## **Supplementary Online Content**

Chow N, Hogg-Johnson S, Mior S, et al. Assessment of studies evaluating spinal manipulative therapy and infectious disease and immune system outcomes: a systematic review. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(4):e215493. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.5493

**eMethods 1.** Protocol and Registration, Eligibility Criteria, Information Sources, Study Selection, Risk of Bias in Individual Studies, Data Extraction, Data Items, Statistical Analysis, and Evidence Synthesis

eMethods 2. Search Strategies

eTable 1. Evidence Table for Immune Markers

eTable 2. Evidence Table for Endocrine and Other Physiological Markers

#### eReferences

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

# **eMethods 1.** Protocol and Registration, Eligibility Criteria, Information Sources, Study Selection, Risk of Bias in Individual Studies, Data Extraction, Data Items, Statistical Analysis, and Evidence Synthesis

We conducted a systematic review of the literature. Rapid reviews are used by health decision-makers (clinicians, patients, managers, and policy makers) who need timely access to health information to plan, develop and implement health care and policies.<sup>9-12</sup> We used methodology recommended by the World Health Organization, previously used by our group,<sup>13,14</sup> to answer our questions.

#### **Protocol and Registration**

We reported our review according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) checklist.<sup>15</sup> The review protocol was submitted to the Open Science Framework Registry on April 21, 2020 (<u>https://osf.io/ux7wc</u>).

#### **Eligibility Criteria**

*Participants* We included studies of healthy and symptomatic participants.

#### Interventions

SMT includes spinal manipulation and spinal mobilization provided by any type of provider. Spinal manipulation includes techniques incorporating a high-velocity, low amplitude impulse or thrust (HVLA) applied at or near the end of a joint's passive range of motion.<sup>16</sup> Spinal mobilization includes techniques incorporating low-velocity and varying amplitude oscillatory movements within a joint's passive range of motion.<sup>16-18</sup> Spinal manipulation and mobilization involve manual, mechanically- or instrument-assisted procedures.

#### Comparators

We considered placebo, sham therapies, wait listing, usual care, no interventions, medication and other therapies.

#### Outcomes

We investigated clinical outcomes and changes in levels of immunological, endocrine and other physiological biomarkers. Clinical outcomes included incidence of infection or infectious disease, or changes in their disease-specific outcomes (e.g. number and severity of symptoms or faster recovery from illness). A biomarker is a "characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or pharmacologic responses to a therapeutic intervention."<sup>19</sup> Biomarkers encompass a multitude of physiological indicators including molecules in the immune system such as cytokines, and chemokines, as well as endocrine biomarkers such as cortisol, testosterone, catecholamines and others.

#### Study Designs

Eligible study designs were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and cohort studies. All other study designs were excluded.

#### **Information Sources**

The search strategy was developed in consultation with a health sciences librarian, and a second librarian reviewed the strategy to ensure accuracy. We systematically searched five databases that index the manual therapy literature published by researchers from various health professions from inception to April 15, 2020: MEDLINE (U.S. National Library of Medicine, through Ovid Technologies Inc.), Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL, through EBSCOhost), Index to Chiropractic Literature (ICL, Chiropractic Library Collaboration), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trial and Embase (both through Ovid Technologies Inc.). Search terms consisted of subject headings specific to each database (e.g. MeSH in MEDLINE) and free text words relevant to our objectives and study design (see Appendix 1). We restricted our search to papers published in English in peer-reviewed journals.

#### **Study Selection**

A two-phase screening process was used to identify eligible studies. In phase one screening, we reviewed titles and abstracts and classified articles as possibly relevant or irrelevant. During phase two screening, the full text of possibly relevant articles was reviewed for final determination of eligibility. A trained reviewer (NC) conducted all of the

screenings. Prior to phase one screening, the quality of screening by NC was established by randomly selecting ten percent of all eligible articles, which were screened independently by a second experienced reviewer (SM). A 95% level of agreement was required between the two reviewers before moving to full screening. Once the 95% agreement was achieved, one reviewer (NC) completed phase one screening. For phase two screening, all potentially eligible articles were screened by rotating pairs of experienced reviewers (PC, CC, SM, JDC, SI, JTI).

#### **Risk of Bias in Individual Studies**

The lead author (NC) critically appraised the internal validity of relevant articles using the Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN) criteria for RCTs and cohort studies.<sup>20</sup> A quality control step in the critical appraisal of studies was included, wherein the investigator assessing the risk of bias (NC) presented a summary of the critical appraisals to seven experienced reviewers (PC, SHJ, CC, SM, JDC, SI, JTI) who validated the outcome of the appraisals. Disagreements regarding the internal validity of papers were resolved through discussion. The lead author created risk of bias tables for all eligible studies, which was validated by the other reviewers (PC, SHJ, CC, SI, JTI).

#### **Data Extraction**

The lead author (NC) extracted data from high and acceptable quality (low risk of bias) studies and built evidence tables stratified by study objective and nature of the outcome. Data extraction was validated by the other reviewers (PC, SHJ, CC, SM, JDC, SI, JTI). We contacted the study authors when clarification or additional information was necessary to populate the evidence tables. Evidence tables summarized the pertinent information and were used to create summary statements describing the state of the evidence.

#### **Data Items**

Information extracted from each study included study characteristics, (e.g. author, year and country of publication, study design, sample size, length of follow up), participant characteristics (e.g., age, and body region treated), intervention characteristics (e.g., description of interventions and comparison groups), and outcome data (e.g., incidence of infection or infectious disease, disease-specific outcomes, changes in the levels of immunological, endocrine and other physiological biomarkers).

#### Classification of Clinical Trial Phases

We categorized RCTs into the different phases of clinical trials as described by Campbell et al.<sup>21</sup> The purpose of a phase 0 (exploratory) study is to gather preliminary data on whether the intervention behaves as expected in humans based on preclinical studies, and involves limited human exposure (10-15 healthy volunteers) to the intervention, with no therapeutic or diagnostic goals.<sup>21</sup> A phase 1 (safety) study aims to determine safety of the intervention and typically involves 20-80 healthy volunteers.<sup>21</sup> A phase 2 (biologic activity) study aims to establish proof of concept that the intervention has biologic activity, usually involving 100-300 patients with specific diseases.<sup>21</sup> A phase 3 (efficacy) study aims to confirm the efficacy and effectiveness of the intervention, monitor side effects, and frequently involves 300-3000 patients with specific diseases.<sup>21</sup> A phase 4 (post-marketing/confirmatory) study aims to provide surveillance and additional information on the intervention's risks, benefits and best use after the intervention is approved for human use, and involves several thousand patients treated with the intervention.<sup>21</sup>

#### **Statistical Analysis**

We reported or computed (when data were available), the incidence and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of infection or infectious disease, and mean difference and 95% CI in change in the level of biomarkers. We reported or calculated the pre-post difference in means or mean differences between groups based on data derived from regression models. Incidence was measured by calculating the number of new cases of infection or infectious disease in a group divided by the total number of participants in the same group. Confidence intervals were calculated using incidence of infection or infectious disease in each group, total number of participants in each group, and  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

#### **Evidence Synthesis**

We synthesized the evidence from high and acceptable quality studies according to the Synthesis without Meta-Analysis (SWiM) Guideline,<sup>22</sup> study objective, and type of biomarker. We restricted our synthesis to studies with high or acceptable quality because low/unacceptable quality studies are more likely to yield biased estimates of effect sizes.<sup>23-27</sup>

### eMethods 2. Search Strategies

# CCBC\_RR3\_Immunesystem\_MEDLINE Search run April 16, 2020 in Ovid MEDLINE: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE® Daily and Ovid MEDLINE® 1946-Present; 634 results

