

A REVIEW OF WATER QUALITY RESPONSES TO AIR TEMPERATURE AND PRECIPITATION CHANGES II: NUTRIENTS, ALGAL BLOOMS, SEDIMENT, PATHOGENS

Online Supporting Information

Glossary

AVGWLF = ArcView Generalized Watershed Loading Function;

BGC = Terrestrial ecosystem/biogeochemical cycling model;

CARA = Consortium for Atlantic Regional Assessment

CMIP3/CMIP5 = Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 3 or Phase 5 Archive;

GCM = General Circulation Model

GEV = Generalized Extreme Value;

GWLF-VSA = The Generalized Watershed Loading Functions – Variable Source Area model;

HSPF = Hydrologic Simulation Program – Fortran;

ICLUS = Integrated Climate and Land-Use Scenarios;

INCA-P = Integrated Catchment Model

LHS = Latin Hypercube Sampling and calculation of 95% confidence interval;

NARCCAP = North American Regional Climate Change Assessment Program;

PnET- PDLU = Percentage of developed land use;

RCP = Representative Concentration Pathway (2.6, 4.5, 6.0, 8.5);

SRES = Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (B1, A1B, A2, A1FI);

SWAT = Soil and Water Assessment Tool

SWAT-WB = Soil and Water Assessment Tool – Water Balance;

SPARROW = Spatially-Referenced Regression On Watershed attributes;

VIC = Variable Infiltration Capacity Model;

VSA = Variable Source Area;

WEPP-CO2 = Water Erosion Prediction Project – CO2

WEPP-WQ = Water Erosion Prediction Project - Water Quality

Table S-1. Projected nitrogen load responses to future climate changes scenarios. Information is adapted from published scientific literature identified in this review.

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Sleepers River, VT (0.405 km ²)	Late Century	Empirical Regression Models	Climate <i>Number: 9</i> <i>Emissions: A1FI, B1</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	Nitrate: -2%	Nitrate: <i>Growing: +57%</i> <i>Dormant: -21%</i>	Sebestyen <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Susquehanna River (71,236 km ²)	Late Century		Climate <i>Number: 2</i> <i>Emissions: 1% per year CO₂ increase</i> <i>GCM: Hadley Center, Canadian Climate Center</i>	+65% (+26% to +200%)	-	Howarth <i>et al.</i> , 2008
7 unnamed, Eastern Massachusetts (12-105 km ²)	Early Century	AVGWLF	Climate <i>Number: 7</i> <i>Emissions: A1B, B1, A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> Land use PDLU extrapolation	A1B Climate: +30% Climate & Land use: +30%	<i>Winter:</i> +25% to +50% <i>Spring:</i> +5% to +10% <i>Summer:</i> -25% to -40% <i>Fall:</i> -50% to +200%	Tu 2009 ^{a/}
				B1 Climate: +20%	<i>Winter:</i> +30% to +75% <i>Spring:</i> -10% to +30% <i>Summer:</i> -20% to -50% <i>Fall:</i> -75% to +120%	
				A2 Climate: +30%	<i>Winter:</i> +0% to +80% <i>Spring:</i> +25% to +40% <i>Summer:</i> -25% to -50% <i>Fall:</i> -50% to +160%	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
WE-38 experimental watershed, Mahantango Creek, PA (73 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 7</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCAAP</i>	+7% (0% to +19%)	Winter/spring: +17% Summer/fall: -29%	Wagena <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, NH (0.132 km ²)	Late Century	PnET-BGC	Climate <i>Number: 3</i> <i>Emissions: A1, B1</i> <i>GCM: GFDL, HADCM3, and PCM</i>	Increases in nitrate concentrations Increases in nitrate export	-	Pourmokhtarian <i>et al.</i> , 2012
Merrimack, NH/NE (12,965 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCCAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+18% (+1% to +28%)	<i>Winter: +34% (+17% to +42%)</i> <i>Spring: +15% (-16% to +21%)</i> <i>Summer: +14% (+4% to +28%)</i> <i>Fall: +6% (-4% to +30%)</i>	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA 2013
Susquehanna River, PA (71,236 km ²)				+51% (+34% to +65%)	<i>Winter: +102% (+85% to +141%)</i> <i>Spring: +27% (+8% to +40%)</i> <i>Summer: +15% (-3% to +28%)</i> <i>Fall: +70% (+36% to +120%)</i>	
Susquehanna River, PA (71,236 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: RCP 8.5, RCP 2.6</i> <i>GCM: CMIP5</i> <i>Downscaled: WCRP</i>	+9% (+4% to +14%)	-	Wagena & Easton 2018
	Late Century			+12% (+5% to +20%)		
Tuckahoe Creek, MD (221 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 5</i> <i>Emissions: RCP 8.5</i>	Nitrate: +66% (+58% to +76%)	<i>Winter: increase</i> <i>Spring: increase</i> <i>Summer: increase</i> <i>Fall: increase</i>	Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2018

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Greenboro Watershed, MD, DE (290 km ²)			(Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP5</i> <i>Downscaled: WCRP</i>	+56% (+47% to 68%)	<i>Winter: increase</i> <i>Spring: increase</i> <i>Summer: increase</i> <i>Fall: increase</i>	
Neuse, NC (25,828 km ²)	Mid Century		Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCCAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+31% (-1% to +88%)	<i>Winter: +28%</i> (+20% to +34%) <i>Spring: +26%</i> (+11% to +31%) <i>Summer: +8%</i> (-40% to +130%) <i>Fall: +40%</i> (-22% to +193%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA 2013
Suwannee, FL/GA (25,765 km ²)				+31% (-15% to +66%)	<i>Winter: +39%</i> (-14% to +98%) <i>Spring: +31%</i> (-7% to +44%) <i>Summer: +27%</i> (-27% to +75%) <i>Fall: +28%</i> (-19% to +86%)	
Apalachicola, GA/FL/AL (49,943 km ²)				+16% (-5% to +25%)	<i>Winter: +16%</i> (-8% to +31%) <i>Spring: +21%</i> (+7% to +30%) <i>Summer: +13%</i> (-8% to +23%) <i>Fall: +12%</i> (-14% to +26%)	
Amite, LA/MS (15,157 km ²)				+21% (-12% to +51%)	<i>Winter: +9%</i> (-8% to +53%) <i>Spring: +45%</i> (-22% to +98%) <i>Summer: -16%</i> (-30% to +40%) <i>Fall: +24%</i> (-16% to +99%)	
Little Miami, OH (5,840 km ²)	Mid Century	HSPF	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Temperature: +2°C, +4°C</i>	Wettest <i>Climate: +8%</i> <i>Climate & Land use: +12%</i>	-	Tong <i>et al.</i> , 2012

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
			<i>Precipitation: ±20%</i> Land use CA-Markov Model	Wet <i>Climate: +5%</i> <i>Climate & Land use:</i> +7%		
				Dry <i>Climate: +1%</i> <i>Climate & Land use:</i> +3%		
				Driest <i>Climate: +2%</i> <i>Climate & Land use:</i> +4%		
Little River, NC (203 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 2</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> Land use ICLUS	Nitrate: +30% (approx.)	-	Gabriel <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Nahunta, NC (207 km ²)						
Upper Mississippi River Basin (492,000 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT SPARROW	Climate <i>Number: 10</i> <i>Emissions: A1B</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	Nitrate: +1 kg/ha basin average (-3 kg/ha to +8 kg/ha across sub watersheds)	-	Jha <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Saginaw Bay (4 watersheds), MI (22,533 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate Synthetic Scenarios <i>Number: 16</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	Dry-Dry: -34% to +4%	-	Hall <i>et al.</i> , 2017
				Wet-Dry: -5% to +29%		
				Wet-Wet: +13% to +41%		

