Supplementary information

Management of congenital nephrotic syndrome: consensus recommendations of the ERKNet-ESPN Working Group

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

Management of Congenital Nephrotic Syndrome: Consensus Recommendations by the ERKNet-ESPN Working Group

Olivia Boyer, Franz Schaefer, Dieter Haffner, Detlef Bockenhauer, Tuula Hölttä, Sandra Bérody, Hazel Webb⁶ Marie Heselden, Beata S Lipska-Ziętkiewicz, Fatih Ozaltin, Elena Levtchenko and Marina Vivarelli

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplementary Table 1: Area or expertise and responsibilities of core group members

Supplementary Table 2: Evidence review

Supplementary Table 1: Area or expertise and responsibilities of core group members

| Name | Area of expertise | Responsibilities |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| Bérody, Sandra | Neonatology | Neonatal management Management of complications |
| Bockenhauer, Detlef | Pediatric Nephrology Nephropathology Guideline development | Edema control, indications for nephrectomy |
| Boyer, Olivia | Pediatric nephrology Guideline development | Guideline development Management of complications, dialysis |
| Haffner, Dieter | Pediatric nephrology Guideline development | Methodology, prostaglandin inhibitors, diuretics, outcome measures |
| Hölttä, Tuula | Pediatric nephrology | Post-transplant recurrence |
| Levtchenko, Elena | Pediatric nephrology Guideline development | Management of complications, of infectious and immune causes, ethics |
| Lipska-Ziętkiewicz, Beata S. | Clinical Genetics, Genetic Counselling, Renal genetics | Genetic diagnostics and management |
| Ozaltin, Fatih | Pediatric nephrology Renal genetics | Genetic diagnostics and management |
| Schaefer, Franz | Pediatric nephrology Guideline development | RAS inhibition, prostaglandin inhibition, outcome measures |
| Vivarelli, Marina | Pediatric nephrology Guideline development | Diagnostics and management, prevention of complications, indications for biopsy |
| Webb, Hazel | Pediatric nephrology nursing | Management of complications Ambulatory management |
| Heselden, Marie | Patient representative | |

Supplementary Table 2 : Evidence review

| No | 1 st author, year, country of origin [Ref.] | Title of Publication | Study design | Key-words | Patients | Intervention and comparator | Outcomes |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| Interv | entions (Observa | tion Studies) | | • | | | • |
| 1 | Bérody S. ¹ Nephrol Dial Transplant (2018) France PMID: 29474669 DOI: <u>10.1093/ndt/</u> gfy015 | Treatment and Outcome of Congenital Nephrotic Syndrome | Nationwide retrospective study on 55 consecutive children born between 2000 and 2014 treated for non- infectious CNS. 18 French pediatric nephrology centers | Albumin Chronic renal failure Nephrotic syndrome Quality of life Survival analysis | N=55 analyzed from 51 families (46% consanguinity) Sex (F/M): 0.9:1 Median Follow-up: 44 month (1-168) N=17/55 relative with congenital, infantile or steroid- resistant NS N=6/55 history of intrauterine fetal death in a sibling | Daily albumin Infusion from diagnosis: N=53/55 (96%);median age 14 days Target albumin level:>20g/L Mean dosage: 2.5 6 4.3 to 3.4 6 2.4 g/kgBW N=10/53 (18%) albumin withdrawn with normal eGFR; Median age 11 month (5-29) N=7/10 'mild' NPHS1 variant N=1/10 homozygous 'severe' NPHS1 variant N=1/10 compound heterozygous NPHS2 N=1/10 heterozygous NPHS1 (considered as not mutated) N=2/10 ESKD (12 / 54 mo of age) N=8/10 remained free from albumin substitution at last follow-up Substitution of i.v. Immunglobulins (IVIg): N=24/55 (43%) Prophylactic Phenoxymethylpenicillin: N=22/55 (40%) ACEi: N=48/55 (87%) Indomethacin: N=28/51(45%) Anticoagulation: N=51/55 (92%) | Estimated cumulative incidence $0.5 \text{ per } 100,000$ Underlying genetic defect: Biallelic NPHS1: N=37/55 (65%); 7 novel variants Biallelic NPHS2: N=5/55 (7%) Heterozygous WT1: N=4/55 (7%) Not identified: N=9/55 (16%); N=2/9 no DNA $N=2/19$ regularly outpatient clinic N=35/54 hospitalization until dialysis or deathInfectious and Thrombotic Complications Infection rate: 2.41/patient/year N=39/55 (71%) total 120 bacterial infections (0- 8/patient); n.s. compared between different groups Catheter sepsis rate: 1.82/patient/year; n.s. compared between different groups22 reported thrombotic episodes in N=16/55 (40%); catheter thrombosis 0.25/patient $N=2/16$ (13%) cerebrovascular accidentsKidney and Patient Survival n=39/55 (71%) ESKD median age 11 mo (0-63) $N=19/39$ (49) natural course of disease, median age 13 mo (0-63) $N=1 N/A$ Median age of ESKD significantly higher in children with initial creatininemia <50µmol/L compared to children >50µmol/L (12 mo vs. 2 mo, p<0.005) |

| Renal survival: Year 1: 65% Year 2: 45% Year 3: 21% Year 5: 5% Dialysis: N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
|---|
| Year 2: 45% Year 3: 21% Year 5: 5% Dialysis: N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| Year 3: 21% Year 5: 5% Dialysis: N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| Year 5: 5% Dialysis: N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| Dialysis: N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N= 33/55 (60%) median age 11 mo (1-54) N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=7/33 (21%) died on dialysis Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| Transplantation: N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=24/55 (44%) median age 29.5 mo (18-65); no post-transplant recurrence of NS Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| post-transplant recurrence of NS |
| Death: N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=13/55 (24%) N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=4/13 at diagnosis N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| N=2/13 from ESKD at 1 mo of age |
| |
| N=1/13 heart arrhythmia after PD |
| N=2/13 nosocomial septic shock |
| N=6/13 on dialysis after bilateral nephrectomy |
| (various causes) |
| N=1/13 sudden death at 5yr old transplanted |
| child from heart failure |
| |
| Outcome of children with NPHS1 variant vs |
| other variants |
| N=25/37 (68%) reached ESKD vs N=14/18 (78%) |
| with no or other variant; p=0.53 |
| Median age (mo) of ESKD:13 (6-54) vs 3.5 (0-64) |
| p<0.005 |
| Median age (mo) at death: 13.5 (7-64) vs 4(1- |
| 16), p<0.02 |
| |
| No phenotype-genotype correlation between |
| 'mild' and 'severe' <i>NPHS1</i> variants. |
| 2 Dufek S. ² Management of Retrospective bilateral N=80 (from 17 Albumin Infusion: <u>Genetics</u> : |
| Children with 6-year review nephrectom tertiary nephrology N=68/71 (96%); median age of 9 days NPHS1: N=55 (69%) |
| Nephrol Dial Congenital Nephrotic 1 Jan 2010-31 ies, units) (3-47) WT1: N=9 (11%) |
| Transplant Syndome: challenging Dec 2015 S-Albumin 10 (8-13) g/L NPHS2: N=1 (1.3%) |
| treatment paradigm members of CNS, Sex (M/F): 40/40 Median dose 2 (1-3) g/kg/dose and 7 LAMB2: N=2 (2.5%) |
| (2018) ESPN Dialysis (4-7) sessions/week PLCE1: N=1 (1.3%) |
| Working Group genotype- 90% Caucasian SGPL1: N=1 (1.3%) Novel gene variant |
| UK phenotype 10% Asian N=14 (20%) received albumin |
| correlation, 6% Arabic infusions at home No causative variant: N=11 (14%) |

