Supplemental Online Content

Chaffee BW, Cheng J, Couch ET, Hoeft KS, Halpern-Felsher B. Adolescents' substance use and physical activity before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Pediatr*. Published online May 3, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.0541

eTable 1. Participant Characteristics, Full and Follow-up Samples: Adolescents in Northern California, USA (2019-2020)

eTable 2. Behaviors at Follow-up: Associations with Stay-at-Home Order

eTable 3. Changes in Behaviors: Spring 2019 and Fall 2019 Cohorts

This supplemental material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable 1. Participant Characteristics Full and Follow-up Samples: Adolescents in Northern California, USA (2019-2020)

The 1084 participants who completed ≥ 1 follow-up survey were similar to the full cohort in their aggregate characteristics, with some exceptions (eTable 1). Follow-up participants were statistically significantly (P<0.05) more likely to be female and report good scholastic performance and less likely at baseline to report substance use or endorse sensation-seeking characteristics, although differences were modest in magnitude (eTable 1).

| Characteristic (at Baceline) | All Participants Follow-up Sample ^a | | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------|----------|
| Grade in school No. (%) | IN=1423~ | N=1084~ | p-value* |
| Ninth | 800 (57 5%) | 611 (57 5%) | 0.00 |
| Tonth | 500 (57.5%) 502 (42.5%) | | 0.99 |
| Conder No. (%) | 592 (42.5%) | 432 (42.3%) | |
| Fomale | 901 (EC 10/) | 657 (60.6%) | <0.001 |
| Mala | 601 (50.4%) | | <0.001 |
| Othor | | | |
| | 14 (1.0%) | 13 (1.2%) | |
| Race/ethnicity, No. (%) | 405 (24.10/) | 280 (25 1%) | 0.07 |
| Hispanic/Latinx | 485 (34.1%) | 380 (35.1%) | 0.07 |
| Non-Hispanic White | 699 (49.1%) | 535 (49.4%) | |
| Other | 239 (16.8%) | 169 (15.6%) | |
| Computers at home, No. (%) | | | |
| None | 163 (11.7%) | 117 (11.0%) | 0.08 |
| One | 364 (26.1%) | 266 (25.0%) | |
| Тwo | 344 (24.7%) | 269 (25.3%) | |
| More than two | 523 (37.5%) | 413 (38.8%) | |
| Family vacations, No. (%) | | | |
| None in past year | 296 (21.2%) | 222 (20.8%) | 0.94 |
| One | 363 (26.0%) | 278 (26.1%) | |
| Тwo | 299 (21.4%) | 230 (21.6%) | |
| More than two | 438 (31.4%) | 336 (31.5%) | |
| School lunch program, No. (%) | | | |
| Free or reduced | 802 (58.7%) | 603 (57.8%) | 0.01 |
| Full price | 359 (26.3%) | 293 (28.1%) | |
| Don't know | 206 (15.1%) | 147 (14.1%) | |
| Scholastic performance, No. (%) | | | |
| Mostly A's | 525 (37.0%) | 455 (42.1%) | <0.001 |
| Mostly B's | 527 (37.1%) | 403 (37.2%) | |
| Mostly C's or below | 296 (20.9%) | 178 (16.5%) | |
| Don't know | 71 (5.0%) | 46 (4.3%) | |
| Depression symptoms, No. (%) | | | |
| Positive screen | 433 (30.6%) | 343 (31.8%) | 0.08 |
| Negative screen | 981 (69.4%) | 735 (68.2%) | |
| E-cigarette use, No. (%) | | | |
| Never | 841 (59.1%) | 672 (62.0%) | < 0.001 |
| Ever (0 days in past 30 days) | 279 (19.6%) | 206 (19.0%) | |
| 1-5 days in past 30 days | 173 (12.2%) | 121 (11.2%) | |

| 6-30 days in past 30 days | 129 (9.1%) | 85 (7.8%) | |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------|
| Other tobacco use ^d , No. (%) | | | |
| 0 days in past 30 days | 1355 (93.8%) | 1030 (95.0%) | 0.001 |
| 1-30 days in past 30 days | 88 (6.2%) | 54 (5.0%) | |
| Cannabis use, No. (%) | | | |
| Never | 886 (62.3%) | 703 (64.9%) | < 0.001 |
| Ever (0 days in past 30 days) | 237 (16.7%) | 180 (16.6%) | |
| 1-5 days in past 30 days | 183 (12.9%) | 125 (11.5%) | |
| 6-30 days in past 30 days | 116 (8.2%) | 76 (7.0%) | |
| Alcohol use, No. (%) | | | |
| 0 days in past 30 days | 1116 (78.8%) | 872 (80.6%) | 0.002 |
| 1-30 days in past 30 days | 301 (21.2%) | 210 (19.4%) | |
| Physically active, No. (%) | | | |
| 0-1 days in past week | 136 (9.6%) | 100 (9.3%) | 0.42 |
| 2-4 days in past week | 501 (35.3%) | 391 (36.2%) | |
| 5-7 days in past week | 782 (55.1%) | 590 (54.6%) | |
| Sensation seeking score | | | |
| Standardized mean (SD) | 0.00 (1.00) | -0.05 (0.99) | < 0.001 |
| Has a follow-up visit ^e , No. (%) | | | |
| Before March 20, 2020 | 521 (36.6%) | 521 (48.1%) | |
| After March 19, 2020 | 950 (66.8%) | 950 (87.6%) | |
| Any follow-up | 1084 (76.2%) | 1084 (100%) | |

a. Any follow-up, including 6-month or 12-month survey.

