Table of contents

Supplementary Fig. 1 | Sensitivity studies

Supplementary Fig. 2 | Radiosensitivity studies

Supplementary Fig. 3 | Prediction of GBM growth and treatment response with chemoradiation resistance

Supplementary Fig. 4 | The emergence of chemoradiation resistance

Supplementary Table 1 | Description and values of the mathematical model parameters





Supplementary Figure 1 | Sensitivity studies. The figure shows the relative efficacy of the fitness of the optimal schedule. To measure relative efficacy, we investigated the ratio of the tumor population under the given parameters to the tumor population under the nominal condition. This comparison was made 30 days after initiation of therapy. In panels (A-F) we varied parameters R_{vessel} , Z, Z_{revert}, T_{max}, T_{half}, C_{max}, and IC₅₀. To account for stochasticity in the model, each simulation was completed 128 times. Error bars, mean +/- s.d.



Supplementary Figure 2 | Radiosensitivity studies. The figure shows the relative effect on tumor fractional volume change for different time periods between administration of TMZ and radiation. To account for stochasticity in the model, each simulation was completed 128 times. (A) Fractional volume change under different settings for the k_{sens} parameter are depicted. (B) Further sensitivity studies are shown looking at the percent of glioma-like stem cells under each k_{sens} value at two key time points: one day and seven days after start of therapy. Error bars, mean +/- s.d. (C-D) Prediction plots showing the average distance from the vessel center 3 days and 7 days after treatment states for a model with a range of k_{sens} values. Error bars, mean +/- s.d. (C) shows the results for GSCs and (D) DTCs.



Supplementary Figure 3 | Prediction of GBM growth and treatment response with chemoradiation resistance. (A-B) Plots showing the average age of the cells at up to 30 days after the treatment started. (A) shows the results for GSCs and (B) DTCs. (C-D) Prediction plots showing the average distance from the vessel center up to 30 days after treatment commences. (C) shows the results for GSCs and (D) for DTCs. (E) Maximum distance from the vessel that any of the cells travel up to 30 days after treatment commences. (F) Percent of cells that are GSC up to 30 days after treatment initiation.



Supplementary Figure 4 | The emergence of chemoradiation resistance. The figure shows the effects of the emergence of therapy-resistant cells. (A) Plot showing the expected fractional volume change over time for the optimized schedule from **Table 1A** without the inclusion of resistant cells versus models with the resistance rate set to a range of values. (B) Percent of cells that are GSC at 3 and 7 day after treatment starts. Error bars, mean +/- s.d. (C-D) Prediction plots showing the average distance from the vessel center 3 days after treatment starts and 7 days after treatment starts for a model with a range of resistance rate settings. Error bars, mean +/- s.d. (C) shows the results for DTCs and (D) for GSCs.

Supplementary Table S1 | Description and values of the mathematical model parameters. Note that no single source was available to quantify all parameters and their variability; to address this limitation we performed sensitivity analyses of the parameters as outlined in the methods. Note also that the values of α_d and α_s might represent a lower bound.

Biological Process	Symbol	Value	Method	Citation
Per Gy production of lethal DNA	α_d/α_s	0.0987/0.00987	inferred	18
lesions from single radiation track				
in DSC and SLRC		-		10
Per Gy ² production of lethal DNA	β₫/βs	$1.14 \times 10^{-7} / 1.14 \times 10^{-9}$	inferred	18
lesions from two radiation tracks in		10-8		
DSC and SLRC		0.054	1	
Rate at which newly converted	η_d	0.054	inferred	
DSC leads to clonal expansion (hr)	14	24	· c 1	18
Minimum time for newly converted	Md	24	inferred	10
DSC to begin clonal expansion (hr)	I /I	24/26	:£	18
minimum time DSC and SLRC are	L_d/L_s	24/30	interred	10
Pate at which DSC and SLPC exit	2./2	0.1/0328	informed	18
quiescence	nd ns	0.1/.0328	interreu	
Proliferation rate of DSC and SLRC	ra/r.	0.0038/0.008	inferred	18
after exiting quiescence	10/15	0.0050/0.000	merred	
Initial ratio of DSC to SLRC	R	20	inferred	18
Rate at which SLRC convert to	as	0.0019	inferred	18
DSC				
Rate of reversion of DSC to SLRC	v	0.45	inferred	18
Fraction of DSC capable of	γ	0.4	inferred	18
reverting to SLRC	•			
Time to peak reversion after	μ	3.25	inferred	18
irradiation				
Width of window of reversion	σ^2	1.46	inferred	18
Half-life of chemotherapy	<i>T</i> _{1/2}	1.25	PK value	47
Maximum concentration of	Cmax	36	PK value	14
chemotherapeutic in the blood				
Timepoint of maximum	Tmax	0.5	PK value	14
chemotherapeutic concentration				
(hrs)			~	
Number of times a cell can	Zrevert	7	Sensitivity	this work
deditterentiate		104.12	analysis	42
Molecular weight of TMZ	MW EC50	194.12	PK value	42
EC30 for TMZ (mol/m ³)	EC50	0.004268	PK vlaue	72