The American Journal of Human Genetics, Volume 108

Supplemental information

Progressive myoclonus epilepsies—Residual unsolved

cases have marked genetic heterogeneity including

dolichol-dependent protein glycosylation pathway genes

Carolina Courage, Karen L. Oliver, Eon Joo Park, Jillian M. Cameron, Kariona A. Grabińska, Mikko Muona, Laura Canafoglia, Antonio Gambardella, Edith Said, Zaid Afawi, Betul Baykan, Christian Brandt, Carlo di Bonaventura, Hui Bein Chew, Chiara Criscuolo, Leanne M. Dibbens, Barbara Castellotti, Patrizia Riguzzi, Angelo Labate, Alessandro Filla, Anna T. Giallonardo, Geza Berecki, Christopher B. Jackson, Tarja Joensuu, John A. Damiano, Sara Kivity, Amos Korczyn, Aarno Palotie, Pasquale Striano, Davide Uccellini, Loretta Giuliano, Eva Andermann, Ingrid E. Scheffer, Roberto Michelucci, Melanie Bahlo, Silvana Franceschetti, William C. Sessa, Samuel F. Berkovic, and Anna-Elina Lehesjoki

Supplemental Figures



Figure S1. Flowchart describing sequencing cohorts and case collection.

Figure S2. Age of PME onset distribution for all 78 unrelated probands.



Figure S3. Pathogenic variants in *NUS1*, *DHDDS* and *ALG10* and dolichol-dependent glycosylation pathway.



Abbreviations: DHDDS - Dehydrodolichyl Diphosphate Synthase Subunit; FPP - farnesyl pyrophospatase domain; IPP - isopentenyl pyrophosphatase domain; NPC2 - Intracellular cholesterol transporter 2; NUS1 - Nuclear Undecaprenyl Pyrophosphate Synthase 1 (Nogo-B Receptor), TM - transmembrane domain

(A) Locations of variants in *NUS1*. (B) Locations of variants in *DHDDS*. (C) Locations of variant in *ALG10*. (D) Glycosylation pathway showing involvement of NUS1, DHDDS and ALG10 (in red) (adapted from Stanley P, Taniguchi N, Aebi M. N-Glycans. In: Varki A, Cummings RD, Esko JD, Stanley P, Hart GW, *et al.*, editors. Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY); 2015. p. 99-111.)¹

Figure S4: Aberrant splicing caused by the deep intronic CLN6 variant.



(A) Agarose gel electrophoresis showing the migration of RT-PCR products amplified from total RNA extracted from patient fibroblast cells using primers from exons 4 and 6 of *CLN6*. From patient (P) cells two fragments are amplified. Controls (C) show one strong amplicon. The sizes of the two fragments identified in the patient samples, based on sequence analysis, are shown on the right. The lower fragment corresponds to the expected product. The fragments seen in controls also correspond to the expected product, based on sequence analysis, even if the fragments run differently from those in the patient samples. (B) Partial sequence chromatogram of a control individual sample shows expected sequence in the exon 4-exon 5 boundary in the 307-bp amplicon. Partial sequence chromatogram from the 426-bp amplicon in the patient sample. The exon 4 sequence is followed by 119 bp of intronic sequence (shown only in part) before beginning of the exon 5 sequence. The position of the homozygous c.486+28T>C variant is pointed by an arrow. (C) Schematic representation of intron 4 of *CLN6* showing the position of the c.486+28T>C patient, the intronic ESE created by the variant and the non-canonical splice site (AG/GT) activated. The intronic sequence included in the 426-bp amplicon is shown in pink color and the intronic sequence excluded from the mRNA is shown in green.



Figure S5. Deletion confirmation of NEU1 was performed by quantitative PCR.

Primers for *NEU1* in exon 2 and exon 5 as well as adjacent non-deleted control gene C6orf48 were normalized to the single-copy gene β -microglobulin (B2M) using the $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method in DNA from patient PME10, his affected brother and carrier father compared to controls. qPCR was performed using the IQ SybrGreen kit (Bio-Rad) on a CFX96 Touch qPCR system (Bio-Rad). Primer efficiencies and their linear range were determined by serially diluted genomic DNA and the presence of any unspecific amplification was excluded by melting curve analysis and agarose gel electrophoresis. All reactions were performed in triplicates.