b. Number of participants may be smaller for some variables due to missing data.

c. Chi-square test to compare those without and without follow-up; exception: sensation seeking score tested with t-test.

d. Any tobacco product (i.e., cigarettes, cigars, hookah, or smokeless tobacco), e-cigarettes excluded

e. Not mutually exclusive: some participants completed 6-month follow-up before March 20, 2020 and 12month follow-up after March 19, 2020.

Abbreviation: SD = standard deviation

eTable 2. Behaviors at Follow-up: Associations with Stay-at-Home Order

Models in eTable 2 include behaviors (i.e., e-cigarette, other tobacco, cannabis, and alcohol use and physical activity, in separate models) observed at follow-up (6-month or 12-month), in which the main independent variable of interest was whether a follow-up response was collected after the stay-at-home order (n=950 observations) relative to before the order (n=521 observations). Models included only follow-up responses and control for baseline behaviors and characteristics. Due to the timing of the stay-at-home order relative to the cohort's progression, participants contributed either one observation before, one observation after, or one observation before and one after the stay-at-home order.

The odds of reporting e-cigarette use were not meaningfully or statistically significantly different between follow-up responses collected after the stay-at-home order versus before (eTable 2). Similarly, the adjusted odds of reporting cannabis use were modestly but not statistically significantly higher in responses collected after the stay-at-home order, and the adjusted odds of reporting alcohol use did not differ (eTable 2). Unlike substance use, the proportion of students achieving \geq 5 days of physical activity per week strongly declined after the stay-at-home order (eTable 2). Results largely did not vary when responses collected between March 20 and April 18, 2020 were excluded, although the association with physical activity was slightly attenuated (eTable 2).

| | Relative Odds ^a of Reporting Behavior in Follow-up after March 19, 2020 vs. Earlier Follow-up | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|--|
| | (95% Confidence Interval) | | | | | | |
| | All follow-up responses | | | Excluding March 20 - April 18, 2020 | | | |
| | N | n | Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI) | Ν | n | Adjusted ^b OR (95% CI) | |
| E-cigarettes ^c | 1078 | 1461 | 0.90 (0.58, 1.39) | 1027 | 1154 | 0.87 (0.44, 1.74) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Other Tobacco ^{c,d} | 1084 | 1471 | 1.12 (0.56, 2.23) | 1034 | 1164 | 1.69 (0.65, 4.34) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Cannabis ^c | 1082 | 1467 | 1.20 (0.82, 1.77) | 1032 | 1160 | 1.21 (0.75, 1.97) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Alcohol ^c | 1073 | 1454 | 0.99 (0.70, 1.41) | 1020 | 1148 | 1.03 (0.62, 1.72) | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Physical Activity ^e | 1084 | 1468 | 0.57 (0.45, 0.73) | 1034 | 1163 | 0.68 (0.49, 0.95) | |

- a. All odds ratios from generalized estimating equation logistic regression models weighted for losses to follow-up and including within-participant correlation (exchangeable) and robust variance estimation.
- b. Adjusted model covariables (all measured at baseline): sex, race/ethnicity, year in school, home computer ownership, family vacations, school lunch program participation, use of e-cigarettes, cannabis, alcohol, and other tobacco, physical activity, academic performance, depression screen, sensation-seeking, and school. Missing covariable values multiply imputed.
- c. Use ≥1 day in the past 30 days
- d. Any tobacco product (i.e., cigarettes, cigars, hookah, or smokeless tobacco), e-cigarettes excluded
- e. Physically activity ≥5 days in the past week

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; N = number of participants; n = number of responses; OR = odds ratio

eTable 3. Changes in Behaviors: Spring 2019 and Fall 2019 Cohorts

This table examines changes in behaviors (i.e., starting or stopping e-cigarette, other tobacco, cannabis, and alcohol use and physical activity) between subsequent survey waves (i.e., baseline to 6-month follow-up and, for the spring cohort, 6-month follow-up to 12-month follow-up). Multivariable models assess whether initiation at 6-month follow-up among baseline non-users or stopping at 6-month follow-up among baseline users differed between the two cohorts. In the spring cohort, all 6-month follow-up preceded the California stay-at-home order and all 12-month follow-up occurred after the order. In the fall cohort, 6-month follow-up occurred after the order.

