nature research

Corresponding author(s):	John Dirk Vestergaard Nieland
Last updated by author(s):	Mar 9, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

S	ta	<u>at</u>	IS	tı	CS

For al	II statisticai an	alyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.	
n/a (Confirmed		
	x The exact	sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement	
	x A stateme	ent on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly	
	The statist	tical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided on tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.	
x	A descript	ion of all covariates tested	
	x A descript	ion of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons	
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)		
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.		
For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings			
For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes			
Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated			
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.			
Software and code			
Policy	y information a	about <u>availability of computer code</u>	
Data	a collection	Not relevant	
Data	a analysis	Not relevant	
For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.			

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Source data for the microbiome studies are freely available from Mendeley Data using http://dx.doi.org/10.17632/6zt29bjbzd.1. Source data for figures are available in Supplementary Data 2. In addition, data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author (J.D.V.N) upon reasonable request

Field-specific reporting				
	below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.			
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences			
	document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf			
Life science	ces study design			
	ose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.			
	ample size was estimated based on previous studies and a pilot study described in the manuscript.			
Data exclusions W	/e performed Grubbs test to evaluate potential outliers. If outliers were present, they were removed.			
· ·	nimal experiments were succesful replicated minimum twice. Repeated experiments produced comparable results and findings were onsidered reproducible.			
Randomization M	lice were randomized into cages before baseline assesments.			
Blinding	expectigators were blinded during animal experiments, data collection and video analyses as described in the method section.			
Reporting	for specific materials, systems and methods			
	from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material,			
system or method listed	is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.			
Materials & expe	rimental systems Methods			
n/a Involved in the s	study n/a Involved in the study			
✓ ★ Antibodies	✗ │ ChIP-seq			
x Eukaryotic cel				
	/ and archaeology			
	ther organisms			
	rch participants			
Clinical data Dual use resea	arch of concern			
Dual use resea	arch of concern			
Antibodies				
Antibodies used	The following primary antibodies were used: MBP 1:800 (Abcam, CAT# ab7349), GFAP 1:1000 (Agilent, CAT# Z033429-02), CPT1A 1:400 (ThermoFisher Scientific, CAT# PA5-69347), IBA-1 1:500 (Wako, CAT#013-27691), CHAT 1:400 (Merck Millipore, CAT#ab144p). The following secondary HRP-conjugated antibodies were used: Goat Anti-rat 1:500 (ThermoFisher Scientific, CAT# PA1-84708), and Goat Anti-rabbit 1:500 (Dako, CAT# P0448).			
Validation	on All antibodies were validated by the manufacture of in previously published papers.			
Animals and o	ther organisms			
Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research				
Laboratory animals				
	Species; mus musculus Strains;			
	10 week old C57bl/6J female mice 10 week old B6.Cg-Tg(SOD1*G93A)1Gur/J female mice			

Laboratory animals

The study involved:
Species; mus musculus
Strains;
10 week old C57bl/6J female mice
10 week old B6.Cg-Tg(SOD1*G93A)1Gur/J female mice
10 week old B6.Cg-Tg(SOD1*G93A)1gur/J x B6J-Cpt1a<em1Nki> female mice

Wild animals

The study did not involve wild animals

Field-collected samples

The study did not involve field-collected samples.

All experiments were approved by the Danish Animal Experiment Inspectorate and followed the National and European guidelines for

 $(conducting\ animal\ experiments.\ Animal\ experiments\ was\ conducted\ according\ to\ the\ ARRIVE\ guidelines.$

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript. \\