

Supplementary information

Assessing the potential for up-cycling recovered resources from anaerobic digestion through microbial protein production

Kristof Verbeeck^{1,2}, Jo De Vrieze¹, Ilje Pikaar³, Willy Verstraete⁴, Korneel Rabaey^{1,5}✉

¹Center for Microbial Ecology & Technology (CMET), Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Gent, Belgium

² Current affiliation: ArcelorMittal Belgium, John F. Kennedylaan 51, 9042 Gent, Belgium

³ Advanced Water Management Centre (AWMC), The University of Queensland, QLD 4072, Australia

⁴ Avecom NV, Industrierweg 122P, B-9032, Wondelgem, Belgium

⁵ CAPTURE, www.capture-resources.be

✉ Corresponding author: Korneel Rabaey; Ghent University; Faculty of Bioscience Engineering; Center for Microbial Ecology and Technology (CMET); Coupure Links 653; B-9000 Gent, Belgium; phone: +32 (0)9 264 59 76; fax: +32 (0)9 264 62 48; E-mail: Korneel.Rabaey@UGent.be.

List of Tables

Table S1. Main technical design data and general assumptions.

Feedstock mix	Biogas yield ^a (Nm ⁻³ biogas kg ⁻¹ FM)	N content ^b (g TKN-N kg ⁻¹ FM)	w.% of feedstock (on FM basis)
<i>Maize silage</i>	210	2.07	10 %
<i>Crops residues</i>	100	0.83	10 %
<i>Food waste</i>	190	4.06	10 %
<i>Pig manure</i>	28	6.30	70 %
<u><i>Weighted average of the mix</i></u>	<u>69.4</u>	<u>5.11</u>	
Biogas production rate (Nm ³ h ⁻¹ / ton day ⁻¹)	500 / 14.75		
Biomass input (ton FM day ⁻¹)	172		
Total solids input (ton TS day ⁻¹) ^c	25.4		
Nitrogen mass balance		<u>Recovery efficiency</u>	
<i>TKN-N loading rate to AD (kg N day⁻¹)</i>	880		
<i>NH₄⁺-N release rate in AD (kg N day⁻¹)</i>	660	75 % ^d	
<i>NH₄⁺-N recovery in liquid digestate (kg N day⁻¹)</i>	528	80 % ^a	
<i>NH₄⁺-N recovery through stripping (kg N day⁻¹)</i>	475	90 % ^e	
Energy density methane (kWh Nm ⁻³), LHV	10.85		
CH ₄ content raw biogas (vol.%)	60 %		
Energy density biogas (kWh Nm ⁻³)	6.50		
Mass density biogas (kg Nm ⁻³)	1.22		
Energy density biogas (MWh ton ⁻¹ _{biogas})	5.33		

*FM = fresh material

^a (Weiland, 2010)

^b (Drosg et al., 2015; Pintucci et al., 2017)

^c TS content of substrates: manure(8.7 %), corn & crop residues (33 %) and food waste (20.8%) (Pintucci et al., 2017):

^d (De Vrieze et al., 2019)

^e (Menkveld & Broeders, 2018)

Table S2. Estimation of the production cost of input materials for MP production at digester scale.

1. Biogas production cost	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
Capital investment (€) ^a	1 500 00	2 000 000	2 500 00
CAPEX (€ ton ⁻¹ biogas) ^b	18	25	31
OPEX (€ year ⁻¹) ^c	75 000	150 000	250 000
OPEX (€ ton ⁻¹ biogas)	14	28	46
Feedstock cost (€ ton ⁻¹ FM) ^d	2	5.28	10
Feedstock cost (€ ton ⁻¹ biogas)	23	62	117
Production cost (€ ton ⁻¹ biogas)	56	115	194
Production cost (€ ton ⁻¹ methane) ^e	156	326	550

^a Assumed investment: 3000 (Min), 4000 (Avg) and 5000 (Lechtenböhmer et al.) € Nm⁻³ h⁻¹ installed biogas capacity. Investment without investment subsidy or support.

^b Depreciation period of 20 years, 3 % interest rate.

^c Assuming 5 % (Min), 7.5% (Avg) and 10 % (Lechtenböhmer et al.) of the total investment per year.

^d Maize silage was assumed to have a feedstock cost of 32.8 € ton⁻¹ FM and food waste a cost of 20 € ton⁻¹ FM (including transportation). Agricultural residues and manure were assumed to come in for free.

^e Assumed methane content is 60 vol.%.

2. Ammonia recovery cost	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
CAPEX (€ ton ⁻¹ N recovered)	230	345	460
OPEX (€ ton ⁻¹ N recovered) ^a	770	1 155	1 540
Total N recovery cost (€ ton⁻¹ N recovered)	1 000	1 500	2 000

^a Based on an influent stream containing a NH₃-N concentration of > 4 g L⁻¹.

3. Hydrogen production cost	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
Total production cost (€ ton ⁻¹ H ₂)	1 800 ^b	2 600^a	4 400 ^c

^a Future predicted levelized cost for hydrogen production using PEM electrolysis at an electricity price of 44 € per MWh. (Ayers et al., 2010)

^b (Gökçek, 2010)

^c Current cost for hydrogen production using PEM electrolysis at an electricity price of 44 € per MWh. (Ayers et al., 2010)

4. Oxygen production cost^a	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
	26	30	34

^a Only for MOB case, as for the HOB case oxygen is produced via electrolysis, and costs are allocated to hydrogen.