Figure S6. Chr1q23.2 haplotype encompassing PEX19 c.254C>T (p.A85V) variant.



Shared homozygous-by-descent haplotype (pink) found in the three patients of Maltese origin with *PEX19* variants. The haplotype length shared between the two unrelated families is much smaller (~1cM) consistent with a distant common ancestor.

Figure S7: Molecular modelling supports CACNA1A p.Asp1633Asn variant loss-of-function effect.



Symbols and abbreviations: Yellow dashed lines with number: distance between residues in Å; Red sticks: oxygen atoms; Blue sticks: nitrogen atoms; VSD: voltage sensor domain; CTD: C-terminal domain; AID: α1-interacting domain; S2, S3, S4: segments 2, 3, and 4. Residues involved in the interactions shown in panel (A) are marked by arrows in panel (B).

CACNA1A p.Asp1633 represents a conserved residue. The human sequence either side of Asp1633 is homologous with the rabbit Cav1.1 channel, enabling Pymol modelling of the structural impact of the p.Asp1633Asn variant identified in patient PME16. **(A)** Homology modelling of the human Cav2.1 Asp1633Asn mutation using the cryo-EM structure of the rabbit Cav1.1 channel Wu et al (2015) Science 350: aad2395-aad2395, and (2016) Nature 537: 191-196 - PDB accession number 3JBR **(B)** Amino acid sequence alignment of the of the human Cav2.1 channel (GenBank NM_001127222.1; Protein ID = NP_001120694.1) and the rabbit Cav1.1 channel (protein ID = NP_001095190.1), using CLC sequence Viewer 7.7 (Qiagen, Aarhus, Denmark). In the wild type channel, Asp 1633 is located in segment 2 of the voltage sensor domain IV (VSD_{IV}). In the human Cav2.1 channel, Asp 1633 corresponds to Asp 1186 of the rabbit Cav1.1 channel. Asp 1186 has a negatively charged sidechain, which interacts with the positively charged sidechain of Lysine 1245; Lys 1245 may also interact with the Glutamic acid (Glu) 1164; repulsion may occur between Asp 1186 and Glu1164.

In the mutant channel, the acidic Asp residue (with negatively charged sidechain) is replaced by the polar/neutral Asn 1186 residue. Asn 1186 may interact with both Lys 1245 and Glu 1164; whereas the polar interaction between Lys 1245 and Glu 1164 (that exists also in the wild-type channel) should remain unaffected. It's likely that the Asp1186Asn mutation (equivalent with Asp1633Asn) stabilises the interaction between the S4 and the S3 segments in VSD_{IV}. Because of the increased interaction between S3-S4, the mutation may compromise activation gating. As a result, the typical vertical (outward) movements of the S4 segment during activation may be impeded, leading to loss-of-function.

Consistent with the above structural modelling, a Web-based machine learning model, capable of predicting loss-of-function (LoF) or gain-of-function effects in voltage gated calcium channels (Heyne HO *et al. Sci Transl Med*, 2020)², predicted loss-of-function with a probability of 0.77, and pathogenicity with a probability of 0.87, for the p.Asp1633Asn variant.

Supplemental Tables

PME subtype	Inheritance pattern	Gene(s)	Protein function / molecular pathway	Published >20 independent cases	
ULD (EPM1)	AR	CSTB	Inhibitor of lysosomal cysteine proteases	Yes	
Lafora disease (EPM2A/B)	AR	EPM2A, NHLRC1	Glycogen metabolism	Yes	
NCLs	AR	TPP1, CLN3, CLN5, CLN6, MFSD8, CLN8	Lysosomal enzymes or membrane proteins	Yes	
	AD	DNAJC5			
AMRF (EPM4)	AR	SCARB2	Lysosomal membrane protein	Yes	
North Sea PME (EPM6)	AR	GOSR2	Golgi vesicle transport	Yes	
MERRF	Mitochondrial	MT-TK^	Mitochondrial transfer- RNA	Yes	
PME (EPM3)	AR	KCTD7	Interaction with potassium ion channels	Yes	
Sialidosis type 1	AR	NEU1	Lysosomal enzyme which breaks down oligosaccharides	Yes	
DRPLA	AD	ATN1	Accumulation of ATN1 in neurons due to repeat expansion	Yes	
MEAK (EPM7)	AD	KCNC1	Neuronal voltage-gated potassium ion channel	Yes	
Juvenile Huntingtons	AD	НТТ	Transcription regulation	Yes	
Gaucher disease type 3	AR	GBA	Lysosomal enzyme which breaks down glycolipid glucosylceramide	Yes	