| PMID:302157 | 22 centers in 15 | | | N=3 (3.8%) DMS |
|-----------------|------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 73 | countries | manageme | ACEi: | N=2 (2.5%) FSGS |
| DOI: | | nt | N=42/71 (59%) median age of 57 | N=1 Galloway Mowat & Pierson |
| 10.1093/ndt/ | | | days (28-81) | N=2 uncertain / nonpathogenic variant in |
| <u>gfy165</u> | | NPHS1 | N=7/42 (17%) ACEi + indomethacin | Nphs1 / WT1 |
| <u>A.1 = 00</u> | | | | |
| | | | S-Albumin pre- and post ACEi | <u>ACEi treatment</u> |
| | | | available only in 33/71(79%) children | No difference between children only on ACEi |
| | | | | compared to ACEi+indomethacin (p=0.3). |
| | | | Anti-thrombotic therapy: | compared to Acermuomethach (p=0.5). |
| | | | N=45/71 (63%) | Increase in S-Albumin: |
| | | | N-45/71 (05%) | |
| | | | No | N=14/21 (67%) NPHS1 |
| | | | Nephrectomy: | N=1/1 (100%) NPHS2 |
| | | | N=33/71 (47%) | N=8/11 (78%) all others |
| | | | N=29/33 (87%) NPHS2 | |
| | | | N=4/33 (12%) without confirmed | Anti-thrombotic therapy: |
| | | | genetic cause | N=9/71 (13%) developed thrombosis |
| | | | | N=4/26 (15%) not on prophylaxis |
| | | | N=4/33 (12%) unilateral (age: 6 mo | N=5/45 (11%) on prophylaxis (warfarin n=3, |
| | | | (4-11) | heparin n=1 ,aspirin n=1) → p=0.06 |
| | | | N=6/33 (18%) bilateral two steps | |
| | | | N=23/33 (70%) bilateral one step | |
| | | | (age: 9 mo (7-16)) | Comparison bilateral nephrectomy vs. |
| | | | | conservative treatment (only NPHS1 variant |
| | | | | <u>N=55) -</u> N=13/55 excluded- |
| | | | | N=25 nephrectomized |
| | | | | N=15 conservative treatment |
| | | | | Median follow up from birth: |
| | | | | 35 (22-40) month vs 33 (22-54) month |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | ACEis: 28% vs. 94%, p<0.001 |
| | | | | Dialysis: 100% vs 35% , p<0.001 |
| | | | | ESKD: 8 month vs 25 month, p<0.001 |
| | | | | Median renal survival: 8 (Cl 6-10) vs 45 (Cl 26-64) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | month |
| | | | | Transplantation (N=24): 80% vs 24%, p<0.001 |
| | | | | Tx age: 17 vs 33 month, p<0=0.005) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | <u>Complications</u> |
| | | | | Peritonitis: 32% vs 13%, p=0.16 |
| | | | | Central line infection: 48% vs 47%, p=0.95 |
| | | | | Septic episodes: 54% vs 53%, p=0.94 |
| | | | | Thrombotic events: 16% vs 12%, p=0.70 |

| 3 | Dufek S. ³ Pediatr Nephrol (2018) UK https://doi.or g/10.1007/s0 0467-018- 4122-0 | Infants with congenital nephrotic syndrome have a comparable outcome to infants with other renal diseases | Retrospective 6-year review 1 Jan 2010-31 Dec 2015 members of ESPN Dialysis Working Group 22 centers in 15 countries | CNS Infant Dialysis Complicatio n | N=80 (from 17 tertiary nephrology units) Sex (M/F): 40/40 90% Caucasian 10% Asian 6% Arabic | $\begin{array}{l} \hline \textbf{Dialysis:}\\ N=55/80 (69\%)\\ N=34/55 (62\%) NPHS1\\ N=1/1 (100\%) NPHS2\\ N=9/9 (100\%) WT1\\ N=11/15 (73\%) other geneticcauses\\ \hline \textbf{Short Term Dialysis <3 months:}\\ N=11/155 (14\%)\\ \hline \textbf{Chronic Dialysis ≥3 months:}\\ N=11/55 (14\%)\\ \hline \textbf{Chronic Dialysis ≥3 months:}\\ N=44/55 (55\%)\\ N=25/44 (57\%) NPHS1\\ N=1/44 (57\%) NPHS1\\ N=1/44 (25\%) NPHS2\\ N=7/44 (16\%) WT1\\ N=11/44 (25\%) other diagnosis\\ \hline \textbf{Median age at start: } 8 mo (4-14)\\ \hline \textbf{Dialysis required:}\\ N=17/44 (39\%) by age 6 mo\\ N=30/44 (69\%) by 1 yr\\ N=40/44 (91\%) by 2 yrs\\ \hline \end{array}$ | Recurrence of NS post Tx: 3/15 (12%) vs 1/25 (4%) Death due to cardiovascular cause: N=1/25 (4%) at 13 month of age (NX group) N=2/17 (12%) at 33 and 49 mo of age (conservative group) Phenotype-Genotype Correlation in NPHS1 variant and conservative treatment (N=17) In the conservative group, 8 of 17 children had variants classified as 'severe' and 9 had at least one variant classified as 'milder'. Baseline parameters were comparable : gestational age; birthweight; age at presentation; S-albumin and S-creatinine at presentation. A comparable percentage of children in both groups showed an increase in S-albumin with ACEi therapy (75% versus 80%; P.0.86). Renal survival and age at start of dialysis and patient survival Median renal survival: NPHS1: 15 month (95% CI 8.6-21.4) NPHS2: 30 month (95% CI 0.3-19.7) Complications on PD: N=20/41 (49%) peritonitis Peritonitis rate 0.77 per year at risk No difference in patients with or without nephrectomy N=0/41 (0%) thrombosis N=10/41(24%) hernias N=3/41 (7%) pleural effusion (2 switched to HD) Complications on HD: N=1/17 (6%) CVL infection N=0/17 (0%) septic episodes |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|

