Supplementary Information

Structure of the endocytic adaptor complex reveals the basis for efficient membrane anchoring during clathrin-mediated endocytosis

Javier Lizarrondo¹, David P. Klebl², Stephan Niebling¹, Marc Abella³, Martin A. Schroer¹, Haydyn D.T. Mertens¹, Katharina Veith¹, Roland Thuenauer⁴, Dmitri I. Svergun¹, Michal Skruzny³, Frank Sobott^{5,6}, Stephen P. Muench² and Maria M. Garcia-Alai^{1,7}

1 European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Hamburg Outstation, Hamburg, Germany.

2 School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Biological Sciences & Astbury Centre for Structural and Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

3 Department of Systems and Synthetic Microbiology, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology and LOEWE Center for Synthetic Microbiology (SYNMIKRO), Marburg, Germany

4 Technology Platform Microscopy and Image Analysis, Heinrich Pette Institute, Leibniz Institute for Experimental Virology, Hamburg, Germany

5 School of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences & Astbury Centre for Structural and Molecular Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

6 Department of Chemistry, Biomolecular & Analytical Mass Spectrometry group, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium.

7 Centre for Structural Systems Biology, Notkestrasse 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany.

Lizarrondo et. al., 2021

Javier Lizarrondo and David P. Klebl contributed equally to this work. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.G.A. (email: garcia@embl-hamburg.de).



Supplementary Fig. 1. Biophysical characterization of the AENTH assembly in solution. a. Experimental SAXS data of the 16-mer assembly (A_8E_8) in presence of 200 µM PIP₂. The red, orange and yellow lines represent the fittings from OLIGOMER using a mixture population of monomers (ANTH & ENTH) and oligomers (16-mer and 32-mer). The contributions of monomer, 16-mer and 32-mer are: 0.09, 0.39, 0.52 for the curve at 1.3 mg/ml (χ^2 =3.81); 0.08, 0.47, 0.42 for the curve at 1.2 mg/ml (χ^2 =1.81); and Lizarrondo et. al., 2021

0.15, 0.58, 0.27 for the curve at 1 mg/ml ($\chi^2 = 1.44$). **b.** Guinier plot for calculation of the R_g of the SAXS curves. **c.** Distance-distribution function obtained from the SAXS curves. **d.** DLS auto-correlation curve for the sample used for cryo-EM. The approximate hydrodynamic radius of the sample was 9 nm.



Supplementary Fig. 2. Processing flowchart for the 16-mer AENTH complex.



Supplementary Fig. 3. Cryo-EM reconstruction. a. Angular distribution (PDF = Probability density function). **b.** Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) curves and **c.** local resolution for the AENTH 16-mer complex.



Supplementary Fig. 4. Symmetry of the 16-mer complex. Structure of 16-mer AENTH (A₈E₈) complex with symmetry axes indicated by black solid lines. ANTH and ENTH subunits of one asymmetric unit (tetramer) are shown in cyan and grey, respectively. The pseudo-2fold axis within the tetramer is shown as dashed black line.



Supplementary Fig. 5. Representative EM densities. a. Well-resolved central alpha-helical parts of the ANTH-ENTH complex. **b**. One of the PIP₂-binding sites between two ENTH domains **c**. Lower resolution at the periphery of the complex in the last alpha helix of the ANTH domain and (**d**) the ANTH-ENTH interface 2.



Supplementary Fig. 6. nanoDSF data for the ANTH and ENTH mutants affecting ANTH-ENTH interface 1 (red), ANTH-ENTH interface 2 (green) and ANTH-ANTH interface (blue) (a, b and c): Difference in melting temperature (T_m) between mutant domains compared to ANTH wt (cyan) or ENTH wt (grey). The T_m was obtained from the fluorescence signal ratio 350/330 nm. All domains with a ΔT_m higher than 2 °C were not further considered for complex assembly experiments. (d, e and f): Difference in the mid-aggregation temperature (T_{agg}) from AENTH complexes assembled with different mutant domains (ANTH mutant + ENTH wt, cyan and ENTH mutant + ANTH wt, grey) compared to AENTH wt. T_{agg} was obtained from the scattering signal of the nanoDSF melting experiments. Most mutants showed a lower aggregation temperature when compared with wild-type complex.