^pathogenic variants in this gene accounting for ~90% of MERRF patients

Table S2. Research variant prioritization score.

	 a) Null variant (nonsense, frameshift, canonical +/- 1 or 2 splice sites, initiation codon, deletion) b) Damaging missense (all <i>in silico</i> tools predict damaging effect) 		
Variant level	 c) Conflicting missense (at least 1, but not all <i>in silico</i> tools predict damaging effect) d) Splicing variant (all <i>in silico</i> tools predict a splicing effect, but variant not at canonical +/- 1 or 2 sites) e) Inframe deletion 		
	 f) Benign missense (all <i>in silico</i> tools predict benign effect) g) Conflicting or benign splicing variant (at least 1 <i>in silico</i> tool predicts no splicing effect) 	0	
Pedigree level	 a) Heterozygous <i>de novo</i> variant in established dominant disease gene (i.e. parental DNA available) b) Comp het variant in established recessive disease gene (i.e. two variants <i>in trans</i>) c) Homozygous variant in established recessive disease gene with pedigree segregation and/or linkage data to support inheritance model 		
	 d) Homozygous variant in established recessive disease gene (+/- support with F>0 / variant located in runs of homozygosity RoH) e) Heterozygous variant in established dominant disease gene inherited from affected parent f) Heterozygous <i>de novo</i> variant in gene with no established disease association g) Comp het or homozygous variant in gene with no established disease association 	1	
	h) Heterozygous variant with undetermined parental inheritance (0.5 if segregation known in single parent)	0	
Gene level	 a) Established PME gene b) Established neurological gene (e.g., epilepsy, ataxia) with clear patient phenotypic match on clinical review c) Established neurological gene with overlapping PME features with variants in multiple unrelated patients 		
	 d) Established neurological gene (e.g., epilepsy, ataxia) with some patient phenotypic overlap on clinical review e) Gene has established biological overlap with known PME genes with variants in multiple unrelated patients (0.5 if single patient) f) Uncertain clinical/biological match with multiple unrelated patients 		
	g) Uncertain clinical/biological match in single patient		

locus	long name	омім	inheritance	gene	location	gene region	motif
DM1	Myotonic dystrophy 1	160900	AD	DMPK	19q13	3'UTR	CTG
DM2	Myotonic dystrophy 2	602668	AD	ZNF9/CNBP	3q21.3	intron	CCTG
	Dentatorubral-						
DRPLA	pallidoluysian atrophy	125370	AD	DRPLA/ATN1	12p13.31	coding	CAG
EPM1A	Unverricht and Lundborg	254800	AR	CSTB	21q22.3	promotor	CCGCG
FRAXA	Fragile-X site A	309550	х	FMR1	Xq27.3	5'UTR	CGG
FRAXE	Fragile-X site E	309548	х	FMR2	Xq28	5'UTR	CCG
FRDA	Friedreich ataxia	229300	AR	FXN	9q13	intron	GAA
	Amyotrophic lateral						
FTDALS1	sclerosis-frontotemporal dementia	105550	AD	C9orf72	9p21	intron	GGGGCC
HD	Huntington disease	143100	AD	нтт	4p16.3	coding	CAG
HDL2	Huntington disease-like 2	606438	AD	ЈРНЗ	16q24.3	exon	СТБ
SBMA	Kennedy disease	313200	х	AR	Xq12	coding	CAG
SCA1	Spinocerebellar ataxia 1	164400	AD	ATXN1	6p23	coding	CAG
SCA2	Spinocerebellar ataxia 2	183090	AD	ATXN2	12q24	coding	CAG
SCA3	Machado-Joseph disease	109150	AD	ATXN3	14q32.1	coding	CAG
SCA6	Spinocerebellar ataxia 6	183086	AD	CACNA1A	19p13	coding	CAG
SCA7	Spinocerebellar ataxia 7	164500	AD	ATXN7	3p14.1	coding	CAG
SCA8	Spinocerebellar ataxia 8	608768	AD	ATXN8OS/ATX N8	13q21	utRNA	CTG
SCA10	Spinocerebellar ataxia 10	603516	AD	ATXN10	22q13.31	intron	ATTCT
SCA12	Spinocerebellar ataxia 12	604326	AD	PPP2R2B	5q32	promotor	CAG
SCA17	Spinocerebellar ataxia 17	607136	AD	ТВР	6q27	coding	CAG
SCA36	Spinocerebellar ataxia 36	614153	AD	NOP56	20p13	intron	GGCCTG
	Fuchs endothelial corneal						
FECD3	dystrophy 3	613267	AD	TCF4	18q21.2	intron	CTG
FAMF1	epilepsy 1	601068	AD	SAMD12	8a24	intron	TTTCA
	Familial adult myoclonic	001000	1.5	0,000222			
FAME2	epilepsy 2	607876	AD	STARD7	2q11.2	intron	TTTCA
	Familial adult myoclonic				5p15.31-		
FAME3	epilepsy 3	613608	AD	MARCHF6	p15.1	intron	TTTCA
	Familial adult myoclonic						
FAME6	epilepsy 6	618074	AD	TNRC6A	16p12.1	intron	TTTCA
	Familial adult myoclonic	640075					
FAME7	epilepsy /	618075	AD	RAPGEF2	4q32.1	intron	TTICA