| 4 | <u>Hölttä T.</u> 4 | Timing of renal | Retrospective | CNS | Total N=170 CNS | PD:N=41/44 (91%) PDN=13/41 (31%) CCPD with dayexchange or dry dayN=8/44 (20%) CCPD with last bagfill inN=6/44 (15%) ambulatory PDN=1/44 (2%) tital PDN=14/41 (34%) switch to HDHD:N=3/44 (7%), no switch to PDChildren started are PD younger thanthose with HD: 7 vs 17 month,p=0.05 | Final Follow-up: Median age 31 (14-49) month N=29/80 (36%) transplanted patients the during study, median age at transplantation: 22(14-28) mo median time on chronic dialysis before transplantation: 9 (6-18) mo death: N=14/80 (18%) N=3/14 (21%) within 3 months of dialysis N=5/14 (36%) on chronic dialysis (4 PD; 1HD); median age of death 11(5-51) mo Causes of death on dialysis: N=3/14 (21%) sepsis (4PD, 1HD) N=2/14 (12%) cardiac arrest (1PD, 1HD) N=1/14 (7%) severe prematurity (PD) N=2/14 (14%) withdrawal of active treatment (1 PD, 1 CVVH) |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | <u>Pediatr</u> | replacement therapy does not influence | study Data from Jan | NPHS1 | patients 100% NPHS1 | N= /170 (88.8%) | 0.7 (ICR: 0.6-0.8) yrs (Finnish) vs 1.7 yrs (IQR: 1.0- 2.9) other countries, p<0.01 |
| | <u>Nephrol</u> (2016) | survival and growth in children with congenital nephrotic syndrome | 1991 – 31 Dec 2012 collected | Kidney | variants | Initial RRT: Non-Finnish: N= 10/104 (9.9%) | |
| | | children with congenital | 1991 – 31 Dec | Kidney Transplanta tion Graft Survival Pediatrics | variants initiated RRT Sex M/F: 79/91 Finnish patients: N=66/170 (39%) Sex M/F: 35/31 | | <u>5-year patient survival on RRT:</u> <u>unadjusted</u> Finnish <i>NPHS1</i> : 91% Non-Finnish <i>NPHS1</i> : 91% CAKUT: 90% P=0.83 Adjusted for age at the start of RRT and sex: |

| | | | | Group of CAKUT patients age matched to non- finish patients N=312 Sex M/F:251/61 (19.6%) | Median Dialysis Time before RTx: 0.9 (0.67) years, no difference between two groups <u>Median age at start of RRT:</u> Finnish NPHS1: 0.7 (QR: 0.6–0.8) years Non-Finnish NPHS1:1.7 (IQR: 1.0–2.9) P<0.01 | Non-Finnish: 97.8% CAKUT control: 96.6% P=0.42 Risk of death (adjusted hazard ratio) post RTx Finnish: 0.32, 95 % CI: 0.04–2.86 Non-Finnish: 0.45, 95 % CI: 0.09–2.35 CAKUT control: similar (no numbers) Renal Transplantation and Graft Survival After 5 year follow-up: graft survival did not differ between the groups: 89%, also comparable with 10 pre-emptive transplants (80%) eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2): Finnish: 54 (47-67) Non-Finnish: 69 (59-83) P<0.01 \rightarrow note that only 64% of Finnish and 24% of Non-Finnish patient were available After 8.5 year follow-up: Grafts lost total N=26 Finnish: N=11 (18%) Non-Finnish: N=15 (17%) |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5 | <u>Büscher A.</u> ⁵ <u>CJASN</u> (2016) | Rapid Response to Cyclosporin A and Favorable Renal Outcome in Nongenetic Versus Genetic Steroid– | Retrospective Multicenter Study (Essen, Münster, | Total N=231 (219 families) Sex M/W: 106/215 | CsA Titrated to achieve blood level between 80 and 150 ng/ml CNS patients: | Genetic/Variant Analysis: Overall detection rate: N=131/231 (57%) CNS: 97% N=60/62 SRNS: 42% N=71/169 |
| | <u>Germany</u> PMID:266680 27 PMCID: | Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome | Hannover, Köln, Innsbruck, Hamburg, Heidelberg, München)) | N=62/231 (27%) CNS patients N=60/62 genetic N=2/62 no variant detected | N=0/2 (0%) non-genetic N=9/56 (16%) genetic <u>SRNS patients:</u> N=82/96 (85%) non-genetic N=32/68 (47%) genetic | NPHS1 (N=35), NPHS2 (N=43), WT1 (N=33), LAMB2 (N=3), PLCE1 (N=2), TRPC6 (N=5), INF2 (N=3), ARHGDIA (N=1), LMX1B (N=2) Novel podocyte genes (N=2) |
| | <u>PMC4741047</u> DOI: <u>10.2215/CJN.</u> <u>07370715</u> | | | N=169/231 (73%) primary SRNS N=71/169 genetic N=98/169 non- genetic Median observation time: | <u>Complete remission definition:</u> proteinuria ,4 mg/m2/h, urinary protein to creatinine ratio ,30 mg/mmol, or trace of protein on dipstick analysis and normalization of serum albumin (3.5 g/dl). | Response to CsACNS patients (genetic):N=1/9 (11%) compound heterozygous NPHS1variant) complete remission within 2 month andpreserved normal renal functionN=8/9 (89%) no responseSRNS (genetic) |