1	Musculoskeletal Manipulations/	1732
2	Manipulation, Spinal/	1568
3	Manipulation, Chiropractic/	985
4	Manipulation, Orthopedic/	3891
5	Manipulation, Osteopathic/	1007
6	(Activator adj (method or tool*)).ab,ti.	7
7	(adjust* adj3 (chiropract* or spinal or lumbar or cervical or thoracic or instrument* or tool* or electric)).ab,ti.	1750
8	(flexion-distraction or flexion distraction).ab,ti.	257
9	(HVLA or high velocity low amplitude).ab,ti.	268
10	(manipulat* adj3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)).ab,ti.	1502
11	(manipulat* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or therap* or thoracic or cervical or intervention* or MSK or manage* or musculoskeletal or treat* or vertebr*)).ab,ti.	9359
12	(instrument* adj3 (manual or mobili?at* or manipulat*)).ab,ti.	1111
13	(manipulat* adj3 (physiotherap* or physical therap*)).ab,ti.	264
14	(mobili?at* adj3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)).ab,ti.	29
15	(mobili?at* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or thoracic or cervical or MSK or musculoskeletal or vertebr* or therap* or treat* or intervention* or manage*)).ab,ti.	2720
16	((manipulat* or mobili?at*) adj4 instrument*).ab,ti.	767
17	(manual adj3 (therap* or treat* or intervention* or manag*)).ab,ti.	5252
18	or/1-17 [**intervention]	25062
19	Case-Control Studies/	281252
20	Clinical Trials as Topic/	190776
21	clinical trial.pt.	522192
22	Cohort Studies/	259025
23	controlled clinical trial.pt.	93621
24	Controlled Clinical Trials as Topic/	5500
25	Cross-Over Studies/	47515
26	Double Blind Method/	157136
27	Epidemiologic Studies/	8272
28	Follow-Up Studies/	638093
29	Longitudinal Studies/	132993
30	Observational Study.pt.	77739
31	Prospective Studies/	534985
32	Random Allocation/	102602
33	randomized controlled trial.pt.	503984

34	exp Randomized Controlled Trial/	504766
35	Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/	132074
36	Retrospective Studies/	811803
37	Single Blind Method/	28369
38	((crossover or cross-over) adj3 (study or studies or trial*)).ab,ti.	44437
39	((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,kf,ti.	80973
40	"cohort*".ab,ti.	574314
41	(control* adj3 (group* or trial*)).ab,ti.	765649
42	(longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	116244
43	(observational adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	141167
44	(prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	376632
45	(random* adj5 (allocat* or assign* or control* or clinical or patient* or sample* or trial*)).ab,ti.	657292
46	(retrospective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.	298953
47	((singl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) adj3 (blind* or mask*)).ab,ti.	172585
48	or/19-47 [**study designs]	4133448
49	"Allergy and Immunology"/	6771
50	Anti-Allergic Agents/	8013
51	exp Antibodies/	814756
52	Biomarkers/	271257
53	exp CD4 Lymphocyte Count/	25969
54	exp Chemokines, CC/	24003
55	exp Communicable Diseases/	35019
56	Cytokines/	145261
57	exp Endocrine System/	442746
58	exp Immune System/	1141233
59	exp Immunity/	336653
60	exp Immunoglobulins/	879375
61	exp Infections/	2568934
62	Inflammation/	154033
63	Inflammation Mediators/	32052
64	exp Interleukin-1/	58422
65	Luminescent Measurements/	27802
66	Monocytes/	57030
67	Neutrophils/	86212
68	Radioimmunoassay/	64573
69	Respiratory Burst/	5093
70	exp Selectins/	14574
71	Th1 Cells/	17943
72	Th2 Cells/	16723

73	exp Tumor Necrosis Factors/	151654
74	exp Viruses/	770978
75	allergy.ab,ti.	64878
76	(anti-allerg* or antiallerg*).ab,ti.	5310
77	"antibod*".ab,ti.	842302
78	biomarker*.ab,ti.	249353
79	CD4.ab,ti.	149616
80	"chemokine*".ab,ti.	67844
81	"cytokine*".ab,ti.	354029
82	(disease* adj4 (communicable or infectious)).ab,ti.	99375
83	endocrine.ab,ti.	120124
84	(immune or immunity).ab,ti.	711778
85	"immunoglobulin*".ab,ti.	152245
86	immunology.ab,ti.	22543
87	"infection*".ab,ti.	1357011
88	"inflammation*".ab,ti.	420522
89	(Interleukin-1 or Interleukin-2).ab,ti.	63128
90	"luminescent measurement*".ab,ti.	27
91	monocytes.ab,ti.	69780
92	neutrophils.ab,ti.	78121
93	radioimmunoassay.ab,ti.	49274
94	respiratory burst.ab,ti.	5826
95	selectins.ab,ti.	2081
96	substance P.ab,ti.	22186
97	(Th1 or Th2).ab,ti.	52229
98	(TNFalpha or TNF-alpha).ab,ti.	149691
99	Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha.ab,ti.	76702
100	or/49-99 [**immune_system]	6624939
101	18 and 48 and 100	719
102	limit 101 to english language	634

Index to Chiropractic Literature

Search run April 16, 2020. Truncated text words for all MEDLINE search lines searched, as well as known authors. Case reports and conference abstracts removed. 30 articles downloaded.

Description	Articles	Date	
<u>S1</u>	All Fields:manipulat* OR All Fields:activator OR All Fields:adjustment* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:instrument* OR All Fields:manual, Peer Review only	3799	2020-04- 16 15:15:35
<u>82</u>	All Fields:allergy OR All Fields:antibod* OR All Fields:biomarker* OR All Fields:CD4 OR All Fields:Chemokine* OR Subject:\"Communicable Diseases\" OR All Fields:cytokine* OR All Fields:endocrine OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:immunity OR	28	2020-04- 16 15:19:44

	All Fields:Immunoglobulin* OR All Fields:Interleukin OR All Fields:Monocyte* OR All Fields:TH2 AND All Fields:infection* OR All		
<u>S3</u>	All Fields:manipulat* OR All Fields:activator OR All Fields:adjustment* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:instrument* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:allergy OR All Fields:antibod* OR All Fields:biomarker* OR All Fields:CD4 OR All Fields:Chemokine* OR Subject:\"Communicable Diseases\" OR All Fields:cytokine* OR All Fields:endocrine OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:immunity OR All Fields:Immunoglobulin* OR All Fields:Interleukin OR All Fields:Monocyte* OR All Fields:TH2 AND All Fields:infection* OR All Fields:infectious, Peer Review only	10	2020-04- 16 15:20:12
<u>84</u>	All Fields:immune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:neuroimmune OR All Fields:immun* OR Author:\"Injeyan HS\" OR Author:\"Teodorczyk-Injeyan JA\", Peer Review only	148	2020-04- 16 15:24:57
<u>85</u>	All Fields:manipulat* OR All Fields:activator OR All Fields:adjustment* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:instrument* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:allergy OR All Fields:antibod* OR All Fields:biomarker* OR All Fields:CD4 OR All Fields:Chemokine* OR Subject:\"Communicable Diseases\" OR All Fields:cytokine* OR All Fields:endocrine OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:immunity OR All Fields:Immunoglobulin* OR All Fields:Interleukin OR All Fields:Monocyte* OR All Fields:TH2 AND All Fields:infection* OR All Fields:infectious, Peer Review only OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:neuroimmune OR All Fields:infectious, Peer Review only OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:neuroimmune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:neuroimmune OR All Fields:immun* OR Author:\"Injeyan HS\" OR Author:\"Teodorczyk- Injeyan JA\", Peer Review only	152	2020-04- 16 15:25:14
<u>S6</u>	All Fields:manipulat* OR All Fields:activator OR All Fields:adjustment* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:instrument* OR All Fields:manual, Peer Review only AND All Fields:manipulat* OR All Fields:activator OR All Fields:adjustment* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:instrument* OR All Fields:flexion OR All Fields:HVLA OR All Fields:allergy OR All Fields:manual, Peer Review only AND All Fields:allergy OR All Fields:antibod* OR All Fields:biomarker* OR All Fields:CD4 OR All Fields:Chemokine* OR Subject:\"Communicable Diseases\" OR All Fields:cytokine* OR All Fields:endocrine OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:immunity OR All Fields:Immunoglobulin* OR All Fields:Interleukin OR All Fields:Monocyte* OR All Fields:TH2 AND All Fields:infection* OR All Fields:infectious, Peer Review only OR All Fields:immune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:inmune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:inmune OR All Fields:autoimmune OR All Fields:inmune OR All Fields:infectious, Peer Review only OR All Fields:inmune OR All Fields:infectious, Peer Review only OR All Fields:inmune OR All Fields:inmune OR All Fields:inmune OR All	55	2020-04- 16 15:25:27
<u>87</u>	Author:\\\"Campbell CJ\\\"	1	2020-04- 16 15:30:43
<u>88</u>	Author:\\\"Pero RW\\\"	1	2020-04- 16 15:31:08
<u>89</u>	Author:\"Selano J\" OR Author:\"Selano JL\", Peer Review only	1	2020-04- 16 15:32:03