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Maumee, OH/MI/IL (17,207 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCCAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+43% (-6% to +91%)	<i>Winter: +82%</i> (+32% to +125%) <i>Spring: +17%</i> (-12% to +79%) <i>Summer: +4%</i> (-58% to +42%) <i>Fall: +69%</i> (-5% to +174%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Illinois, IL/WI/IN (44,040 km ²)				+7% (-7% to +18%)	<i>Winter: +9%</i> (-2% to +18%) <i>Spring: +2%</i> (-4% to +9%) <i>Summer: +4%</i> (-13% to +22%) <i>Fall: +16%</i> (-10% to +38%)	
Minnesota, MN (44,002 km ²)				+44% (+5% to +71%)	<i>Winter: +158%</i> (+95% to +249%) <i>Spring: +26%</i> (-7% to +42%) <i>Summer: +35%</i> (-46% to +87%) <i>Fall: +71%</i> (-15% to +160%)	
Maumee, OH/MI/IL (17,207 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate: <i>Number: 3</i> <i>Emissions: A1B</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: WCRP</i>	Nitrate: -10%	Nov. to Apr.: Increase May to Oct.: Decrease	Verma <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Late Century			Nitrate: +7%	Oct. to Apr.: Increase May to Sep.: Decrease	
Green Lake, WI (1 km ²)	Early Century	WEPP-WQ	Climate <i>Number: 2</i> <i>Emissions: A2, A1B, B1</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	A2: +5% A1B: +4% B1: +5%	<i>Winter: increase</i> <i>Spring: increase</i> <i>Summer: decrease</i> <i>Fall: increase</i>	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2018
	Mid Century			A2: +27% A1B: +38% B1: +30%		

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
	Late Century			A2: +18% A1B: +1% B1: +28%		
Walworth Watershed, WI (1 km ²)	Early Century			A2: +41% A1B: +96% B1: +71%	Winter: increase Spring: increase Summer: decrease Fall: increase	
	Mid Century			A2: +12% A1B: +45% B1: +8%		
	Late Century			A2: +41% A1B: +53% B1: +68%		
Assiniboine River, Lake Winnipeg Watershed, Saskatchewan (13,500 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 3 Emissions: A2 GCM: CMIP3 Downscaled: GCDC/NARR	+33% (+10% to +50%)	-	Shrestha <i>et al.</i> , 2012
James River, ND/SD (53,490 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 6 Emissions: B1, A1B, A2 GCM: CMIP3	B1 Nitrate load: -49% conc.: +55%	-	Wu <i>et al.</i> , 2012
				A1B Nitrate load: -54%		
				A2 Nitrate load: -55% conc.: +70%		

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Tongue, MT/WY (14,004 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCAAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+28% (-29% to +220%)	<i>Winter: +157%</i> (+115% to +408%) <i>Spring: +33%</i> (-1% to +183%) <i>Summer: +21%</i> (-49% to +213%) <i>Fall: +45%</i> (-56% to +537%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Elkhorn, NE (18,133 km ²)				+1% (-12% to +45%)	<i>Winter: +14%</i> (+9% to +110%) <i>Spring: +2%</i> (-16% to +34%) <i>Summer: -10%</i> (-52% to +9%) <i>Fall: +25%</i> (-15% to +126%)	
Trinity, TX (46,488 km ²)				+30% (-20% to +65%)	<i>Winter: +33%</i> (-19% to +46%) <i>Spring: +31%</i> (-15% to +96%) <i>Summer: +5%</i> (-12% to +134%) <i>Fall: +19%</i> (-41% to +91%)	
South Platte, CO (37,991 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCAAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	-12% (-38% to +16%)	<i>Winter: +3%</i> (-6% to +23%) <i>Spring: +12%</i> (-9% to +59%) <i>Summer: -32%</i> (-64% to -6%) <i>Fall: -15%</i> (-36% to +20%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Upper Colorado, CO (46,271 km ²)				-20% (-27% to +10%)	<i>Winter: -25%</i> (-34% to +11%) <i>Spring: +14%</i> (+11% to +34%) <i>Summer: -36%</i> (-40% to -3%) <i>Fall: -29%</i> (-41% to +15%)	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Rio Grande, CO/NM (49,104 km ²)				-52% (-63% to +26%)	<i>Winter:</i> -26% (-38% to +19%) <i>Spring:</i> -66% (-81% to +36%) <i>Summer:</i> -44% (-61% to +15%) <i>Fall:</i> -27% (-32% to +2%)	
Salt, AZ (15,025 km ²)				-10% (-16% to +42%)	<i>Winter:</i> -6% (-31% to +15%) <i>Spring:</i> -2% (-27% to +6%) <i>Summer:</i> +1% (-22% to +54%) <i>Fall:</i> -10% (-38% to +130%)	
Los Angeles, CA (2,172 km ²)				0% (-10% to +39%)	<i>Winter:</i> +6% (-3% to +57%) <i>Spring:</i> -9% (-29% to +64%) <i>Summer:</i> 0% (-1% to +27%) <i>Fall:</i> -1% (-6% to +11%)	
Sacramento, CA (21,537 km ²)				-1% (-12% to +9%)	<i>Winter:</i> -16% (-23% to -8%) <i>Spring:</i> +11% (0% to +19%) <i>Summer:</i> -7% (-19% to +26%) <i>Fall:</i> +14% (-1% to +33%)	
Sacramento River, CA (23,300 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT & LHS	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Temp.:</i> +6.4°C <i>Precip.:</i> ±20%	95%CI: -13% to +13%	95%CI: <i>Winter:</i> -21% to +32% <i>Spring:</i> -16% to +16% <i>Summer:</i> -43% to +13% <i>Fall:</i> -12% to +16%	Ficklin <i>et al.</i> , 2013

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
San Joaquin River, CA (14,983 km ²)				95%CI: -26% to +28%	95%CI: Winter: -34% to +37% Spring: -42% to +26% Summer: -35% to +61% Fall: -16 to +71%	
Sycamore Creek, AZ (505 km ²)	Early Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 1 Emissions: B2 GCM: CMIP3 CGCM2 Downscaled: SDSM	-33%	Greatest decreases in late autumn and early spring	Ye and Grim, 2013 ^{/c}
	Mid Century			-50%		
	Late Century			-60%		
Northern San Joaquin Valley watershed, CA (14,976 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) Temp.: +1.1°C to +6.4°C Precip.: 0%, ±10%, ±20%	-37% to +40%	-	Ficklin et al., 2010
			CO ₂ : 970 ppm	+4 % to +37%		
Willamette River, OR (29,031 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 6 Emissions: A2 (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) GCM: CMIP3 Downscaled: NARCCAP Land use EPA ICLUS	-4% (-11% to +4%)	Winter: -1% (-8% to +8%) Spring: -10% (-19% to +4%) Summer: -7% (-13% to +9%) Fall: +8% (-14% to +11%)	Johnson et al., 2015; USEPA, 2013

*Early Century: 2020–2040, Mid-Century: 2041–2070, and Late Century: 2071–2100.

a/ Approximate values from graph are presented

b/ Study assessed nutrient concentrations

c/ Study assessment: average daily export (kg) per day

Table S-2. Projected phosphorus load responses to future climate changes scenarios. Information is adapted from published scientific literature identified in this review.