Table S3. Catalogue of short tandem repeats searched for across PME cohort.

Abbreviations: AD, autosomal dominant; AR, autosomal recessive; X, X-linked

Supplemental Methods

```
## Brain co-Expression gene analysis ##
## .R code
# load required R packages
library(corrplot)
library(ggrepel)
library(RColorBrewer)
library (magrittr)
library(tidyverse)
library(dynamicTreeCut)
library (DescTools)
library(data.table)
library(WGCNA)
library(dendextend)
library(gProfileR)
library(RUVcorr)
library(qgraph)
options(stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
# read in matrices
brainSpan dir <- " "
samps <- fread(paste0(brainSpan_dir, "/genes_matrix_csv/columns_metadata.csv"))
genes <- fread(paste0(brainSpan_dir, "/genes_matrix_csv/rows_metadata.csv"))</pre>
matrix <- fread(paste0(brainSpan_dir,</pre>
                        "/genes_matrix_csv/expression_matrix.csv")) %>%
  as.matrix(., rownames=1) %>%
  ÷
colnames(matrix) <- genes[,gene_symbol]</pre>
rownames(matrix) <- samps[,column_num]</pre>
# Cleaning data
## Identify genes and samples with an excess of missing data
## identify time points of interest
samp.interest <- samps[,age] %like any% c("%pcw", "%mos", "%yrs")</pre>
## remove genes with excess of missing data and select samples of interest
include.matrix <- matrix[samp.interest, ]</pre>
gsg<- WGCNA::goodSamplesGenes(include.matrix,</pre>
                               minNSamples = nrow(samps)/2)
                               #tol = 1)
## summarise
gsg$goodSamples %>% table
gsg$goodGenes %>% table
## remove genes with excess of missing data and select samples of interest
clean.matrix <- include.matrix[, gsg$goodGenes]
## Remove genes with expresion == 0 for >50% samples
n.expressed.gt0 <- apply(clean.matrix, 2, function(x) length(x[x>0]))
keep.expressed.gt0.50pc <- n.expressed.gt0 >= nrow(clean.matrix)*0.5
keep.unique <- !duplicated(colnames(clean.matrix))</pre>
## collate list of genes to keep.
table( keep.expressed.gt0.50pc)
keep.genes <- keep.expressed.gt0.50pc & keep.unique
## filter for genes to keep and log2 transform
temp.matrix <- clean.matrix[, keep.genes]</pre>
c <- matrix(1, nrow = nrow(temp.matrix), ncol=ncol(temp.matrix))</pre>
temp.matrix.c <- temp.matrix + c
clean.log.matrix <- log(temp.matrix.c, 2)</pre>
clean.log.matrix %>% hist
samps.matrix <- samps[samp.interest]</pre>
## calculate weights
samps.matrix <- samps.matrix[, weights:=sapply(samps.matrix[,donor id],</pre>
                                                  function(x)
1/sqrt(sum(samps.matrix[,donor id]==x)))]
# Define known and candidate PME gene
"SCARB2", "GOSR2", "ASAH1", "KCTD7", "CERS1", "ATN1", "CLN3",
               "CLN5",
"HTT", "TPP1", "MFSD8", "CLN8")
all.genes <- c(PME.genes, cand.genes)
# Generate Correlation matrix
weights <- samps.matrix %>%
  .[match(rownames(clean.log.matrix), column_num)] %>%
  .[, weights]
cor.matrix <- cov.wt(clean.log.matrix,</pre>
              wt = weights,
              cor = TRUE)$cor
```

```
# Function to plot heatmap matrix
plotCandidatesCorr <- function(cor.matrix = cor.matrix,</pre>
                                candidates = all.genes,
                                colours = colours,
                                order = "hclust",
title = "") {
  candidates.corr <- cor.matrix[rownames(cor.matrix) %in% candidates,</pre>