Embase

CCBC\_RR3 \_Immunesystem\_Embase

	_	-	_					
Search ru	n April 16	5, 2020 in	n Embase	Classic+Embase	1947 to	2020 A	pril 16:	1259 results

1	musculoskeletal manipulation/	306
2	spine manipulation/	542
3	chiropractic manipulation/	234
4	orthopedic manipulation/	2363
5	osteopathic manipulation/	301
6	manipulative medicine/	10934
7	(Activator adj (method or tool*)).ab,ti.	58
8	(adjust* adj3 (chiropract* or spinal or lumbar or cervical or thoracic or instrument* or tool* or electric)).ab,ti.	2300
9	(flexion-distraction or flexion distraction).ab,ti.	285
10	(HVLA or high velocity low amplitude).ab,ti.	279
11	(manipulat* adj3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)).ab,ti.	1896
12	(manipulat* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or therap* or thoracic or cervical or intervention* or MSK or manage* or musculoskeletal or treat* or vertebr*)).ab,ti.	12195
13	(instrument* adj3 (manual or mobili?at* or manipulat*)).ab,ti.	1514
14	(manipulat* adj3 (physiotherap* or physical therap*)).ab,ti.	349
15	(mobili?at* adj3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)).ab,ti.	38
16	(mobili?at* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or thoracic or cervical or MSK or musculoskeletal or vertebr* or therap* or treat* or intervention* or manage*)).ab,ti.	4032
17	(manual adj3 (therap* or treat* or intervention* or manag*)).ab,ti.	7786
18	((therap* or treat* or intervention* or manag*) adj3 (manual or manipulat* or mobili?at* or MSK or musculoskeletal)).ab,ti.	23385
19	or/1-18 [** spinal_manipulation]	39737
20	case control study/	154150
21	"clinical trial (topic)"/	107102
22	clinical trial/	993257
23	cohort analysis/	567069
24	"controlled clinical trial (topic)"/	10675
25	controlled study/	7355449
26	controlled clinical trial/	464348
27	double blind procedure/	173984
28	follow up/	1566467
29	longitudinal study/	138855
30	observational study/	193758
31	prospective study/	595453
32	random sample/	12945

randomized controlled trial/	601440
"randomized controlled trial (topic)"/	177191
retrospective study/	906072
single blind procedure/	38585
((crossover or cross-over) adj3 (study or studies or trial*)).ab,ti.	58815
[((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,kf,ti.]	0
"cohort*".ab,ti.	976377
(control* adj3 (group* or trial*)).ab,ti.	1092420
(longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	155879
(observational adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	222054
(prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti.	558139
(random* adj5 (allocat* or assign* or control* or clinical or patient* or sample* or trial*)).ab,ti.	916947
(retrospective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti.	468052
((singl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) adj3 (blind* or mask*)).ab,ti.	24444
or/20-46 [**study designs]	11009994
allergy/	81119
antibody/	240916
CD4 antigen/	122632
exp chemokine/	215047
exp communicable disease/	29048
cytokine/	239842
endocrine system/	180095
exp immune system/	2264983
exp immunity/	1566062
exp immunoglobulin/	525460
infection/	395080
inflammation/	458324
autacoid/	6326
interleukin 1/	63814
luminescence/	27684
monocyte/	115087
neutrophil/	143602
radioimmunoassay/	85142
respiratory burst/	9581
"selectin (glycoprotein)"/	181
Th1 cell/	37631
Th2 cell/	33231
tumor necrosis factor/	126974
virus/	86679
	randomized controlled trial (topic)"/ ''randomized controlled trial (topic)"/ retrospective study/ single blind procedure/ ((crossorer or cross-over) adj3 (study or studies or trial*)).ab,ti. [(followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,kf,ti.] ''cohort*".ab,ti. [(control* adj3 (group* or trial*)).ab,ti. [(tongitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(topicudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(topicudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(torssorer) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(torspective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(torspective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(trorspective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(trorspective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ab,ti. [(trorspective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. [(trorspective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ab,ti. [(tingl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) adj3 (blind* or mask*)).ab,ti. [(tingl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) adj3 (blind* or mask*)).ab,ti. [(tingl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) adj3 (blind* or mask*)).ab,ti. [(tinglex] antibody/ CD4 antigen/ exp chemokine/ exp chemokine/ exp chemokine/ exp chemokine/ exp inmune/system/ exp inmune/system/ exp inmune/system/ exp inmune/system/ exp inmune/ [nfaction/ infammation/ [autacoid/ interlukin 1/ luminescence/ [neurophil/ radioimmuneassay/ respiratory burst/ [*selectin (glycoprotein)"/ I'nt edl/ I'nt

72	allergy.ab,ti.	112329
73	(anti-allerg* or antiallerg*).ab,ti.	7807
74	"antibod*".ab,ti.	1129774
75	CD4.ab,ti.	213717
76	"chemokine*".ab,ti.	95545
77	"cytokine*".ab,ti.	492200
78	(disease* adj4 (communicable or infectious)).ab,ti.	144693
79	endocrine.ab,ti.	183409
80	(immune or immunity).ab,ti.	973061
81	"immunoglobulin*".ab,ti.	196408
82	immunology.ab,ti.	45580
83	"infection*".ab,ti.	1845658
84	"inflammation*".ab,ti.	630961
85	(Interleukin-1 or Interleukin-2).ab,ti.	67053
86	"luminescent measurement*".ab,ti.	29
87	monocytes.ab,ti.	98125
88	neutrophils.ab,ti.	108852
89	radioimmunoassay.ab,ti.	58760
90	respiratory burst.ab,ti.	6550
91	selectins.ab,ti.	2495
92	substance P.ab,ti.	25443
93	(Th1 or Th2).ab,ti.	75705
94	(TNFalpha or TNF-alpha).ab,ti.	202440
95	Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha.ab,ti.	85373
96	or/48-95 [**immune_system]	6783955
97	19 and 47 and 96	2291
98	limit 97 to english language	2199
99	limit 98 to (books or chapter or conference abstract or conference paper or "conference review" or editorial or erratum or letter or note or "review")	941
100	98 not 99	1259
-		

#### CINAHL

CCBC\_RR3\_Immunesystem\_CINAHL Search run April 15, 2020 in CINAHL Plus with Full Text; 433 results

#	Query	Results
S82	S16 AND S33 AND S80 Limiters - English Language; Peer Reviewed Expanders - Apply equivalent subjects Search modes - Boolean/Phrase	433
S81	S16 AND S33 AND S80	490

S80	S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37 OR S38 OR S39 OR S40 OR S41 OR S42 OR S43 OR S44 OR S45 OR S46 OR S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53 OR S54 OR S55 OR S56 OR S57 OR S58 OR S59 OR S60 OR S61 OR S62 OR S63 OR S64 OR S65 OR S66 OR S67 OR S68 OR S69 OR S70 OR S71 OR S72 OR S73 OR S74 OR S75 OR S76 OR S77 OR S78 OR S79	854,487
S79	Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha	6,767
S78	TNFalpha or TNF-alpha	9,624
S77	Th1 or Th2	2,635
S76	substance P	140,242
875	selectins	1,813
S74	respiratory burst	183
S73	radioimmunoassay	3,329
S72	neutrophils	14,833
S71	monocytes	8,974
S70	luminescent measurement*	636
<b>S69</b>	Interleukin-1 or Interleukin-2	4,406
S68	inflammation*	87,052
<b>S67</b>	infection*	372,110
<b>S66</b>	immunology	78,867
S65	immunoglobulin*	31,445
S64	immune or immunity	77,934
S63	endocrine	18,176
<b>S62</b>	disease* N4 (communicable or infectious)	32,870
S61	cytokine*	41,368
S60	chemokine*	6,497
S59	CD4	16,615
S58	biomarker*	61,248
S57	antibod*	81,281
<b>S56</b>	anti-allerg* or antiallerg*	556
S55	allergy	20,570
S54	MH Viruses	4,986
S53	MH Tumor Necrosis Factor	19,034
S52	MH Radioimmunoassay	2,262

