Supplementary Material for "Improving Metagenomic Binning Results with Overlapped Bins Using Assembly Graphs"

1 Datasets

Supplementary Table 1 summarises the information on the datasets used for the experiments including read length, number of reads, number of contigs, mean contig length and the number of species identified for the ground truth. Paired-end reads were simulated for the **Sim-5G**, **Sim-10G**, **Sim-20G** and **50G-SR** datasets using the tool InSilicoSeq [2] modelling a MiSeq instrument with 300bp mean read length. The **Sharon** [7] datasets consisted of Illumina HiSeq 2000 reads with 100bp mean read length. The **Lake Water** [5] dataset consisted of Illumina MiSeq reads with 300bp mean read length. The **Lake Water** [10] dataset consisted of simulated PacBio reads with 8,000bp mean read length.

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dataset	Assembler	Read length (bp)	Number of paired end reads	Total number of non-isolated contigs	Mean contig length (bp)	Number of species in ground truth
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sim 5C	metaSPAdes	300	2,000,000	516	51,723	5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5111-503	SGA	300	2,000,000	18,192	1,675	5
SIM-10G SGA 300 6 999 998 32 389 1 300 10	Sim 10C	metaSPAdes	300	6,999,998	900	47,279	10
1,000 100,000 1,000 10	5111-106	SGA	300	6,999,998	32,389	1,300	10
Sim 20C metaSPAdes 300 15,000,001 1,404 48,021 20	Sim 20C	metaSPAdes	300	15,000,001	1,404	48,021	20
SGA 300 15,000,001 72,791 873 20	5111-2003	SGA	300	15,000,001	72,791	873	20
Sharen 1 [7] metaSPAdes 100 14,869,863 371 17,144 12	Sharon-1 [7]	metaSPAdes	100	14,869,863	371	17,144	12
Sharon-1 [7] SGA 100 14,869,863 766 3,034 12		SGA	100	$14,\!869,\!863$	766	3,034	12
Sharon All [7] metaSPAdes 100 135,493,567 2,730 7,689 12	Sharon-All [7]	metaSPAdes	100	135,493,567	2,730	7,689	12
SGA 100 135,493,567 20,942 1,547 12		SGA	100	$135,\!493,\!567$	20,942	1,547	12
50G-SR metaSPAdes 300 20,730,313 4,159 37,027 50	50G-SR	metaSPAdes	300	20,730,313	4,159	37,027	50
Lake Water [5] metaSPAdes 300 4,627,091 96,880 1,020 57	Lake Water [5]	metaSPAdes	300	4,627,091	96,880	1,020	57
100G-LR [10] metaFlye $8,000$ $3,754,639$ 958 $2,538$ 100	00G-LR [10]	metaFlye	8,000	3,754,639	958	2,538	100

Supplementary Table 1: Information on the datasets used for the experiments.

Supplementary Table 2 denotes the details about the simulated short-read datasets including the species present, their genome sizes, sequencing coverage values and abundance values.

