

Figure S1 (continued from last page): Helicase-dependent UPF1 regulates mRNAs with highly-structured 3'UTRs, Related to Figure 1. (A) Positive correlation with the coefficient of determination (R²) calculated between predicted and DMS-guided (Zubradt et al., 2017) overall structure (n = 1,383; Table S2). **(B)** The cumulative distribution function of -ΔG/nt was calculated for all (n = 47,760), WT UPF1-bound (n = 24,110), UPF1 mutant DEAA-bound ($n = 23,570$), helicase-dependent UPF1-bound ($n = 3,036$), and helicase-dependent UPF1-bound with DMS-guided coverage (n = 333) 3'UTRs (Table S1 and S2). **(C)** Integrative Genomics Viewer (Robinson et al., 2011) tracks to illustrate helicase-dependent UPF1-bound enriched region of UPF1 CLIP-seq reads for the EIF3B 3'UTR. **(D)** Cumulative distribution function of -ΔG/nt for all 3'UTRs and WT UPF1-bound 3'UTRs from 4 separate studies (Colombo et al., 2017; Imamachi et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2015; Zünd et al., 2013) (n = 24,110, 1,460, 7,700, and 20,138 respectively; Table S1). **(E)** Candidate transcripts were chosen and analyzed for the predicted overall structure (Bellaousov et al., 2013; Lorenz et al., 2011; Zuker, 2003), length, DMS-guided structure, and DMS coverage. **(F)** Western blot analysis of UPF1 knockdown (KD) DLD-1 and SH-SY5Y cells from Figure 1C. **(G)** Degradation of individual candidate mRNAs for the first biological replicate of the 24 h ACTD experiment in Figure 1D. **(H)** Western blot analyses of UPF1 KD cells reintroduced with UPF1 GFP-tagged constructs from Figure 1E. **(I)** Average expression of candidate mRNAs during a UPF1-induction time course. UPF1 KD DLD-1 cells were stably integrated with a DOX-inducible GFP construct using the Flp-In T-Rex system. WT, R615A, and DEAA UPF1 expressing cells were treated with DOX (10 μg/ml) to induce expression at week 0, and cells were passaged every 3-4 days. RNA expression was analyzed every week, and each data point represents the average of 7 candidate mRNAs analyzed by RT-qPCR in triplicate normalized to week 0. Western blot analyses were analyzed following treatment with or without DOX (10 μg/ml) for 48 hours. **(J)** RNA expression of UPF1 from DOX-inducible luciferase experiments from Figure 1F. **(K)** Analysis of *Renilla* luciferase with candidate 3'UTRs in DLD-1 cells that overexpress WT or R615A UPF1 as in Figure 1F. **(L-M)** Second replicates of *Renilla* and Firefly Luciferase RNA half-lives for **(L)** WT compared to low levels of WT UPF1 from Figure 1G and **(M)** WT compared to DEAA UPF1 from Figure 1H. Significant differences (p-value <0.05) were determined by Student's t-tests and denoted with * in panels I and K. Statistics of all RT-qPCR data is documented in Table S5.