S51	MH Neutrophils	6,580
S50	MH Monocytes	3,214
S49	MH Luminescent Measurements	636
S48	MH Interleukins+	25,516
S47	MH Inflammation Mediators	6,116
S46	MH Inflammation	38,408
S45	MH Infection	16,455
S44	MH Immunoglobulins	17,617
S43	MH Immunity	15,171
S42	MH Immune System	9,400
S41	MH Endocrine System	1,130
S40	MH Communicable Diseases+	11,828
<b>S39</b>	MH Cytokines	21,892
S38	MH Chemokines	1,843
<b>S</b> 37	MH CD4 Lymphocyte Count	6,126
<b>S36</b>	MH Biological Markers	53,963
S35	MH Antibodies	10,923
S34	MH "Allergy and Immunology"	1,703
S33	S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21 OR S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32	1,542,090
S32	(singl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) N3 (blind* or mask*)	80,244
S31	retrospective N3 (stud* or design*)	292,000
S30	random* N5 (allocat* or assign* or control* or clinical or patient* or sample* or trial*)	373,577
S29	prospective N3 (stud* or design* or analysis)	506,212
S28	longitudinal* N3 (stud* or design* or analysis)	58,131
S27	control* N3 trial*	211,800
S26	cohort*	220,234
S25	(followup or follow-up) N3 (stud* or design* or analysis)	30,976
S24	(crossover or cross-over) N3 (study or studies or trial*)	13,647
S23	case N3 (control* or series or report*)	253,610
S22	MH Randomized Controlled Trials	118,608
S21	MH Random Sample+	114,961

S20	PT Clinical Trial	110,541
S19	PT Randomized Controlled Trial	130,867
S18	(MH "Nonexperimental Studies+")	796,292
S17	MH Case-Control Studies	0
S16	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5 OR S6 OR S7 OR S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14 OR S15	24,932
S15	(therap* or treat* or intervention* or manag*) N3 (manual or manipulat* or mobili?at* or MSK or musculoskeletal)	17,015
S14	(manipulat* or mobili?at*) N4 instrument*	260
S13	mobili?at* N3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or thoracic or cervical or MSK or musculoskeletal or vertebr*)	1,809
S12	mobili?at* N3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)	67
S11	manipulat* N3 (physiotherap* or physical therap*)	275
S10	manipulat* N3 (instrument assisted or instrument-assisted)	19
<b>S</b> 9	manipulat* N3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or thoracic or cervical or MSK or musculoskeletal or vertebr*)	3,155
S8	manipulat* N3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)	8,018
S7	HVLA or high velocity low amplitude	359
S6	flexion-distraction or flexion distraction	140
S5	adjust* N3 (chiropract* or spinal or lumbar or cervical or thoracic or instrument* or tool* or electric)	1,455
S4	Activator N2 (method or tool*)	107
<b>S</b> 3	MH Manipulation, Osteopathic	768
<u>82</u>	MH Manipulation, Orthopedic	2,444
S1	MH Manipulation, Chiropractic	4,370

#### **Cochrane Central**

CCBC\_RR3\_Immunesystem\_Central Search run April 16, 2020 in EBM Reviews - Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials February 2020; 237 results

1	Musculoskeletal Manipulations/	413
2	Manipulation, Spinal/	353
3	Manipulation, Chiropractic/	124
4	Manipulation, Orthopedic/	245
5	Manipulation, Osteopathic/	128
6	(Activator adj (method or tool*)).ti,kw,ab.	3

7	(adjust* adj3 (chiropract* or spinal or lumbar or cervical or thoracic or instrument* or tool* or electric)).ti,kw,ab.	353
8	(flexion-distraction or flexion distraction).ti,kw,ab.	26
9	(HVLA or high velocity low amplitude).ti,kw,ab.	192
10	(manipulat* adj3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)).ti,kw,ab.	605
11	(manipulat* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or therap* or thoracic or cervical or intervention* or MSK or manage* or musculoskeletal or treat* or vertebr*)).ti,kw,ab.	2734
12	(instrument* adj3 (manual or mobili?at* or manipulat*)).ti,kw,ab.	214
13	(manipulat* adj3 (physiotherap* or physical therap*)).ti,kw,ab.	174
14	(mobili?at* adj3 (chiropract* or naprapath* or osteopath* or orthopedic* or orthopaedic*)).ti,kw,ab.	33
15	(mobili?at* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or thoracic or cervical or MSK or musculoskeletal or vertebr* or therap* or treat* or intervention* or manage*)).ti,kw,ab.	1765
16	(mobili?at* adj3 (spinal or spine or low* back or joint* or lumbar or neck or thoracic or cervical or MSK or musculoskeletal or vertebr* or therap* or treat* or intervention* or manage*)).ti,kw,ab.	1765
17	or/1-16 [**intervention]	5422
18	Case-Control Studies/	5045
19	Clinical Trials as Topic/	33229
20	clinical trial.pt.	279746
21	Cohort Studies/	7409
22	controlled clinical trial.pt.	91385
23	Controlled Clinical Trials as Topic/	71
24	Cross-Over Studies/	36488
25	Double Blind Method/	135429
26	Epidemiologic Studies/	41
27	Follow-Up Studies/	58629
28	Longitudinal Studies/	6184
29	Observational Study.pt.	1103
30	Prospective Studies/	90273
31	Random Allocation/	20629
32	randomized controlled trial.pt.	490034
33	exp Randomized Controlled Trial/	131
34	Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic/	5891
35	Retrospective Studies/	8451
36	Single Blind Method/	20296
37	((crossover or cross-over) adj3 (study or studies or trial*)).ti,kw,ab.	67202
38	((followup or follow-up) adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ti,kw,ab.	40817

39	"cohort*".ti,kw,ab.	58835
40	(longitudinal* adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ti,kw,ab.	9248
41	(observational adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ti,kw,ab.	15696
42	(prospective adj3 (stud* or design* or analysis)).ti,kw,ab.	115914
43	(random* adj5 (allocat* or assign* or control* or clinical or patient* or sample* or trial*)).ti,kw,ab.	844623
44	(retrospective adj3 (stud* or design*)).ti,kw,ab.	17848
45	((singl* or doubl* or treb* or tripl*) adj3 (blind* or mask*)).ti,kw,ab.	294302
46	or/18-45 [**study designs]	1203560
47	"Allergy and Immunology"/	4
48	exp Antibodies/	19479
49	Biomarkers/	13725
50	exp CD4 Lymphocyte Count/	2186
51	exp Chemokines, CC/	413
52	exp Communicable Diseases/	1795
53	Cytokines/	3049
54	exp Endocrine System/	4225
55	exp Immune System/	11976
56	exp Immunity/	3151
57	exp Immunoglobulins/	20192
58	exp Infection/	23633
59	Inflammation/	5052
60	nflammation Mediators/	0
61	exp Interleukin-1/	888
62	Luminescent Measurements/	168
63	Monocytes/	758
64	Neutrophils/	1380
65	Radioimmunoassay/	998
66	Respiratory Burst/	121
67	exp Selectins/	709
68	Th1 Cells/	232
69	Th2 Cells/	233
70	exp Tumor Necrosis Factors/	3400
71	exp Viruses/	8960
72	allergy.ti,kw,ab.	10922
73	(anti-allerg* or antiallerg*).ti,kw,ab.	688
74	"antibod*".ti,kw,ab.	34892
75	"biomarker*".ti,kw,ab.	27613
76	CD4.ti,kw,ab.	10756