| · | _ | | | | | 1 |
|---|---------------|---|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | , | | | 112 month (50-178 | Partial remission: definition | N=1/32 (3%) complete remission within 2.5 month |
| | | 1 | | IQR) | proteinuria between 4 and 40 | (ACTN4 variant) |
| | , | | | | mg/m2/h and normalization of | N=5/32 (16%) partial remission after 1.5, 6 and 8 |
| | , | | | ļ | serum albumin (.3.5 g/dl). | month |
| | | 1 | | ļ | 1 | N=26/32 (81%) |
| | | 1 | | ļ | ACEI/ARB; | 1 |
| | , | | | ļ | 1 | SRNS (non-genetic) |
| | | 1 | | ļ | CNS patients: | N=49/81 (60%) complete remission (Median 2.5 |
| | , | | | | N=40/52 (77%) genetic (N=32 of | month (ICR 1-5) |
| | | | | ļ | these without concomitant CsA Rx) | N=15/81 (19%) partial remission (Median 10.5 month (ICR:4-25) |
| | , | | | ļ | SRNS patients: | 1 |
| | , | | | | N=80/94 (85%) non-genetic (N=10 of | 1 |
| | | 1 | | | these without CsA) | Renal Function: |
| | | 1 | | | N=51/64 (80%) genetic (N=23 of | CNS patients (genetic): |
| | | | | | these without CsA) | N=50/60 (83%) median time to ESKD 24 month (7- 40) |
| | | 1 | | ļ | 1 | N=4/60 (7%) CKD |
| | , | | | ļ | i i | N=6/60 (10%) preservation of renal function |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | SRNS (genetic) |
| | | 1 | | I | 1 | N=64/70 (66%) median time to ESKD 44_month (5- |
| | | 1 | | I | 1 | 76) |
| | | 1 | | I | 1 | N=13/23 (54%) ACEi/ARB Rx: ESKD |
| | | 1 | | I | 1 | N=20/28 (71%) ACEI/ARB + CsA Rx : ESKD |
| | , | 1 | | I | i I | |
| | | 1 | | I | 1 | N=6/70 (9%) CKD |
| | | 1 | | I | 1 | N=18/70 (26%) preservation on renal function after |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | 115 month follow-up (ICR 77-165) |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | |
| | , | | | ļ | i i | SRNS (non-genetic) |
| | | 1 | | ļ | i I | N=69/96 (72%) normal renal function after media |
| | , | | | ļ | i i | follow-up of 94 mo (41-159) |
| | | 1 | | ļ | 1 | N=3/96 (3%) CKD |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | N=24/96 (25%) median time to ESKD 36 month (16- |
| | | | | ļ | i I | 101) |
| | | 1 | | ļ | i I | |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | Transplantation |
| | | 1 | | ļ | i I | |
| | | | | ļ | 1 | CNS patients (genetic): |
| | , | | | ļ | 1 | N=41/60 (68%) RTx without recurrence within |
| | | 1 | | ļ | i I | observation time |
| | , | | | ļ | i i | 1 |
| | | | | ļ | i I | SRNS (genetic) |
| | | 1 | 1 | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | N=41/71 RTx (58%) |

| | | | | | N=2/29 (7%) recurrence of the disease (no data N=12) <u>SRNS (non-genetic)</u> N=21/96 (22%) RTx N=8/16 (50%) recurrence of disease (no date N=5) <u>Renal Histology</u> Non-genetic: FSGS 69% > MCD 23%. Genetic: FSGS 21% (CNS) and 66% (SRNS), DMS 40% (CNS) and 14% (SRNS). |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| 6 <u>Büscher A.</u> ⁶ <u>Clin J Am Soc</u> <u>Nephrol</u> (2010) <u>Germany</u> PMID:207982 52 PMCID: <u>PMC3001773</u> DOI: 10.2215/CJN. 01190210 | Immunosuppression and Renal Outcome in Congenital and Pediatric Steroid-Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome | Retrospective Study of all CNS and SRNS patients treated in Münster and Essen between 1999-2009 | N=91 from 82 families N=26/91 (29%) CNS N=65/91 (71%) primary SRNS N=41 Non- genetic. N=24 genetic Sex (M/F): 44/47 Mean Observation Time 103.0 ± 68.2 months | CsA N=34 subsequent to CNS/SRNS diagnosis N=5/26 (19%) CNS patients N=7/24 (29%) genetic SRNS N=31/41 (76%) non-genetic SRNS mean dose at 6 months of therapy: 6.5±2.9 mg/kg/d levels to achieve: 80 and 120 ng/ml ACEi/ARB: N=21/26 (81%) CNS patients N=17/24 (71%) genetic SRNS N=34/41 (83%) non-genetic SRNS Definitions Complete Remission: Proteinuria <4 mg/m2/h or trace of protein on dipstick analysis and normalization of serum albumin (>3.5 g/dl) Partial Remission: Proteinuria between 4 and 40mg/m2/h and normalization of serum albumin. | Genetic / Variant Analysis Variant detection rate 52% (100% in CNS, 38% SRNS) 11 NPHS1 (7 novel) 17 NPHS2 11 WT1 1 LAMB2 3 TRPC6Response to CsA : CNS: N=0/5 (0%), p<0.001 vs non-genetic formSRNS (genetic): N=2/7 (29%) partial response (WT1 variant), none showed complete response, p<0.09 vs non-genetic formSRNS (non-genetic): N=17/31 (55%) complete remission N=4/31 (13%) partial responseDevelopment of ESRD: CNS: 84% p<0.0001 vs non-geneticSRNS (genetic):SRNS (genetic):N=17/31 (55%) complete remission N=4/31 (13%) partial responseDevelopment of ESRD: CNS: 84% p<0.0001 vs non-geneticSRNS (non-genetic): 29%Mean Time to ESRD: CNS patients: 37.4 ± 27.6 months (1 died at age of 1 year to impaired renal function). Genetic SRNS: 45.9 ± 45.2 month Non-genetic SRNS: 50.1 47.0 months |

| 7 | Kovacevic L. ⁷ | Management of | Retrospective | CNS | N=7 | Unilateral Nephrectomy: | Median follow up: 54 month (36-88): |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------|---|--|
| / | NUVALEVIL L. | Congenital Nephrotic | study from | CNS | IN-7 | N=7 (100%) | |
| | Pediatr | Syndrome | 1990 | | | Median age: 2.6 month (2.1-31) | Survival: |
| | | Syndrome | 1990 | Captopril | | Wedian age. 2.0 month (2.1-31) | |
| | Nephrol (2003) USA PMID:126874 55 https://DOI: 10.1007/s004 67-003-1131- 3 | | Single-center (Guy´s Hospital London) | Indomethac in Unilateral nephrectom Y Manageme nt | | Bilateral Nephrectomy+PD:N=4/7 (57%)Median age: 36.5 month (31-40)+Tx: N=4Median age 54 month /42-72)Captopril + IndomethacinCommenced at median of 2.5 monthof age (0.2-5.2 month)Prior to UNx in 6 children2 weeks after UNx in 1 child | N=5/7 (71%) alive; median age 74 (43-88) month N=2/7 (29%) died; N=1 age 48 mo; after 2nd nephrectomy, dialysis, transplantation N=1 age 42 mo; E.coli peritonitis, septic shock, during nephrotic stage Plasma Albumin: 11 (6-17) g/l at start 18 (15-22) g/l after 6 months 21 (18-25) g/l after 12 months P<0.001 |
| | | | | | | | Albumin infusion/patient/month: 7 (0-18) at start 0 (0-30) 6 months post treatment P=0.017 |
| 8 | Holmberg C. ⁸ Pediatr Nephrol (1995) Finland PMID: 7742232 Feb;9(1):87- 93 | Management of congeni tal nephrotic syndrome of the Finnish type. | Review and single center study Finnish Center 1985-1994 | Nephrotic Syndrome Congenital Finnish Type Therapy | N=43 CNF | | |