Lizarrondo et. al., 2021

Supplementary Fig 7. Native MS of the AENTH WT complex in the presence of 60 μ M PIP₂. a. Full m/z range of the spectrum. The presence of free ANTH and ENTH is observed at low m/z while the complex appears around 10500 m/z. b. Charge state distribution of the A₈E₈ 8:8 ANTH/ENTH complex with individual charge states labelled c. Close up of the 41+ charge state of the A₈E₈ 8:8 ANTH/ENTH complex showing different numbers of PIP₂ molecules bound to the complex (22, 23 and 24 are clearly distinguishable). d. Close up of the spectrum at lower m/z where ENTH bound to 0-3 PIP₂ molecules and ANTH bound to 0-2 PIP₂ molecules can be observed. e. Peak fine structure of the ANTH (no PIP2) 11+ charge state shows adducts with 1, 2 and 3 Na⁺ molecules.



Supplementary Fig. 8. Sla2 Y247-L248 insertion is not part of the AENTH interface. a. nanoDSF data for ANTH wt (black, T_m = 61.7 °C) and ANTH Δ Y247/L248 (violet, T_m = 57.7 °C). The shift in the transition of the nanoDSF signal can be clearly observed and is indicated as ΔT_m , indicating that this mutation destabilizes the protein. The T_m was obtained from the fluorescence signal ratio 350/330 nm. b. Residues Y247 and L248 of ANTH domain did not establish any contacts in the AENTH complex. Cartoon representation of the AENTH tetramer and the PIP₂ molecules bound to it, with the YL residues colored in violet. The insert shows the detailed orientation of these residues, which does not establish any proteinprotein contacts. Deletion of these residues disrupts helix $\alpha 12$.



Supplementary Fig. 9. Growth defects of selected mutants affecting the ANTH-ENTH interface 2 (a and b) and the ANTH-ANTH interface (c). ANTH wt and ENTH wt domains or indicated interface mutants were expressed after depletion or deletion of endogenous Ent1 and Sla2 proteins, respectively. Cell growth was analysed after plating 10-fold serial dilution of cells on SD-Ura plates and incubation for 3 days at 37 °C. a. Mutation of negatively charged residues ENTH E54 and D57 does not introduce a growth defect. b. Mutation of single ANTH positively charged residues K10, K13, K14 and R25 impair cell growth. c. Growth of mutants of the ANTH-ANTH interface (RDH->ARA, R3A/D37R/H38A, RIDH-> AARA, R3A/I4A/D37R/H38A) and the ANTH loop 175-183 (RR->EE, R178E/E178E, ESRR-> RAEE, E57R/S100A/R178E/E178E).



Supplementary Fig. 10. AENTH 12-mer assembly (A_6E_6) obtained by mutation of residues F5A/L12A/V13A of the amphipatic α 0 helix of the ENTH domain. a. Native MS of ANTH in complex

with ENTH F5A/L12A/V13A at 200 μ M PIP₂. The main oligomeric species is the 6:6 AENTH complex. At high m/z, a 5:6 ANTH/ENTH complex is present, resulting from collision induced dissociation (CID) of the 6:6 complex. **b.** Processing flowchart for the 12-mer AENTH complex. **c.** Final density map obtained for the 12-mer assembly with one tetramer coloured in cyan for the ANTH domain and in grey for the ENTH domain. **d.** Structural model for the 12-mer assembly. The tetramer structure (A₂E₂) was fitted into the EM density map for each of the three tetramers. The ANTH and ENTH domains are coloured for one of the three tetramers in the same colour code as in **c**.



Supplementary Fig 11. SVD analysis of SF-TR-SAXS. a. SVD analysis of the full dataset revealed 3 components present. **b.** SAXS spectra of the three components. **c.** Component contribution over time of the SVD analysis using the full dataset. **d.** SVD analysis of the dataset using the first 10 points after beam exposure reveals two major components. **e.** SAXS spectra of the three first components. **f.** Component contributions over time of the SVD analysis using the first 10 points after beam exposure.



Supplementary Fig. 12. AENTH complex formation is a reversible process. Binding kinetics measured by biolayer interferometry (BLI) between His-tagged ANTH (a) or ENTH (b) immobilized on a Ni-NTA Octet sensor (load stage performed at $3.7 \mu g/ml$ monomeric protein) in the presence of $0.25 \mu M$ free ENTH (a) or ANTH (b) (blue curves) and without ligand (orange curves). All steps were done in 50 mM Tris HCl pH 8.0, 125 mM NaCl and 0.05% BSA. For baseline 2 (BL2), association and dissociation stages the buffer additionally contained 170 μ M DDM and 50 μ M PIP₂. See Table 3 for the kinetic constants.