77	"chemokine*".ti,kw,ab.	1736
78	"cytokine*".ti,kw,ab.	16410
79	(disease* adj4 (communicable or infectious)).ti,kw,ab.	4301
80	endocrine.ti,kw,ab.	10178
81	(immune or immunity).ti,kw,ab.	33846
82	"immunoglobulin*".ti,kw,ab.	8203
83	immunology.ti,kw,ab.	2985
84	"immunoglobulin*".ti,kw,ab.	8203
85	"infection*".ti,kw,ab.	94682
86	"inflammation*".ti,kw,ab.	35877
87	(Interleukin-1 or Interleukin-2).ti,kw,ab.	3680
88	"uminescent measurement*".ti,kw,ab.	0
89	monocytes.ti,kw,ab.	1970
90	neutrophils.ti,kw,ab.	3266
91	radioimmunoassay.ti,kw,ab.	2127
92	respiratory burst.ti,kw,ab.	145
93	selectins.ti,kw,ab.	40
94	(Th1 or Th2).ti,kw,ab.	1820
95	(TNFalpha or TNF-alpha).ti,kw,ab.	8003
96	Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha.ti,kw,ab.	4203
97	or/47-96 [**immunesystem]	264067
98	17 and 46 and 97	334
99	limit 98 to english language	237

eTable 1. Evidence Table for Immune Markers

1 <sup>st</sup>	Study design,	Intervention	Comparison,	Follow-up	Outcomes	Results	Quality	Conclusi
Author,	Participants,	s, Provider,	Provider,	_			of	on
Year	Setting,	Number (n)	Number (n) of				Evidence	(notes) <sup>a</sup>
	Number (n)	of subjects	subjects at					
	enrolled	at baseline	baseline					
Brennan	RCT; adult	SMT: HVLA	1. LFP sham tx:	i. At 12 <sup>th</sup> visit	В	<u>At 12<sup>th</sup> visit</u>	High	SMT is
1994 <sup>1</sup>	patients with	thrust to T12	delivered to one	ii. 2 wks post-	lymphocytes		(++)	not
	LBP; duration	to S1	level of the L/S	tx	Т	1. <u>SMT vs. LFP sham:</u>		associate
	>50 days; 45%	(including SI			lymphocytes	Mean difference at 12 <sup>th</sup>		d with
	female	joints),	11 tx/2 wks by		T <sub>H</sub> cells	visit in % of lymphocyte:		lymphocyt
		according to	chiropractors in	Loss to	Ts cells	Total T cells: 0.4 (-1.4,		e levels
	Chiropractic	clinical	teaching clinic	follow-up:	NK	22)		
	teaching clinic	indication by	(	52/201=26%	lymphocytes	I <sub>H</sub> cells: 0.1 (-3.1, 3.4)		
	in Lombard,	chiropractors	(n=67)	(unclear		1s cells: 0.4 (-2.0, 2.8)		
	USA	in teaching		distribution		NK cells: -0.5 (-2.6, 1.6)		
	(	clinic	2. Lecture	across		IsNK cells: -0.4 (-1.3,		
	(n=201)	44 10 10	series:	groups)				
		11 tx/2 wks	educational			B cells: -1.5 (-3.4, 0.4)		
		(07)	lectures on LBP,			O ONT up la sture		
		(n=67)	no physical			2. <u>SMI VS. lecture</u>		
			contact with			Series:		
			physician and			wean difference at 12"		
			no exercise			Total T colle: 0.5 ( 1.6		
			recommendatio					
			115			(2.0)		
			(n-67)			TH Cells: $-2.4 (-5.4, 0.0)$		
			(11-07)			15 Cells: $1.5$ (-0.4, 4.2)		
						$T_{c}NK$ cells: -0.0 (-3.1, 1.3)		
						B cells: $-0.5(-2.7, 1.7)$		
						3. LFP sham vs. lecture		
						series:		
						Mean difference at 12 <sup>th</sup>		
						visit in % of lymphocyte		
						Total T cells: -0 1 (-2 1		
						1.9)		

			T <sub>H</sub> cells: 2.5 (-0.2, 5.2)	
			$T_{0} = collec + 15(36,06)$	
			NK cells: 0.3 (-1.9, 2.5)	
			TsNK cells: -0.2 (-1.1,	
			07)	
			$D_{1} = (1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3,$	
			B cells: -1 (-3.2, 1.2)	
			2 wks post-tx	
			4. <u>SMT VS. LFP snam:</u>	
			Mean difference at 2	
			wks in % of lymphocyte:	
			Total T cells: 1.2 (-0.6	
			3.0)	
			Tн cells: -0.4 (-3.5, 2.7)	
			Ts cells: 0.2 (-2.2. 2.6)	
			NK cells: 0.2 (18.2.2)	
			NR cells. 0.2 (-1.0, 2.2)	
			IsNK cells: -0.1 (-1.0,	
			0.8)	
			B cells: -1 1 (-2 9 0 7)	
			B cono: 111 ( 2.0, 0.1)	
			5. <u>SMT vs. lecture</u>	
			series:	
			Mean difference at 2	
			wks in % of lymphosyte:	
			Total T cells: 1.0 (-1.1,	
			3.1)	
			TH cells: -2.9 (-5.6, -0.2)	
			$T_{c}$ celle: 20(03 4 2)	
			1500115.2.0(-0.3, 4.3)	
			NK CEIIS: 0.1 (-1.9, 2.1)	
			T <sub>S</sub> NK cells: 0 (-1.0, 1.0)	
			B cells: -0.6 (-2.6, 1.4)	
			_ cono: oro ( 2.0, 1.7)	
			o. LFP snam vs. lecture	
			<u>series</u> :	
			Mean difference at 2	
			wks in % of lymphocyte:	
			i otal 1 cells: 0.2 (-1.9,	
			2.3)	
			T <sub>H</sub> cells: 2.5 (-0.4, 5.4)	
1				

						Ts cells: -1.8 (-4.1, 0.5) NK cells: 0.1 (-2.1, 2.3) TsNK cells: -0.1 (-1.0, 0.8) B cells: -0.5 (-2.6, 1.6) Each lymphocyte subpopulation (Total T cells, T <sub>H</sub> cells, Ts cells, NK cells, TsNK cells, and B cells) were outcomes in repeated measures ANOVA with primary test of the treatment group by time interaction. None of the treatment by time interactions were significant		
Brennan 1991 <sup>2</sup>	RCT; healthy adult 1 <sup>st</sup> year chiropractic students (naïve to SMT); mean age 26.2 (SD 5.5); 32% female Chiropractic teaching clinic in Lombard, USA (n=99)	SMT: HVLA thrust (posterior to anterior direction) from T1-T6 One session by chiropractor in teaching clinic (n=42)	<ol> <li>Sham: low-velocity light-force thrust (prone to anterior direction) to selected segment</li> <li>One session by chiropractor in teaching clinic (n=38)</li> <li>Soft tissue manipulation:</li> </ol>	15 min post- tx Loss to follow- up/missing data: PMN: SMT: 9.5% Sham: 7.9% Soft tissue:21% <u>Substance P:</u> SMT: 25% Sham: 36%	CL response of PMN CL response of monocytes Substance P	CL response of PMN Pre-post difference in mean peak/sec/cell <sup>b</sup> : SMT (n=38): 2.9 Sham (n=35): 0.2 Soft tissue (n=15): -0.03 CL response of monocytes Pre-post difference in mean peak/sec/cell <sup>c</sup> : SMT (n=38): 0.71 Sham (n=42): 0.09 Soft tissue (n=19): 0.07	Acceptabl e (+)	SMT is associate d with changes in CL response to PMN and monocyte s (clinical meaning of effect is unknown

			to either right or left gluteal area One session by chiropractor in teaching clinic (n=19)			Mean difference of change in pg/mL: 11.1. This difference is not significantly different from zero (p-value not reported) No data for SP were collected for soft tissue group		SMT is not associate d with substance P levels
Teodorcz yk-Injeyan 2006 <sup>3</sup>	RCT; healthy adult chiropractic students; 56% female Chiropractic teaching clinic in Toronto, Canada (n=64)	SMT: HVLA thrust (Carver- Bridge) with cavitation in anterior and superior direction to the T/S One session by chiropractor in teaching clinic (n=24)	<ol> <li>Sham: HVLA thrust (Carver- Bridge) without cavitation (positioning and line of drive that did not cavitate joint)</li> <li>One session by chiropractor in teaching clinic (n=20)</li> <li>Venipuncture control (VC) No tx (n=20)</li> </ol>	i. 20 min post-tx ii. 2 hrs post- tx No loss to follow-up	TNF-α <sup>d</sup> IL-1β <sup>d</sup> Substance P <sup>d</sup>	20 min post-tx SMT vs. Sham: Mean difference in amount of change from baseline (pg/mL): TNF-α: 471 (-29.5, 971.5) IL-1β: 223 (-205.8, 651.8) SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in amount of change from baseline (pg/mL): TNF-α: 358 (-74.1, 790.1) IL-1β: 156 (-256.4, 568.4) Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in amount of change from baseline (pg/mL):	Acceptabl e (+)	SMT associate d with attenuatio n of TNF- $\alpha$ and IL-1 $\beta$ productio n levels at 2 hrs, but not at 20 minutes (clinical meaning of effect is unknown)

