

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Structure–Spectroscopy Correlations for Intermediate Q of Soluble Methane Monooxygenase: Insights from QM/MM calculations

Christine E. Schulz,^a Rebeca G. Castillo,^b Dimitrios A. Pantazis,^a Serena DeBeer,^b Frank Neese^a

^a Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany.

^b Max Planck Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, Stiftstr. 34-36, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany.

Table S1. Available crystal structures of MMOH and Fe-Fe distance for MMOH_{ox} species.

XRD	resolution	year	organism	Fe ₁ -Fe ₂	comment
1FYZ	2.15	2001	Mc		reduced
1FZ0	2.07	2001	Mc	3.40	mixed valence
				3.38	
1FZ1	1.96	2001	Mc	3.18	pH 7, O _a formate
				3.16	pH 7
1FZ2	2.15	2001	Mc	3.41	O _a missing
				3.42	O _b missing
1FZ3	2.03	2001	Mc	3.15	pH 6.2, O _a formate
				3.16	pH 6.2
1FZ4	2.38	2001	Mc	3.11	pH 8.5, O _a formate
				3.00	pH 8.5, O _a missing
1FZ5	2.40	2001	Mc		only one Fe per MMOH
1FZ6	2.05	2001	Mc	2.99	soaked in CH ₃ OH
				3.04	soaked in CH ₃ OH , O _a methanol
1FZ7	1.96	2001	Mc	3.09	soaked in C ₂ H ₆ , O _a formate, O _b missing
				3.16	soaked in C ₂ H ₆ , O _a formate
1FZ8	2.10	2001	Mc	3.08	soaked in Br ₂ CH ₂ , O _a missing
				3.01	soaked in Br ₂ CH ₂ , O _a missing
1FZ9	2.30	2001	Mc	2.85	cocrystallized with C ₂ H ₅ I, O _a , O _b missing
				2.94	cocrystallized with C ₂ H ₅ I, O _a missing
1FZH	2.60	2001	Mc	3.10	pressurized with Xe gas, O _a missing
				3.08	pressurized with Xe gas, O _a missing
1MHY	2.00	1996	Mt	2.99	
1MHZ	2.70	1996	Mt	3.07	
1MMO	2.20	1994	Mc	3.46	O _a acetate

				3.36	
1MTY	1.70	1997	Mc	3.04	
				3.14	
1XMF	2.31	2004	Mc		Mn(II) soaked apo-MMOH
1XMG	2.10	2004	Mc		apo-MMOH
1XMH	2.32	2004	Mc		Co(II) reconstituted MMOH
1XU3	2.30	2005	Mc	2.85	soaked with BrC ₆ H ₅ , O _a , O _b missing
				2.81	soaked with BrC ₆ H ₅ , O _a , O _b missing
1XU5	1.96	2005	Mc	3.07	soaked with C ₆ H ₆
				3.04	soaked with C ₆ H ₆
1XVB	1.80	2005	Mc		soaked with 6-Br(CH ₂) ₆ OH
1XVC	2.00	2005	Mc	3.04	soaked with 8-Br(CH ₂) ₈ OH
				3.02	soaked with 8-Br(CH ₂) ₈ OH
1XVD	2.30	2005	Mc	2.77	soaked with 4-FC ₆ H ₅ , O _a , O _b missing
				2.57	soaked with 4-FC ₆ H ₅ , O _a , O _b missing
1XVE	2.40	2005	Mc		alcohol bridging Fe
1XVF	2.00	2005	Mc		alcohol bridging Fe
1XVG	1.96	2005	Mc		alcohol bridging Fe
4GAM	2.90	2012	Mc		MMOH + MMOB

Mt: *Methylosinus trichosporium*, Mc: *Methylococcus capsulatus* str. Bath

Analysis of XRD structures.

A careful selection is necessary because in some of the XRD models only one iron is resolved, or bridging oxygens are missing entirely. In others, the bridging ligands are alcohol derived, although in wild type MMOH_{ox} structures it is established, that the bridging ligands are water derived. Therefore, the available structures were sorted according to the following criteria:

- (I) The active site contains two iron atoms.
- (II) The irons are bridged by two oxygen ligands.
- (III) The two oxygen ligands are derived from water, i.e not alcohol, formate or other extrinsic molecules. This also excludes purely glutamate bridged structures, as found in MMOH_{red}.¹

These criteria are purely structural. On the other hand, the crystal structures come with meta information, such as the resolution of the structure or the assigned oxidation state, which was not used as a primary criterium to exclude structures from the analysis. From the original 28 structures collected in Table S1, only 9 fulfill these criteria, which are presented in Table S2. Among them is the 1MHZ² structure, which has a resolution of 2.7 Å, as well as the 1FZ0¹ structure, which has been labeled as a Fe(II)Fe(III) mixed valence state. All other structures are labeled as Fe(III)Fe(III), and therefore can be compared to MMOH_{ox}.