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Merrimack, NH (12,965 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCCAP	+11% (-6% to +18%)	<i>Winter: +24%</i> (+3% to +28%) <i>Spring: 0%</i> (-27% to +8%) <i>Summer: +10%</i> (+0% to +23%) <i>Fall: +10%</i> (-3% to +29%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Susquehanna River, PA (71,236 km ²)				+15% (+6% to +28%)	<i>Winter: +30%</i> (+22% to +40%) <i>Spring: +16%</i> (-8% to +30%) <i>Summer: +11%</i> (-7% to +26%) <i>Fall: +11%</i> (+3% to +28%)	
WE-38 experimental watershed, Mahantango Creek, PA (73 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 7</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCAAP	+1% (0% to +43%)	<i>Winter/spring:</i> +17% <i>Summer/fall: -</i> 29%	Wagena <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Susquehanna River, PA (71,236 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: RCP</i> 8.5, RCP 2.6 <i>GCM: CMIP5</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> WCRP	-5% (-15% to +5%)	-	Wagena & Easton, 2018
	Late Century			-2% (-11% to +7%)		
Neuse, NC (25,828 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCCAP	+43% (-6% to +129%)	<i>Winter: +32%</i> (+24% to +47%) <i>Spring: +30%</i> (+11% to +39%) <i>Summer: +7%</i> (-48% to +172%) <i>Fall: +46%</i> (-28% to +262%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Suwannee, FL/GA (25,765 km ²)				+25% (-24% to +73%)	<i>Winter: +44%</i> (-26% to +142%)	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
					<i>Spring</i> : +27% (-22% to +49%) <i>Summer</i> : +14% (-35% to +93%) <i>Fall</i> : +18% (-40% to +115%)	
Apalachicola, GA/FL/AL (49,943 km ²)				+36% (+6% to +51%)	<i>Winter</i> : +37% (-8% to +71%) <i>Spring</i> : +42% (+24% to +56%) <i>Summer</i> : +29% (-7% to +45%) <i>Fall</i> : +29% (-14% to +63%)	
Amite, LA/MS (15,157 km ²)				+15% (-12% to +42%)	<i>Winter</i> : +5% (-11% to +51%) <i>Spring</i> : +37% (-21% to +83%) <i>Summer</i> : -16% (-31% to +30%) <i>Fall</i> : +17% (-17% to +82%)	
Little Miami, OH (5,840 km ²)	Mid Century	HSPF	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Temperature</i> : +2°C, +4°C <i>Precipitation</i> : ±20% Land use CA-Markov Model	Wettest <i>Climate</i> : +14% <i>Climate & Land use</i> : +21%	-	Tong <i>et al.</i> , 2012 ^{b/}
				Wet <i>Climate</i> : +6% <i>Climate & Land use</i> : +8%		
				Dry <i>Climate</i> : +8% <i>Climate & Land use</i> : +12%		
				Driest <i>Climate</i> : +3% <i>Climate & Land use</i> : +7%		
Black River Watershed, Ontario (322 km ²)	Mid Century	INCA-P	Climate <i>Number</i> : 7 GCM: CGCM3 Downscaled: SDSM4.2 <i>Emissions</i> : A1B, A2	A1B : +22% A2 : +19%	A1B <i>Summer</i> : +25% <i>Winter</i> : +25% A2 <i>Summer</i> : +15% <i>Winter</i> : +40%	Cross-man <i>et al.</i> , 2013 ^{b/}
	Late Century			A1B : +32% A2 : +33%	A1B <i>Summer</i> : +25% <i>Winter</i> : +35% A2 <i>Summer</i> : +25%	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
					Winter: +40%	
Maumee, OH/MI/IL (17,207 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCCAP Land use EPA ICLUS	+25% (-12% to +50%)	<i>Winter: +23%</i> (+1% to +38%) <i>Spring: +9%</i> (-13% to +54%) <i>Summer: +21%</i> (-53% to +91%) <i>Fall: +80%</i> (+29% to +136%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Illinois, IL/WI/IN (44,040 km ²)				+8% (-1% to +13%)	<i>Winter: +14%</i> (+4% to +19%) <i>Spring: +9%</i> (+7% to +19%) <i>Summer: -1%</i> (-14% to +12%) <i>Fall: +9%</i> (-5% to +29%)	
Minnesota, MN (44,002 km ²)				+26% (-3% to +60%)	<i>Winter: +108%</i> (+82% to +167%) <i>Spring: -6%</i> (-31% to +20%) <i>Summer: +49%</i> (-50% to +138%) <i>Fall: +38%</i> (-44% to +163%)	
Saginaw Bay (4 watersheds), MI (22,533 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate Synthetic Scenarios <i>Number: 16</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	Dry-Dry: -45% to -21% Wet-Dry: -16% to +11% Wet-Wet: +2% to +15%	-	Hall <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Maumee, OH/MI/IL (17,207 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 3</i> <i>Emissions: A1B</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> BCSD	-9%	<i>Nov. to Apr.:</i> Increase <i>May to Oct.:</i> Decrease	Verma <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Late Century			+4%	<i>Oct. to Apr.:</i> Increase <i>May to Sep.:</i> Decrease	
Green Lake, WI (1 km ²)	Early Century	WEPP- WQ	Climate <i>Number: 2</i>	A2: +42% A1B: +28% B1: +38%	<i>Winter: increase</i> <i>Spring: increase</i>	Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2018

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
	Mid Century		<i>Emissions: A2, A1B, B1 GCM: CMIP3</i>	A2: +54% A1B: +44% B1: +41%	<i>Summer:</i> decrease <i>Fall:</i> increase	
	Late Century			A2: +89% A1B: +75% B1: +72%		
Walworth Watershed, WI (1 km ²)	Early Century			A2: +31% A1B: +27% B1: +25%	<i>Winter:</i> increase <i>Spring:</i> increase <i>Summer:</i> decrease <i>Fall:</i> increase	
	Mid Century			A2: +81% A1B: +88% B1: +72%		
	Late Century			A2: +109% A1B: +96% B1: +106%		
Tongue, MT/WY (14,004 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6 Emissions: A2 (Future CO₂ effects on ET also simulated) GCM: CMIP3 Downscaled: NARCCAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+28% (-33% to +224%)	<i>Winter:</i> +151% (+88% to +428%) <i>Spring:</i> +29% (-11% to +173%) <i>Summer:</i> +21% (-50% to +224%) <i>Fall:</i> +50% (-59% to +576%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Elkhorn, NE (18,133 km ²)				+31% (-35% to +47%)	<i>Winter:</i> +46% (+6% to +69%) <i>Spring:</i> +39% (-18% to +58%) <i>Summer:</i> +6% (-62% to +32%) <i>Fall:</i> +61% (-34% to +91%)	
Trinity, TX (46,488 km ²)				+32% (-17% to +63%)	<i>Winter:</i> +31% (-22% to +43%) <i>Spring:</i> +30% (-11% to +88%) <i>Summer:</i> +13% (+2% to +132%) <i>Fall:</i> +21% (-42% to +85%)	
Lake Winnipeg Watershed, Saskatchewan (13,500 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 3 Emissions: A2 GCM: NARCCAP Downscaled: GCDC/NARR</i>	+5% (-18% to +19%)	-	Shrestha <i>et al.</i> , 2012