Case Reports

| 9 | Eichinger A. ⁹ | Cyclosporine A | Case Report | CNS | N=1 (F) | Daily albumin infusion + furosemide, | Genetics: |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | 2 | responsive congenital | | | | oral protein replacement vitamin and | WES: heterozygous variant in |
| | <u>Pediatric</u> | nephrotic syndrome with | | CsA | 4-month old | thyroid supplementation | NPHS1 (NM_004646.3: c.1219C > T, p.Arg407Trp) |
| | <u>Nephrology</u> | single heterozygous | | | | | NPHS2 (NM_014625.3: c.284C > T, p.Ser95Phe) |
| | | variants in NPHS1, | | NPHS1 | Eritrean Origin | ACEi (Captopril, max. 5mg/kg/d) and | PLCE1(NM_016341.3: c.3133G > T, p.Ala1045Ser) |
| | <u>(2018)</u> | NPHS2, and PLCE1 | | | | i ndomethacin (max. 5 mg/kg/d) | |
| | | | | NPHS2 | Symptoms at | | Resistant to standard corticosteroid therapy |
| | Germany | | | | presentation: | After genetic testing: | |
| | | | | PLCE1 | | 4-week prednisolone (60mg/m2/d) | Cyclosporin A Rx: |

| | https://doi.or g/10.1007/s0 0467-018- 3961-z | | | | life-threatening anasarca, hypoalbuminemia, proteinuria, and impaired growth. <u>Kidney Biopsy:</u> Primary podocytopathy with minimal changes mesangial hypercellularity foot process effacement | Daily cyclosporin A (4mg/kg/d), oral magnesium substitution, ACEi 17 mg/d/d) | Within 3 month complete remission i.v. albumin infusion discontinued adverse effects: mild CsA induced gingival enlargement and hypomagnesemia |
|----|--|---|-------------|--|---|--|--|
| 10 | Kim J. ¹⁰ <u>Pediatr</u> <u>Nephrol</u> (2011) <u>UK</u> <u>https://doi10.</u> <u>1007/s00467-</u> <u>011-1911-0</u> | Nephrotic syndrome in infancy can spontaneously resolve | Case Report | NS Congenital DMS Pertussis ACEi | Total N=4 Sex M/F: 2/2 Caucasians No consanguinity No dysmorphia <u>CNS patients:N=2</u> (<u>M</u>) 15 days – 7 months Infectious and genetic tests negative | Albumin infusion + furosemide + ACE/ARBs + Penicillin V 1 received steroids | Duration of albumin therapy: 2 days – 4 months Age at resolution of NS: 3.5 – 13 months Age at stopping all medications: 5 – 30 months Normal function and urinary sediment at last follow-up : 3.5 – 13 years |
| 11 | Slaughenhoup t_BL. Urology (1998) USA | Urologic Management of Congenital Nephrotic Syndrome of the Finnish Type | Case Report | | N=1 (F) 7 mo of age | | Successful bilateral nephrectomy and transplantation in an infant with refractory CNS. |

Genetics

| No | 1 st author, year, country of origin [Ref.] | Title of Publication | Study design | Key-words | Patients | Intervention and comparator | Outcomes |
|----|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| 12 | Braun D. ¹¹ <u>American</u> <u>Journal of</u> <u>Medical</u> <u>Genetics</u> <u>(2018)</u> <u>USA</u> <u>https://DOI:1</u> <u>0.1002/ajmg.a</u> <u>.40489</u> | Mutations in WDR4 as a new cause of Galloway– Mowat syndrome | Clinical Report | Galloway- Mowat- Syndrome Mendelian Diseases Rare syndromic diseases Variable phenotypic expressivity WES | N=4 children from Indian family with GAMOS | Clinical characteristic N=4/4 (100%) : Microcephaly, global development delay, variable degrees of intellectual disability, growth retardation N=2/4 (50%) Clinodactyly N=3/4 (75%) renal involvement Nephrotic range proteinuria Variation of severity Only one child showed complete symptoms of NS | Whole Exome Sequencing: N=3 children with renal involvement Homozygous variant in WDR4 gene→ cause recessive GAMOS |
| 13 | Braun D. ¹² <u>Nat Genet</u> <u>(2017)</u> <u>USA</u> <u>https://doi:10</u> <u>.1038/ng.393</u> 3 | Mutations in the evolutionarily highly conserved KEOPS complex genes cause nephrotic syndrome with microcephaly | Clinical Report | Galloway- Mowat- Syndrome KEOPS | N=91 patients with GAMOS | Clinical characteristic Microcephaly, developmental delay, propensity for seizures, early onset NS <u>Renal biopsy:</u> FSGS, DMS; Partial foot process effacement No response to steroids or CsA (only in N=3 therapeutic attempt) | Whole Exome Sequencing: N=33/91 (34%) recessive mutations in KEOPS genes 30 different families: N=22/30: OSGEP (15 alleles) N=3/30: TP53RK (4 alleles) N=2/30 :TPRKB (2 alleles) N=3/30: LAGE3 (3 alleles) |
| 14 | <u>Cil O.¹³</u> <u>Pediatr</u> <u>Nephrol</u> (2015) Turkey | Genetic abnormalities and prognosis in patients with congenital and infantile nephrotic syndrome | Phenotype- Genotype Correlation | CNS Infantile nephrotic Syndrome NPHS1 NPHS2 | N=80 CNS patients N=22 INS | <u>Clinical characteristic</u> Edema, Proteinuria Microscopic hematuria (60% of patients with NPHS1 or NPHS2 variants) | Sanger Sequencing |

