Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	NO antibody used in this study.	n/a

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	NO cell lines used in this study.	n/a
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	NO primary culture cell used in this study.	n/a

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository **OR** supplier name, catalog number, clone number, **OR** RRID	No experimental animals used in this study.	n/a
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	No experimental animals used in this study.	n/a
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) **OR** RRID	No experimental animals used in this study.	n/a

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	No plants used in this study.	n/a
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	No microbes used in this study.	n/a

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	This study does not involve human participants.	n/a
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	This study does not involve human participants.	n/a
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	This study does not involve human participants.	n/a

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number **OR** cite DOI in manuscript.	This study does not involve clinical trials.	**n/a**

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	This study does not involve laboratory protocol.	**n/a**

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done**, or** if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Not carried out	**n/a**
Randomisation	Not carried out	**n/a**
Blinding	Not carried out	**n/a**
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Not carried out	**n/a**

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	This study is the data analysis of the public datasets.	**n/a**
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	This study is the data analysis of the public datasets.	**n/a**

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	This study does not involve human participants.	**n/a**
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	This study does not involve human participants.	**n/a**
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	The data were downloaded directly from public datasets, there was no need for ethical approval in this study.	**n/a**

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	This study is not subject to dual use research of concern.	**n/a**

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Yes. Material and methods/Data Pre-Processing/page 6/line 98	

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Yes. Material and methods/Statistical Analysis/page 9/line 152	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	No newly created datasets in this study.	**n/a**
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	No newly created datasets in this study.	**n/a**
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	Yes. Material and methods/Data Pre-Processing/page 6/line 96,100,101	

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:	No newly created code or software in this study.	**n/a**
State whether the code or software is available.	Yes, the code is publicly available	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	Page Number 7, Line Number 110, Section Material and Methold ,and Paragraph 1	

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.	We have followed the “minimum standards” for scientific reporting by: providing detailed description of the methods, analyses and materials used in this study.	
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-20-3069.