work out that you took part in the study.

#### What are your choices about how your information is used?

- You can stop being part of the study at any time, without giving a reason, but we will keep information about you that we already have.
- We need to manage your records in specific ways for the research to be reliable. This means that we won't be able to let you see or change the data we hold about you.

## You can find out more about how we use your information

- at <u>www.hra.nhs.uk/information-about-patients/</u>
- leaflet available from <u>www.hra.nhs.uk/patientdataandresearch</u>
- by asking one of the research team
- by sending an email to <u>slumbrs@mft.nhs.uk</u>, or
- by ringing us on [insert number]

## Thank you for reading this Parent/Guardian information sheet and considering yours and your baby's participation in this study.

If you'd like to find out more about the study please contact:

Name and Surname of the local PI [telephone] and [email] Or please email the Study Manager <u>slumbrs@mft.nhs.uk</u>

Page 26 of 35

Insert Your Trust's Logo

	reducing oxygen desaturati inf	fants with cleft palate.		
	Parent / Guardian Inf	ormed Consent Form, V	1.0 19 Oct 2020	)
	Site No. / Participant ID: / /			
Please	e initial each of the boxes			
1.	I confirm that I have read the parent information for the above study. I have had the oppon questions and have had these answered satis	ortunity to consider the info	. ,	
2.	I understand that my and my baby's particip withdraw my baby at any time without giving care or legal rights being affected.			
3.	I understand that my baby's sleeping posiduration of the study and may differ from the local Cleft Centre.			
4.	I understand that relevant sections of my collected during the study may be looked at or from the NHS Trust, where it is relevant to give permission for these individuals to have a	by individuals from regulator o my baby taking part in this	y authorities	
5.	I agree to my baby's General Practitioner a participation in the study.	and Health Visitor being info	ormed of my	
6.	I agree to share my address with the courier u collect the study equipment.	sed by the study sponsor to		
7.	I agree for my e-mail address to be collected a	and stored for the purpose of	this study.	
8.	I agree for my baby to take part in the above s	study.		
9.	<b>Optional:</b> I agree to be contacted regarding study management group or its collaborators.	future research opportunities	e lead by the	
	Child's Name (Print)			
	Parent / guardian's name (Print)	Signature	Date	
	Name of person taking consent (Print)	Signature	Date	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

Title

**BMJ** Open

## Reporting checklist for protocol of a clinical trial.

Based on the SPIRIT guidelines.

## Instructions to authors

Complete this checklist by entering the page numbers from your manuscript where readers will find each of the items listed below.

Your article may not currently address all the items on the checklist. Please modify your text to

include the missing information. If you are certain that an item does not apply, please write "n/a" and

provide a short explanation.

Upload your completed checklist as an extra file when you submit to a journal.

In your methods section, say that you used the SPIRITreporting guidelines, and cite them as:

Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Gøtzsche PC, Altman DG, Mann H, Berlin J, Dickersin K, Hróbjartsson A,

Schulz KF, Parulekar WR, Krleža-Jerić K, Laupacis A, Moher D. SPIRIT 2013 Explanation and

Elaboration: Guidance for protocols of clinical trials. BMJ. 2013;346:e7586

 Reporting Item
 Page Number

 Administrative
 Information

population, interventions, and, if applicable, trial

Descriptive title identifying the study design,

acronym

#1

Trial registration <u>#2a</u> Trial identifier and registry name. If not yet

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

			BMJ Open	Page 28 of 35
1 2			registered, name of intended registry	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Trial registration:	<u>#2b</u>	All items from the World Health Organization Trial	2
	data set		Registration Data Set	
	Protocol version	<u>#3</u>	Date and version identifier	1
	Funding	<u>#4</u>	Sources and types of financial, material, and other support	2
16 17 18	Roles and	<u>#5a</u>	Names, affiliations, and roles of protocol	11
19 20	responsibilities:		contributors	
21 22 23 24	contributorship			
24 25 26	Roles and	<u>#5b</u>	Name and contact information for the trial sponsor	10
27 28	responsibilities:			
29 30	sponsor contact			
31 32 33	information			
34 35 36	Roles and	<u>#5c</u>	Role of study sponsor and funders, if any, in study	n/a this
37 38	responsibilities:		design; collection, management, analysis, and	information is not
39 40	sponsor and funder		interpretation of data; writing of the report; and the	includedi n the
41 42			decision to submit the report for publication,	paper, but is
43 44 45			including whether they will have ultimate authority	present in the
46 47 48			over any of these activities	protocol.
49 50	Roles and	<u>#5d</u>	Composition, roles, and responsibilities of the	n/a this
51 52	responsibilities:		coordinating centre, steering committee, endpoint	information is not
53 54	committees		adjudication committee, data management team,	includedi n the
55 56 57 58			and other individuals or groups overseeing the	paper, but is
59 60		For peer	review only - http://bmiopen.bmi.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml

60

1 2 3 4			trial, if applicable (see Item 21a for data monitoring committee)	present in the protocol.
5 6 7 8 9 10	Introduction			
	Background and	<u>#6a</u>	Description of research question and justification	3
11 12	rationale		for undertaking the trial, including summary of	
13 14			relevant studies (published and unpublished)	
15 16			examining benefits and harms for each	
17 18			intervention	
19 20 21				0.4
21 22 23	Background and	<u>#6b</u>	Explanation for choice of comparators	3-4
24 25	rationale: choice of			
26 27	comparators			
28 29 30	Objectives	<u>#7</u>	Specific objectives or hypotheses	4
31 32	Trial design	<u>#8</u>	Description of trial design including type of trial	4
33 34 35			(eg, parallel group, crossover, factorial, single	
36 37			group), allocation ratio, and framework (eg,	
38 39			superiority, equivalence, non-inferiority,	
40 41			exploratory)	
42 43 44	Mathaday			
44 45 46	Methods:			
47 48	Participants,			
49 50	interventions, and			
51 52	outcomes			
53 54 55	Study setting	<u>#9</u>	Description of study settings (eg, community clinic,	4
56 57			academic hospital) and list of countries where data	
58 59 60		For peer i	review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Page	30	of	35
------	----	----	----

1 2 3			will be collected. Reference to where list of study sites can be obtained	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Eligibility criteria	<u>#10</u>	Inclusion and exclusion criteria for participants. If applicable, eligibility criteria for study centres and individuals who will perform the interventions (eg,	4
13 14 15			surgeons, psychotherapists)	
16 17	Interventions:	<u>#11a</u>	Interventions for each group with sufficient detail to	6
18 19	description		allow replication, including how and when they will	
20 21 22			be administered	
23 24	Interventions:	<u>#11b</u>	Criteria for discontinuing or modifying allocated	n/a for this trial
25 26	modifications		interventions for a given trial participant (eg, drug	
27 28			dose change in response to harms, participant	
29 30 31			request, or improving / worsening disease)	
32 33 34	Interventions:	<u>#11c</u>	Strategies to improve adherence to intervention	5
35 36	adherance		protocols, and any procedures for monitoring	
37 38 39			adherence (eg, drug tablet return; laboratory tests)	
40 41	Interventions:	<u>#11d</u>	Relevant concomitant care and interventions that	n/a for this trial
42 43 44	concomitant care		are permitted or prohibited during the trial	
45 46 47	Outcomes	<u>#12</u>	Primary, secondary, and other outcomes, including	5
48 49			the specific measurement variable (eg, systolic	
50 51 52			blood pressure), analysis metric (eg, change from	
53 54			baseline, final value, time to event), method of	
55 56			aggregation (eg, median, proportion), and time	
57 58			point for each outcome. Explanation of the clinical	
59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2			relevance of chosen efficacy and harm outcomes	
3 4			is strongly recommended	
5 6 7	Participant timeline	<u>#13</u>	Time schedule of enrolment, interventions	7
, 8 9			(including any run-ins and washouts),	
10 11			assessments, and visits for participants. A	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28			schematic diagram is highly recommended (see	
			Figure)	
	Sample size	<u>#14</u>	Estimated number of participants needed to	6
			achieve study objectives and how it was	
			determined, including clinical and statistical	
			assumptions supporting any sample size	
			calculations	
29 30 31	Recruitment	<u>#15</u>	Strategies for achieving adequate participant	4
32 33			enrolment to reach target sample size	
34 35 36	Methods:			
37 38	Assignment of			
39 40 41	interventions (for			
42 43 44	controlled trials)			
45 46	Allocation:	<u>#16a</u>	Method of generating the allocation sequence (eg,	4
47 48 49	sequence		computer-generated random numbers), and list of	
50 51	generation		any factors for stratification. To reduce	
52 53			predictability of a random sequence, details of any	
54 55			planned restriction (eg, blocking) should be	
56 57 58			provided in a separate document that is	
59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1			unavailable to those who enrol participants or	
2 3			assign interventions	
4 5 6 7	Allocation	<u>#16b</u>	Mechanism of implementing the allocation	4
8 9	concealment		sequence (eg, central telephone; sequentially	
10 11	mechanism		numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes), describing	
12 13 14 15 16 17			any steps to conceal the sequence until	
			interventions are assigned	
18 19	Allocation:	<u>#16c</u>	Who will generate the allocation sequence, who	4
20 21	implementation		will enrol participants, and who will assign	
22 23			participants to interventions	
24 25 26	Blinding (masking)	#17a	Who will be blinded after assignment to	8
27 28 29 30	6 ( 6)		interventions (eg, trial participants, care providers,	
			outcome assessors, data analysts), and how	
31 32				
33 34 35	Blinding (masking):	<u>#17b</u>	If blinded, circumstances under which unblinding is	n/a for this trial
36 37	emergency		permissible, and procedure for revealing a	
38 39	unblinding		participant's allocated intervention during the trial	
40 41	Methods: Data			
42 43	collection,			
44 45 46	management, and			
47 48	analysis			
49 50	-			
51 52	Data collection plan	<u>#18a</u>		6
53 54			baseline, and other trial data, including any related	
55 56 57			processes to promote data quality (eg, duplicate	
58 59			measurements, training of assessors) and a	
60		For peer r	review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

