## Supplementary Material

Supplementary Table 1: Search strategy

		Search Fields							
	Search Steps	MEDLINE	EMBASE	Cochrane registry					
1	"dose intensity" OR "dose reduction" OR "reduced dose" OR "miniCHOP"	text words (including MeSH)	title, abstract, keywords	title, abstract, keywords					
2	"DLBCL" OR "diffuse large B cell lymphoma" OR "diffuse large B-cell lymphoma"	text words (including MeSH)	title, keywords	title, abstract, keywords					
3	1 AND 2								
	bbreviations: miniCHOP: dose attenuated CHOP (cyclophosphamide, dox mphoma; MeSH: medical subject headings	orubicin, vincristine, predr	nisolone); DLBCL: di	iffuse large B-cell					

Referen ce	Did the study addre ss a clearl y focus ed issue?	Was the cohort recruite d in an accepta ble way?	Was the exposur e accurat ely measur ed to minimiz e bias?	Was the outcom e accurat ely measur ed to minimiz e bias?	Have the authors identified all importan t confound ing factors?	Have they taken account of the confound ing factors in the design and/or analysis?	Was the follow- up of subjec ts compl ete enoug h?	Was the follow -up of subjec ts long enoug h?	How precis e are the result s?	Do you believ e in the result s?	Can the results be applied to the local populati on?	Do the results of this study fit with other availab le evidenc e?	What are the implicati ons of this study for practice	Tot al scor e (/5)
Terada et al	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Uncle ar	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	3
Hirakaw a <i>et al</i>	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	4
Carson et al	Yes	No	Unsure	Unsure	No	No	No	No	Uncle ar	No	No	No	Unclear	1
Ha et al	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Yes	Yes	No	No	Low	Yes	Yes	Unclear	Unclear	3
Vidal <i>et</i> <i>al</i>	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Yes	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	4
Juul et al	No	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	No	No	Yes	Yes	Uncle ar	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	3
Morth <i>et al</i>	No	No	Unsure	Unsure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	3
Eyre <i>et</i> al	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	4
Długosz - Danecka <i>et al</i>	Yes	No	Unclear	Unclear	No	No	Yes	Yes	High	No	Unclear	Yes	Unclear	3
Nagata et al	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	No	No	Yes	Yes	High	Uncle ar	Yes	Yes	Unclear	3
Hwang et al	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	Low	Uncle ar	Unclear	No	Unclear	3
Lee <i>et al</i>	Yes	No	Unsure	Unsure	Unclear	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Uncle ar	No	Unclear	Unclear	3

Supplementary Table 2: CASP analysis of studies included in the analysis

Yamam	Yes	Yes	Unsure	Unsure	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	High	Yes	Yes	Yes	Unclear	4
oto <i>et al</i>														

Supplementary Table 3: Overview of the studies in the elderly population age > 70, based on dose intensity and outcomes analysis

Age grou p (year s)	Papers	RDI cut off	Univariable OS impact	Univariable PFS impact	Multivariable OS impact	Multivariable PFS impact	Adjustment performed for ECOG and comorbidity	Cause- specific surviva l used for OS estimat ion
≥70	Ha et al.	60%	Pts ≥70 years only RDI <60%: HR 0.45, 95% CI (0.21-0.97), p =0.04	Not done	Pts ≥70 years only RDI ≥60%: HR 1.597, 95% CI (0.607- 4.202) , p=0.343	Not done	B symptoms, Stage ≥3, ECOG PS ≥2, LDH>ULN, EN sites ≥2, IPI ≥3, BM involvement, Bulky tumor	No
	Vidal et al.	90%	Dose (cycle 1 [H], continuous variable), for every 10% increase: HR 0.80, p <0.0001, 95% CI (0.72-0.88)	Not done	Calculated individually for Cycle 1 of [C], [H], and for cycles 1+2 for [C], [H] $Dose[C]_{cycle 1}$ (for every 10% dose increase): HR 0.77, 95% CI (0.64-0.92) , p<0.005 - $Dose[C]_{cycle 1+2}$ (for every 10% dose increase): HR 0.76, 95% CI (0.60-0.96) , p<0.019 - $Dose[H]_{cycle 1}$ (for every 10% dose increase): HR 0.81, 95% CI (0.70-0.94) , p<0.005 - $Dose[H]_{cycle 1+2}$ (for every 10% dose	Not done	ECOG 0-1 vs. ≥2; age ≥80 years; gender; IPI, Hb, and albumin	No

