

## Supplementary Methods

### Capture-based sequencing

RNA capture probes for the coding regions of the 69 genes were designed using Agilent's SureDesign service (<https://earray.chem.agilent.com/suredesign/home.htm>). Pooled and barcoded libraries for NGS were prepared using SureSelect QXT kits (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Prepared libraries were sequenced by 150-bp SE reads using Illumina MiSeq sequencers. Filtering of candidate variants was achieved, as described previously <sup>1</sup>. We first excluded single nucleotide variants (SNVs) with allele frequencies of >0.01 in any population within the Exome Aggregation Consortium <sup>2</sup> (ExAC: <http://exac.broadinstitute.org>); National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Exome Sequencing Project exome variant server dataset ESP6500 (<http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/>); 1000 Genomes catalog <sup>3</sup> (<http://browser.1000genomes.org/index.html>); Human Genetic Variation Database <sup>4</sup> (HGVD: <http://www.genome.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp/SnpDB/>); or the genome cohort study of Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization <sup>5</sup> (ToMMo: <https://ijgvd.megabank.tohoku.ac.jp>). We excluded SNVs with “LOW” impact severities, based on the definition in the GEMINI software <sup>6</sup>; these included the following functional predictions: “synonymous\_coding,”

“intergenic,” “upstream,” “UTR,” “intron,” etc. To interpret the significance of the variants, we used the Polyphen2 <sup>7</sup>, SIFT <sup>8</sup>, CADD <sup>9</sup>, MCAP <sup>10</sup>, and GERP conservation scores <sup>11</sup>. The literature that was available in the Human Gene Mutation Database Pro <sup>12</sup> was reviewed to assess current evidence on the pathogenicity of previously reported variants. The detailed methods were described in our previous reports <sup>13,14</sup>.

### **Supplementary Methods References**

1. Chong JX, Burrage LC, Beck AE *et al.* Autosomal-Dominant Multiple Pterygium Syndrome Is Caused by Mutations in MYH3. *Am J Hum Genet* 2015; **96**: 841–849.
2. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel E V. *et al.* Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature* 2016; **536**: 285–291.
3. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, Auton A, Brooks LD *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* 2015; **526**: 68–74.
4. Higasa K, Miyake N, Yoshimura J *et al.* Human genetic variation database, a reference database of genetic variations in the Japanese population. *J Hum Genet* 2016; **61**: 547–53.

5. Nagasaki M, Yasuda J, Katsuoka F *et al.* Rare variant discovery by deep whole-genome sequencing of 1,070 Japanese individuals. *Nat Commun* 2015; **6**: 8018.
6. Paila U, Chapman BA, Kirchner R *et al.* GEMINI: Integrative Exploration of Genetic Variation and Genome Annotations. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2013; **9**: e1003153.
7. Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L *et al.* A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods* 2010; **7**: 248–249.
8. Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nat Protoc* 2009; **4**: 1073–1082.
9. Kircher M, Witten DM, Jain P *et al.* A general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants. *Nat Genet* 2014; **46**: 310–315.
10. Jagadeesh KA, Wenger AM, Berger MJ *et al.* M-CAP eliminates a majority of variants of uncertain significance in clinical exomes at high sensitivity. *Nat Genet* 2016; **48**: 1581–1586.
11. Davydov E V., Goode DL, Sirota M *et al.* Identifying a high fraction of the human genome to be under selective constraint using GERP++. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2010; **6**: e1001025.

12. Stenson PD, Mort M, Ball E V. *et al.* The Human Gene Mutation Database: towards a comprehensive repository of inherited mutation data for medical research, genetic diagnosis and next-generation sequencing studies. *Hum Genet* 2017; **136**: 665–677.
13. Mori T, Hosomichi K, Chiga M *et al.* Comprehensive genetic testing approach for major inherited kidney diseases, using next-generation sequencing with a custom panel. *Clin Exp Nephrol* 2017; **21**: 63–75.
14. Fujimaru T, Mori T, Sekine A *et al.* Kidney enlargement and multiple liver cyst formation implicate mutations in PKD1/2 in adult sporadic polycystic kidney disease. *Clin Genet* 2018; **94**: 125–131.

**Supplementary Table S1. Disease categories and the targeted genes included in this**

**panel**

<b>Disease family</b>	<b>Targeted Gene</b>
ADPKD	<i>PKD1, PKD2</i>
ARPKD	<i>PKHD1</i>
NPHP	<i>NPHP1, INVS, NPHP3, NPHP4, IQCB1, CEP290, GLIS2, RPGRIP1L, NEK8, SDCCAG8, TMEM67, TTC21B, WDR19, ZNF423, CEP164, ANKS6, IFT172, CEP83, DCDC2, XPNPEP3, SLC41A1</i>
JBS	<i>NPHP1, CEP290, RPGRIP1L, TMEM67, TTC21B, ZNF423, CEP164, IFT172, INPP5E, TMEM216, AH11, ARL13B, CC2D2A, OFD1, KIF7, TCTN1, TMEM237, CEP41, TMEM138, C5orf42, TCTN3, TMEM231, CSPP1, PDE6D, MKS1, TCTN2, B9D1</i>
MKS	<i>NPHP3, CEP290, RPGRIP1L, TMEM67, TMEM216, CC2D2A, TMEM231, MKS1, TCTN2, B9D1, B9D2</i>
SLS	<i>NPHP1, INVS, NPHP3, NPHP4, IQCB1, CEP290, GLIS2, SDCCAG8, WDR19, CEP164</i>
BBS	<i>CEP290, SDCCAG8, TMEM67, TTC21B, WDR19, IFT172, MKS1, BBS1, BBS2, ARL6, BBS4, BBS5, MKKS, BBS7, TTC8, BBS9, BBS10, TRIM32, BBS12, WDPCP, BBIP1, IFT27, CCDC28B</i>
Skeletal ciliopathies	<i>TTC21B, WDR19, IFT172, WDR35, IFT122, IFT140, IFT43</i>
ADTKD	<i>MUC1, UMOD, HNF1B</i>
Others	<i>ASS1, NOTCH2</i>