Dataset	Species present	Genome size	Coverage	Abundance
Duraber	Acetobacter pasteurianus	2.9 Mb	115×	28%
Sim-5G	Aeromonas veronii	4.6 Mb	72×	28%
	Amycolatopsis mediterranei	10.4 Mb	26×	22%
	Arthrobacter arilaitensis	3.9 Mb	41×	13%
	Azorhizobium caulinodans	5.4 Mb	$20 \times$	9%
	Acetobacter pasteurianus	2.9 Mb	$357 \times$	25%
	Aeromonas veronii	4.6 Mb	$225 \times$	25%
	Amycolatopsis mediterranei	10.4 Mb	$80 \times$	20%
	Arthrobacter arilaitensis	3.9 Mb	$128 \times$	12%
Sim 10C	Azorhizobium caulinodans	5.4 Mb	$62 \times$	8%
Sim-10G	Bacillus cereus	5.3 Mb	$58 \times$	7%
	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus	3.8 Mb	$11 \times$	1%
	Bifidobacterium adolescentis	2.1 Mb	$20 \times$	1%
	Brachyspira intermedia	3.4 Mb	$11 \times$	1%
	Campylobacter jejuni	1.7 Mb	$21 \times$	1%
	Acetobacter pasteurianus	2.9 Mb	$705 \times$	23%
	Aeromonas veronii	4.6 Mb	$445 \times$	23%
	Amycolatopsis mediterranei	10.4 Mb	$157 \times$	18%
	Arthrobacter arilaitensis	3.9 Mb	$253 \times$	11%
	Azorhizobium caulinodans	5.4 Mb	$123 \times$	7%
	Bacillus cereus	5.3 Mb	114×	7%
	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus	3.8 Mb	22×	1%
	Bilidobacterium adolescentis	2.1 Mb	40×	1%
	Brachyspira intermedia	3.4 Mb	21×	1%
Sim-20G	Campylobacter jejuni	1.7 Mb	41×	1%
	Candidatus Pelagibacter ubique	1.3 Mb	54×	1%
	Chlamydia trachomatis	1.1 Mb	64×	1%
	Clostridium acetobutylicum	4.0 Mb	18×	1%
	Corynebacterium dipitneriae	2.5 MD	28×	1%
	Cyanobacterium UCYN	1.5 Mb	47×	1%
	Desultovibrio vulgaris	3.6 Mb	20×	1%
	Enrichia ruminantium	1.5 MD 2.0 ML	47×	1%
	Enterococcus mecium	3.0 MD	24×	1%
	Erysipeiotnrix rnusiopatniae Easternishin anli	1.8 MD	39×	1%
	Escherichia con	5.0 Mb	14×	170
	A cetobacter pasteurianus	2.9 Mb	113×	4%
	Amusolatopsis mediterranei	4.0 MD	495×	070 00%
	Antycolatopsis metherianer	2.0 Mb	281	270
	Azorhizohium caulinodans	5.4 Mb	136×	270
	Bacillus corous	5.3 Mb	130×	270
	Bacillus thuringionsis	5.4 Mb	35×	2%
	Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus	3.8 Mb	25×	270
	Bifidobacterium adolescentis	2.1 Mb	20 ×	270
	Bifidobacterium animalis	2.1 Mb	48×	270
	Brachyspira intermedia	2.0 Mb	-10× 	270
	Campylobacter jejuni	1.7 Mb	47×	270
	Candidatus Pelagibacter ubique	1.3 Mb	59×	2%
	Candidatus Phytoplasma mali	0.6 Mb	129×	2%
	Candidatus Sulcia muelleri	0.3 Mb	279×	2%
	Chlamydia psittaci	1.2 Mb	66×	2%
	Chlamydia trachomatis	1.1 Mb	$74 \times$	2%
	Clostridium acetobutylicum	4.0 Mb	20×	2%
	Clostridium botulinum	2.8 Mb	$28 \times$	2%
	Clostridium tetani	2.8 Mb	$28 \times$	2%
	Clostridium thermocellum	3.9 Mb	$20 \times$	2%
	Corvnebacterium diphtheriae	2.5 Mb	$31 \times$	2%
	Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis	2.4 Mb	$33 \times$	2%
	Corynebacterium ulcerans	2.5 Mb	$31 \times$	2%
FOG OD	Cyanobacterium UCYN	1.5 Mb	$54 \times$	2%
50G-SR	Cyanothece sp	6.2 Mb	$13 \times$	2%
	Desulfovibrio vulgaris	3.6 Mb	$22 \times$	2%
	Ehrlichia ruminantium	1.5 Mb	$52 \times$	2%
	Enterococcus faecium	3.0 Mb	$26 \times$	2%
	Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae	1.8 Mb	$43 \times$	2%
	Escherichia coli	5.0 Mb	$16 \times$	2%
	Fervidicoccus fontis	1.3 Mb	$59 \times$	2%
	Fibrobacter succinogenes	3.9 Mb	$20 \times$	2%
	Flavobacterium branchiophilum	3.6 Mb	$22 \times$	2%
	Francisella novicida	1.9 Mb	$41 \times$	2%
	Francisella tularensis	1.9 Mb	$41 \times$	2%
	Fusobacterium nucleatum	2.2 Mb	$36 \times$	2%
	Gardnerella vaginalis	1.8 Mb	$45 \times$	2%
	Granulicella tundricola	4.4 Mb	$18 \times$	2%
	Haemophilus influenzae	1.9 Mb	$41 \times$	2%
	Haemophilus parainfluenzae	2.1 Mb	$37 \times$	2%
	Haemophilus somnus	2.3 Mb	$34 \times$	2%
	Halobacterium sp. NRC-1	2.0 Mb	$38 \times$	2%
	Halothiobacillus neapolitanus	2.6 Mb	$30 \times$	2%
	Helicobacter pylori	1.6 Mb	$49 \times$	2%
	Hyphomicrobium sp. MC1	4.9 Mb	$16 \times$	2%
	Ignavibacterium album	3.7 Mb	$21 \times$	2%
	Klebsiella oxytoc	6.1 Mb	$13 \times$	2%
	Krokinobacter sp	3.4 Mb	$23 \times$	2%
	Lactobacillus brevis	2.3 Mb	$34 \times$	2%