ANTH	ENTH	Radius (nm)	%Pd	Mw-R (kDa)	%Intensity	%Mass	%Number
WT	WT	9.6	11.85	664.6	100	100	0
DOGA	WT	2.9	8.85	41.9	1.8	64.0	99.0
K2JA		8.2	8.56	468.1	9.7	15.2	1.0
D20 A	WT	2.5	15.06	29.3	11.1	90.6	99.6
K29A		7.2	14.29	343.9	22.2	7.7	0.4
WT	Y100R	2.7	0	33.3	15.2	78.5	98.1
		7.2	14.81	344.4	71.3	21.3	1.9
W/T	E107A	3.1	4.61	47.2	3.2	29.8	78.0
W I		7.1	16.06	331.7	76.6	68.2	22.0
WT	E54A/D57A/D60A	7.5	26.06	374	100	100	100
S6A/K10A	WT	6.7	6.86	287.7	93.8	99.4	100
K13D	WT	7.2	10.19	344.6	100	100	100
Q9A/K10A	WT	8	11.18	433.9	96.8	99.2	100
K10A/K13A/K14A	WT	8.5	16.31	508	90.7	28.4	1.6
WT	E54A/D57A	3.3	11.49	55.8	3.5	73.1	99.5
		9.7	8.91	684.9	9.5	8.2	0.5
K10D/K13D/K14D	WT	3.2	12.67	51.4	39.8	99.9	100
D2 A	WT	2.5	9.08	28.9	9.9	79.1	99.3
K3A		8.1	6.85	454.0	89.0	20.8	0.7
R3A/I4A/D37A	WT	8.3	9.15	479.8	100	100	100
R3A/D37R/H38A	WT	7.5	9.83	380.4	94.8	99.2	100.0
K10D/K13D	WT	7.5	40.8	381.3	100	100	100
K14D	WT	7.4	24.5	358.9	89	99	100
WT	F5A/I12A/V13A	8	11.62	436	100	100	100
ΔY247/L248	WT	9	36.27	571.8	100	100	100

Supplementary Table 1. ANTH-ENTH complexes measured by DLS

Supplementary Table 2: Data collection and processing parameters for the AENTH 12-mer assembly

(ANTH WT, ENTH F5A/L12A/V13A).

Data collection and processing			
Magnification	×75,000		
Voltage (kV)	300		
Electron exposure $(e^{-}/Å^2)$	72.6		
Defocus range (µm)	-1.5 to -4.2		
Pixel size (Å)	1.065		
Symmetry imposed	D ₃		
Initial particle images (no.)	142,399		
Final particle images (no.)	16,206		
Map resolution (Å)	7.4		
FSC threshold	0.143		
Map resolution range (Å)	6.8 to 9.9		

Figure S11 A						
Fit rise	Fit decay					
$k_{obs1}: 3.06E^{-02}$ 1/s	$k_{diss1}: 4.40 E^{-03} 1/s$	K _{D1} : 3.14E-08 M				
kobs2: 3.45E ⁻⁰³ 1/s	$k_{diss2}: 1.91E^{-02} \ 1/s$	$K_{D2}: 2.12E^{-07} M$				
R ² : 0.9989	R ² : 0.9995					
Figure S11 B						
Fit rise	Fit decay					
k_{obs1} : 7.45 E^{-02} 1/s	$k_{diss1}: 6.23E^{-03} 1/s$	$K_{D1}: 1.93E^{-08}M$				
k _{obs2} : 3.87E ⁻⁰³ 1/s	k_{diss2} : 4.56 E^{-02} 1/s	K _{D2} : 2.30E ⁻⁰⁷ M				
$R^2: 0.9980$	$R^2: 0.9981$					