				TNF-α: 113 (-405.8,		
				631.8)		
				001.0)		
				II -18 67 (-352 1 486 1)		
				2 hrs post-tx		
				SMT vs. Sham:		
				Maan difference in		
				Mean unerence in		
				amount of change from		
				baseline (ng/ml)		
				baseline (pg/mc).		
				TNF-α· 1091 (497 0		
				1005.0		
				1685.0)		
				IL-IP: -012 (97.3,		
				1126.7)		
				,		
				SMT vs. VC:		
				Moon difference in		
				Mean unerence in		
				amount of change from		
				haseline (ng/ml)		
				baseline (pg/me).		
				TNF-α <sup>·</sup> 800 (249.3		
				1010 d. 000 (2+0.0,		
				1350.7)		
				11 10.565 (06.2		
				IL-1p. 505 (90.5,		
				1033.7)		
				,		
				<u>Sham vs. VC:</u>		
				Mean difference in		
				amount of change from		
				baseline (pg/ml <sup>-)</sup>		
				2.30mm (PG/mE).		
				TNF-α: 291 (-314.9.		
				906.0)		
				090.9)		
				II 18.67 (352 1 496 1)		
				i⊑-ip. 07 (-352.1, 400.1)		
	1	1	1		1	

						Substance P findings reported in bar charts: no statistically significant differences between groups at follow-up. Baseline mean values of substance P were 55, 51 and 54 pg/mL for the VC, sham and SMT groups respectively		SMT-C is not associate d with substance P levels
Teodorcz yk-Injeyan 2008⁴	RCT; healthy adult chiropractic students; 56% female Chiropractic teaching clinic in Toronto, Canada	SMT: HVLA thrust (Carver- Bridge) with cavitation in anterior and superior direction to the T/S	1. Sham: HVLA thrust (Carver- Bridge) without cavitation (positioning and line of drive that did not cavitate joint)	1. 20 min post-tx ii. 2 hrs post- tx Loss to follow-up: SMT: 3.3% Sham: 8% VC: 11%	IL-2 induced by SPA <sup>e</sup> or TPA <sup>e</sup>	20 min post-tx IL-2 production induced by SPA <sup>e</sup> : SMT vs. Sham: No significant difference (p=0.436) SMT vs. VC:	Acceptabl e (+)	SMT and sham associate d with increase in IL-2 levels at 20 min and 2 hrs compared to VC

(n=82)	One session	One session by		Significant difference	
(11-62)	hv	chironractor in		(n=0,000)	
	chiropractor	tooching clinic		(p=0.000)	(clinical
	in tooching	leaching chinic		Sham ve VC:	mooning
	alinia	(n-2E)		Significant difference	of offect in
	CIITIC	(1–25)			
	(n-20)	0. Maninum atuma		(p=0.006)	unknown)
	(n=30)	2. venipuncture			NU
		control (VC)		IL-2 production induced	INO
		NO IX		by IPA+ calcium	amerence
		(		Ionophore <sup>e</sup> :	between
		(n=27)			SMI and
				No significant difference	snam
				between groups	
				(p=0.085)	
				2 hrs post-tx	
				<u> </u>	
				IL-2 production induced	
				by SPA <sup>e</sup> :	
				<u>SMT vs. Sham:</u>	
				No significant difference	
				(p=0.737)	
				SMT vo VC	
				Significant difference	
				(p=0.001)	
				(p=0.001)	
				Sham vs. VC.	
				Significant difference	
				(n=0,003)	
				IL-2 production induced	
				by TPA+ calcium	
				ionophore <sup>e</sup>	
				No significant difference	
				between all groups	
				(p=0.802)	

Teodorcz	RCT; healthy	SMT:	1. Sham: HVLA	i. 20 min	IgG	PWM-induced	Acceptabl	SMT
yk-Injeyan	adult	HVLA thrust	thrust (Carver-	post-txii. 2	IgM	antibody production	e	associate
20105	chiropractic	(Carver-	Bridge) without	hrs post-tx	B (CD19)	The mean production	(+)	d with IL-
	students; 56%	Bridge) with	cavitation		lymphocytes	of both IgG and IgM in		2-induced
	female	cavitation in	(positioning and	Loss to	T (CD 3)	cultures from VC, sham		augmenta
		anterior and	line of drive that	follow-up:	lymphocytes	and SMT subjects were		tion of
	Chiropractic	superior	did not cavitate	SMT: 10%		unchanged		IgG and
	teaching clinic	direction to	joint)	Sham: 0%				IgM
	in Toronto,	the T/S		VC: 18.5%		IL-2-induced antibody		levels <sup>f</sup>
	Canada		One session by			production		
		One session	chiropractor in					(clinical
	(n=82)	by	teaching clinic			<u>lgG</u>		meaning
		chiropractor				Repeated measures		of effect is
		in teaching	(n=25)			ANOVA of the		unknown)
		clinic				transformed		
			2. Venipuncture			data demonstrated a		
		(n=30)	control (VC)			statistically significant		
			No tx			group by time		
						interaction effect (F=2.8,		
			(n=27)			p=0.03). At 20 min post-		
						treatment, the mean		
						production of IgG in the		
						SMT group was		
						significantly nigher than		
						that in the VC and sham		
						treatment the		
						production of IgC in		
						cultures from both SMT		
						and share was		
						significantly elevated		
						compared to VC d		
						compared to VC.		
						IaM		
						Repeated measures		
						ANOVA of the		
						transformed data		
						demonstrated a		
						statistically significant		
						group by time		