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
South Platte, CO (37,991 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCAAP Land use EPA ICLUS	-6% (-28% to +10%)	<i>Winter: +4%</i> (0% to +18%) <i>Spring: +7%</i> (-11% to +43%) <i>Summer: -19%</i> (-51% to 0%) <i>Fall: -9%</i> (-17% to +15%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Upper Colorado, CO (46,271 km ²)				-16% (-21% to +19%)	<i>Winter: -13%</i> (-18% to +25%) <i>Spring: -5%</i> (-9% to +28%) <i>Summer: -26%</i> (-30% to +8%) <i>Fall: -18%</i> (-24% to +22%)	
Rio Grande, CO/NM (49,104 km ²)				-47% (-59% to +27%)	<i>Winter: -20%</i> (-24% to +13%) <i>Spring: -64%</i> (-78% to +38%) <i>Summer: -37%</i> (-55% to +16%) <i>Fall: -5%</i> (-10% to +4%)	
Salt, AZ (15,025 km ²)				-15% (-30% to +54%)	<i>Winter: -18%</i> (-64% to +32%) <i>Spring: -38%</i> (-66% to -8%) <i>Summer: +50%</i> (-75% to +449%) <i>Fall: -14%</i> (-44% to +193%)	
Los Angeles, CA (2,172 km ²)				-39% (-48% to -13%)	<i>Winter: -42%</i> (-53% to -23%) <i>Spring: -45%</i> (-59% to -11%) <i>Summer: +1%</i> (-12% to +32%) <i>Fall: -2%</i> (-8% to +33%)	
Sacramento, CA (21,537 km ²)				+1% (-15% to +14%)	<i>Winter: -17%</i> (-27% to -9%) <i>Spring: +4%</i> (-7% to +29%) <i>Summer: 0%</i> (-7% to +56%) <i>Fall: +19%</i> (-3% to +42%)	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Model	Projected Changes in Load (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Northern San Joaquin Valley watershed, CA (14,976 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Temp.:</i> +1.1 °C to +6.4 °C <i>Precip.:</i> 0%, ±10%, ±20%	-37% to 40%	-	Ficklin <i>et al.</i> , 2010
Willamette River, OR (29,031 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number:</i> 6 <i>Emissions:</i> A2 (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM:</i> CMIP3 <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCCAP Land use EPA ICLUS	-3% (-6% to 0%)	<i>Winter:</i> -6% (-8% to -2%) <i>Spring:</i> -2% (-9% to +6%) <i>Summer:</i> -1% (-5% to +3%) <i>Fall:</i> 0% (-10% to +2%)	Johnson <i>et al.</i> , 2015; USEPA, 2013
Tualatin, OR (4781 km ²)	Mid century	HSPF	Climate <i>Number:</i> 8 <i>Emissions:</i> A1B, B1 <i>GCM:</i> CMIP3	+12%	Winter: +16% Spring: -2% Summer: -8% Fall: +43%	Praskievicz and Chang, 2011
	Late Century			+21%	Winter: +26% Spring: +4% Summer: -9% Fall: +62%	

*Early Century: 2020–2040, Mid-Century: 2041–2070, and Late Century: 2071–2100.

Table S-3. Projected sediment load responses to future climate changes scenarios. Information is adapted from published scientific literature identified in this review.

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Merrimack River, NE (12,965 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCCAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+18% (-15% to +28%)	<i>Winter: +90%</i> (+52% to +123%) <i>Spring: -5%</i> (-41% to +9%) <i>Summer: +6%</i> (-9% to +57%) <i>Fall: -2%</i> (-20% to +54%)	USEPA, 2013
Susquehanna River, PA (71,236 km ²)				+12% (-16% to +18%)	<i>Winter: +67%</i> (+40% to 93%) <i>Spring: 0%</i> (-32% to +13%) <i>Summer: -15%</i> (-33% to +28%) <i>Fall: -1%</i> (-28% to +34%)	
Susquehanna River, PA (71,236 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: RCP 8.5, RCP 2.6</i> <i>GCM: CMIP5</i> <i>Downscaled: WCRP</i>	+26% (+9% to +60%)	-	Wagena & Easton, 2018
	Late Century			+31% (+14% to +72%)		
St. Lawrence River, Canada (19 watersheds)	Early Century	Statistical	Climate <i>Emissions: B1, A1B, A2</i> <i>GCM: CGCM3</i> <i>Downscaled: CCCma</i>	<i>B1: +1.7%^{a/}</i> <i>A1B: +1.6%^{a/}</i> <i>A2: +1.8%^{a/}</i>	<i>Winter: 1% to +3%^{a/}</i> <i>Spring: 1% to +4%^{a/}</i> <i>Summer: -2% to +3%^{a/}</i> <i>Fall: 0.25% to +1%^{a/}</i>	Delpla and Rodriguez, 2014
WE-38 experimental watershed, Mahantango Creek, PA (73 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 7</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCAAP</i>	+8% (-10% to +32%)	Winter/spring: +25% (+7% to +44%) Summer/fall: -9% (-30% to +5%)	Wagena <i>et al.</i> , 2018

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Cannonsville Reservoir Watershed, NY (891 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT- WB	Climate Number: 9 Emissions: A1B GCM: CGCM3	+4% (-7% to +26%)	Winter/Spring: increase Summer/Fall: decrease	Mukundan <i>et al.</i> , 2013a
Esopus Creek, NY (493 km ²)	Mid Century	GWL- VSA	Climate Number: 5 Emissions: B1, A1B, A2	+3% ^{a/}	Winter: +45% ^{a/}	Mukundan <i>et al.</i> , 2013b
	Late Century			+5% ^{a/}	Winter: +68% ^{a/}	
Neuse River, NC (25,828 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 6 Emissions: A2 (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) GCM: CMIP3 Downscaled: NARCCAP Land use EPA ICLUS	+29% (-18% to +99%)	Winter: +24% (-5% to +36%) Spring: +15% (+5% to +29%) Summer: +24% (-57% to +161%) Fall: +43% (-34% to +216%)	USEPA, 2013
Suwannee River, FL (25,765 km ²)				+30% (-26% to +81%)	Winter: +43% (-34% to +159%) Spring: +30% (-28% to +53%) Summer: +23% (-26% to +66%) Fall: +27% (-35% to +155%)	
Apalachicola River, FL (49,943 km ²)				+27% (-47% to +46%)	Winter: +29% (-50% to +78%) Spring: +31% (-26% to +42%) Summer: +14% (-69% to +27%) Fall: +27% (-66% to +97%)	
Amite River, LA (8,606 km ²)				+8% (-30% to +31%)	Winter: +7% (-24% to +51%) Spring: +14% (-32% to +56%) Summer: -50% (-69% to +10%) Fall: +7% (-13% to +68%)	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Apalachicola River, FL (49,943 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Emissions: A2, A1B, B1</i> <i>GCM: HadCM3, IPCM4, MPEH5</i>		HASCM3 ^{b/} <i>Winter:</i> increase <i>Spring:</i> increase <i>Summer:</i> decrease <i>Fall:</i> increase	Hovenga <i>et al.</i> , 2016
					IPCM4 ^{b/} <i>Winter:</i> decrease <i>Spring:</i> decrease <i>Summer:</i> decrease <i>Fall:</i> increase	
					MPEH5 ^{b/} <i>Winter:</i> increase <i>Spring:</i> little change <i>Summer:</i> increase <i>Fall:</i> increase	
Big Sunflower River Watershed, MS (7,660 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Emissions: A1B, A2, and B1</i> <i>GCM: CCSM3</i>	+6.3% to +6.4%	-	Parajuli <i>et al.</i> , 2016
	Late Century					
Apalachicola River, FL (3,589 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCCAP	-	<i>Min:</i> -15% in October <i>Max:</i> +30% in July	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2014
Maumee, OH/MI/IN (17,207 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCCAP	+28% (-14% to +69%)	<i>Winter:</i> +46% (+14% to +84%) <i>Spring:</i> +21% (-17% to +52%) <i>Summer:</i> +10% (-60% to +85%) <i>Fall:</i> +59% (-7% to +163%)	USEPA, 2013