5. Microbial protein production	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
Capital investment (€ m ⁻³ reactor)	3 000	5 000	8 000
OPEX (€ ton ⁻¹ MP)	100	200	300

6. Dewatering and drying	<i>Minimum</i>	<i>Average</i>	<i>Maximum</i>
Centrifugation (€ ton MP ⁻¹)	-	70	-
Spray drying (€ ton MP ⁻¹)	-	90	-

^b (Pikaar et al., 2018b)

Table S3. Comparison between methane-oxidizing bacteria and hydrogen-oxidizing bacteria used in MP production.

	<i>Methane-oxidizing bacteria</i>	<i>Hydrogen-oxidizing bacteria</i>
Cell yield (g CDW g ⁻¹ COD)	0.19 ^a	0.28 ^b
Protein content (% Protein on CDW)	60 % ^c	75 % ^d
Volumetric production rate (kg CDW m ⁻³ h ⁻¹)	4 ^e	2.28 ^f
N content (% N on CDW)	12 %	12%

^a (Higgins et al., 1981)^b (Ishizaki & Tanaka, 1990)^c (Yazdian et al., 2005)^d (Volova & Barashkov, 2010)^e (Ritala et al., 2017)^f (Tanaka et al., 1995)**Table S4. Input feed to produce 1 ton MP via HOB vs. MOB.**

Case	Feed (ton)					Product (ton)
	CH ₄	CO ₂	NH ₃	H ₂	O ₂	Microbial protein
MOB	2.20	-	0.20	-	2.50	1
HOB	-	3.31	0.16	0.79	2.05	1

References

- Ayers, K.E., Anderson, E.B., Capuano, C., Carter, B., Dalton, L., Hanlon, G., Manco, J., Niedzwiecki, M. (2010) Research advances towards low cost, high efficiency PEM electrolysis. *ECS Transactions*, **33**(1), 3-15.
- De Vrieze, J., Colica, G., Pintucci, C., Sarli, J., Pedizzi, C., Willeghems, G., Bral, A., Varga, S., Prat, D., Peng, L., Spiller, M., Buysse, J., Colsen, J., Benito, O., Carballa, M., Vlaeminck, S.E. (2019) Resource recovery from pig manure via an integrated approach: A technical and economic assessment for full-scale applications. *Bioresource Technology*, **272**, 582-593.
- Drosg, B., Fuchs, W., Al Seadi, T., Madsen, M., Linke, B. (2015) Nutrient recovery by biogas digestate processing. *IEA Bioenergy*, **2015**, 711.
- Gökçek, M. (2010) Hydrogen generation from small-scale wind-powered electrolysis system in different power matching modes. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, **35**(19), 10050-10059.
- Higgins, I.J., Best, D.J., Hammond, R.C., Scott, D. (1981) Methane-oxidating microorganisms. *Microbiological Reviews*, **45**(4), 556-590.
- Ishizaki, A., Tanaka, K. (1990) Batch culture of *Alcaligenes eutrophus* ATCC 17697T using recycled gas closed circuit culture system. *Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering*, **69**(3), 170-174.
- Lechtenböhmer, S., Nilsson, L.J., Åhman, M., Schneider, C. (2016) Decarbonising the energy intensive basic materials industry through electrification – Implications for future EU electricity demand. *Energy*, **115**, 1623-1631.

- Menkveld, H.W.H., Broeders, E. (2018) Recovery of ammonia from digestate as fertilizer. *Water Practice and Technology*, **13**(2), 382-387.
- Pintucci, C., Carballa, M., Varga, S., Sarli, J., Peng, L., Bousek, J., Pedizzi, C., Rusalleda, M., Tarragó, E., Prat, D. 2017. The ManureEcoMine pilot installation: advanced integration of technologies for the management of organics and nutrients in livestock waste. *Water Science and Technology*, **75**(6), 1281-1293.
- Pikaar, I., Matassa, S., Bodirsky, B.L., Weindl, I., Humpenöder, F., Rabaey, K., Boon, N., Bruschi, M., Yuan, Z., van Zanten, H., Herrero, M., Verstraete, W., Popp, A. (2018). Decoupling Livestock from Land Use through Industrial Feed Production Pathways. *Environmental Science & Technology*, **52**(13), 7351-7359.
- Ritala, A., Häkkinen, S.T., Toivari, M., Wiebe, M.G. (2017) Single cell protein—state-of-the-art, industrial landscape and patents 2001–2016. *Frontiers in microbiology*, **8**, 2009.
- Tanaka, K., Ishizaki, A., Kanamaru, T., Kawano, T. 1995. Production of poly (d-3-hydroxybutyrate) from CO₂, H₂, and O₂ by high cell density autotrophic cultivation of *Alcaligenes eutrophus*. *Biotechnology and bioengineering*, **45**(3), 268-275.
- Volova, T.G., Barashkov, V.A. (2010) Characteristics of proteins synthesized by hydrogen-oxidizing microorganisms. *Applied Biochemistry and Microbiology*, **46**(6), 574-579.
- Weiland, P. (2010) Biogas production: current state and perspectives. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, **85**(4), 849-860.
- Yazdian, F., Hajizadeh, S., Shojaosadati, S.A., Khalilzadeh, R., Jahanshahi, M., Nosrati, M. (2005) Production of single cell protein from natural gas: Parameter optimization and RNA evaluation. *Iran. J. Biotechnol.*, **3**(4), 235-242.