The primary target of this comparison is the diiron core geometry, particularly the distance between the irons. In Figure 3 of the main text it is evident that the distance count shows a clustering of distances between 2.99 and 3.16 Å, with two outliers at 3.38 and 3.40 Å from the mixed valence 1FZ0¹ structure. This confirms that the crystal structure average of the diiron distances is oxidation state specific. If the mean distance is calculated without the outliers, it shortens to 3.07 ± 0.06 Å. This is in excellent agreement with the HERFD-EXAFS distances of 3.06 Å³ and previous EXAFS.⁴ The second aspect of the Fe₂O₂ core is the distance between iron and the bridging oxygen ligands. The Fe-O_a distances show a narrow distribution, while the Fe-O_b distances are distributed broadly. The mean Fe-O_a distance is 1.85 ± 0.13 Å.

The mean Fe-O_b distance is 2.48 ± 0.29 Å. Again, two outliers can be found, at 3.07 and 3.22 Å. The outliers again belong to the 1FZ0 structure, and originate from the same Fe₂O₂ site. Without these two, the mean Fe-O_b distance is 2.41 ± 0.22 Å.

Table S2. Overview of crystal structures with intact Fe₂O₂ cores. Labeling according to Figure 2. Resolutions and distances are in Å.

PDB ID	Res.	year	Fe-Fe	Fe-O _t	Fe ₁ -O _a	Fe ₂ -O _a	Fe ₁ -O _b	Fe ₂ -O _b
1MHY ²	2.00	1996	2.99	2.23	2.04	1.71	2.17	2.15
1MHZ ²	2.70	1996	3.07	2.41	1.79	2.18	1.93	2.45
1MTY ⁵	1.70	1997	3.04	2.28	1.78	1.94	2.43	2.58
			3.14	2.27	1.62	2.02	2.24	2.51
1FZ0 ¹	2.07	2001	3.40	2.50	1.60	1.82	2.54	3.07
			3.38	2.40	1.70	1.79	2.58	3.22
1FZ1 ¹	1.96	2001	3.16	2.44	1.81	1.95	2.71	2.79
1FZ3 ¹	2.03	2001	3.16	2.41	1.99	1.98	2.39	2.78
1FZ6 ⁶	2.05	2001	2.99	2.35	1.86	1.85	2.18	2.18
1XU5 ⁷	1.96	2005	3.07	2.19	1.82	1.80	2.16	2.48
			3.04	2.14	1.82	1.80	2.31	2.54
1XVC ⁷	2.00	2005	3.04	2.11	1.87	1.98	2.43	2.60
			3.02	2.36	1.72	1.85	2.49	2.56
Average			3.12	2.31	1.80	1.90	2.35	2.61
w/o 1FZ0			3.07	2.29	1.83	1.91	2.31	2.51




Figure S1. Overview on the molecular model complexes used for the XAS extrapolation and comparison.




Figure S2. Linear relationship between the calculated and experimental energy, for the individual peak energies (P1 in blue, P2 in orange, top) and the IWAE (grey, bottom). The numbers for the model complexes correspond to those in reference ⁸, where the experimental HERFD XAS data were first reported.





Figure S3. Linear relationship between the calculated and experimental total area, for the individual peak areas (P1 in blue, P2 in orange) and the total area (grey). The regression lines for the sum of the individual peak areas are shown in black, the regression line of the total area is shown in gray dashes. Both regression lines are forced through (0,0). The top panel shows calculated areas determined from refitting the simulated spectra, the bottom panel shows calculated areas determined by calculating the sum of the oscillator strengths.




Figure S4. Schematic representation of the possible MMOH_{ox} structures.




Figure S5. Difference of the Fe-O bond lengths compared to the EXAFS Fe-O and Fe-O/N distances.




Figure S6. Difference of the Fe-L bond lengths compared to the EXAFS Fe-O and Fe-O/N distances for the MMOH_{ox} models. Averages and standard deviations of the calculated Fe-L distances are given in black bars.

Table S3. Mulliken spin populations and exchange coupling constants for the QM/MM MMOH_{ox} models.

The calculated \hat{S}^2 expectation values are presented in Table S4.