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Illinois, IL/WI/IN (44,040 km ²)			Land use EPA ICLUS	+18% (-10% to +42%)	Winter: +24% (-11% to +56%) Spring: +23% (+6% to +41%) Summer: +14% (-13% to +41%) Fall: +12% (-32% to +55%)	
Minnesota, MN (44,002 km ²)				+53% (-23% to +125%)	Winter: +262% (+153% to +460%) Spring: +21% (-27% to +69%) Summer: +45% (-66% to +164%) Fall: +103% (-47% to +406%)	
Maumee, OH/MI/IN (17,207 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 6 Emissions: RCP 2.6, 4.5, 6.0, 8.5 GCM: CMIP5 (19) Downscaled: NARCCAP	RCP 2.6: -26% RCP 4.5: -24% RCP 6: -25% RCP 8.5: -3%	Winter: -33% to +10% Spring: -18% to +1% Summer: -40% to -26% Fall: -30% to -20%	Cousino <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Late Century			RCP 2.6: -26% RCP 4.5: -12% RCP 6: -10% RCP 8.5: +11%	Winter: -37% to +58% Spring: -20% to +12% Summer: -51% to -18% Fall: -29% to -17%	
Cedar Creek (3 watersheds), IN (679 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate Number: 17 Emissions: RCP 6.0 GCM: CMIP5	+1% to +139%	-	Wallace <i>et al.</i> , 2017
Saginaw Bay (4 watersheds), MI (22,533 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate Synthetic Scenarios Number: 16 Emissions: A2 GCM: CMIP3	Dry-Dry: -58% to -16%	-	Hall <i>et al.</i> , 2017
				Wet-Dry: -31% to +28%		
				Wet-Wet: -7% to +27%		

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Little Miami River, OH (5,840 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Air temp: +2 to +4°C</i> <i>Precipitation: ±20%</i>	<i>Wettest: +47%</i> <i>Wet: +46%</i> <i>Dry: -41%</i> <i>Driest: -41%</i>	-	Tong <i>et al.</i> , 2007
Eagle Creek watershed, Indiana (248 km ²)	Early Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 16</i> <i>Emissions: A2, A1B, B1</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	Increases	-	Ahmadi <i>et al.</i> , 2014
	Mid Century			Increases		
	Late Century			Increases*		
Central WI East central IN /West central OH Eastern IL Eastern WI MI Thumb North western OH /South eastern MI South central MI /Northern IN Southern IL South western IN South western WI Western IL	Mid Century	WEPP- CO2	Climate <i>Emissions: IS95a</i> <i>GCM: HadCM3</i>	+150%	-	O'Neal <i>et al.</i> , 2005
+34%						
+32%						
+129%						
+105%						
+273%						
-3%						
+37%						
+18%						
+147%						
+18%						
Maumee, OH/MI/IN (16,395 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 3</i> <i>Emissions: A1B</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: BCSD</i>	-10% (-82% to +90%)	<i>Summer: decreases</i>	Verma <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Late Century			+10% (-84% to +138%)		

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Tongue River, WY/MT (14,004 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCAAP Land use EPA ICLUS	+31% (-34% to +251%)	<i>Winter: +143%</i> (+79% to +318%) <i>Spring: +33%</i> (-18% to +170%) <i>Summer: +25%</i> (-50% to +273%) <i>Fall: +36%</i> (-61% to +554%)	USEPA, 2013
Elkhorn River, NE (18,133 km ²)				+39% (-40% to +62%)	<i>Winter: +121%</i> (+39% to +204%) <i>Spring: +47%</i> (-13% to +77%) <i>Summer: +6%</i> (-74% to +39%) <i>Fall: +92%</i> (-46% to +148%)	
Trinity River, TX (46,488 km ²)				-27% (-73% to +25%)	<i>Winter: -23%</i> (-70% to +3%) <i>Spring: -21%</i> (-71% to +55%) <i>Summer: -52%</i> (-75% to +93%) <i>Fall: -43%</i> (-82% to +52%)	
South Platte, CO (37,991 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled:</i> NARCAAP Land use EPA ICLUS	-7% (-20% to +4%)	<i>Winter: +5%</i> (-4% to +11%) <i>Spring: -2%</i> (-9% to +19%) <i>Summer: -17%</i> (-33% to -6%) <i>Fall: -9%</i> (-24% to -1%)	USEPA, 2013
Upper Colorado River, CO/UT (46,271 km ²)				-13% (-20% to +24%)	<i>Winter: -6%</i> (-16% to +38%) <i>Spring: +28%</i> (+26% to +55%) <i>Summer: -36%</i> (-39% to +4%) <i>Fall: -21%</i> (-35% to +35%)	
Rio Grande Valley, NM, CO (49,104 km ²)				-41% (-51% to +14%)	<i>Winter: -45%</i> (-49% to +14%) <i>Spring: -24%</i> (-48% to +19%) <i>Summer: -33%</i> (-51% to +14%) <i>Fall: -49%</i> (-55% to +12%)	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Salt River, AZ (15,025 km ²)				-17% (-34% to +83%)	<i>Winter</i> : -9% (-67% to +41%) <i>Spring</i> : -37% (-74% to +5%) <i>Summer</i> : +95% (-93% to +591%) <i>Fall</i> : -26% (-57% to +248%)	
Los Angeles River, CA (2,172 km ²)				-19% (-35% to +10%)	<i>Winter</i> : -11% (-23% to +24%) <i>Spring</i> : -38% (-57% to -15%) <i>Summer</i> : -2% (-14% to +38%) <i>Fall</i> : -15% (-28% to +41%)	
Sacramento River, CA (21,537 km ²)				+14% (-7% to +38%)	<i>Winter</i> : +26% (-8% to +39%) <i>Spring</i> : -6% (-43% to +51%) <i>Summer</i> : +52% (+28% to +438%) <i>Fall</i> : -6% (-38% to +52%)	
Sacramento River, CA (23,300 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Temp.</i> : +6.4°C <i>Precip.</i> : ±20%	<i>95% CI</i> : -26% to +20%	95%CI <i>Winter</i> : -33% to +36% <i>Spring</i> : -26% to +43% <i>Summer</i> : -27% to +12% <i>Fall</i> : -32% to +13%	Ficklin <i>et al.</i> , 2013
San Joaquin River, CA (14,983 km ²)				<i>95% CI</i> : -50% to +73%	95%CI <i>Winter</i> : -52% to +66% <i>Spring</i> : -49% to +94% <i>Summer</i> : -56% to +165% <i>Fall</i> : -57% to +48%	
Sierra Nevada, CA (703 to 15,789 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number</i> : 16 <i>Emissions</i> : A2 <i>GCM</i> : CMIP3 <i>Downscaled</i> : WCRP	-	<i>Spring</i> : -46 ^{cl} (-60 to -12) <i>Summer</i> : -50 ^{cl} (-57 to +30)	Ficklin <i>et al.</i> , 2013
	Late Century				<i>Spring</i> : -54 ^{cl} (-65 to -20) <i>Summer</i> : -50 ^{cl} (-60 to -23)	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Northern San Joaquin Valley watershed, CA (14,976 km ²)	Late Century	SWAT	Climate (Synthetic Scenarios) <i>Temp: +1.1 °C to +6.4 °C</i> <i>Precip: 0%, ±10%, ±20%</i>	-27% to +28%	-	Ficklin <i>et al.</i> , 2010
			<i>Climate + CO₂ (970 ppm)</i>	-6 % to +25%		
San Francisco Estuary Watershed (Sacramento-San Joaquin watersheds and Deltas)	Late Century	VIC	Climate <i>Number: 2</i> <i>Emissions: B1, A2</i> <i>GCM: PCM-B1, GFDL-A2</i>	<i>B1:</i> decreases	-	Cloern <i>et al.</i> , 2011
				<i>A2:</i> decreases		
Willamette River, OR (29,032 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 6</i> <i>Emissions: A2</i> (Future CO ₂ effects on ET also simulated) <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCCAP</i> Land use EPA ICLUS	+10% (-13% to +19%)	<i>Winter: +10% (-10% to +24%)</i> <i>Spring: +8% (-12% to +40%)</i> <i>Summer: +13% (-27% to +50%)</i> <i>Fall: +21% (-25% to +34%)</i>	USEPA, 2013
Bull Run watershed, OR (264 km ²)	Early Century	GEV	Climate <i>Emissions: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: WCRP</i>	+0.30% ^{b/} (0 to +3%)	-	Towler <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	Mid Century			+0.80% ^{b/} (0 to +7%)		
	Late Century			+1.20% ^{b/} (0 to +11%)		
Tucannon, OR (1,116 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 10</i> <i>Emission: A2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> <i>Downscaled: NARCCAP</i>	-18%	<i>Winter: -14% (+24% to +8%)</i> <i>Summer: -5% (-60% to +98%)</i>	Praskievicz, 2016
South Fork Coeur d'Alene, ID (743 km ²)				-18%	<i>Winter: +88% (+79% to +94%)</i> <i>Summer: -72% (-73% to -60%)</i>	