| | https://DOI10 .1007/s00467- 015-3058-x | | | WT1 LAMB2 | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 15 | Binczak- Kuleta A. Bosn J Basic Med Sci (2014) Poland PMID: 24856380 PMCID: PMC4333957 DOI: 10.17305/bjb ms.2014.2270 | Retrospective mutations analysis of NPHS1, NPHS2, WT1, and LAMB2 in children with steroid- resistant focal segmental glomerulosclerosis- a single center experience | Retrospective study in polish patient cohort | Gene variant NPHS2 WT1 Children SRNS FSGS | N=33 NS patients N=7/33 (21%) onset before 1 st year N=15/33(45%) early childhood onset (13-60 month) N=11/33 (33%) late childhood- onset (61-132 month) Sex M/F:15/18 | Clinical Characteristics Biopsy proven FSGS Primary FSGS (8 weeks of daily prednisone) Persistence of heavy proteinuria (>50mg/kg/d) | No pathogenic mutation found in <i>NPHS1<u>or</u> LAMB2</i> <u>NPHS2 gene variants</u> Prevalence Overall: N=8/33 (24%) Early onset (>12 month) N=5/8 (62%%) <u>WT1 gene variant</u> Prevalence Overall N=2/33 (6%) Early onset: N=1/2 (50%) |
| 16 | Abib A. ¹⁴ Gene (2012) Pakistan https://doi:10 .1016/j.gene. 2012.04.063 | A spectrum of novel NPHS1 and NPHS2 gene mutations in pediatric nephrotic syndrome patients from Pakistan Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi:10. 1016/j.gene.2012.04.063 | Comprehensive Screening of NPHS1 and NPHS2 gene mutations in pediatric NS case in South Asia (Pakistan) | CNS FSGS NPHS1 NPHS2 Podocyte SRNS | N=145 NS patients Sex M/F: 88/57 N=36/145 (25%) early-onset (congenital and infantile) N=109/145 (75%) childhood- onset N=39/145 (27%) familial cases (30 families) | Clinical Characteristics FSGS 8%, MCD 27%, IgMN 9%, MesPGN 9%, MN 3%, others 3% ESRD 9.6% | NPHS1prevalence overall N=8/145 (5.5%)7 homozygous variants found (6 novel ones) Early-onset cases N=8/36 (22%): Homozygous or compound heterozygous variants: No remission: p.R1160X, p.T1182A, p.L237P, p.A912T, p.G867P. Partial remission to CsA : p.G1020V.Heterozygous variants: 2 no remission, 6 partial remission, 1 complete remission, 3 maintained on ACEi+ARBNPHS2 prevalence overall N=5/145 (3.4%) 4 homozygous variants found: p.R229Q, p.P341S, p.K126N, p.V260E Early onset cases N=2/36 (5.5%) 1 no remission, 2 partial remission, 2 maintained |

Post-Tx

| No | 1 st author, year, country of origin [Ref.] | Title of Publication | Study design | Key-words | Patients | Intervention and comparator | Outcomes |
|----|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|
| 17 | Guler S. ¹⁵ Pediatr Transplant (2017) Canada PMID: 29094445 <u>https://doi:1</u> <u>0.1111/petr.</u> <u>13076</u> | Kidney transplantation in a child with Pierson syndrome | Case report (1 patient) with Pierson syndrome (LAMB2 heterozygous) Department of Pediatrics, University, Halifax, Canada | congenital nephrotic syndrome kidney transplanta tion, microcoria Pierson syndrome | N=1 | RTx | →A case of Pierson syndrome successfully treated with deceased donor kidney transplantation → Pierson syndrome should be considered in children with renal manifestations and ocular abnormalities. → Children with Pierson syndrome must be evaluated in terms of kidney transplantation as soon as they are diagnosed |
| 18 | Hölttä T. ⁴ Pediatr Nephrol (2016) Finland PMID: 27761660 <u>https://DOI:1</u> 0.1007/s0046 7-016-3517-z | Timing of renal replacement therapy does not influence survival and growth in children with congenital nephrotic syndrome caused by mutations in <i>NPHS1</i> : data from the ESPN/ERA- EDTA Registry | Retrospective study Data from Jan 1991 – 31 Dec 2012 collected Within ERA- EDTA/ESPN registry | Congenital nephrotic syndrome Dialysis Graft survival; Kidney transplanta tion; NPHS1 Pediatrics | Total N=170 CNS patients 100% NPHS1 mutations initiated RRT Sex M/F: 79/91 Finnish patients: N=66/170 (39%) Sex M/F: 35/31 Non-Finnish patients: N=104/170 (61%) Sex M/F: 44/104 (57.7%) | Renal Transplantation: N=150/170 (88.8%) Initial RTx: Non-Finnish: N= 10/104 (9.9%) CAKUT: N=66/312 (21.2%) Median age at RTx: Finnish NPHS1: 1.6 yrs (IQR 1.2-2.1) Non-Finnish NPHS1: 3.0 yrs (IQR:1.7-4.4) P<0.01 | Median age at start of RRT: Finnish NPHS1: 0.7 (QR: 0.6–0.8) years Non-Finnish NPHS1:1.7 (IQR: 1.0–2.9) P<0.01 |

| | | | | | Controls: Group of CAKUT patients age matched to non- finish patients N=312 Sex M/F:251/61 (19.6%) | CAKUT: N=188/312 PD N=38/312 HD | Risk of death (adjusted hazard ratio)Finnish: 0.53 (95%CI: 0.21-1.33)Non-Finnish: 0.84 (95%CI: 0.40-1.80)CAKUT similar (no numbers) 5-year patient survival after first RTx: Finnish: 98.4%Non-Finnish: 97.8%CAKUT control: 96.6%P=0.42Risk of death (adjusted hazard ratio) post RTxFinnish: 0.32, 95 % CI: 0.04–2.86Non-Finnish: 0.45, 95 % CI: 0.09–2.35CAKUT control: similar (no numbers)Renal transplantation and graft survival |
|----|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | After 5 year follow-up: graft survival did not differ between the groups: 89%, also comparable with 10 pre-emptive transplants (80%) |
| | | | | | | | eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2): Finnish: 54 (47-67) Non-Finnish: 69 (59-83) P<0.01 →note that only 64% of Finnish and 24% of Non- Finnish patient were available |
| | | | | | | | <u>After 8.5 year follow-up:</u> Grafts lost total N=26 Finnish: N=11 (18%) Non-Finnish: N=15 (17%) |
| 19 | Martínez Mejía S. ¹⁶ Transplant Proc. | Renal Transplantation in Children With Nephrotic Syndrome in the First Year of Life | Retrospectiv study With NSFL receiving transplant | NS in the 1st year of life (NSFL)unde rwent RTx | N=15 Sex M/W: 8/7 N=9/15 (60%) | mean age at diagnosis: 2.21 month (0-8.2 months); mean age at onset of RRT: 22.9 month (3.8-55.4 month) | -After a <u>mean follow-up time of 72.8 month</u> (range, 1 month to 16.9 years) from first transplantation, we found an actuarial survival at both 1 and 7 years of 92.9%, which is similar to that observed in others series |
| | 2015 Nefrología | | 1989-2303 | | finnish type N=4/15 (27%) DMS | mean age on dialysis: 14.9 month(2-44 month) | -1case of acute rejection 1 month after transplantation, and 2 for chronic rejection >9 years after transplantation |

