



## eLife's transparent reporting form

We encourage authors to provide detailed information *within their submission* to facilitate the interpretation and replication of experiments. Authors can upload supporting documentation to indicate the use of appropriate reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](#)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](#)), or the [ARRIVE guidelines](#) for reporting work involving animal research. Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards documents in this form.

If you have any questions, please consult our Journal Policies and/or contact us: [editorial@elifesciences.org](mailto:editorial@elifesciences.org).

### Sample-size estimation

- You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
- You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
- If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Sample size was determined a priori as a compromise between sampling effort (e.g., scanning capacity) and high sensitivity (using Cohen's  $d = 0.25$ ) in the case of univariate analyses (e.g., ANOVAs on body mass, tibia length). Similarly, for multivariate analyses of shape, the same sample size yields highly repeatable covariance matrices for use in downstream applications (e.g., PCA, Procrustes ANOVA). This information is provided in the legend to Table 1.

### Replicates

- You should report how often each experiment was performed
- You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
- The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
- If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
- Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
- High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Replication does not apply to our study design, as these are historical samples from a selection experiment. No outliers were removed from the analyses at any point.



### Statistical reporting

- Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
- Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
- For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
- Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

All statistical procedures are described in the Methods section. Information on the tests used, means etc. and p-levels are provided for each experiment in relevant sections of the results, and in Table 1, and Supplementary Files 1-3.

(For large datasets, or papers with a very large number of statistical tests, you may upload a single table file with tests, Ns, etc., with reference to sections in the manuscript.)

### Group allocation

- Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
- Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Group allocation does not apply to our study design, in which the groups are already identified by line and generation membership. Adult and juvenile crania were landmarked automatically to reduce intra-observer error (see Methods: Landmarking), while for juvenile cranial base image stacks the identity of the individual mouse was masked during landmarking to reduce intra-observer bias (see Methods: Landmarking).

### Additional data files ("source data")

- We encourage you to upload relevant additional data files, such as numerical data that are represented as a graph in a figure, or as a summary table
- Where provided, these should be in the most useful format, and they can be uploaded as "Source data" files linked to a main figure or table
- Include model definition files including the full list of parameters used
- Include code used for data analysis (e.g., R, MatLab)
- Avoid stating that data files are "available upon request"



eLIFE

1st Floor  
24 Hills Road  
Cambridge CB2 1JP, UK

P 01223 855340  
W [elifesciences.org](http://elifesciences.org)  
T @elife

Please indicate the figures or tables for which source data files have been provided:

Source data, in the form of raw coordinates with relevant categorical factors and covariates, is provided for Figures 1 (with figure supplements 1 to 3), Figure 4 and Figure 6, and Supplementary Files 1-3. Analyses were performed in publicly available R statistical packages.