nature research

Corresponding author(s):

Double-blind peer review submissions: write DBPR and your manuscript number here

instead of author names.

Last updated by author(s): YYYY-MM-DD

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

<u>~ .</u>				
51	-a	tis	ŤΙ	$\cap \subseteq$

		.,,,,,,,,,,			
n/a	Confirmed				
	The exact	e exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement			
	A stateme	ent on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly			
	The statis Only comm	ne statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided nly common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.			
	A descript	A description of all covariates tested			
	🔀 A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons				
	A full desc AND varia	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)			
	For null h	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.			
\boxtimes	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings				
\boxtimes	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes				
	\square Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated				
		Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.			
So.	ftware an	d code			
Poli	cy information	about <u>availability of computer code</u>			
Da	ata collection	Custom data collection tools were not used.			
Da	ata analysis	Open source software was used to analyze the RNA-seq data as described in the Methods. STAR was used for read alignment, featureCounts			

Data

Policy information about <u>availability of data</u>

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

conducted using the GSEA java software.

- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Data supporting the main figures of theses studies are available on figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.14173013.v1), and the data within the supplemental materials are available upon reasonable request. The sequencing data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus with the accession codes GSE159062 and GSE156063. Data referenced in this study are available Gene Expression Omnibus GSE57980 and GSE38680.

and HTSeq for generating gene count data, and DESeq2 was used for analyzing differential gene expression. Gene set enrichment analysis was

Field-specific reporting				
	ne below	that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.		
Life sciences	+l	Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences		
For a reference copy of t	the docume	nt with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>		
Life scier	nces	study design		
		these points even when the disclosure is negative.		
Sample size	Sample s	size was determined based on the limited availability of healthy and diseased muscle samples. As a result, 3 healthy and 3 IOPD		
		re compared throughout the paper experiments. One exception is in Fig 1h-k where significant differences between representative and disease donors were not observed. With the RNA-seq, an additional healthy donor was added to increase power (a total 4 healthy		
		PD). Due to limited availability of additional healthy (2) and LOPD (2) donors, the analyses of these donors are limited to Supp. Fig. 3.		
Data exclusions	No data	were excluded.		
Replication	Replicati	on was successful in 3 healthy and 3 diseased (infantile-onset Pompe donors).		
Randomization	Random	ization is not relevant to our study design.		
Blinding	Blinding	was not possible due to the limited availability and sourcing of healthy and diseased muscle samples.		
G				
Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods				
·		uthors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, ant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.		
Materials & exp	perimer	ntal systems Methods		
n/a Involved in th		n/a Involved in the study		
Antibodies		ChIP-seq		
Eukaryotic	cell lines	Flow cytometry		
Palaeontol	logy and ar	rchaeology MRI-based neuroimaging		
Animals an	nd other or	ganisms		
Human res	search part	cicipants		
Clinical data				
Dual use re	esearch of	concern		
Antibodies				
Antibodies used		All antibodies used are described in the Supplementary Information		
Validation		Antibodies used for Western blot analysis have been previously validated as follows on their respective product pages as well as in the follow research articles: SAA, GAPDH (Khodabukus et al. 2019); p62, LC3, GAA (Nascimbeni et al. 2012); Lamp2 (Madden et al. 2015); CD56 (Roederer et al. 1996); CD29 (Xue et al 2015).		
Animals and	l other	organisms		
Policy information about studies involving animals; ARRIVE guidelines recommended for reporting animal research				
,		As described in the Methods, male WT and GAA-KO mice (C57BL/6 background) were used as treated at 2 months of age. At 6 months of age, the mice were euthanized for biochemical analyses.		

Laboratory animals

As described in the Methods, male WT and GAA-KO mice (C57BL/6 background) were used as treated at 2 months of age. At 6 months of age, the mice were euthanized for biochemical analyses.

Wild animals

Wild animals were not used.

Field-collected samples

Field-collected samples

The study protocol was approved by Duke Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Flow Cytometry

Plots

Confirm that:				
The axis labels state the mark	ker and fluorochrome used (e.g. CD4-FITC).			
The axis scales are clearly visible. Include numbers along axes only for bottom left plot of group (a 'group' is an analysis of identical markers).				
All plots are contour plots with outliers or pseudocolor plots.				
A numerical value for numbe	r of cells or percentage (with statistics) is provided.			
Methodology				
Sample preparation	Samples were isolated from primary muscle biopsies using outgrowth culture and fixed at passage 5 using 4% PFA. Then, CD56-pecy7 was used to assess the expression of C56 and CD29 cells			
Instrument	BD FACSCanto II			
Software	FlowJo			
Cell population abundance	Cells were not sorted. Cell populations were only analyzed.			
Gating strategy	The gating strategy is demonstrated in Figure 1b. Here, strongly positive and negative populations were observed based on the distinct presence of peaks.			

Tick this box to confirm that a figure exemplifying the gating strategy is provided in the Supplementary Information.