			department of atudy instruments (as	
1 2			description of study instruments (eg,	
3 4			questionnaires, laboratory tests) along with their	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21			reliability and validity, if known. Reference to	
			where data collection forms can be found, if not in	
			the protocol	
	Data collection plan:	<u>#18b</u>	Plans to promote participant retention and	10
	retention		complete follow-up, including list of any outcome	
			data to be collected for participants who	
			discontinue or deviate from intervention protocols	
21 22 23	Data management	<u>#19</u>	Plans for data entry, coding, security, and storage,	8
24 25 26			including any related processes to promote data	
20 27 28			quality (eg, double data entry; range checks for	
29 30 31 32			data values). Reference to where details of data	
			management procedures can be found, if not in	
33 34 35			the protocol	
36 37 38	Statistics: outcomes	<u>#20a</u>	Statistical methods for analysing primary and	6
39 40			secondary outcomes. Reference to where other	
41 42			details of the statistical analysis plan can be found,	
43 44 45			if not in the protocol	
46 47	Statistics: additional	<u>#20b</u>	Methods for any additional analyses (eg, subgroup	n/a for this trial
48 49 50	analyses		and adjusted analyses)	
51 52 53	Statistics: analysis	<u>#20c</u>	Definition of analysis population relating to	6
54 55	population and		protocol non-adherence (eg, as randomised	
56 57 58	missing data		analysis), and any statistical methods to handle	
58 59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

## missing data (eg, multiple imputation)

	Methods: Monitoring			
	Data monitoring:	<u>#21a</u>	Composition of data monitoring committee (DMC);	n/a
) 1 2	formal committee		summary of its role and reporting structure;	
			statement of whether it is independent from the	
3 4			sponsor and competing interests; and reference to	
5			where further details about its charter can be	
/ 3 2			found, if not in the protocol. Alternatively, an	
) ) 1			explanation of why a DMC is not needed	
2 3 4	Data monitoring:	<u>#21b</u>	Description of any interim analyses and stopping	n/a for this trial
5	interim analysis		guidelines, including who will have access to these	
7 3			interim results and make the final decision to	
9 ) 1			terminate the trial	
2 3 4	Harms	<u>#22</u>	Plans for collecting, assessing, reporting, and	9
5			managing solicited and spontaneously reported	
7 3			adverse events and other unintended effects of	
) ] ]			trial interventions or trial conduct	
- 3 4	Auditing	<u>#23</u>	Frequency and procedures for auditing trial	n/a for this trial
5			conduct, if any, and whether the process will be	
7 3 9			independent from investigators and the sponsor	
) 1	Ethics and			
2 3 4 -	dissemination			
5 7 3	Research ethics	<u>#24</u>	Plans for seeking research ethics committee /	10
) )		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