ADPKD, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; ARPKD, autosomal recessive

polycystic kidney disease; NPHP, nephronophthisis; JBS, Joubert syndrome; MKS,

Meckel syndrome; SLS, Senior–Løken syndrome; BBS, Bardet–Biedl syndrome; IFT,

intraflagellar transport; ADTKD, autosomal dominant tubulointerstitial kidney disease

**Supplementary Table S2. Primer sequences of *NPHPI***

<b>Amplified Region <sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Primer</b>	<b>Sequence</b>	<b>Product size (bp)</b>	<b>Annealing Temperature (°C)</b>
Exon 1	Forward	GCCGGTTGGTTTCCCTG	209	60
	Reverse	TAGGTGGGGTCACGGTGG		
Exon 10	Forward	GGCATTGGAAGTGCCTG	258	60
	Reverse	TTGCAACTATGACAAAATCTGG		
Exon 20	Forward	TCCTACCTCTTAGGTGGCTTTTAG	509	60
	Reverse	AATCGTGGAGGATCCATCTG		

<sup>a</sup> The following NCBI reference sequences were used: *NPHPI*, NM\_000272.3

**Supplementary Table S3. Detected copy number variants in *NPHP1***

<b>Patient</b>	<b>Chromosome</b>	<b>Start <sup>a</sup></b>	<b>End <sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Gene</b>	<b>Gain/Loss</b>
883	2	g.110879716	g.110962709	<i>NPHP1</i>	loss
896	2	g.110962410	g.110879895	<i>NPHP1</i>	loss
1207	2	g.110879716	g.110962709	<i>NPHP1</i>	loss

<sup>a</sup> Based on the GRCh37 assembly

**Supplementary Table S4. Mutations in the genes related with nephronophthisis**

Patient	Mutation	Gene <sup>a</sup>	cDNA change	Protein change	Mutation type	CADD	Polyphen	SIFT	ExAC	ToMMo	Previous reports
720	Frameshift	<i>NPHP3</i>	c.2425dupA	p.M809fs	Heterozygous	None	None	None	None	None	None
	Missense	<i>NPHP3</i>	c.2171G>A	p.R724H	Heterozygous	4.99	0.001	0.6	None	None	None
478 <sup>b</sup>	Missense	<i>NPHP4</i>	c.2198G>A	p.G733D	Heterozygous	20.1	1	0	9.10E-05	0.0037	None
	Missense	<i>NPHP4</i>	c.2717G>A	p.R906H	Heterozygous	5.89	0.003	0.21	0.0002	0.0061	None
1107	Nonsense	<i>NPHP1</i>	c.1639C>T	p.Q547*	Homozygous	38.0	None	None	None	None	None
930 <sup>b</sup>	Missense	<i>CEP164</i>	c.3737G>A	p.R1246Q	Heterozygous	3.32	0.001	0.65	8.24E-06	0.0005	None
	Missense	<i>CEP164</i>	c.452G>A	p.R151Q	Heterozygous	24.6	0.999	0.0	4.12E-05	0.0049	None

CADD, combined annotation-dependent depletion; SIFT, sorting intolerant from tolerant; ExAC, exome aggregation consortium; ToMMo, Tohoku

Medical Megabank Organization

<sup>a</sup> The following NCBI reference sequences were used: *NPHP3*, NM\_153240.4; *NPHP4*, NM\_015102.4; *NPHP1*, NM\_000272.3; *CEP164*, NM\_014956.4

<sup>b</sup> Patient numbers 478 and 930 were confirmed compound heterozygous mutations by trio analysis



**Supplementary Table S5. Clinical characteristics of the pathologic control group**

<b>Age<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Sex</b>	<b>eGFR<sup>a</sup>, mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Pathologic diagnosis</b>	<b>Percentage of tubulointerstitial fibrosis</b>
42	M	30.1	IgA nephropathy	30–40%
49	M	26.0	IgA nephropathy	30–40%
54	M	33.3	Diabetic nephropathy	N.D.
63	M	19.5	Tubulointerstitial nephritis	30%
63	F	30.0	Tubulointerstitial nephritis	10%
68	M	15.7	Diabetic nephropathy	80–90%
70	F	33.8	IgA nephropathy	30%
74	M	86.0	Diabetic nephropathy	20%
80	M	20.0	IgA nephropathy	30%

M, male; F, female; N.D., no data

<sup>a</sup> At the time of renal biopsy

## **Supplementary Figure 1. Representative pathologic findings**

a. Tubular diverticulum (patient number 914, PAM stain, 20× magnification). b. Tubular floret, which is defined as branching in at least four directions (patient number 742, PAM stain, 20× magnification). c. Cyst, which is defined as >200 μm in diameter (patient number 742, PAM stain, 10× magnification). All slides were scanned on a NanoZoomer NDP system with 40× resolution (0.23 μm/pixel) (Hama-matsu Photonics, Hamamatsu-City, Japan).

PAM, periodic acid methenamine silver