Supplementary Table 3. Fitting for the binding kinetics determined by BLI

PC	PCR primers					
	Mutant	Forward	Reverse			
ANTH	M1A	cagggcgccatggGATCCgcgAGCCGTATCGATT CTGACCTGCAG	CTGCAGGTCAGAATCGATACGGCTcgcGG ATCccatggcgccctg			
	S6A/ K10A	catggGATCCATGAGCCGTATCGATgCgGAC CTGCAGgcgGCGCTCAAAAAAGCCTGTTC CGTTG	CAACGGAACAGGCTTTTTTGAGCGCcgcC TGCAGGTCcGcATCGATACGGCTCATGGA TCccatg			
	Q9A/ K10A	CCATGAGCCGTATCGATTCTGACCTGgcgg ccGCGCTCAAAAAAGCCTGTTCCGTTGAG G	CCTCAACGGAACAGGCTTTTTTGAGCGCg gccgcCAGGTCAGAATCGATACGGCTCATG G			
	K13D	GATTCTGACCTGCAGAAAGCGCTCgatAAA GCCTGTTCCGTTGAGGAAACCG	CGGTTTCCTCAACGGAACAGGCTTTatcGA GCGCTTTCTGCAGGTCAGAATC			
	R3A/ I4A	ctttattttcagggcgccatggGATCCATGAGCgcggcc GATTCTGACCTGCAGAAAGCGCTC	CGGTGCGGTTTCCTCAACGGAACAGGCcg cggcGAGCGCggcCTGCAGGTCAGAATCGA TACGGC			
	ΔY247/ L248	GTTTTACGCGGATTGCTCCTCTGTGAAAA CCACGCTGGTTACCATTCCAAAACTGC	GCAGTTTTGGAATGGTAACCAGCGTGGT TTTCACAGAGGAGCAATCCGCGTAAAAC			
	K10A/ K13A/ K14A	GCCGTATCGATTCTGACCTGCAGgccGCGC TCgccgcgGCCTGTTCCGTTGAGGAAACCGC ACCG	CGGTGCGGTTTCCTCAACGGAACAGGCcg cggcGAGCGCggcCTGCAGGTCAGAATCGA TACGGC			
	K10D/ K13D/ K14D	GCCGTATCGATTCTGACCTGCAGgacGCGC TCgatgacGCCTGTTCCGTTGAGGAAACCGC ACCG	CGGTGCGGTTTCCTCAACGGAACAGGCgt catcGAGCGCgtcCTGCAGGTCAGAATCGAT ACGGC			
	K10D/ K13D	CTGACCTGCAGgacGCGCTCgatAAAGCCTG TTCCGTTGAGGAAACCG	CGGTTTCCTCAACGGAACAGGCTTTatcGA GCGCgtcCTGCAGGTCAG			
	K14D	CTGACCTGCAGAAAGCGCTCAAAgacGCC TGTTCCGTTGAGGAAACCGC	GCGGTTTCCTCAACGGAACAGGCgtcTTTG AGCGCTTTCTGCAGGTCAG			
	R3A	cagggcgccatggGATCCATGAGCgcgATCGATT CTGACCTGCAGAAAGC	GCTTTCTGCAGGTCAGAATCGATcgcGCTC ATGGATCccatggcgccctg			
	R25A	GTTGAGGAAACCGCACCGAAAgcgAAACA CGTACGTGCATGCATTGTGTAC	GTACACAATGCATGCACGTACGTGTTTcg cTTTCGGTGCGGTTTCCTCAAC			
	R29A	CCGCACCGAAACGCAAACACGTAgcgGCA TGCATTGTGTACACCTGGG	CCCAGGTGTACACAATGCATGCcgcTACG TGTTTGCGTTTCGGTGCGG			
	E54A/ D57A/ D60A	CTACGACAGCGCAGACTTCTTTGcGATCA TGGcgATGCTGGcgAAACGCCTGAACGAC AAAGGC	GCCTTTGTCGTTCAGGCGTTTcgCCAGCAT cgCCATGATCgCAAAGAAGTCTGCGCTGT CGTAG			
	Y100R	GTACTGTGGTGCCGTGAGAACCTGcgtATC ATCAAAACCCTGAAAGAG	CTCTTTCAGGGTTTTGATGATacgCAGGTT CTCACGGCACCACAGTAC			
	F108A	CATCATCAAAAACCCTGAAAGAGgcgCGTC ACGAAGACGATGAGGGTATTG	CAATACCCTCATCGTCTTCGTGACGcgcCT CTTTCAGGGTTTTGATGATG			
ENTH	E107A	GTACATCATCAAAAACCCTGAAAGcGTTCC GTCACGAAGACGATGAGGG	CCCTCATCGTCTTCGTGACGGAACgCTTT CAGGGTTTTGATGATGTAC			
	E54A/ D57A	CGACAGCGCAGACTTCTTTGcGATCATGG cgATGCTGGACAAACGCCTGAAC	GTTCAGGCGTTTGTCCAGCATcgCCATGA TCgCAAAGAAGTCTGCGCTGTCG			
	F52A/ F53A	GAAAAGCTACGACAGCGCAGACgcggcgGA GATCATGGACATGCTGGAC	GTCCAGCATGTCCATGATCTCcgccgcGTCT GCGCTGTCGTAGCTTTTC			
	F5A/ L12A/ V13A	ccatggGATCCATGTCTAAACAGgcgGTGCGT TCCGCGAAAAACgcGGcGAAAGGTTATTC CTCTACCCAGGTAC	GTACCTGGGTAGAGGAATAACCTTTCgCC gcGTTTTTCGCGGAACGCACcgcCTGTTTA GACATGGATCccatgg			

Supplementary Table 4. Primers used to produce ANTH and ENTH mutants.