Supplementary Table 2: Details about the simulated short-read datasets.

2 Commands Used

2.1 Assembly Tools

metaSPAdes

spades --meta -1 Reads_1.fastq -2 Reads_2.fastq -o /path/output_path -t 20

SGA

sga preprocess -o reads.fastq --pe-mode 1 Reads_1.fastq Reads_2.fastq sga index -a ropebwt -t 16 --no-reverse reads.fastq sga correct -k 41 --learn -t 16 -o reads.k41.fastq reads.fastq sga index -a ropebwt -t 16 reads.k41.fastq sga filter -x 2 -t 16 reads.k41.fastq sga fm-merge -m 45 -t 16 reads.k41.filter.pass.fa sga index -t 16 reads.k41.filter.pass.merged.fa sga overlap -m 55 -t 16 reads.k41.filter.pass.merged.fa sga assemble -m 95 reads.k41.filter.pass.merged.asqg.gz

metaFlye

flye --meta --pacbio-raw reads.fasta --genome-size estimated_metagenome_size --out-dir /output_path --threads 16

2.2 Binning and Refinement Tools

CONCOCT

cut_up_fasta.py contigs.fasta -
c10000-o0--merge_last -b contigs_10K.bed
 > contigs_10K.fa

concoct_coverage_table.py contigs_10K.bed aln-pe.sorted.bam > coverage_table.tsv concoct --composition_file contigs_10K.fa --coverage_file coverage_table.tsv -b

/output_path -t 8

 $merge_cutup_clustering_py / output_path/clustering_gt1000.csv > / output_path/clustering_merged.csv = extract_fasta_bins.py contigs.fasta / output_path/clustering_merged.csv = -output_path / clustering_merged.csv = -output_path / clustering_merged.cs$

 $/output_path/fasta_bins$

MaxBin2

perl MaxBin-2.2.5/run_MaxBin.pl -contig contigs.fasta -abund abundance.abund -out /output_path

SolidBin

python scripts/gen_kmer.py contig.fasta 1000 4 sh gen_cov.sh

si gen_cov.si

python SolidBin.py --contig_file contigs.fasta --composition_profiles kmer_4.csv --coverage_profiles cov_inputtableR.tsv

--output /output_path/result.tsv --log /output_path/log.txt --use_sfs

GraphBin

./graphbin --graph assembly_graph_with_scaffolds.gfa --paths contigs.paths --binned initial_contig_bins.csv --output /output_path --assembler spades

3 Results of SGA Assemblies

3.1 Binning Results

Supplementary Figures 1, 2 and 3 demonstrate the results of CONCOCT [1], MaxBin2 [11] and SolidBin [9], respectively with GraphBin [4] and GraphBin2 on top of the initial binning results for the SGA [8] assemblies.



