

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our [Editorial Policies](#) and the [Editorial Policy Checklist](#).

Statistics

For all statistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.

n/a Confirmed

- The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
- A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
- The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided
Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
- A description of all covariates tested
- A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
- A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
- For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. F , t , r) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and P value noted
Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.
- For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
- For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
- Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated

Our web collection on [statistics for biologists](#) contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about [availability of computer code](#)

Data collection PerkinElmer Vectra Automated Multispectral Imaging System

Data analysis GraphPad Prism version 7.00 (California USA); R package "meta", 4.15-1; R version 3.6.1.

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research [guidelines for submitting code & software](#) for further information.

Data

Policy information about [availability of data](#)

All manuscripts must include a [data availability statement](#). This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All sequencing data are deposited to European Genome-phenome Archive which are available upon request to corresponding author

Field-specific reporting

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Life sciences Behavioural & social sciences Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see [nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf](https://www.nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf)

Life sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size	The sample size was the maximum number of cases and controls available for analysis.
Data exclusions	Cases were excluded if a mutation in BRCA1 or BRCA2 was detected.
Replication	not applicable
Randomization	Cases and controls were not randomized. Cases were women with a personal and family history of breast cancer. Controls were cancer free women from the general population.
Blinding	There was no blinding. The data generated was sequencing data to determine the presence or absence of a mutation. The mutation calling was performed by an automated pipeline for both cases and controls. This is not influenced by interpretation biases.

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems

n/a	Involvement in the study
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Antibodies
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Eukaryotic cell lines
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Palaeontology and archaeology
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Animals and other organisms
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Human research participants
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical data
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Dual use research of concern

Methods

n/a	Involvement in the study
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> ChIP-seq
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Flow cytometry
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> MRI-based neuroimaging

Antibodies

Antibodies used	NTHL1 antibody (Abcam, Branford, Connecticut, USA), AE1/AE3 antibody (multi-cytokeratin antibody, Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany), HRP-labelled anti-rabbit antibody (PerkinElmer Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and anti-mouse antibody (PerkinElmer, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA).
Validation	Antibodies have been validated by the manufacturing companies.

Human research participants

Policy information about [studies involving human research participants](#)

Population characteristics	The cases study participants were all female with a personal and family history of breast cancer and over the age of 18. The controls were women in the Lifepool cohort (www.lifepool.org) who were above 40 years old and cancer-free as of May 2016.
Recruitment	The cases were recruited through familial cancer centres in Australia and the controls were recruited through breast screen Victoria.
Ethics oversight	the Human Research Ethics Committee at the Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.