interaction effect (F=27, p=0,04). At 2 hr postfreatment the mean level of IgM synthesis in the SMT group was significantly elevated compared with the VC group.*       Phenotypic analysis         SMT vs. SMT vs. SMT vs. SMAT is change from baseline (%)*       SMT vs. SMAT is not search and the search and					
p=0.04). At 2 hr         posttratment the mean level of IgM synthesis in the SMT group was significantly elevated compared with the VC group.* <b>Phenotypic analysis SMT vs. Sham:</b> Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.6         T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 6.0         SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 6.0         SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         CD3 lymphocytes: - 4.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         CD3 lymphocytes: - 4.0				interaction effect (F=2.7,	
posttreatment the mean level of tgM synthesis in the SMT group was significantly elevated compared with the VC group.* Phenotypic analysis SMT vs. Sham: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)* B (CD19) lymphocytes: - clar C(%)* B (CD19) lymphocytes: - clar C(%)* B (CD19) lymphocytes: - clar B (CD19) lymphocytes: - B (CD19) lymphocyt				p=0.04). At 2 hr	
Image: Solution of the solution				posttreatment the mean	
Image: Second State Sta				level of IgM synthesis in	
significantly elevated compared with the VC group. <sup>9</sup> Phenotypic analysis SMT is Change from baseline (%) <sup>9</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.6 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0 Sharn vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>9</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 4.0 Sharn vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>9</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 2.0				the SMT group was	
Compared with the VC group.*         Phenotypic analysis         SMT vs. Sham:         Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.6         T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 6.0         SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 6.0         SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Congrue         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Colog lymphocytes: - 4.0         Colog lymphocytes: - 4.0         Colog lymphocytes: - 4.0         Colog lymphocytes: - 4.0				significantly elevated	
group.*       Phenotypic analysis         SMT vs. Sham: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*       SMT is not associate d with B         B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.6       and T         J (CD3) lymphocytes: - 6.0       e levels         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 6.0       e levels         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 6.0       e levels         SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0				compared with the VC	
Image: Second				group. <sup>e</sup>	
SMT vs. Sham:       SMT is         Mean difference in       not         change from baseline       not         (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.6       SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in       with B         6.0       SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         6.0       SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes: -         1.0       Change from baseline         (%)*       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         4.0       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         0.4       T (CD3) lymphocy				Dhanatania analysia	
SMT vs. Sham:       Mean difference in       SMT is         Mean difference in       change from baseline       NMT is         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes:       associate         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       and T         0.6       T (CD3) lymphocytes:       and T         0.7       C(CD3) lymphocytes:       and T         0.8       B (CD19) lymphocytes:       and T         1.0       SMT vs. VC:       Mean difference in         1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       1.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       0.4         D (A       T (CD3) lymphocytes:         0.4       T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0       2.0				Phenotypic analysis	
Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°     SMT is not associate d with B       B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.6     and T       T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 6.0     e levels       SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°     e levels       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0     T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0       Sharn vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°     B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0     T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0     A (%)°				SMT vs. Sham:	
change from baseline     not       (%)°     B (CD19) lymphocytes:       0.6     T (CD3) lymphocytes: -       0.6     SMT vs. VC:       Mean difference in       change from baseline       (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -       6.0         SMT vs. VC:       Mean difference in       change from baseline       (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -       1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes: -       4.0       Sham vs. VC:       Mean difference in       change from baseline       (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -       4.0         Sham vs. VC:       Mean difference in       change from baseline       (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -       4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline       (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       0.4       T (CD3) lymphocytes:       2.0				Mean difference in	SMT is
(%)°       associate         g (CD19) lymphocytes:       and T         0.6       T (CD3) lymphocytes:       lymphocytes:         0.0       SMT vs. VC:       Mean difference in         change from baseline       (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes:         1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes:       1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes:         4.0       Sham vs. VC:       Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       -4.0         Sham vs. VC:       Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       -4.0				change from baseline	not
d with B and T Jymphocytes: - 6.0 SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sharn vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sharn vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sharn vs. VC: Nean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sharn vs. VC: Nean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 2.0				(%) <sup>e</sup>	associate
B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.6 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 6.0 SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)° B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)° B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)° B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°					d with B
0.6       iymphocyt         T (CD3) lymphocytes: -       e levels         6.0       SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes:         1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes: -         4.0       Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         4.0       Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         4.0       Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4       T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0       2.0				B (CD19) lymphocytes:	and T
T (CD3) lymphocytes: -       e levels         6.0       SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes:         1.0       T (CD3) lymphocytes: -         4.0       Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         4.0       Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in       change from baseline         (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         0.4       T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0       2.0				0.6	lymphocyt
6.0         SMT vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes:         1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes: -         4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -         4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0				T (CD3) lymphocytes: -	e levels
SMT vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes: - 4.0       B (CD19) lymphocytes: - (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 0.4				6.0	
Similar Sive.       Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°         B (CD19) lymphocytes:       1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes: -4.0       5ham vs. VC:         Mean difference in change from baseline (%)°       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0         Comparison       B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0         B (CD19) lymphocytes: -4.0       C.0				SMT vo VC	
Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes:         1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4				<u>Simi vs. vc.</u> Moon difforence in	
B (CD19) lymphocytes:         1.0         T (CD3) lymphocytes: -         4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)e         B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0				change from baseline	
B (CD19) lymphocytes: 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0					
B (CD19) lymphocytes: 1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				(70)	
1.0 T (CD3) lymphocytes: - 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				B (CD19) lymphocytes:	
T (CD3) lymphocytes: -         4.0         Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)e         B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0				1.0	
4.0 4.0 Sham vs. VC: Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				T (CD3) lymphocytes: -	
Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%)e         B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0				4.0	
Sham vs. VC:         Mean difference in         change from baseline         (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0					
Mean difference in change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes:         0.4         T (CD3) lymphocytes:         2.0				Sham vs. VC:	
Change from baseline (%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				Mean difference in	
(%) <sup>e</sup> B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				change from baseline	
B (CD19) lymphocytes: 0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				(%) <sup>e</sup>	
0.4 T (CD3) lymphocytes: 2.0				B (CD19) lymphocytes:	
T (CD3) lymphocytes:				0.4	
				T (CD3) lymphocytes:	
				2.0	

Abbreviations: CL chemiluminescence, cpm counts per minute, CRP C-reactive protein, C/S cervical spine, HIV human immunodeficiency virus, hrs hours, HVLA high velocity low amplitude, IgG immunoglobulin G, IgM immunoglobulin M, IL interleukin, LBP low back pain, LFP low force, high velocity low amplitude procedure, LPS lipopolysaccharide, L/S lumbar spine, min minutes, MT manual treatment, MVMA moderate velocity moderate amplitude, NK natural killer cells, ODI Oswestry Disability Index, OMT osteopathic manipulative treatment, PHA phytohaemagglutinin, PMN Polymorphonuclear neutrophils, PWM pokeweed mitogen, RCT randomized controlled trial, SI sacroiliac joint, SMT spinal manipulative therapy, SMT spinal manipulative therapy with cavitation, US ultrasound,  $T_H$  T-helper cells, TNF- $\alpha$  tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ ,  $T_S$  T-suppressor cells, T/S thoracic spine, Tx treatment, UK United Kingdom, USA United States of America, VAS visual analogue scale, w/o without, w/ with, wks weeks, yo years old

<sup>a</sup>Red: no effect of SMT; green: effect of SMT; yellow: results depend on processing of cells.

<sup>b</sup>Derived from regression models in Table 1, using a pre value of 3.0. Note that intercepts in the model are not significantly different, but the slope for SMT is significantly higher (p<.005) than the slope for either sham or soft tissue.

<sup>o</sup>Derived from regression models in Table 2, using a pre value of 1.75. Note that intercepts in the model are not significantly different, but the slope for SMT is significantly higher (p<.005) than the slope for either sham or soft tissue.

<sup>3</sup>SI conversion factors: To convert TNF-α from pg/mL to nM/L, multiply values by 5.85 x 10<sup>-5</sup>. To convert IL-1β from pg/mL to nM/L, multiply values by 5.85 x 10<sup>-5</sup>. To convert substance P from pg/mL to pmol/ L, multiply values by 0.742.

<sup>e</sup>Unable to calculate mean difference (95% CI) due to lack of data reported.

While a point estimate of the magnitude of the effect can be determined, a confidence interval cannot with the data as reported.

1<sup>st</sup> Author, **Results** Conclusion Study Interventions Comparis Follow-up Outcome Quality of design, Year , Provider, on, Measures Evidence (notes)<sup>a</sup> Participant Number (n) Provider. s, Setting, of subjects Number Number (n) at baseline (n) of enrolled subjects at baseline Mean difference (95% RCT; 5 min post-Mackawan TTM Joint Substance P<sup>b</sup> Acceptabl SMT is not 20076 patients with Deep mobilizatio CI) in change from associated tx е chronic LBP massage with pre- to post-tx (+) with n (>12 wks), prolonged Grade 2 No loss to (pg/mL): 1.2 (-21.6, substance P 20-60 yo, pressure (10 24.1) mobilizatio follow-up levels 61% female min) on the n. 5 muscles along min/set, 2 with passive sets/level Physical stretching at lumbar therapy spinous department process of One session in Khon L2-L5 by physical Kaen, therapist Thailand One (n=35) session by (n=67) physical therapist (n=32) Norepinephri Puhl 20127 RCT: SMT: SMT is not Sham: Immediately post-tx Acceptabl i. healthy HVLA thrust. **HVLA** immediatelv neb e (+) associated adults, 20thrust. post-tx Epinephrine<sup>b</sup> SMT vs. Sham: with either 1) 45 yo, 47% Mean difference in ii. 15 min combination either norepinephri amount of change female combinatio type post-tx ne and from baseline (nM): adjustment n or epinephrine (hypothenar Chiropractic Carver-Loss to levels teaching transverse Bridge follow-up: Norepinephrine: -0.06 clinic in SMT: 5.3% (-0.26, 0.38)<sup>c</sup> push) for without Toronto, segments T1 cavitation Sham: Epinephrine: 0.05 (to T3. 14.3% Canada

eTable 2. Evidence Table for Endocrine and Other Physiological Markers

	(n=56)	or 2) Carver- Bridge type adjustment (bilateral hypothenar push) for segments T4 to T6 One session by chiropractor in teaching clinic (n=26)	One session by chiropracto r in teaching clinic (n=30)			0.39, 0.29° <u>15 min post-tx</u> <u>SMT vs. Sham:</u> Mean difference in amount of change from baseline (nM): Norepinephrine: 0.01 (-0.07, 0.05)° Epinephrine: 0.01 (- 0.07, 0.05)°		
Sampath 2017 <sup>8</sup>	RCT; healthy men, 18-45 yo Recruited through advertising Physical therapy department in Dunedin, New Zealand (n=24)	SMT HVLA thrust to T/S through the participant's upper extremity and thorax upon expiration One session by physical therapist (n=12)	Sham tx identical to SMT but therapist did not place a fixating hand against the thoracic spine, no thrust One session by physical therapist (n=12)	i. 5 min post-tx ii. 30 min post-tx iii. 6 hrs post-tx No loss to follow-up	Salivary cortisol <sup>b</sup> Testosterone <sup>b</sup> T/C ratio <sup>b</sup> O <sub>2</sub> Hb <sup>b</sup> HRV <sup>b</sup>	5 min post-tx           Mean difference (95% Cl) in (pg/m)           Cortisol: -0.4 (-0.6, - 0.12) <sup>d</sup> Testosterone: 10.1 (- 43.5, 63.8)           T/C ratio: 0.04 (-0.05, 0.13)           O <sub>2</sub> Hb: 0.89 (13.9, 15.6)           HRV: -0.11 (-0.47, 0.25)           30 min post-tx           Mean difference (95% Cl) in (pg/m)           Cortisol: -0.20 (-0.46, 0.05)	Acceptabl e (+)	SMT associated with changes in cortisol levels at 5 minutes only (clinical meaning of effect is unknown) SMT is not associated with levels of testosterone, T/C ratio, O <sub>2</sub> Hb, and HRV