Page 35 of 35

1 2	approval		institutional review board (REC / IRB) approval	
3 4 5 6 7	Protocol	<u>#25</u>	Plans for communicating important protocol	n/a
	amendments		modifications (eg, changes to eligibility criteria,	
7 8 9			outcomes, analyses) to relevant parties (eg,	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16			investigators, REC / IRBs, trial participants, trial	
			registries, journals, regulators)	
	Consent or assent	<u>#26a</u>	Who will obtain informed consent or assent from	7
17 18 19			potential trial participants or authorised surrogates,	
20 21 22			and how (see Item 32)	
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Consent or assent:	<u>#26b</u>	Additional consent provisions for collection and	n/a for this trial
	ancillary studies		use of participant data and biological specimens in	
			ancillary studies, if applicable	
	Confidentiality	<u>#27</u>	How personal information about potential and	6
			enrolled participants will be collected, shared, and	
			maintained in order to protect confidentiality	
			before, during, and after the trial	
40 41	Declaration of	<u>#28</u>	Financial and other competing interests for	11
42 43 44	interests		principal investigators for the overall trial and each	
45 46 47			study site	
48 49	Data access	<u>#29</u>	Statement of who will have access to the final trial	10
50 51 52			dataset, and disclosure of contractual agreements	
52 53 54 55 56 57 58			that limit such access for investigators	
	Ancillary and post	<u>#30</u>	Provisions, if any, for ancillary and post-trial care,	n/a for this trial
59 60		For peer r	eview only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1	trial care		and for compensation to those who suffer harm	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9			from trial participation	
	Dissemination	<u>#31a</u>	Plans for investigators and sponsor to	10
	policy: trial results		communicate trial results to participants,	
10 11			healthcare professionals, the public, and other	
12 13 14			relevant groups (eg, via publication, reporting in	
15 16			results databases, or other data sharing	
17 18			arrangements), including any publication	
19 20 21			restrictions	
22 23	Dissemination	<u>#31b</u>	Authorship eligibility guidelines and any intended	n/a for this trial
24 25 26 27	policy: authorship		use of professional writers	
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Dissemination	<u>#31c</u>	Plans, if any, for granting public access to the full	n/a for this trial
	policy: reproducible		protocol, participant-level dataset, and statistical	
	research		code	
34 35 36 37	Appendices			
38 39	Informed consent	<u>#32</u>	Model consent form and other related	Attached as an
40 41 42	materials		documentation given to participants and	appendix
43 44 45			authorised surrogates	
46 47	Biological	<u>#33</u>	Plans for collection, laboratory evaluation, and	n/a for this trial
48 49	specimens		storage of biological specimens for genetic or	
50 51 52			molecular analysis in the current trial and for future	
53 54			use in ancillary studies, if applicable	
55 56	Notes:			
57 58 59				
59 60		For peer i	review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml	

1 2	•	5c: n/a this information is not includedi n the paper, but is present in the protocol.
3 4 5	•	5d: n/a this information is not includedi n the paper, but is present in the protocol.
6 7 8	•	11b: n/a for this trial
9 10 11	•	11d: n/a for this trial
12 13 14	•	17b: n/a for this trial
15 16 17	•	20b: n/a for this trial
18 19 20	•	21b: n/a for this trial
21 22 23	•	23: n/a for this trial
24 25 26 27	•	26b: n/a for this trial
27 28 29 30	•	30: n/a for this trial
31 32 33	•	31b: n/a for this trial
34 35	•	31c: n/a for this trial
36 37 38 39	•	33: n/a for this trial The SPIRIT checklist is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons
40 41		Attribution License CC-BY-ND 3.0. This checklist was completed on 20. January 2021 using
42 43		https://www.goodreports.org/, a tool made by the EQUATOR Network in collaboration with
44 45		Penelope.ai
46 47		
48 49		
50 51		
52 53		
54 55		
56		
57 58		
59 60		For peer review only - http://bmjopen.bmj.com/site/about/guidelines.xhtml