	Fe1	Fe2	O _a	O _b	O _t	J
ox-1	4.23	-4.22	0.01	-0.01	-0.05	-20.8
ox-2	4.23	-4.27	0.02	0.00	-0.06	-6.3
ox-3	4.17	-4.19	0.02	0.01	-0.05	-30.9
ox-4	4.10	-4.10	0.04	-0.02	-0.03	8.0
ox-5	4.23	-4.22	0.10	0.00	-0.24	-16.0
ox-6	4.24	-4.18	0.11	0.07	-0.38	-7.1
ox-7	4.19	-4.26	0.25	0.04	-0.25	-29.3
ox-8	3.34	-3.31	0.14	0.37	-0.17	-31.9

Table S4. Calculated expectation values of \hat{S}^2 for the high-spin (HS) and broken-symmetry (BS) case for MMOH_{ox} .

Model	$\langle \hat{S}_{\text{HS}}^2 \rangle$	$\langle \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}^2 \rangle$
ox-1	30.01	4.97
ox-2	30.01	4.97
ox-3	30.02	4.87
ox-4	30.03	4.86
ox-5	30.01	4.98
ox-6	30.02	3.62
ox-7	30.02	4.89
ox-8	30.10	5.11




Figure S7. Corresponding orbitals of model **ox-3** and their overlap integrals.




Figure S8. Simulated Mössbauer spectra from experimental parameters given in table 4. Note that for the latest experiment, a signal ratio of 30:70 is used.




Figure S9. Simulated Mössbauer spectra from the calculated Mössbauer parameters for the MMOHox models, given in table 4.




Figure S10. Schematic representation of the possible MMOH_Q structures.




Figure S11. Difference of the Fe-L bond lengths compared to the EXAFS Fe-O and Fe-O/N distances for the MMOH_Q models. Averages and standard deviations of the calculated Fe-L distances are given in black bars.

Table S5. Mulliken spin populations for the QM/MM MMOH_Q models. Note that for open core models (**Q-5 – Q-8**) instead of O_b the terminal oxygen to Fe₂ is given (Fe₂-O_t). For **Q-3, Q-4, Q-7, Q-8** : O_a = Oε-Glu243, for **Q=5-Q-8**: O_b = O_t at Fe₂. The calculated \hat{S}^2 expectation values are presented in Table S6.

	Fe ₁	Fe ₂	Fe ₁ -O _t	O _a	O _b
Q-1	-3.67	3.60	-0.07	-0.03	-0.02
Q-2	-2.52	3.12	0.00	-0.36	-0.37
Q-3	-3.46	4.16	-0.03	0.00	0.11
Q-4	-1.97	2.97	-0.88	0.04	-0.21
Q-5	3.10	-3.58	0.60	-0.18	0.00
Q-6	-3.23	3.39	-0.49	0.25	0.06
Q-7	-3.58	3.24	-0.29	-0.02	0.54
Q-8	-3.15	3.24	-0.63	0.02	0.51

Table S6. Calculated expectation values of \hat{S}^2 for the high-spin (HS) and broken-symmetry (BS) case for MMOH_Q.

Model	$\langle \hat{S}_{\text{HS}}^2 \rangle$	$\langle \hat{S}_{\text{BS}}^2 \rangle$
Q1	20.27	2.50
Q2	20.11	3.21
Q3	20.93	4.96
Q4	20.08	3.26
Q5	20.19	4.15
Q6	20.12	2.94
Q7	20.25	4.25
Q8	20.13	4.13




Figure S12. Corresponding orbitals of models **Q-1** and **Q-5** and their overlap integrals.




Figure S13. Simulation of the experimental Mössbauer parameter (a) with experimental quadrupole splitting and (b) with an average splitting of 0.5 mm/s.




Figure S14. Direct comparison of MMOHQ models Q-1 and Q-2.




Figure S15. Assignment of the calculated pre-edge XAS spectrum of model **Q-1** vs **Q-6** (left) and schematic representation of the involved transitions (right), with α transitions shown in red and β transitions shown in blue.




Figure S16. Non-resonant Raman ^{16}O - ^{18}O difference spectra (black) with ^{16}O (red) and ^{18}O (blue) decomposition from the full QM Hessian. Insets showing the structure and isotope labeled oxygens (blue).




Figure S17. Non-resonant Raman ^{16}O - ^{18}O difference spectra (black) with ^{16}O (red) and ^{18}O (blue) decomposition from a partial Hessian only including the Fe_2O_x core. Insets showing the structure and isotope labeled oxygens (blue).

Table S7. Characteristic modes in the non-resonant Raman $^{16-18}\text{O}$ difference spectra and their respective shifts, sorted by the motif. Note that for model Q-6, where different labeling patterns were probed, multiple entries to the same mode with different shifts are obtained.