			Testesterenes C.00 /	
			resusterone6.29 (-	
			60, 47.5)	
			T/C ratio: 0.00 (-0.09	
			0.00)	
			0.09)	
			O <sub>2</sub> Hb: 15.2 (-30.5,	
			60.9)	
			HRV <sup>·</sup> 0 01 (-0 47	
			0.25)	
			0.25)	
			6 hrs post-tx	
			Maan difforance (05%	
			<u>CI) in (pg/m)</u>	
			Cortisol: 0 23 (-0 06	
			0.53)	
			0.00)	
			Testosterone: -12.9 (-	
			47.5, 21.8)	
			T/C ratio: -0.09 (-0.16.	
			0.04)	
			-0.04)	
			O <sup>2</sup> Hb and HRV data	
			not reported for 6 hrs	
			nost ty	
			posi-ix	

Abbreviations: C/S cervical spine, HRV heart rate variability, HVLA high velocity low amplitude, LBP low back pain, min minutes, NO nitric oxide, O<sub>2</sub>Hb oxyhemoglobin, RCT randomized controlled trial, SD standard deviation, SMT spinal manipulative therapy, T/C ratio testosterone/cortisol ratio, T/S thoracic spine, TTM traditional Thai massage, Tx treatment, USA United States of America, w/o without, w/ with, wks weeks, yo years old

<sup>a</sup>Red: no effect of SMT; green: effect of SMT; yellow: results depend on processing of cells.

<sup>b</sup>SI conversion factors: To convert substance P from pg/mL to pmol/L, multiply values by 0.742. To convert norepinephrine from pg/mL to pmol/L, multiple values by 5.911. To convert epinephrine from pg/mL to pmol/L, multiple values by 5.459. To convert cortisol from µg/dL to nmol/L, multiple values by 27.588. To convert testosterone from ng/dL to nmol/L, multiple values by 0.0347.

<sup>c</sup>We report difference in mean change scores and 95% CI using the reported mean change scores and standard errors from Table 2 and 3. Note that in two instances in Table 2, the reported mean change scores cannot be reproduced taking differences in means. There is clearly an error in reporting, but we are unable to identify which values are in error. <sup>d</sup>The reported results cannot be used because they are statistically incorrect. The reported p value was 0.005. There was likely a typo in the reporting of results; therefore, we imputed the 95% CI.

#### eReferences

- 1. Brennan PC, Graham MA, Triano JJ, Hondras MA, Anderson RJ. Lymphocyte profiles in patients with chronic low back pain enrolled in a clinical trial. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 1994;17(4):219-227.
- 2. Brennan PC, Kokjohn K, Kaltinger CJ, et al. Enhanced phagocytic cell respiratory burst induced by spinal manipulation: potential role of substance P. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 1991;14(7):399-408.
- 3. Teodorczyk-Injeyan JA, Injeyan HS, Ruegg R. Spinal manipulative therapy reduces inflammatory cytokines but not substance P production in normal subjects. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther.* 2006;29(1):14-21.
- 4. Teodorczyk-Injeyan JA, Injeyan HS, McGregor M, Harris GM, Ruegg R. Enhancement of in vitro interleukin-2 production in normal subjects following a single spinal manipulative treatment. *Chiropr Osteopat.* 2008;16:5.
- 5. Teodorczyk-Injeyan JA, McGregor M, Ruegg R, Injeyan HS. Interleukin 2-regulated in vitro antibody production following a single spinal manipulative treatment in normal subjects. *Chiropr Osteopat*. 2010;18:26.
- 6. Mackawan S, Eungpinichpong W, Pantumethakul R, Chatchawan U, Hunsawong T, Arayawichanon P. Effects of traditional Thai massage versus joint mobilization on substance P and pain perception in patients with non-specific low back pain. *Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies*. 2007;11(1):9-16.
- 7. Puhl AA, Injeyan HS. Short-term effects of manipulation to the upper thoracic spine of asymptomatic subjects on plasma concentrations of epinephrine and norepinephrine-a randomized and controlled observational study. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2012;35(3):209-215.
- 8. Sampath KK, Botnmark E, Mani R, et al. Neuroendocrine response following a thoracic spinal manipulation in healthy men. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2017;47(9):617-627.
- 9. Tricco AC, Antony J, Zarin W, et al. A scoping review of rapid review methods. *BMC Med.* 2015;13:224.
- 10. Tricco AC, Langlois E, Straus S. *Rapid Reviews to Strengthen Health Policy and Systems: A Practice Guide*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017.
- 11. Varker T, Forbes D, Dell L, et al. Rapid evidence assessment: increasing the transparency of an emerging methodology. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2015;21(6):1199-1204.
- 12. Featherstone RM, Dryden DM, Foisy M, et al. Advancing knowledge of rapid reviews: An analysis of results, conclusions and recommendations from published review articles examining rapid reviews. *Syst Rev.* 2015;4:50.
- 13. Corso M, Cancelliere C, Mior S, Kumar V, Smith A, Côté P. The clinical utility of routine spinal radiographs by chiropractors: a rapid review of the literature. *Chiropr Man Therap.* 2020;28(1):33.
- 14. Corso M, Cancelliere C, Mior S, Taylor-Vaisey A, Côté P. The safety of spinal manipulative therapy in children under 10 years: a rapid review. *Chiropr Man Therap.* 2020;28(1):12.
- 15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The Prisma Group. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLOS Medicine*. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
- 16. Rubinstein SM, Terwee CB, Assendelft WJ, de Boer MR, van Tulder MW. Spinal manipulative therapy for acute low-back pain. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;2012(9):Cd008880.
- 17. Maitland G, Hengeveld E, Banks K, English K. *Maitland's Vertebral Manipulation.* 7th ed. Toronto: Elsevier Butterworth Heinemann; 2005.
- 18. Dagenais S, Haldeman S. *Evidence-based management of low back pain*. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier; 2012.

- 19. Biomarkers Definition Working Group. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: Preferred definitions and conceptual framework. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2001;69(3):89-95.
- 20. Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network. Methodology: Checklists. <u>https://www.sign.ac.uk/what-we-do/methodology/checklists/</u>. Published 2013. Accessed August 23, 2020.
- 21. Campbell CM, Gilron I, Doshi T, Raja S. Designing and conducting proof-of-concept chronic pain analgesic clinical trials. *Pain Rep.* 2019;4(3):e697.
- 22. Campbell M, McKenzie JE, Sowden A, et al. Synthesis without meta-analysis (SWiM) in systematic reviews: reporting guideline. *BMJ*. 2020;368:16890.
- 23. Hewitt CE, Kumaravel B, Dumville JC, Torgerson DJ. Assessing the impact of attrition in randomized controlled trials. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2010;63(11):1264-1270.
- 24. Kjaergard LL, Villumsen J, Gluud C. Reported methodologic quality and discrepancies between large and small randomized trials in meta-analyses. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;135(11):982-989.
- 25. Pildal J, Hróbjartsson A, Jørgensen KJ, Hilden J, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC. Impact of allocation concealment on conclusions drawn from meta-analyses of randomized trials. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007;36(4):847-857.
- 26. Trowman R, Dumville JC, Torgerson DJ, Cranny G. The impact of trial baseline imbalances should be considered in systematic reviews: A methodological case study. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2007;60(12):1229-1233.
- Wood L, Egger M, Gluud LL, et al. Empirical evidence of bias in treatment effect estimates in controlled trials with different interventions and outcomes: Meta-epidemiological study. *Bmj*. 2008;336(7644):601-605.