					increase): HR 0.82, 95% CI (0.69-0.97) , p<0.019			
	Eyre et al.	80%	IDI ≥80% OS: SHR 0.35, p<0.001, 95% CI (0.35-0.58)	IDI ≥80% PFS: SHR 0.50, p<0.001, 95% CI (0.39-0.64) CIR: Age: 70-79 years IDI<80% SHR 1.80, p =0.004, 95% CI (1.21-2.67)		CIR: Age: 70-79 years IDI<80% SHR 1.61, p=0.04, 95% CI (1.02-2.53)	Age, stage, ECOG PS ≥2, LDH, albumin, gender, B- symptoms, EN>1,	Yes
≥75	Juul et al.	80%	Full dose $\geq$ 80%, KM analysis for OS 75-79 years: p = 0.068 80-84 years: p = 0.414 $\geq$ 85 years: p = 0.962	Not done	MVA for R ± CHOP/CHOEP (ref) vs. less intensive t/t 75-79 years: OS, HR 1.54, 95% CI (1.04-2.30)	Not done	age, sex, IPI, CCI score	No
≥80	Eyre et al. (subgroup analysis)	80%	Not done	CIR: Age ≥80 years IDI <80% SHR: 1.40 95% CI (0.95-2.07), p=0.09	Not done	CIR: Age ≥80 years IDI <80% SHR: 1.48 95% CI (0.96-2.29), p=0.078	Age, stage, ECOG PS $\geq 2$ , LDH, albumin, gender, B- symptoms, EN >1,	Yes
	Juul et al. (subgroup analysis)	80%	Full dose $\geq$ 80%, KM analysis for OS 80-84 years: p = 0.414 $\geq$ 85 years: p = 0.962	Not done	MVA for R ± CHOP/CHOEP (ref) vs. less intensive t/t 80-84 years: OS, HR 1.39, 95% CI (1.01-1.91) ≥ 85 years: OS, HR 1.04, 95% CI (0.69-1.58)	Not done	age, sex, IPI, CCI score	No
	Vidal et al. (subgroup analysis)	90%	Not done for the subgroup of $\geq 80$ years	Not done	Delivered dose of [H] <90%: OS, HR 0.88, 95% CI (0.74-1.06), p = 0.16 Delivered dose of [C] <90%: OS, HR	Not done	Age, gender, IPI, Hb, albumin	No

				0.87, 95% CI (0.72-1.06), p = 0.16			
Carson et	85%	KM, and log-	Not done	Not done	Not done	Not done	No
al.		rank for OS					
		comparison					
		1-yr OS rate:					
		RDI ≥85% -					
		59%					
		RDI <85% -					
		70%; (Log-					
		rank p=0.029),					
		HR not					
		provided					
Lee et al.	50%	KM analysis:	Not done	tARDI (/10%): HR 0.889, 95% CI	Not done	albumin, CCI	No
				(0.809-0.975), p=0.013		score, IPI	
		tARDI >50%				score	
		vs. ≤50%					
		2yr OS =					
		61.8% vs.					
		50.8%,					
		p=0.030					

Abbreviations:

OS: Overall survival; PFS: Progression-free survival; MVA: multivariable analysis; UVA: univariable analysis

C: Cyclophosphamide; H: Doxorubicin; O: Vincristine; P: Prednisone; R: Rituximab; THP-ADM: tetrahydropyranyladriamycin;

IPI – International prognostic index; CCI: Charlson Comorbidity Index; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; ULN: Upper limit of normal; EN: extranodal disease; PS: performance status

SHR: sub-hazard ratio

IDI – Intended dose intensity = Average delivered dose [C+H] in cycle 1, expressed as a % relative to the standard dose.

RDI - Relative Dose Intensity (varies by study design). See table 2 for details on the derivation of RDI.

tARDI: total average RDI used in Lee et al. Haematologica 2020

CIR: Cumulative Incidence of Relapse