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenario(s) Used to Drive Water Models	Projected Load Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median (Range)	Seasonal Median (Range)	
Red River, ID (99 km ²)				-8%	<i>Winter:</i> -14% (-24% to -6%) <i>Summer:</i> -26% (-43% to -4%)	
Tualatin, OR (4781 km ²)	Mid century	HSPF	Climate <i>Number: 8</i> <i>Emissions: A1B, B1</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	+252%	<i>Winter:</i> +261% to +451% <i>Spring:</i> +129% to +164% <i>Summer:</i> -67 to +54% <i>Fall:</i> +430 to +595%	Praskievicz and Chang, 2011
	Late Century			+245%	<i>Winter:</i> +259% to +436% <i>Spring:</i> +41% to +53% <i>Summer:</i> -81% to -52% <i>Fall:</i> +503% to +812%	

*Early Century: 2020–2040, Mid-Century: 2041–2070, and Late Century: 2071–2100.

a/ Change in turbidity

b/ Estimated from graph (Figure 3 in Hovenga *et al.*, 2016), values listed for is range of monthly changes (HADCM3, IPCM4, MPEH5).

c/ Study assessed sediment concentrations.

Table S-4. Projected fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) load responses to future climate changes scenarios. Information is adapted from published scientific literature identified in this review.

Watershed (Drainage Area)	Future Period*	Water Model(s)	Scenarios Used to Drive Water Models	Projected FIB Changes (%)		Citation
				Annual Median	Seasonal Median	
Pigg River, VA (1,015 km ²)	Mid Century	HSPF	Climate <i>Number: 7</i> <i>Emissions: B2</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i> Land use 1 (many sources)	<i>Low flow years:</i> +49% ^{a/} L	<i>Winter: +41% L</i> <i>Spring: -33% L</i> <i>Summer: +10% L</i> <i>Fall: +212%</i>	Coffey <i>et al.</i> , 2015b
				<i>Average flow years</i> +4% L	<i>Winter: +22% L</i> <i>Spring: -26% L</i> <i>Summer: -22% L</i> <i>Fall: +106% L</i>	
				<i>High flow years:</i> +21% L	<i>Winter: +81% L</i> <i>Spring: -17% L</i> <i>Summer: -14% L</i> <i>Fall: +50% L</i>	
Upper Pearl River, MS (7,588 km ²)	Mid Century	SWAT	Climate <i>Number: 1</i> <i>Emissions: A1B</i> <i>GCM: CMIP3</i>	+175% ^{b/} C (< 0% to > +900%)	<i>Winter/Spring: decreases</i> <i>Summer/Fall: increases</i>	Jayakody <i>et al.</i> , 2015
	Late Century			+297% C (< 0% to > +900%)	<i>Winter/Spring: decreases</i> <i>Summer/Fall: increases</i>	

*Early Century: 2020–2040, Mid-Century: 2041–2070, and Late Century: 2071–2100.

a/ L denotes change in load in response to climate change scenarios only.

b/ C denotes change in concentration.

Table S-5. Occurrence of waterborne disease outbreaks following weather events in the U.S.

Adapted from Rizak and Hrudey, 2008.

Year	Location	Pathogen	Outbreak Characteristics/ Contributing Factors	Reference
1999	Washington County Fair, New York	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7, <i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Drought conditions followed by heavy rainfall, sewage contamination	Novello, 2000
1979	Bradford, Pennsylvania	<i>Giardia lamblia</i>	Heavy rainfall, inappropriate treatment	Lippy, 1981
1978	Bennington, Vermont	<i>Campylobacter jejuni</i>	Heavy rainfall, potential sewage contamination	Vogt <i>et al.</i> , 1982
1993	Milwaukee, Wisconsin	<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	Severe winter storms and heavy runoff, sewage effluent contamination	MacKenzie <i>et al.</i> , 1994; Fox and Lytle, 1996; Peng <i>et al.</i> , 1997; Sulaiman <i>et al.</i> , 1998
1989/1990	Cabool, Missouri	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	Extreme cold temperatures, sewage contamination	Swerdlow <i>et al.</i> , 1992
1993	Gideon, Missouri	<i>Salmonella typhimurium</i>	Extreme cold temperatures, contamination of storage facilities	Clark <i>et al.</i> , 1996; Angulo <i>et</i>
1998	Brushy Creek, Texas	<i>Cryptosporidium parvum</i>	Drought conditions, extreme high temperatures, sewage contamination	TDOH, 1999
1980	Georgetown, Texas	Coxsackievirus B3; hepatitis A	Heavy rainfall, sewage contamination	Hejkal <i>et al.</i> , 1982
1998	Alpine, Wyoming	<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	Heavy rainfall, potential contamination from wildlife	Olsen <i>et al.</i> , 2002
1980	Red Lodge, Montana	<i>Giardia lamblia</i>	Heavy runoff, volcanic ashfall	Weniger <i>et al.</i> , 1983