Initiation and stopping of past 30-day use of e-cigarettes, other tobacco, and alcohol did not differ meaningfully or statistically significantly between the cohorts (eTable 3). Likewise, no meaningful difference was observed for these outcomes between 6-month and 12-month follow-up in the spring cohort (eTable 3). Cannabis initiation at 6-months was lower in the fall cohort (4.4% vs. 8.9%) and became nominally statistically significantly in adjusted models. However, decreased stopping at 12-months in the spring cohort (eTable 3) led to overall similar cannabis use prevalence before and after the stay-at-home order (Main Text Table 3). Becoming physically activity \geq 5 days per week was lower in follow-up observations collected after the stay-at-home order. Likewise, more than half of participants who had achieved \geq 5 days of physical activity prior to the stay-at-home order no longer reached that level after the order (eTable 3). The adjusted odds of no longer achieving this level of physical activity at 6-month follow-up in the fall cohort (after the stay-at-home order) were 2.4-times the odds in the spring cohort (eTable 3).

| | Initiating ^a | | | | Stopping ^a | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| | Before / Before | Before / After | | | Before / Before | Before / After | | |
| | Stay-at-Home | Stay-at-Home | | | Stay-at-Home | Stay-at-Home | | |
| | Baseline to | 6-months to | | | Baseline to | 6-months to | | |
| Spring 2019 Cohort ^{b,c} | 6-months | 12-months | | | 6-months | 12-months | | |
| E-Cigarettes ^e | 4.7% | 5.5% | | | 57.3% | 52.5% | | |
| Other Tobacco ^{e, f} | 1.8% | 3.2% | | | 67.6% | 69.2% | | |
| Cannabis ^e | 7.0% | 8.9% | | | 41.8% | 33.9% | | |
| Alcohol ^e | 11.3% | 11.9% | | | 48.3% | 56.3% | | |
| Physical Activity ^g | 35.3% | 24.2% | | | 31.9% | 50.5% | | |
| | | Before / After | | | | Before / After | | |
| | | Stay-at-Home | Cohort Comparison ⁱ | | | Stay-at-Home | Cohort Comparison ⁱ | |
| | | Baseline to | | | | Baseline to | | |
| Fall 2019 Cohort ^{c,h} | | 6-months | AOR ^j (95% CI) | p-value | | 6-months | AOR ^{j,k} (95% CI) | p-value |
| E-Cigarettes ^e | | 3.9% | 0.69 (0.30, 1.61) | 0.39 | | 61.5% | 1.04 (0.45, 2.39) | 0.92 |
| Other Tobacco ^{e, f} | | 0.8% | 0.94 (0.19, 4.63) | 0.94 | | 61.1% | 2.13 (0.42, 10.9) | 0.36 |
| Cannabis ^e | | 4.4% | 0.49 (0.24, 0.99) | 0.05 | | 43.2% | 0.64 (0.28, 1.45) | 0.28 |
| Alcohol ^e | | 12.5% | 1.38 (0.80, 2.40) | 0.25 | | 54.3% | 1.84 (0.82, 4.10) | 0.14 |
| Physical Activity ^g | | 26.1% | 0.59 (0.37, 0.93) | 0.02 | | 51.7% | 2.39 (1.61, 3.56) | <0.001 |

© 2021 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.

- a. Initiating calculated as percentage with the outcome at the second timepoint (i.e., past 30-day product use) among those without the outcome at the first timepoint; Stopping calculated as percentage without the outcome at the second timepoint (i.e., past 30-day product use) among those with the outcome at the first timepoint.
- b. Schools recruited March 2019 to May 2019 whose 6-month follow-up was completed before the March 19, 2020 California stay-at-home order
- c. From the fall 2019 cohort schools, N=51 6-month follow-up responses were collected prior to the March 19, 2020 California stay-at-home order (collected from February 27, 2020 to March 7, 2020). For this analysis, those responses are included with the spring 2019 cohort.
- d. Wilcoxon signed-rank test for paired responses (exact) to compare prevalence of initiating or stopping from baseline to 6-months and 6-months to 12months in the spring 2019 cohort; hypothesis test (but not displayed prevalence) restricted those with both 6-month and 12-month follow-up (N=387)
- e. Use ≥ 1 day in the past 30 days
- f. Any tobacco product (i.e., cigarettes, cigars, hookah, or smokeless tobacco), e-cigarettes excluded
- g. Physically activity ≥5 days in the past week
- h. Schools recruited August 2019 to February 2020 whose 6-month follow-up was completed after the March 19, 2020 California stay-at-home order
- i. Models estimate whether changes in behaviors from baseline to 6-month follow-up differ by cohort. Odds ratio and p-value are from a main term for cohort, estimated using logistic regression models weighted for losses to follow-up with robust variance estimation.
- j. Adjusted model covariables (all measured at baseline): gender, race/ethnicity, year in school, home computer ownership, family vacations, school lunch program participation, academic performance, depression screen, sensation-seeking, school, and other behaviors (i.e., use of e-cigarettes, cannabis, alcohol, and other tobacco and physical activity, excluding the outcome behavior). Missing covariable values multiply imputed.
- k. Adjusted model for stopping other tobacco use excluded home computer ownership, family vacations, and academic performance as covariables due to perfect prediction.

Abbreviations: AOR = adjusted odds ratio; CI = confidence interval