| | Infantil La Paz, Castellana 261, 28046, Madrid, Spain PMID: 25645765 <u>https://DOI1 0.1016/j.tran</u> <u>sproceed.201</u> <u>4.11.022</u> | | | | N=1/15 (7%) MCGN N=1/15 (7%) Collapsing Glomerulopathy | Age at transplantation: 3.1 yrr (1.8-7.7 yrs) N=6 LDTs N=9 CDTs N=10 patients required pre-transplantation nephrectomy (9 bilateral) to control proteinuria after a mean time on dialysis of 3.1_3.8 months; There were 3 graft losses, all from CD: 1 acute rejection 1 month after transplantation, and 2 chronic rejections >9 years after transplantation. Neither case had recurrence of the | -short-term complications did not differ from the rest of the population of transplant children if surgery is performed with normal albumin levels |
|----|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 20 | Holmberg C. ¹⁷ Pediatr Nephrol (2014) Finnland PMID: 24682440 DOI:10.1007/ <u>\$00467-014-</u> | Congenital nephrotic syndrome and recurrence of proteinuria after renal transplantation | Review focused on the rare cases of proteinuria recurrence in patients with genetic causes of CNS after renal RTx. Children's Hospital, University of Helsinky | Congenital nephrotic syndrome . Renephrosi s . -Anti- nephrin antibodies -Rituximab | | underlying disease. 1)Recurrent proteinuria in NPHS1 patients →Laine et al (1992) 28 CNF patients 6 (24 %) developed severe proteinuria and NS 1-33 months After RTx -treated with methylprednisolone (MP) and 5 with additional cyclophosphamide (CP). - 2 patients remission 4 grafts were lost. 1 showed proteinuria again in the second graft 14 months after RTx | \rightarrow \rightarrow Laine et al (1992) : a risk for proteinuria in CNF seems to exist after early RTx, with some patients responding to therapy. |
| | <u>2781-z</u> | | | | | → Patrakka (2000) 45 CNF (NPHS1) patients receiving 51 kidneys 15 recurrence proteinuria in 13 grafts (25 %). -treated with CP was successful in 7 p, but 6 kidney were lost. -Antibodies reacting against the glomerulus in 8 out of 9 patients -Serum antinephrin antibody in 4p | → → <u>Patrakka</u> (2000) : circulating anti-nephrin antibodies play a pathogenic role in NS recurrence. This resembles the situation in Alport's syndrome, in which an immune response against the previously unseen collagen epitopes in kidney grafts is responsible for the de-novo anti- glomerular basement membrane disease |

| | → <u>Kuusniemi et al. (2007)</u> | $\rightarrow \rightarrow \underline{\text{Kuusniemi et al. (2007)}}$ the recurrence |
|--|---|---|
| | 65 Finnish CNF patients | rate is about 30 % in Fin-major/Fin-major patients, |
| | who had received 77 kidneys | that 70 % have measurable anti-nephrin |
| | -recurrence rate in Finmajor/ Fin- | antibodies, and that most |
| | major patients was then 34 %, with | patients react to MP and CP combined with PE, |
| | anti-nephrin antibodies found in 8/11 | and their GFR remains good. |
| | patients (73 %) | |
| | - In patients with a re-transplantation, | |
| | recurrence occurredin 1–22 days | |
| | Plasma exchange (PE) in | |
| | MP and CP in 7 patients NS after | |
| | transplantation. Only one graft was | |
| | lost (11 %) in contrast to five (45 %) in | |
| | the 11 patients treated only with | |
| | steroids and CP. | |
| | PE for 5 days and then 3 times a week | |
| | depending on response a. | |
| | -CP was given for 12 weeks and then | |
| | switched to AZA or MMF as part of | |
| | triple immunosuppression. | |
| | - 1 patient failing to react to PE also | |
| | received Rituximab and high-dose | |
| | immunoglobulin | |
| | without any response. | |
| | →Srivastava (2006) | →→ <u>Srivastava (2006)</u> it suggested a circulating |
| | -1 patient with NS after 7 days | factor might play a role in re-nephrosis |
| | RTx | |
| | - No anti-nephrin antibodies were | |
| | present, but as serum permeability | |
| | activity was high | |
| | \rightarrow Stanford (2012) | →→ <u>Stanford (2012)</u> Rituximab might |
| | -1 patient RTx who | have contributed to that favorable result. |
| | developed NS with anti-nephrin | |
| | antibodies 6 months after | |
| | transplantation biopsy: slight rejection | |
| | and CD20-staining was positive. | |
| | -CP, steroids + Rituximab (remission) | |
| | Nu dash san | |
| | → <u>Holmberg</u> | |
| | -6 patient reccurent NS | |
| | -high dose MP,CS,PE | |
| | -5 patients Rituximab | |
| | -2 patinents Rit + Bortezomib | |
| | 6 out of 7 remssion | |
| | | |

| | | 2)Recurrent proteinuria in NPHS2 patients | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | → <u>Bertelli et al.</u> -2 patient with recurrence of proteinuria after RTx -treated PE + CP | →→ <u>Bertelli et al.</u> Good outcome |
| | | → Billing et al. -1 patient with recurrence of proteinuria after RTx -treated PE + CsA | → <u>→ Billing et al.</u> maintained stable graft function and no recurrence of proteinuria has been observed. |
| | | →Becker-C ohen -1 patient with recurrence of proteinuria after RTx -treated PE | |
| | | →Weber et al. -1 ou t of 32 patients with FGSG 2 yrs after RTx | → → Weber et al. No anti-podocin antibodies appeared in indirect immunofluorescence. |
| | | →Höcker et al. -1 patient with FSGS 10 years post- RTx in association with conversion from CsA-based to sirolimus-based immunosuppression. | → → Höcker et al. A re-switch of the immunosuppressive regimen back to CsA led to a noticeable decrease in proteinuria and tostabilization of graft function |
| | | | → Review Key summary points Severe proteinuria and NS are very rare in primary CNS patients after RTx The most probable etiology is NPHS1 with a gene variant leading to absence of nephrin, as occurs in Fin-major homozygotes In the majority of these patients, anti-nephrin antibodies are detectable after RTx Sometimes NS can develop after RTx in patients with NPHS2 variants, but the mechanisms are still elusive The best treatment options known today are MP, cyclophosphamide, and plasma exchange alone or combined with |