Literature Cited

- Ahmadi, M., R. Records, and M. Arabi., 2014. Impact of climate change on diffuse pollutant fluxes at the watershed scale. *Hydrological Processes* 28:1962-1972. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9723>
- Angulo, F. J., S. Tippen, D. J. Sharp, B. J. Payne, C. Collier, J. E. Hill, T. J. Barrett, R. M. Clark, E. E. Geldreich, H. D. Donnell, and D. L. Swerdlow. 1997. A community waterborne outbreak of salmonellosis and the effectiveness of a boil water order. *American Journal of Public Health* 87:580-584.
- Chen, X., K. Alizad, D. Wang, and S. C. Hagen., 2014. Climate change impact on runoff and sediment loads to the Apalachicola River at seasonal and event scales. *Journal of Coastal Research* 68:35-42. <https://doi.org/10.2112/SI68-005.1>
- Clark, R. M., E. E. Geldreich, K. R. Fox, E. W. Rice, C. H. Johnson, J. A. Goodrich, J. A. Barnick, and F. Abdesaken. 1996. Tracking a Salmonella serovar typhimurium outbreak in Gideon, Missouri: Role of contaminant propagation modelling. *Journal of Water Supply Research and Technology-Aqua* 45:171-183.
- Cloern, J. E., N. Knowles, L. R. Brown, D. Cayan, M. D. Dettinger, T. L. Morgan, D. H. Schoellhamer, M. T. Stacey, M. van der Wegen, R. W. Wagner, and A. D. Jassby., 2011. Projected evolution of California's San Francisco Bay-Delta-River system in a century of climate change. *PLoS One* 6. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024465>
- Coffey, R., B. Benham, K. Kline, M. L. Wolfe, and E. Cummins., 2015. Modeling the impacts of climate change and future land use variation on microbial transport. *Journal of Water and Climate Change* 6:449-471. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wcc.2015.049>
- Cousino, L. K., R. H. Becker, and K. A. Zmijewski., 2015. Modeling the effects of climate change on water, sediment, and nutrient yields from the Maumee River watershed. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies* 4:762-775. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.06.017>
- Crossman, J., M. N. Futter, S. K. Oni, P. G. Whitehead, L. Jin, D. Butterfield, H. M. Baulch, and P. J. Dillon., 2013. Impacts of climate change on hydrology and water quality: Future proofing management strategies in the Lake Simcoe watershed, Canada. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 39:19-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2012.11.003>
- Delpla, I., and M. J. Rodriguez., 2014. Effects of future climate and land use scenarios on riverine source water quality. *Science of the Total Environment* 493:1014-1024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.087>
- Ficklin, D. L., Y. Luo, E. Luedeling, S. Gatzke, and M. Zhang., 2010. Sensitivity of agricultural runoff loads to rising levels of CO₂ and climate change in the San Joaquin Valley watershed of California. *Environmental Pollution* 158:223-234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2009.07.016>

- Ficklin, D. L., Y. Z. Luo, and M. H. Zhang., 2013. Climate change sensitivity assessment of streamflow and agricultural pollutant transport in California's Central Valley using Latin hypercube sampling. *Hydrological Processes* 27:2666-2675. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9386>
- Fox, K. R., and D. A. Lytle. 1996. Milwaukee's crypto outbreak: Investigation and recommendations. *Journal American Water Works Association* 88:87-94. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1551-8833.1996.tb06615.x>
- Hall, K.R., M.E. Herbert, S.P. Sowa, S. Mysorekar, S.A. Woznicki, P.A. Nejadhashemi and L. Wang., 2017. Reducing current and future risks: using climate change scenarios to test an agricultural conservation framework. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 43(1), pp.59-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.11.005>
- Hejkal, T. W., B. Keswick, R. L. Labelle, C. P. Gerba, Y. Sanchez, G. Dreesman, B. Hafkin, and J. L. Melnick. 1982. Viruses in a community water-supply associated with an outbreak of gastroenteritis and infectious-hepatitis. *Journal American Water Works Association* 74:318-321. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1551-8833.1982.tb04930.x>
- Hovenga, P. A., D. Wang, S. C. Medeiros, S. C. Hagen, and K. Alizad., 2016. The response of runoff and sediment loading in the Apalachicola River, Florida to climate and land use land cover change. *Earth's Future* 4:124-142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015EF000348>
- Howarth, R. W., D. P. Swaney, E. W. Boyer, R. Marino, N. Jaworski, and C. Goodale., 2006. The influence of climate on average nitrogen export from large watersheds in the Northeastern United States. *Biogeochemistry* 79:163-186. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5517-1_8
- Jayakody, P., P. B. Parajuli, and J. P. Brooks., 2015. Assessing climate variability impact on thermotolerant coliform bacteria in surface water. *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment* 21:691-706. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2014.909188>
- Jha, M. K., P. W. Gassman, and Y. Panagopoulos., 2015. Regional changes in nitrate loadings in the Upper Mississippi River Basin under predicted mid-century climate. *Regional Environmental Change* 15:449-460. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0539-y>
- Johnson, T., J. Butcher, D. Deb, M. Faizullahoy, P. Hummel, J. Kittle, S. McGinnis, L. O. Mearns, D. Nover, A. Parker, S. Sarkar, R. Srinivasan, P. Tuppard, M. Warren, C. Weaver, and J. Witt., 2015. Modeling streamflow and water quality sensitivity to climate change and urban development in, 20 U.S. watersheds. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 51:1321-1341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12308>
- Gabriel M., C. Knightes, E. Cooter and R. Dennis., 2018. Modeling the combined effects of changing land cover, climate, and atmospheric deposition on nitrogen transport in the Neuse River Basin. *Journal of Hydrology: Regional Studies*, 18:68-79. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2018.05.004>

