Duodenoscope Assessment Tool

Date of Service: _		Site #:				
Demographics						
Gender: 1 ☐ Mal	e 2 🗖 Female					
Age:						
o						
Procedural Indic	ation					
1. Biliary						
a. 🗖 9	Suspected/ Proven CBD Stones					
b. 🖵 F	Post-transplant Stricture					
c. 🗖 E	Elevated Liver Tests					
d. 🗖 9	Stent Change					
	□Stent Removal □Stent Placement					
e. 🖵 (Cholangitis					
f. 🗖 🛭	Biliary Stricture:					
	i. Location of stricture: Distal \square Mid \square Proximal \square					
	ii. Bismuth Type: 1. \square 2. \square 3. \square 4. \square N/A \square					
	iii. Type of Stricture: Benign \square Malignant \square Indeterminate					
g. 🗖 (Other Biliary Indication:					
2. Pancreation						
a. 🗖 F	Pancreatic Duct Leak					
b. 🖵 F	Pancreatic Duct Stones					
c. 🖵 F	Pancreatic Duct Strictures					
d. 🗖	Minor Papilla Interventions					
e. 🚨	Stent Change					
	□Stent Removal □Stent Placement					
f. Other Pancreatic Interventions:						
Position						
Prone 🗖	Supine Left Lateral					
Anatomy						
Normal Ar	natomy 📮					
Surgically	Altered Anatomy 📮 Type:					
Duodenoscope I						
Manufacturer:						
Rating Scale for	all except for imaging quality/stability, air-water button functionality, hand s	train				

- 1. Easy to perform
- 2. Minimal difficulty to perform
- 3. Moderate difficulty to perform
- 4. Severe difficulty to perform
- 5. Unable to complete the Maneuver

Copyright © 2019 ENDOSONOGRAPHY LLC All rights reserved

Duodenoscope Assessment Tool								
Ratin	g Scale for image quality and stability							
1. Supe	erior							
2. Goo	d							
3. Satis	sfactory							
	optimal							
5. Una	ble to visualize or achieve stability of image	resulting	in termin	ation of p	orocedure			
	g Scale for air-water button functionality							
	water leakage/no difficulty in applying suction		•					
	imal leakage/minimal difficulty in applying s		_					
	derate leakage/moderate difficulty in applyi	•		•				
	ere leakage/severe difficulty in applying such	tion or inf	lating CO ₂	2				
	ble to perform the requisite function							
	g Scale for hand strain							
1. No s								
	imal strain							
	derate strain							
	ere strain							
5. Ulla	ble to Complete the procedure							
Duod	enoscope maneuverability		Ratin	g Scale				
1.	Intubation of Esophagus:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
2.	Scope Passage into Stomach:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
3.	Navigation across pylorus:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
4.	Achieving short position (straightening):	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
	Achieving optimal papillary position:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
0.	romering optimal papinar, position			J		J		
Duod	enoscope mechanical/imaging characterist	tics	Rating	g Scale				
1.	Scope stiffness:	1. 🔲	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
2.	Image Quality:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
	Image stability:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
	Air-water button functionality:	1. 🗆	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. □	5. -		
	Elevator efficiency	±. —	2	J. —	7. ■	J. —		
5.	•	1 □	2 🗖	2 🗖	4 🗖	r D		
_	(Anchor guide wire/accessory exchange):	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
6.	Hand strain:	1. 🗖	2. 🗖	3. 🗖	4. 🗖	5. 🗖		
	A hilitar to all accompany times and	V 🗖	Na 🗖					
	Ability to document images:	Yes 🗖	No 🗖					
	time from mouth to popilla (cos).							
_	Time from mouth to papilla (sec):	_		, ,				
2.	Time to cannulation after positioning the s	•						
3.	Total procedure time from scope insertion	to proced	dure comp	oletion (n	nin):			

Ease of Cannulation

- 1. Number of attempts to cannulate the desired duct: _
- 2. Cannulation achieved: 1.

 Yes 2.

 No

Copyright © 2019 ENDOSONOGRAPHY LLC All rights reserved

Duodenoscope Assessment Tool

Biliary Procedural steps				P	ancreatic Procedur	al steps		
1.	Sphincterotomy:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	5. 1	. Sphincterotomy:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
2.	Sphincteroplasty:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	5. 2	. Sphincteroplasty	: No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5. 0
3.	Balloon sweep:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5. □ 3	. Balloon sweep:	No 🖵	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 🗆 3. 🗆 4. 🗆 5. 🗖
4.	Basket:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	5. 4	. Basket:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
5.	Mechanical lithot	ripsy: No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5. □ 5	. Mechanical lithot	tripsy: No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
6.	Stone Clearance:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	5. 6	. Stone Clearance:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
7.	Stricture dilation-	-balloon: No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5. □ 7	. Stricture dilation	-balloon: No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
8.	Stricture dilation-	-catheter: No 🗆	l Yes □ (If yes) 1.□ 2.□ 3.□ 4.□	1 5. □ 8	. Stricture dilation	-catheter: No 🗆	¶Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
9.	Stent insertion:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5. □ 9	. Stent insertion:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2.□ 3.□ 4.□ 5.□
10). Stent removal:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5.□ 1	0. Stent removal:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
11	Cholangioscopy:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5.□ 1	1. Cholangioscopy:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
12	. Biopsies:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5.□ 1	2. Biopsies:	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5.
13	3. Other (specify):	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2.☐ 3.☐ 4.☐	1 5.□ 1	3. Other (specify):	No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) 1.☐ 2	2. 3. 4. 5. 0

Duodenoscope Assessment Tool

Intraproced	lural Adverse Events						
Bleeding:	No 🗖 Yes 🗖	If yes, inte	If yes, intervention needed - No☐ Yes ☐				
Perforation:	No 🗖 Yes 🗖	If yes, inte	If yes, intervention needed - No□ Yes □				
Other, specif	y:						
Post-proced	dural Adverse Events	3					
Pain requiring hospitalization:		No□	Yes 🗖				
Pancreatitis:		No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) Mild☐	Moderate $lacksquare$	Severe□		
Bleeding:		No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) Mild☐	Moderate \Box	Severe□		
Perforation:		No 🗖	Yes ☐ (If yes) Mild☐	Moderate \Box	Severe□		
Other, specif	y:						
If adverse eve	ent occurred, hospita	alization Requ	ired: No□ Yes □				

Copyright © 2019 ENDOSONOGRAPHY LLC All rights reserved