



eLife's transparent reporting form

We encourage authors to provide detailed information *within their submission* to facilitate the interpretation and replication of experiments. Authors can upload supporting documentation to indicate the use of appropriate reporting guidelines for health-related research (see [EQUATOR Network](#)), life science research (see the [BioSharing Information Resource](#)), or the [ARRIVE guidelines](#) for reporting work involving animal research. Where applicable, authors should refer to any relevant reporting standards documents in this form.

If you have any questions, please consult our Journal Policies and/or contact us: editorial@elifesciences.org.

Sample-size estimation

- You should state whether an appropriate sample size was computed when the study was being designed
- You should state the statistical method of sample size computation and any required assumptions
- If no explicit power analysis was used, you should describe how you decided what sample (replicate) size (number) to use

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Samples sizes were determined based on previous experience and the number of animals and definitions of N are indicated in the main text and figure legends. No power analysis was employed, but sample sizes are comparable to those typically used in the field.

Replicates

- You should report how often each experiment was performed
- You should include a definition of biological versus technical replication
- The data obtained should be provided and sufficient information should be provided to indicate the number of independent biological and/or technical replicates
- If you encountered any outliers, you should describe how these were handled
- Criteria for exclusion/inclusion of data should be clearly stated
- High-throughput sequence data should be uploaded before submission, with a private link for reviewers provided (these are available from both GEO and ArrayExpress)

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

Appropriate sample sizes were as follows:
- At least ≥ 3 embryos from different littermates were used for every set of data.
- Number of animals is referred as $N = \dots$ (see Results section)
Statistical method appropriate to sample size:
- The sample size is indicated in the results section or figure legends as $n = \dots$ (see Results section and legends).
- Because of the reduced sample size in a few conditions ($n = 5$ for the smallest), we only used non-parametric statistics (indicated in the Methods section - statistical analysis).



Statistical reporting

- Statistical analysis methods should be described and justified
- Raw data should be presented in figures whenever informative to do so (typically when N per group is less than 10)
- For each experiment, you should identify the statistical tests used, exact values of N, definitions of center, methods of multiple test correction, and dispersion and precision measures (e.g., mean, median, SD, SEM, confidence intervals; and, for the major substantive results, a measure of effect size (e.g., Pearson's r, Cohen's d)
- Report exact p-values wherever possible alongside the summary statistics and 95% confidence intervals. These should be reported for all key questions and not only when the p-value is less than 0.05.

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

The results are presented as means \pm the standard error of the mean (SEM). Significance was determined as $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**) or $p < 0.001$ (***). The exact P value is mentioned in the result section or in the figure legends. Statistical significance was assessed by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc test for multiple comparisons, Mann-Whitney test for unpaired data or Wilcoxon matched pairs test for paired data using GraphPad Prism 7.0e Software (USA). Significant changes in the proportions of firing patterns with age were assessed by chi-square test for large sample and by Fisher's exact test for small sample using GraphPad Prism 7.0e Software. Significance was determined as $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**) or $p < 0.001$ (***). The exact p values were mentioned in the result section or in the figure legends.

(For large datasets, or papers with a very large number of statistical tests, you may upload a single table file with tests, Ns, etc., with reference to sections in the manuscript.)

Group allocation

- Indicate how samples were allocated into experimental groups (in the case of clinical studies, please specify allocation to treatment method); if randomization was used, please also state if restricted randomization was applied
- Indicate if masking was used during group allocation, data collection and/or data analysis

Please outline where this information can be found within the submission (e.g., sections or figure legends), or explain why this information doesn't apply to your submission:

NA

Additional data files ("source data")

- We encourage you to upload relevant additional data files, such as numerical data that are represented as a graph in a figure, or as a summary table
- Where provided, these should be in the most useful format, and they can be uploaded as "Source data" files linked to a main figure or table
- Include model definition files including the full list of parameters used
- Include code used for data analysis (e.g., R, MatLab)
- Avoid stating that data files are "available upon request"



eLIFE

1st Floor
24 Hills Road
Cambridge CB2 1JP, UK

P 01223 855340
W elifesciences.org
T @elife

Please indicate the figures or tables for which source data files have been provided:

Source data files have provided for figure 3 (Source data file cluster analysis).