Supplementary Figure 1: Comparison of binning results of CONCOCT [1], GraphBin [4] and GraphBin2 (on top of CONCOCT results) using assembly graphs built by SGA [8].



Supplementary Figure 2: Comparison of binning results of MaxBin2 [11], Graph-Bin [4] and GraphBin2 (on top of MaxBin2 results) using assembly graphs built by SGA [8].



Supplementary Figure 3: Comparison of binning results of SolidBin [9], Graph-Bin [4] and GraphBin2 (on top of SolidBin results) using assembly graphs built by SGA [8].



3.2 Multi-Labelled Inference Results

Supplementary Figure 4: Violin plots for the ratio $Ratio_{(2^{nd}/1^{st})}$ of the single and multi-labelled inference results using GraphBin2 on top of (a) CON-COCT [1], (b) MaxBin2 [11] and (c) SolidBin [9] results for the SGA assemblies.

Detect	With	With	With
Dataset	CONCOCT result	MaxBin2 result	SolidBin result
Sim-5G	31	6	8
Sim-10G	81	9	2
Sim-20G	156	15	11
Sharon1	6	2	2
SharonAll	40	37	17

Supplementary Table 3: The number of multi-labelled contigs identified by GraphBin2 for the SGA [8] assemblies using the initial binning result of each binning tool.

4 Running Time and Memory Usage

The running times and the peak memory used by the metaSPAdes [6] and metaFlye [3] for assembly, the initial binning tools and GraphBin2 to bin all the datasets can be found in Supplementary Tables 4, 5 and 6.

Detect	Accombly	Running time	Peak
Dataset	Assembly	(CPU time)	memory usage
Sim-5G	metaSPAdes	2h~42m~5s	$7.78~\mathrm{GB}$
Sim-10G	metaSPAdes	$16h\ 07m\ 58s$	$24.60~\mathrm{GB}$
Sim-20G	metaSPAdes	44h~54m~17s	$54.33~\mathrm{GB}$
Sharon1 [7]	metaSPAdes	$4h\ 27m\ 29s$	$1.66~\mathrm{GB}$
SharonAll [7]	metaSPAdes	$78\mathrm{h}~57\mathrm{m}~24\mathrm{s}$	$199.93~\mathrm{GB}$
50G-SR	metaSPAdes	$77h \ 30m \ 6s$	$70.67~\mathrm{GB}$
Lake Water [5]	metaSPAdes	$17h\ 21m\ 52s$	$51.79~\mathrm{GB}$
100G-LR [10]	metaFlye	$129h\ 21m\ 58s$	299.11 GB

Supplementary Table 4: Running times (CPU time) and peak memory usage to assemble each dataset. s denotes seconds, m denotes minutes, h denotes hours and GB denotes gigabytes.

CONCOCT, MaxBin2 and GraphBin2 were executed with 8 threads and SolidBin was executed with a single thread. The running times for CONCOCT and SolidBin only include the times taken to run the main software, excluding the times taken to build the composition and coverage profile files.

GraphBin2 took less than 12 minutes and less than 165 MB of memory to complete executing the **Sharon-All** dataset with 8 threads. Moreover, the highest running time and memory usage has been recorded for the metaSPAdes assembly of the **LakeWater** [5] dataset as it consisted of the most complex assembly graph with the most number of contigs.