	Model	^{16}O	^{18}O	Shift
bis- μ -oxo	Q-1	531.57	517.19	-14.38
	Q-2	536.98	519.34	-17.64
	Q-1	562.73	545.99	-16.74
μ -oxo	Q-6	489.86	489.72	-0.14
	Q-6	489.86	479.57	-10.29
	Q-6	489.86	467.52	-22.34
	Q-3	538.84	533.76	-5.08
	Q-3	573.15	568.51	-4.64
tOH	Q-5	654.26	629.47	-24.79
	Q-6	598.95	583.65	-15.30
	Q-6	598.95	587.14	-11.81
	Q-6	598.95	590.39	-8.56
	Q-6	638.95	621.14	-17.81
	Q-6	638.95	634.14	-4.81
	Q-6	638.95	634.62	-4.33
	Q-2	641.56	630.16	-11.40
	Q-3	671.28	669.32	-1.96

	Q-7	685.84	682.25	-3.59
	Q-2	708.25	675.42	-32.83
	Q-3	720.65	710.28	-10.37
	Q-7	737.51	734.16	-3.35
	Q-7	766.39	747.55	-18.84
	Q-7	801.99	793.33	-8.66
tO	Q-6	764.65	760.74	-3.91
	Q-6	764.65	738.79	-25.86
	Q-6	764.65	736.93	-27.72
	Q-4	834.72	800	-34.72
	Q-7	850.3	828.57	-21.73
	Q-5	855.58	819.21	-36.37
	Q-7	859.55	839.49	-20.06
	Q-8	861.85	823.07	-38.78




Figure S18. Non-resonant Raman ¹⁶O-¹⁸O difference spectra (black) with ¹⁶O (red) and ¹⁸O (blue) decomposition for different labeling patterns in model Q6 (inlets).






Figure S19. Schematic descriptioin of the relevant Raman modes of model **Q2** and **Q5**.



Scheme S1. Possible MMOH_P to MMOH_Q transitions, starting from MMOH_{red} assuming a closed bis-μ-oxo core for MMOH_Q.⁹ Oxygen atoms derived from molecular oxygen are labelled bold.



Scheme S2. Possible MMOH_Q to MMOH_{ox} transitions for bis-μ-oxo cores.¹⁰

References

- (1) Whittington, D. A.; Lippard, S. J. Crystal structures of the soluble methane monooxygenase hydroxylase from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath) demonstrating geometrical variability at the dinuclear iron active site. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 827-838.
- (2) Elango, N.; Radhakrishnan, R.; Froland, W. A.; Wallar, B. J.; Earhart, C. A.; Lipscomb, J. D.; Ohlendorf, D. H. Crystal structure of the hydroxylase component of methane monooxygenase from *Methylophilus trichosporium* OB3b. *Protein Sci.* **1997**, *6*, 556-568.
- (3) Cutsail, G. E.; Banerjee, R.; Zhou, A.; Que, L.; Lipscomb, J. D.; DeBeer, S. High-Resolution Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure Analysis Provides Evidence for a Longer Fe···Fe Distance in the Q Intermediate of Methane Monooxygenase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 16807-16820.
- (4) Jackson Rudd, D.; Sazinsky, M. H.; Merx, M.; Lippard, S. J.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O. Determination by X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy of the Fe-Fe Separation in the Oxidized Form of the Hydroxylase of Methane Monooxygenase Alone and in the Presence of MMOD. *Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 4579-4589.
- (5) Rosenzweig, A. C.; Brandstetter, H.; Whittington, D. A.; Nordlund, P.; Lippard, S. J.; Frederick, C. A. Crystal structures of the methane monooxygenase hydroxylase from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath): Implications for substrate gating and component interactions. *Proteins: Struct., Funct., Bioinf.* **1997**, *29*, 141-152.
- (6) Whittington, D. A.; Sazinsky, M. H.; Lippard, S. J. X-ray Crystal Structure of Alcohol Products Bound at the Active Site of Soluble Methane Monooxygenase Hydroxylase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 1794-1795.
- (7) Sazinsky, M. H.; Lippard, S. J. Product Bound Structures of the Soluble Methane Monooxygenase Hydroxylase from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath): Protein Motion in the α -Subunit. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 5814-5825.
- (8) Castillo, R. G.; Banerjee, R.; Allpress, C. J.; Rohde, G. T.; Bill, E.; Que Jr, L.; Lipscomb, J. D.; DeBeer, S. High-Energy-Resolution Fluorescence-Detected X-ray Absorption of the Q Intermediate of Soluble Methane Monooxygenase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18024-18033.
- (9) Tinberg, C. E.; Lippard, S. J. Dioxygen Activation in Soluble Methane Monooxygenase. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2011**, *44*, 280-288.
- (10) Huang, S. P.; Shiota, Y.; Yoshizawa, K. DFT study of the mechanism for methane hydroxylation by soluble methane monooxygenase (sMMO): effects of oxidation state, spin state, and coordination number. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 1011-1023.