Figure S2 (continued from last page): G3BP1 regulates mRNAs with highly-structured 3'UTRs, Related to Figure 2. (A) Venn diagram illustrating the overlap of 3'UTRs bound by helicase-dependent UPF1 and G3BP1 (Table S1). **(B)** Analysis of RNA immunoprecipitation of GFP-tagged UPF1 and G3BP1. Western blot confirmation of RNA immunoprecipitation and RT-qPCR analysis of a biological replicate of RNA immunoprecipitation as performed in Figure 2B. **(C)** Western blot analysis of G3BP1 KD cell lines from Figure 2C. **(D)** Western blot analysis of G3BP1 and G3BP2 KO cell lines from Figure 2D. **(E)** Degradation of individual candidate mRNAs for the first biological replicate of actinomycin D experiments from Figure 2E. **(F)** RNA expression of G3BP1 mRNA from DOXinducible luciferase experiments in Figure 2F. **(G)** Analyses of *Renilla* luciferase RNA expression in G3BP1 WT and KO DLD-1 cells as in Figure 2F. **(H)** Analyses of *Renilla* luciferase expression with candidate 3'UTRs in DLD-1 cells that overexpress G3BP1 WT or ΔRBP mutant. **(I)** The second replicate for the change in luciferase RNA half-lives from actinomycin D-treated G3BP1 WT and KO cells as in Figure 2G. All *Renilla* and firefly luciferase expression data in Table S5. **(J)** Western blot analyses of G3BP1 KD cells reintroduced with G3BP1 GFP-tagged constructs from Figure 2H. **(K)** Average expression of candidate mRNAs during a G3BP1-induction time course. G3BP1 KD DLD-1 cells were stably integrated with DOX-inducible WT, ΔRBP, and S149A G3BP1 and analyzed for RNA and protein expression as in Figure S1I. **(L)** DLD-1 cells stably expressing shRNAs targeting G3BP1-associated genes were analyzed for changes in gene expression as in Figure 1C. Significant differences (p-value <0.05) were determined by Student's t-tests and denoted with * in panels B, G, H and K. Statistics of all RT-qPCR data is documented in Table S5.

Figure S3: UPF1 and G3BP1 regulate transcripts with highly-structured 3'UTRs through a mechanism independent of other UPF1-associated pathways, Related to Figure 3. (A) Analysis of G3BP1 KO DLD-1 cells using a second gRNA with the additional stable control (Ctrl) KD and UPF1 KD as in Figure 3A. **(B)** The second RT-qPCR replicate of the pulldown of WT and DEAA UPF1 from Figure 3B. **(C)** Analysis of UPF1 and G3BP1 protein expression in transfected G3BP1 KO + UPF1 KD cells from Figure 3C. **(D-E)** Venn diagram illustrating the overlap of 3'UTR CLIP-seq peaks for helicase-dependent UPF1 (Lee et al. 2015) and G3BP1 (Dunham et al. 2012) with **(D)** STAU1 (Sugimoto et al. 2015) or **(E)** STAU2 (Dunham et al. 2012). Significant differences (p-value <0.05) were determined by Student's t-tests and denoted with * in panel B. Statistics of all RT-qPCR data is documented in Table S5

Figure S4 (continued from last page): G3BP1 preferentially regulates the expression and decay of genes with HSUs globally, Related to Figure 4. (A) Correlation of all ERCC spike-in known concentrations and RNA-seq gene counts with the coefficient of determination (R²) calculated. **(B)** Volcano plots depicting the fold change and pvalues for the differentially expressed genes in G3BP1 WT vs KO cells for all genes, genes with HSUs, and genes with PSUs. **(C)** Volcano plots depicting the change in steady-state levels and decay for the differentially expressed genes in G3BP1 WT vs KO cells for all genes, genes with HSUs, and genes with PSUs. **(D)** Analysis of the enrichment of genes that were differentially expressed and/or decreased decay (1.5-fold) based on different 3'UTR features as in Figure 4B. Corresponding statistics are detailed in Table S3. **(E)** Cumulative distribution function of the average -ΔG/nt for all genes detected, and for all genes, G3BP1-bound, helicase-dependent UPF1-bound, and both-bound genes up-regulated (>1.5 fold) with reduced decay (>1.5 fold) in G3BP1 KO cells. **(F)** Cumulative distribution function of the change in decay in G3BP1 KO cells for genes enriched or depleted in stress granules induced by arsenite stress, ER stress, or heat-shock stress (Namkoong et al., 2018) as in Figure 4E. HSUs with 2 fold decrease in decay were significantly enriched in the stress granule depleted genes (p-value <0.0001 for all three stress conditions).