- Lee, S., I.Y. Yeo, A.M. Sadeghi, G.W. McCarty, W.D. Hively, M.W. Lang and A. Sharifi., 2018. Comparative analyses of hydrological responses of two adjacent watersheds to climate variability and change using the SWAT model. *Hydrology & Earth System Sciences*, 22(1).
<https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-689-2018>
- Lippy, E. C. 1981. Waterborne disease--Occurrence is on the upswing. *Journal American Water Works Association* 73:57-62.
- MacKenzie, W. R., N. J. Hoxie, M. E. Proctor, M. S. Gradus, K. A. Blair, D. E. Peterson, J. J. Kazmierczak, D. G. Addiss, K. R. Fox, J. B. Rose, and J. P. Davis. 1994. A massive outbreak in Milwaukee of cryptosporidium infection transmitted through the public water supply. *New England Journal of Medicine* 331:161-167. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199407213310304>
- Mukundan, R., D. C. Pierson, L. Wang, A. H. Matonse, N. R. Samal, M. S. Zion, and E. M. Schneiderman., 2013. Effect of projected changes in winter streamflow on stream turbidity, Esopus Creek watershed in New York, USA. *Hydrological Processes* 27:3014-3023.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.9824>
- Mukundan, R., S. M. Pradhanang, E. M. Schneiderman, D. C. Pierson, A. Anandhi, M. S. Zion, A. H. Matonse, D. G. Lounsbury, and T. S. Steenhuis., 2013. Suspended sediment source areas and future climate impact on soil erosion and sediment yield in a New York City water supply watershed, USA. *Geomorphology* 183:110-119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.06.021>
- Novello, A., 2000. The Washington County Fair Outbreak Report. New York Department of Health, Albany, NY.
- Olsen, S. J., G. Miller, T. Breuer, M. Kennedy, C. Higgins, J. Walford, G. McKee, K. Fox, W. Bibb, and P. Mead., 2002. A waterborne outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections and hemolytic uremic syndrome: Implications for rural water systems. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 8:370-375.
- O'Neal, M. R., M. A. Nearing, R. C. Vining, J. Southworth, and R. A. Pfeifer., 2005. Climate change impacts on soil erosion in Midwest United States with changes in crop management. *CATENA* 61:165-184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2005.03.003>
- Parajuli, P. B., P. Jayakody, G. F. Sassenrath, and Y. Ouyang., 2016. Assessing the impacts of climate change and tillage practices on stream flow, crop and sediment yields from the Mississippi River Basin. *Agricultural Water Management* 168:112-124.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.02.005>
- Peng, M. M., L. H. Xiao, A. R. Freeman, M. J. Arrowood, A. A. Escalante, A. C. Weltman, C. S. L. Ong, W. R. MacKenzie, A. A. Lal, and C. B. Beard. 1997. Genetic polymorphism among *Cryptosporidium parvum* isolates: Evidence of two distinct human transmission cycles. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 3:567-573.

- Pourmokhtarian, A., C. T. Driscoll, J. L. Campbell, and K. Hayhoe., 2012. Modeling potential hydrochemical responses to climate change and increasing CO₂ at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest using a dynamic biogeochemical Model (PnET-BGC). *Water Resources Research* 48. ://WOS:000306702100001
- Praskievicz, S., 2016. Impacts of projected climate changes on streamflow and sediment transport for three snowmelt-dominated rivers in the interior Pacific Northwest. *River Research and Applications* 32:4-17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rra.2841>
- Sebestyen, S. D., E. W. Boyer, and J. B. Shanley., 2009. Responses of stream nitrate and DOC loadings to hydrological forcing and climate change in an upland forest of the northeastern United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences* 114:n/a-n/a. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JG000778>
- Shrestha, R. R., Y. B. Dibike, and T. D. Prowse., 2012. Modeling climate change impacts on hydrology and nutrient loading in the Upper Assiniboine catchment. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 48:74-89. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00592.x>
- Sulaiman, I. M., L. H. Xiao, C. F. Yang, L. Escalante, A. Moore, C. B. Beard, M. J. Arrowood, and A. A. Lal. 1998. Differentiating human from animal isolates of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 4:681-685.
- Swerdlow, D. L., B. A. Woodruff, R. C. Brady, P. M. Griffin, S. Tippen, H. D. Donnell, E. Geldreich, B. J. Payne, A. Meyer, J. G. Wells, K. D. Greene, M. Bright, N. H. Bean, and P. A. Blake. 1992. A waterborne outbreak in Missouri of *Escherichia coli*-O157-H7 associated with bloody diarrhea and death. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 117:812-819.
- TDOH (Texas Department of Health). 1999. Cryptosporidiosis at Brushy Creek. Austin, TX.
- Tong, S. T. Y., A. J. Liu, and J. A. Goodrich., 2007. Climate change impacts on nutrient and sediment loads in a midwestern agricultural watershed. *Journal of Environmental Informatics* 9:18-28. <https://10.3808/jei.200700084>
- Tong, S. T. Y., Y. Sun, T. Ranatunga, J. He, and Y. J. Yang., 2012. Predicting plausible impacts of sets of climate and land use change scenarios on water resources. *Applied Geography* 32:477-489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2011.06.014>
- Towler, E., B. Rajagopalan, E. Gilleland, R. S. Summers, D. Yates, and R. W. Katz., 2010. Modeling hydrologic and water quality extremes in a changing climate: A statistical approach based on extreme value theory. *Water Resources Research* 46. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008876>
- Tu, J., 2009. Combined impact of climate and land use changes on streamflow and water quality in Eastern Massachusetts, USA. *Journal of Hydrology* 379:268-283. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2009.10.009>

- USEPA (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency), 2013. *Watershed Modeling to Assess the Sensitivity of Streamflow, Nutrient and Sediment Loads to Potential Climate Change and Urban Development in, 20 U.S. Watersheds*. EPA/600/R-12/058F, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC.
- Verma, S., R. Bhattarai, N. S. Bosch, R. C. Cooke, P. K. Kalita, and M. Markus., 2015. Climate change impacts on flow, sediment and nutrient export in a Great Lakes watershed using SWAT. *CLEAN - Soil, Air, Water* 43:1464-1474. <https://doi.org/10.1002/clen.201400724>
- Vogt, R. L., H. E. Sours, T. Barrett, R. A. Feldman, R. J. Dickinson, and L. Witherell. 1982. Campylobacter enteritis associated with contaminated water. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 96:292-296. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-96-3-292>
- Wallace, C.W., D.C. Flanagan and B.A. Engel., 2017. Quantifying the effects of future climate conditions on runoff, sediment, and chemical losses at different watershed sizes. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 60(3), pp.915-929. <https://doi.org/10.13031/trans.12094>
- Wang, L., D.C. Flanagan, Z. Wang and K.A. Cherkauer., 2018. Climate Change Impacts on Nutrient Losses of Two Watersheds in the Great Lakes Region. *Water*, 10(4), p.442.
- Wagena, M.B. and Z.M. Easton., 2018. Agricultural conservation practices can help mitigate the impact of climate change. *Science of The Total Environment*, 635:132-143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.110>
- Wagena, M.B., A.S. Collick, A.C. Ross, R.G. Najjar, B. Rau, A.R. Sommerlot, D.R. Fuka, P.J. Kleinman, and Z.M. Easton., 2018. Impact of climate change and climate anomalies on hydrologic and biogeochemical processes in an agricultural catchment of the Chesapeake Bay watershed, USA. *Science of The Total Environment*, 637, pp.1443-1454. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.116>
- Weniger, B. G., M. J. Blaser, J. Gedrose, E. C. Lippy, and D. D. Juranek. 1983. An outbreak of waterborne giardiasis associated with heavy-water runoff due to warm weather and volcanic ashfall. *American Journal of Public Health* 73:868-872.
- Wu, Y., S. Liu, and A. L. Gallant., 2012. Predicting impacts of increased CO₂ and climate change on the water cycle and water quality in the semiarid James River Basin of the Midwestern USA. *Science of the Total Environment* 430:150-160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.058>
- Ye, L., and N. B. Grimm., 2013. Modelling potential impacts of climate change on water and nitrate export from a mid-sized, semiarid watershed in the US Southwest. *Climatic Change* 120:419-431. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0827-z>