Dataset	Assombly	Tool	Running	Peak
	Assembly	1001	time	memory usage
Sim-5G -	metaSPAdes	CONCOCT	29s	172 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	1s	$35 \mathrm{MB}$
		MaxBin2	12s	2,389 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	1s	36 MB
		SolidBin	3s	155 MB
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	1s	36 MB
		CONCOCT	20s	$169 \mathrm{MB}$
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	3m $58s$	127 MB
	SCA	MaxBin2	15s	$394 \mathrm{MB}$
	SGA	GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	3m 12s	124 MB
		SolidBin	$3m \ 1s$	794 MB
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	3m~54s	124 MB
		CONCOCT	25s	$175 \mathrm{MB}$
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	2s	40 MB
	moto SDA dog	MaxBin2	20s	2,859 MB
	metasrAdes	GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	2s	41 MB
		SolidBin	3s	$164 \mathrm{MB}$
Sim 10C		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	2s	$41 \mathrm{MB}$
5111-10G		CONCOCT	14s	204 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	4m $33s$	101 MB
	SGA	MaxBin2	28s	285 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	$5\mathrm{m}$	101 MB
		SolidBin	8m 25s	1,423 MB
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	5m 2s	101 MB
	metaSPAdes	CONCOCT	41s	193 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	3s	44 MB
		MaxBin2	32s	2,854 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	3s	44 MB
		SolidBin	4s	$193 \mathrm{MB}$
Sim-20G - 50G-SR		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	5s	$45 \mathrm{MB}$
		CONCOCT	25s	211 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	$28\mathrm{m}~45\mathrm{s}$	$194 \mathrm{MB}$
	SGA	MaxBin2	49s	364 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	$29m \ 40s$	192 MB
		SolidBin	$18\mathrm{m}~47\mathrm{s}$	2,064 MB
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	29m~54s	$193 \mathrm{MB}$
	metaSPAdes	CONCOCT	1m 35s	237 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	19s	$75 \mathrm{MB}$
		MaxBin2	$1\mathrm{m}~33~\mathrm{s}$	3,978 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	33s	$77 \mathrm{MB}$
		SolidBin	13s	500 MB
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	21s	$75 \mathrm{MB}$

Supplementary Table 5: Running times (wall time) and peak memory usage for binning using each tool for the simulated short-read datasets. s denotes seconds, m denotes minutes and MB denotes megabytes.

Deterret	Assembly	The sta	Running	Peak
Dataset		1001	time	memory usage
Sharon1	metaSPAdes	CONCOCT	12s	166 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	4s	$45 \mathrm{MB}$
		MaxBin2	9s	1,389 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	5s	$45 \mathrm{MB}$
		SolidBin	6s	290 MB
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	5s	$45 \mathrm{MB}$
		CONCOCT	20s	172 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	3s	33 MB
	SCA	MaxBin2	12s	203 MB
	SGA	GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	3s	33 MB
		SolidBin	15s	$654 \mathrm{MB}$
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	3s	33 MB
		CONCOCT	1m 8s	189 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	9m~54s	$137 \mathrm{MB}$
	mate CDA dee	MaxBin2	30s	$1,378 \mathrm{MB}$
	metaSPAdes	GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	10m 50s	$163 \mathrm{MB}$
		SolidBin	2m $7s$	$1,416 \ \mathrm{MB}$
Shanon All		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	$11m \ 12s$	$163 \mathrm{MB}$
SharonAn	SGA	CONCOCT	1m 46s	201 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	$1m \ 13s$	$50 \mathrm{MB}$
		MaxBin2	28s	$241 \mathrm{MB}$
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	$1m\ 21s$	50 MB
		SolidBin	2m~51s	$2,612 \ \mathrm{MB}$
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	$1m \ 15s$	50 MB
	metaSPAdes	CONCOCT	22m 2s	807 MB
		GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	58m $42s$	$855 \mathrm{MB}$
Lake Water		MaxBin2	$23\mathrm{m}~27\mathrm{s}$	1,004 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	$55m \ 17s$	862 MB
		$SolidBin^*$	N/A^*	N/A^*
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin [*]	N/A^*	N/A^*
100G-LR		CONCOCT	3m $7s$	399 MB
	metaFlye	GraphBin2 with CONCOCT	9s	$54 \mathrm{MB}$
		MaxBin2	4m 8s	3,976 MB
		GraphBin2 with MaxBin2	4s	$57 \mathrm{MB}$
		SolidBin	$14\mathrm{m}~59\mathrm{s}$	$4,840 \ \mathrm{MB}$
		GraphBin2 with SolidBin	4s	$57 \mathrm{MB}$