Figure S5 (continued from last page): SRD regulation is dependent on the overall 3'UTR structure, Related to Figure 5. (A) Analysis of G3BP1-mediated regulation of the reverse complements of 3'UTRs in G3BP1 DOXinducible cells as in Figure 5B. Significant differences (p-value <0.05) were determined by Student's t-tests and denoted with *. **(B)** The second replicate for the change in reverse complement luciferase RNA half-lives from actinomycin D-treated G3BP1 WT and KO cells as in Figure 5C. **(C)** DMS-MaPseq data was used to guide the folding of the EIF3B 3'UTR. Red lines indicate the location in which EIF3B was divided into thirds for fragment analyses in Figure 3D. **(D-E)** DMS analyses on *in vitro* transcribed 3'UTRs in denatured and folded states for **(D)** EIF3B (HSU), SDHAF3 (PSU), **(E)** artificial unstructured, 88-nt EIF3B fragment, and 88-nt fragment with the unstructured sequence inserted upstream 3'UTRs. Statistics of all RT-qPCR data is documented in Table S5.

Figure S6 (continued from last page): SRD regulates highly-base paired structures, Related to Figure 6. (A) Correlation of the z-scores derived by comparing the -ΔG/nt of the actual 3'UTR to the -ΔG/nt for 100 permutations and 5,000 permutations of the 14 candidate 3'UTRs. (B) Boxplots depict the fraction of the entire 3'UTR basepaired with different probability thresholds determined by the partition function in ViennaRNA (Lorenz et al., 2011) for HSUs and PSUs of candidate 3'UTRs, luciferase EIF3B 3'UTRs from Figure 5D-E, and all 3'UTRs. The line plot represents the mean percent of the 3'UTRs being base-paired for each group. (C) Z-score analysis of the difference in base-pairing probability with different thresholds between all HSUs and PSUs. Larger Z-scores represent a more significant difference in the fraction of the 3'UTR base-paired between HSUs and PSUs. (D) The difference in basepairing between HSUs and PSUs with different base-pairing thresholds. (E) Cumulative distribution function of the fraction of base-paired nucleotides (≥90% probability) for all 3'UTRs, WT UPF1-bound, helicase-dependent UPF1 bound, G3BP1-bound, and G3BP1 + UPF1-bound regions. (F) Cumulative distribution function of the predicted base-pairing probability for individual 3'UTR nucleotides with increasing DMS reactivity cutoffs. (G) Cumulative distribution function of the DMS reactivity for all 3'UTRs, WT UPF1-bound, helicase-dependent UPF1-bound, G3BP1-bound, and G3BP1 + UPF1-bound regions. (H) Cumulative distribution function of SHAPE reactivity from Lu et al. (2016; Top panel) and Sun et al. (2019; Bottom panel) for G3BP1 + UPF1-bound regions (peak and its surrounding 0, 100, and 200 nts with the peak as the mid-point). (I) Cumulative distribution function of the predicted base-pairing probability for individual 3'UTR nucleotides with different in vitro and in vivo SHAPE reactivity cutoffs from Lu et al. (2016; Top panels) and Sun et al. (2019; Bottom panels). (J) Analysis of the overall structure, fraction base-paired (>90%), and GC content for the resulting mutations of the 88-nt EIF3B fragment from Figure 6H.

Figure S7: UPF1 and G3BP1 differentially regulate circRNAs, Related to Figure 7. (A) Validation of circRNA RTqPCR products by Sanger sequencing for 7 highly-structured and 7 poorly-structured candidate circRNAs. 10 nucleotides surrounding the circRNA back-splice junction was shown to confirm the identity of the RT-qPCR products. **(B)** Candidate circRNAs were analyzed for predicated overall structure and length. Three folding programs were used to determine the overall structure (Bellaousov et al., 2013; Lorenz et al., 2011; Zuker, 2003). **(C)** The RNA immunoprecipitations performed in Figure 2B and S2B were analyzed for candidate circRNA association. **(D)** Average expression of candidate circRNAs during a UPF1 and G3BP1 DOX-induction time course as in Figure S1I and S2K. Significant differences (p-value <0.05) were determined by Student's t-tests and denoted with * in panels C and D. Statistics of all RT-qPCR data is documented in Table S5.