| 21 | Chaudhuri | Rituximab treatment for | Case report | rituximab | N=1 | Rituximab: | →A case of post-transplant recurrence |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-----|---------------------------------------|--|
| | A ¹⁸ | recurrence | (1 patient) | | | 4 doses of 375 mg/m2/dose | of NS in a child with CNS owing to NPHS-1 |
| | | of nephrotic syndrome in | | recurrence | | at weekly intervals | |
| | Pediatr | a pediatric patient | →Genetic | of | | | →Precipitous fall in anti-nephrin antibodies |
| | Transplant | after renal | testing for | nephrotic | | combination with | following RTX therapy also coincided with clinical |
| | | transplantation for | nephrin | Syndrome | | cyclophosphamide, | response. |
| | | congenital | revealed a | | | - corticosteroids, | |
| | (2012) | nephrotic syndrome of | homozygous | pediatric | | - tacrolimus, | \rightarrow RTX, which may have played the pivotal role in |
| | | Finnish type | (NPHS1) | transplanta | | - MMF | achieving and sustaining remission, suggesting |
| | | | | tion | | | that RTX may be considered in cases like this who |
| | Departments | | | | | | are resistant to standard therapy. |
| | of Pediatrics | | | | | | |
| | and Surgery, | | | | | | \rightarrow The child was treated with a variety of |
| | Stanford | | | | | | immunosuppressive agents including RTX, which |
| | University, | | | | | | may have played the pivotal role in achieving and |
| | Stanford, CA, | | | | | | sustaining remission, suggesting that RTX may be |
| | USA | | | | | | considered in cases like this who are resistant to |
| | | | | | | | standard therapy. |
| | PMID: | | | | | | |
| | 21672106 | | | | | | |
| | <u>https://DOI:1</u> | | | | | | |
| | <u>0.1111/j.139</u> | | | | | | |
| | <u>93046.2011.0</u> | | | | | | |
| | <u>1519.x</u> | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

ACEi : angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, ARBs : angiotensin type I receptor blockers, CAKUT: congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract, CCPD: continuous cycling peritoneal **dialysis**, CKD : chronic kidney disease, CNF: congenital nephrotic syndrome of the Finnish type, CNS : congenital nephrotic syndrome, CsA : cyclosporin A, CVVH : continuous veno-venous hemofiltration, DMS : diffuse mesangial sclerosis, eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, ERA-EDTA : European Renal Association – European Dialysis and Transplantation Association, ESKD : end-stage kidney disease, ESPN : European Society of Paediatric Nephrology, FSGS : focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, GAMOS: Galloway-Mowatt syndrome, HD: hemodialysis, IgMN: IgM Nephropathy, IV: intra-venous, IVIG : intra-venous immunoglobulins, (M/F): Male/Female, MesPGN: Mesangial Proliferative Glomerulonephritis, MN: Membranous Nephropathy, mo: month, NX : nephrotic syndrome, ns.: not significant, PD: peritoneal dialysis, S-Albumin: serum albumin level, RRT : renal replacement therapy, RTx : renal transplantation, Rx : Treatment, SRNS : steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome, Tx : transplantation, UNx: unilateral nephrectomy, WES: whole exome sequencing, yr : year.

REFERENCES

1. Berody, S. et al. Treatment and outcome of congenital nephrotic syndrome. Nephrol Dial Transplant 34, 458–467 (2019).

- 2. Dufek, S. et al. Management of children with congenital nephrotic syndrome: challenging treatment paradigms. Nephrol Dial Transplant (2019) doi:10.1093/ndt/gfy165.
- 3. Dufek, S. et al. Infants with congenital nephrotic syndrome have comparable outcomes to infants with other renal diseases. Pediatr Nephrol (2019) doi:10.1007/s00467-018-4122-0.
- 4. Holtta, T. *et al.* Timing of renal replacement therapy does not influence survival and growth in children with congenital nephrotic syndrome caused by mutations in NPHS1: data from the ESPN/ERA-EDTA Registry. *Pediatr Nephrol* **31**, 2317–2325 (2016).
- 5. Buscher, A. K. et al. Rapid Response to Cyclosporin A and Favorable Renal Outcome in Nongenetic Versus Genetic Steroid-Resistant Nephrotic Syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 11, 245–53 (2016).
- 6. Buscher, A. K. et al. Immunosuppression and renal outcome in congenital and pediatric steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 5, 2075–84 (2010).
- 7. Kovacevic, L., Reid, C. J. & Rigden, S. P. Management of congenital nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol 18, 426–30 (2003).
- 8. Holmberg, C., Antikainen, M., Ronnholm, K., Ala Houhala, M. & Jalanko, H. Management of congenital nephrotic syndrome of the Finnish type. Pediatr Nephrol 9, 87–93 (1995).
- 9. Eichinger, A. et al. Cyclosporine A responsive congenital nephrotic syndrome with single heterozygous variants in NPHS1, NPHS2, and PLCE1. Pediatr Nephrol 33, 1269–1272 (2018).

10. Kim, J. J. et al. Nephrotic syndrome in infancy can spontaneously resolve. Pediatr Nephrol 26, 1897–901 (2011).

11. Braun, D. A. et al. Mutations in WDR4 as a new cause of Galloway-Mowat syndrome: Mutations in WDR4 as a new cause of Galloway-Mowat syndrome. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A 176,

2460–2465 (2018).

- 12. Braun, D. et al. Mutations in KEOPS-complex genes cause nephrotic syndrome with primary microcephaly. Nat Genet 49, 1529–1538 (2017).
- 13. Cil, O. et al. Genetic abnormalities and prognosis in patients with congenital and infantile nephrotic syndrome. Pediatr Nephrol 30, 1279–87 (2015).
- 14. Abid, A. et al. A spectrum of novel NPHS1 and NPHS2 gene mutations in pediatric nephrotic syndrome patients from Pakistan. Gene 502, 133–137 (2012).
- 15. Guler, S., Cimen, S., Acott, P., Whelan, K. & Molinari, M. Kidney transplantation in a child with Pierson syndrome. Pediatr Transplant 21, (2017).
- 16. Martínez Mejía, S. et al. Renal transplantation in children with nephrotic syndrome in the first year of life. Transplant Proc 47, 38–41 (2015).
- 17. Holmberg, C. & Jalanko, H. Congenital nephrotic syndrome and recurrence of proteinuria after renal transplantation. Pediatr Nephrol 29, 2309–17 (2014).
- 18. Chaudhuri, A. et al. Rituximab treatment for recurrence of nephrotic syndrome in a pediatric patient after renal transplantation for congenital nephrotic syndrome of Finnish type. Pediatr Transplant 16,

E183-7 (2012).