Supplementary Table 6: Running times (wall time) and peak memory usage for binning using each tool for the remaining real and long-read datasets. s denotes seconds, m denotes minutes and MB denotes megabytes.

 \ast SolidBin [9] could not be run on the Lake Water dataset due to insufficient memory.

References

- [1] Johannes Alneberg, Brynjar Smári Bjarnason, Ino de Bruijn, Melanie Schirmer, Joshua Quick, Umer Z. Ijaz, Leo Lahti, Nicholas J. Loman, Anders F. Andersson, and Christopher Quince. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. *Nature Methods*, 11:1144–1146, Sep 2014.
- [2] Hadrien Gourlé, Oskar Karlsson-Lindsjö, Juliette Hayer, and Erik Bongcam-Rudloff. Simulating Illumina metagenomic data with InSilicoSeq. *Bioinformatics*, 35(3):521–522, 07 2018.
- [3] Mikhail Kolmogorov, Derek M. Bickhart, Bahar Behsaz, Alexey Gurevich, Mikhail Rayko, Sung Bong Shin, Kristen Kuhn, Jeffrey Yuan, Evgeny Polevikov, Timothy P. L. Smith, and Pavel A. Pevzner. metaflye: scalable long-read metagenome assembly using repeat graphs. *Nature Methods*, 17(11):1103–1110, Nov 2020.
- [4] Vijini Mallawaarachchi, Anuradha Wickramarachchi, and Yu Lin. Graph-Bin: Refined binning of metagenomic contigs using assembly graphs. *Bioinformatics*, 03 2020. btaa180.
- [5] Maliheh Mehrshad, Michaela M. Salcher, Yusuke Okazaki, Shin-ichi Nakano, Karel Šimek, Adrian-Stefan Andrei, and Rohit Ghai. Hidden in plain sight—highly abundant and diverse planktonic freshwater chloroflexi. *Microbiome*, 6(1):176, Oct 2018.
- [6] Sergey Nurk, Dmitry Meleshko, Anton Korobeynikov, and Pavel A. Pevzner. metaSPAdes: a new versatile metagenomic assembler. *Genome Research*, 27(5):824–834, 2017.
- [7] Itai Sharon, Michael J. Morowitz, Brian C. Thomas, Elizabeth K. Costello, David A. Relman, and Jillian F. Banfield. Time series community genomics analysis reveals rapid shifts in bacterial species, strains, and phage during infant gut colonization. *Genome Research*, 23(1):111–120, 2013.
- [8] Jared T. Simpson and Richard Durbin. Efficient de novo assembly of large genomes using compressed data structures. *Genome Research*, 22(3):549– 556, 2012.
- [9] Ziye Wang, Zhengyang Wang, Yang Young Lu, Fengzhu Sun, and Shanfeng Zhu. SolidBin: improving metagenome binning with semi-supervised normalized cut. *Bioinformatics*, 35(21):4229–4238, 04 2019.
- [10] Anuradha Wickramarachchi, Vijini Mallawaarachchi, Vaibhav Rajan, and Yu Lin. MetaBCC-LR: metagenomics binning by coverage and composition for long reads. *Bioinformatics*, 36(Supplement_1):i3–i11, 07 2020.
- [11] Yu-Wei Wu, Blake A. Simmons, and Steven W. Singer. MaxBin 2.0: an automated binning algorithm to recover genomes from multiple metagenomic datasets. *Bioinformatics*, 32(4):605–607, Oct 2015.