                                 colnames(cor.matrix) %in% candidates]
    if(order=="hclust") {
    genes.corr <- data.table(gene = colnames(candidates.corr))</pre>
    label.cols <- data.table(gene = candidates,</pre>
                             col = colours) %>%
      .[genes.corr, on = "gene", col] %>%
      .[corrMatOrder(candidates.corr, order= order, hclust.method= "median")]
    corrplot(candidates.corr,
             order = "hclust",
hclust.method= "median",
             method = "color",
             addrect = 6,
             tl.col = label.cols,
             tl.cex = 0.8,
             tl.srt = 60)
  } else {
    if(order=="original") {
      genes.corr <- candidates1[candidates1 %in% colnames(candidates.corr)]</pre>
      candidates.corr <- candidates.corr[genes.corr , genes.corr]</pre>
      label.cols <- data.table(gene = candidates,</pre>
                                col = colours) %>%
        .[gene %in% genes.corr, col]
 } else {
    genes.corr <- data.table(gene = colnames(candidates.corr))</pre>
    label.cols <- data.table(gene = candidates,
                              col = colours) %>%
      .[genes.corr, on = "gene", col] %>%
      .[corrMatOrder(candidates.corr, order= order)]
    corrplot(candidates.corr,
             order = order,
             method = "color",
             tl.col = label.cols,
             tl.cex = 0.8,
             tl.srt = 60,
             title = title)
# Generate heatmap figure
plotCandidatesCorr(cor.matrix = cor.matrix,
                   candidates = unique(c(PME.genes, cand.genes)),
                   # Test for evidence of excessive co-expression
## P-value
calcCoExpPval <- function(candidates=all.genes, cor.matrix=cor.matrix) {</pre>
    candsVal <- cor.matrix[rownames(cor.matrix) %in% candidates,</pre>
                         colnames(cor.matrix) %in% candidates] %>%
    replace(., lower.tri(., TRUE), NA) %>%
    melt %>%
    as.data.table %>%
    setnames(., c("gene1", "gene2", "corr")) %>%
    .[gene1 != gene2] %>%
    .[,corr] %>%
    na.omit %>%
    abs %>%
    median
randomVals <- list()</pre>
  for (i in 1:5000) {
    random <- sample(colnames(cor.matrix),</pre>
                      length(candidates[candidates   in 
                                            colnames(cor.matrix)]))
    randomVals[[i]] <- cor.matrix[rownames(cor.matrix) %in% random,</pre>
                                   colnames(cor.matrix) %in% random] %>%
      replace(., lower.tri(., TRUE), NA) %>%
      melt %>%
      as.data.table %>%
      setnames(., c("gene1", "gene2", "corr")) %>%
      .[gene1 != gene2] %>%
      .[,corr] %>%
      na.omit %>%
      abs %>%
      median
```

```
sortedVals <- randomVals %>%
unlist %>%
sort
pVal <- 1-ecdf(sortedVals)(candsVal)
return(pVal)
}
calcCoExpPval(candidates=all.genes, cor.matrix=cor.matrix)</pre>
```

Supplemental References

- 1. Stanley P, Taniguchi N, Aebi M. N-Glycans. In: Varki A, Cummings RD, Esko JD, Stanley P, Hart GW, *et al.*, editors. Essentials of Glycobiology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY); 2015. p. 99-111.
- 2. Heyne HO, Baez-Nieto D, Iqbal S, Palmer DS, Brunklaus A, *et al*. Predicting functional effects of missense variants in voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(556).